

# STIP Managers Teleconference\*

October 19, 2011

## Participants

Al Hoiland (INL)	Judy Hulstrum (NREL)	Mimi Bartos, (CHO)
Daphne Evans (OSTI)	Kate Bannan (OSTI)	Miriam Blake (LANL)
David Bellis (OSTI)	Kathy Waldrop (OSTI)	Miriam Blake (LANL)
David Bellis (OSTI)	Ken Hasten (WIPP)	Mona Higgins (NSTec)
Donna Lawson (Y-12)	Kevin Schmidt (SRS)	Sharon Jordan (OSTI)
Heath O'Connell (FNAL)	Kim Buckner (OSTI)	Sharon West (SLAC)
Isom Harrison (LLNL)	Mary Donahue (NREL)	Sierra Cory (NSTec)
Jannean Elliott (OSTI)	Mary Hale (ANL)	Stacy Joiner (AMES)
Judy Gilmore (OSTI)	Mary Petersen (BNL)	Tommiann Parker (PNNL)

## FY11 STI Stats – Sharon Jordan, OSTI

There was a 24% increase in STI received, compared to 2010. Sharon congratulated everyone's efforts.

## E-Link Update – Kim Buckner, OSTI

Kim Buckner gave a brief update of recent changes made to E-Link. These changes include:

Full-text records submitted to E-Link from external sites will no longer be ran against the PII algorithm.

The PII Acknowledgement on full-text upload has been removed from the AN 241.1

If PPII is humanly identified during record processing, that person (IF THEY HAVE INPUT/EDIT privileges or above) has the ability to mark that record as containing HUMANLY IDENTIFIED PPII and the record will have to be redacted before it can again be made publicly available.

This should prevent that record from EVER transferring under any circumstances until its full text has been redacted and that action has been confirmed.

The Redaction function will allow a human to select that they have personally uploaded a new full text file and confirm that it has been redacted.

Once a redacted version is added we would add this text to the Access Limitation Other field: "The original document was redacted and replaced." and the "Redact Fulltext" button would then be "Redacted Version". A redacted full text should NEVER be stuck for PII. If a user wishes to Replace the redacted version of a full text they must confirm it has been redacted as well. The PII/Redaction function will be available on all records with media attached (A/V, full text, offsite urls)

Users can now view attached media from the Details page; do an instant search on E-Link records when they are submitted; and select A/V as the medium code for any STI product type.

**Audiovisual Changes** – Jannