

Conf-911109--3

UCRL-JC--107478

DE92 002667

ISTI

NOV 12 1991

**Dissolution Kinetics of UO<sub>2</sub>. Flow-through Tests on UO<sub>2.00</sub> Pellets  
and Polycrystalline Schoepite Samples in Oxygenated, Carbonate/  
Bicarbonate Buffer Solutions at 25° C**

**S. N. Nguyen, H. C. Weed, H. R. Leider and R. B. Stout**

**Prepared for Submittal to Material  
Research Society Conference  
Strasbourg, France  
November 4-7, 1991**

**Manuscript Date: October 1991  
Publication Date: October 1991**



This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author(s).

**MASTER**

*DM*  
DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

#### **DISCLAIMER**

**This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.**

**Dissolution Kinetics of UO<sub>2</sub>. Flow-through Tests on UO<sub>2.00</sub> Pellets and  
Polycrystalline Schoepite Samples in Oxygenated, Carbonate/Bicarbonate Buffer  
Solutions at 25° C**

Son N. Nguyen, Homer C. Weed, Herman R. Leider and Ray B. Stout  
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory  
Livermore, CA 94551

The modelling of radionuclide release from waste forms is an important part of the performance assessment of a potential, high-level radioactive waste repository. Since spent fuel consists of UO<sub>2</sub> containing actinide elements and other fission products, it is necessary to determine the principal parameters affecting UO<sub>2</sub> dissolution and quantify their effects on the dissolution rate before any prediction of long term release rates of radionuclides from the spent fuel can be made.

As part of a complex matrix to determine the dissolution kinetics of UO<sub>2</sub> as a function of time, pH, carbonate/bicarbonate concentration and oxygen activity, we have measured the dissolution rates at 25° C of: a) UO<sub>2</sub> pellets; b) UO<sub>2.00</sub> powder and c) synthetic dehydrated schoepite, UO<sub>3</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O using a single-pass flow through system in an argon-atmosphere glove box. Carbonate, carbonate/bicarbonate, and bicarbonate buffers with concentrations ranging from 0.0002 M to 0.02 M and pH values from 8 to 11 have been used. Argon gas mixtures containing oxygen (from 0.002 to 0.2 atm) and carbon dioxide (from 0 to 0.011 atm) were bubbled through the buffers to stabilize their pH values.

### Introduction

Many researchers have investigated the dissolution of UO<sub>2</sub>, spent fuel and uraninite in aqueous solutions, under either reducing or oxidizing conditions, and as a function of pH, oxygen fugacity, solution chemical compositions, and temperature. The dissolution data of the previous studies are very scattered and vary as much as 6 orders of magnitude (Grambow, 1989). The results are equivocal not only due to the difference in experimental design, or the diverse history of fuel samples but also because of uncertainties regarding redox chemistry of U in solutions and in solid phases, surface area measurements, and the possibility of secondary phase formation. In addition, the previous studies were conducted under experimental conditions which were either unconstrained or which simulated complex repository conditions, making the results of such studies difficult to interpret.

Benefiting from previous studies, we conducted a study to elucidate the dissolution kinetics of UO<sub>2</sub> using the single-pass flow through method, which has been successfully used in the study of dissolution kinetics of glass other minerals (Knauss et al., [1989], [1990]) and of UO<sub>2</sub> (Wilson and Gray, [1990]). The advantage of this technique is that flow rates can be adjusted so that the UO<sub>2</sub> dissolves under conditions that are far from saturation with respect to alteration phases. Under such

conditions, the steady-state dissolution concentrations are directly proportional to the effective surface area of the sample (Aagaard [1982], Helgeson et al. [1984], Lasaga [1981]). To elucidate the particle size effect on the dissolution rates, both  $\text{UO}_2$  pellets and  $\text{UO}_2$  powder were used. Previous studies indicated that  $\text{UO}_2$  is easily oxidized to  $\text{U}_4\text{O}_9$  and  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_7$  in air (Aronson [1961], Einziger [1988]), and can be further oxidized to either  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$  or schoepite,  $\text{UO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Wadsten, [1977]), which are all more soluble than  $\text{UO}_2$ . The dissolution rate of the synthetic dehydrated schoepite obtained from this study can be considered as the upper limit for the dissolution rate for  $\text{UO}_2$  in carbonate/bicarbonate buffer solution.

## Experimental

### Materials

$\text{UO}_2$  pellets were supplied by Westinghouse Hanford Co., (Richland, Washington). X-ray diffraction of the pellet indicated that  $\text{UO}_2$  is the major phase. Emission spectroscopic analysis revealed the presence of Ca, Si, Al, Fe, B, Cr, Ni in the sample. Electron microprobe analysis of the sample showed equigranular texture with a mosaic of polygonal grains approximately 10 microns in diameter. It also indicated that the sample matrix is exclusively  $\text{UO}_2$ ; with several minor phases such as  $\text{MgO}$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$  and  $\text{NiO}$  located within and among the  $\text{UO}_2$  grains. From the dimensions of the pellets (typically 0.87 cm diameter and 0.88 cm, length) the geometric surface area of the pellets were calculated. In order to obtain reliable dissolution rates of  $\text{UO}_2$  instead of  $\text{UO}_{2+x}$ , the original  $\text{UO}_2$  pellets were reduced to  $\text{UO}_{2.00}$  in a hydrogen atmosphere at  $1200^\circ\text{C}$  for 15 minutes. After treatment, the pellets were kept in a vacuum desiccator before using. Thermo-gravimetric analysis of the hydrogen-reduced  $\text{UO}_2$  pellets showed an O/U ratio of 1.993. The mercury porosity and BET surface area measurements of the hydrogen-reduced pellets were 1.15% porosity and  $10\text{cm}^2/\text{g}$ , respectively.

The  $\text{UO}_{2.000}$  powder was prepared at Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL, Richland, Washington). Several  $\text{UO}_2$  pellets were crushed and ground with mortar and pestle, then sieved through the -140/+325 mesh screens. Only the -140/+325 mesh fraction consisting of particle sizes range from 44  $\mu\text{m}$  to 105  $\mu\text{m}$  was selected for use in this study. This 140/+325 mesh fraction was washed three times with  $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  and rinsed with deionized water before being air-dried at room temperature. The air-dried particles were heated in a dry He atmosphere for 2 h at  $204^\circ\text{C}$ , then were re-heated at  $900^\circ\text{C}$  overnight in a flowing stream of 6%H/94%Ar gas that had passed through a water bath at  $0^\circ\text{C}$ . The weight loss of the particles during this heat treatment was monitored to ensure the heat-treated powder had an O/U ratio of 2.000. The BET surface area of the reduced  $\text{UO}_{2.000}$  powder was  $254 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ .

The synthetic dehydrated schoepite,  $\text{UO}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , was prepared by hydrolysis of uranyl acetate and distillation of acetic acid from the solution (Gayer and Leider, [1955]). The precipitate in the distillation flask was washed several times with water and the reaction was carried out to completion. The XRD pattern of the solid residue was identified as that of dehydrated schoepite. The BET surface area of the synthetic dehydrated schoepite was  $1971 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ .

### Test matrix

The dissolution tests reported in this study were arbitrarily selected from a complex test matrix which had been designed by using R/S Discover Software (Bolt, Beranek and Newman, version 2, 1989) to study the influence of pH, temperature, oxygen and carbonate/bicarbonate activity on the kinetics of the dissolution rate of  $\text{UO}_2$  as a function of time. This is the first of a series of dissolution tests. These tests were conducted at  $25^\circ \text{ C}$  and in basic solutions (pH 8-11).

### Leachants

Nine leaching solutions consisting of mixtures of carbonate and bicarbonate buffers at different concentrations were used for dissolution tests on  $\text{UO}_2$  powder (samples 1A-9A) (table 1). The dissolution tests on  $\text{UO}_2$  powder were conducted in buffers # 1 and 6 (samples 1B and 6B). The dissolution tests on synthetic dehydrated schoepite were performed in the buffers # 2 and 7 (samples 2B and 7B). To maintain a constant pH for these buffers, argon gas mixtures containing appropriate oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations were bubbled through the solutions during the entire investigation. The gases were vented outside of the glove box after passing through the solutions.

Table 1. Buffer Chemical Compositions, Argon Gas Mixture Compositions and Solution pH Values for  $\text{UO}_2$  and Dehydrated Schoepite Dissolution Experiments

Buffer #	Chemical Composition (moles/kg $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ )		Partial Pressure (atm)		pH
	$\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$	$\text{NaHCO}_3$	$\text{O}_2$	$\text{CO}_2$	
1		0.02	0.2	0.011	8.16
2		0.02	0.002	0.011	8.06
3		0.0002	0.02	0.00012	8.04
4	0.001541	0.01846	0.2	0.00104	9.08
5	0.0001541	0.001846	0.002	0.00012	9.36
6	0.0002		0.2	0	9.70
7	0.0002		0.002	0	9.82
8	0.02		0.02	0	11.06
9	0.002		0.2	0	10.50

## Experimental equipment

The flow-through system was located inside an argon atmosphere glove box (Innovative Technology, Inc.) as shown in Figure 1. A constant temperature in the flow-through sample cell was maintained by an electrical oven. The flow-through cell was made of polymethylpentene (PMP). The sample chamber was located between two sets of filter grids, which were also made of PMP. Teflon filters (0.1 micron pore size, Nuclepore Corp.) were installed at both ends of the sample chamber to retain the particulates and Viton O-rings were used to seal the cell (Figure 2). The oxygen concentration of the glove box was kept below 10 ppm and was constantly monitored by an oxygen analyzer (Innovative Technology, Inc.).

## Methods

Different flow rates were used (~100 g solution/day for the UO<sub>2.00</sub> pellets and ~200 g solution/day for the UO<sub>2.000</sub> powder and synthetic dehydrated schoepite) so that the solutions in contact with the samples remained undersaturated at all times. Leachates were collected at selected intervals during each run and their U concentrations and pH values were determined to monitor the dissolution rates. The U concentrations were measured using a laser phosphorescence analyzer (KPA-11, Chemchek Instruments, Inc., Richland, Washington). After steady state conditions had been observed, the flow rates were doubled and the leachates were again monitored until the steady states were re-established.

## Results

### Calculation of Dissolution Rates

Dissolution rates were calculated as:

$$R(\text{mole. m}^{-2} \cdot \text{sec}^{-1}) = [U](\text{mole. mL}^{-1}) \cdot \phi(\text{mL. sec}^{-1}) / A(\text{m}^2)$$

where [U] is the concentration of uranium in the leachate,  $\phi$  is the flow rate of the leachate and A is the surface area of the sample. Thus dissolution rate corresponds to the number of moles of uranium dissolved per unit surface area per unit time.

### Aqueous Phase

We reported the dissolution rates of UO<sub>2.00</sub> pellets in the aforementioned 9 buffers at 25° C (Table 2). Since the dissolution tests are on-going and less than half of the test matrix has been completed, detailed data interpretation and dissolution modelling are not yet possible at this point. For comparison purposes, the dissolution rates of UO<sub>2.000</sub> powder in buffers # 1 and 6 and of synthetic dehydrated schoepite in buffers # 2 and 7 are also shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Dissolution rates of UO<sub>2.00</sub> Pellets, UO<sub>2.000</sub> Powder and Synthetic Dehydrated Schoepite in Carbonate/ Bicarbonate Buffers at 25° C.

Sample I.D      Buffer #      Dissolution Rate(mol/m<sup>2</sup>.sec)

UO<sub>2.00</sub> Pellets

1	$3.88 \times 10^{-11}$ (a)
2	$9.11 \times 10^{-11}$ (a)
3	$4.32 \times 10^{-11}$ (a)
4	$1.36 \times 10^{-10}$ (a)
5	$5.84 \times 10^{-11}$ (a)
6	$1.15 \times 10^{-11}$ (a)
7	$4.98 \times 10^{-11}$ (a)
8	$1.97 \times 10^{-10}$ (a)
9	$7.61 \times 10^{-11}$ (a)

UO<sub>2.000</sub> Powder

1	$4.18 \times 10^{-12}$ (b)
6	$8.38 \times 10^{-12}$ (b)

Synthetic Dehydrated Schoepite

2	$5.85 \times 10^{-9}$ (b)
7	$9.25 \times 10^{-11}$ (b)

-----  
 (a) Dissolution rate was calculated using the geometric surface area of the sample.

(b) Dissolution rate was calculated using the BET surface area of the sample.

As expected, synthetic dehydrated schoepite dissolved very fast and it reached steady-state conditions almost instantaneously. The dissolution rates for the synthetic dehydrated schoepite in buffers # 2 and 7 were calculated after adjusting for sample weight loss and the resulting sample surface area reduction.

It was observed that the BET technique overestimates the surface area of the UO<sub>2.00</sub> pellets. Based on that observation, the geometric surface area was used to calculate the dissolution rate for UO<sub>2.00</sub> pellets while the BET surface areas were used in the calculation of the dissolution rates for UO<sub>2.000</sub> powder and synthetic dehydrated schoepite samples.

A preliminary interpretation of the data in Table 2 indicates that the dissolution rate of  $\text{UO}_2$  is somewhat independent of oxygen fugacity, is certainly correlated to the carbonate or bicarbonate concentration in the leaching solution. The dissolution rates of  $\text{UO}_2.00$  pellets and  $\text{UO}_2.000$  powder in Table 2 are lower than the dissolution rates measured for spent fuel under the identical experimental conditions (Gray and Wilson,[1991]). Surface analysis of the leached samples is being conducted. Additional results and detailed interpretation will be presented in a future publication.

#### Acknowledgments:

Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-ENG-48.

#### References

- 1) Aagaard, P., and Helgeson, H.C., Thermodynamics and Kinetics Constraints on Reaction Rates Among Minerals and Aqueous Solutions, I. Theoretical Considerations, *Amer. J. Sci.* 282, 237 (1982).
- 2) Aronson,S., Oxidation and Corrosion of Uranium Oxide, in *Uranium Dioxide: Properties and Nuclear Applications*, J.Bell, ed., United States Atomic Energy Commission, 377 (1961).
- 3) Einziger, R.E., Test Plan for Long Term, Low Temperature Oxidation of BWR Spent Fuel, PNL-6427, Pacific Northwest Laboratory (1988).
- 4) Gayer, K.H., and Leider, H., The Solubility of Uranium Trioxide,  $\text{UO}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  in Solutions of Sodium Hydroxide and Perchloric Acid at 25° C, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 77, 1448 (1955).
- 5) Gray, W.J., and Wilson,C.N., personal communication (September 1991).
- 6) Grambow, B., "Spent Fuel Dissolution and Oxidation. An Evaluation of Literature Data", SKB Technical Report 89-13 (1989).
- 7) Helgeson, H.C., Murphy, W.M., and Aagaard, P., Thermodynamics and Kinetics Constraints on Reaction Rates Among Minerals and Aqueous Phases. II. Rate Constants, Effective Surface Area, and The Hydrolysis of Feldspar, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 48, 2405 (1984).
- 8) Knauss,K.G., and Wolery, T.J., Muscovite Dissolution Kinetics as a Function of pH and Time at 70° C, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 53, 1493 (1989).
- 9) Knauss, K.G., Bourcier, W.L., McKeegan, K.D., Merzbacher, C.I., Nguyen, S.N., Ryerson, F.J., Smith, D.K., Weed, H.C., and Newton, L., Dissolution Kinetics of a

Simple Analogue Nuclear Waste Glass as a Function of pH, Time, and Temperature, MRS Symposium Proceedings #176, 371 (1990).

- 10) Lasaga, A.D., Rate Laws of Chemical Reactions, in Kinetics of Geochemical Processes (eds., A.C. Lasaga and R.J. Kirkpatrick) Mineral Soc. Amer., Reviews in Mineralogy 8, 1 (1981).
- 11) Wadsten, T., The Oxidation of Polycrystalline Uranium Oxide in Air at Room Temperature, J. Nucl. Mat. 64, 315 (1977).
- 12) Wilson, C.N., and Gray, W.J., The Effects of Water Composition on the Dissolution Rate of UO<sub>2</sub> Under Oxidizing Conditions, paper presented at the 1st Annual International High-Level Radioactive Waste Management Conference, Las Vegas, April 1990.

**FND**

**DATE  
FILMED**

**01/09/91**

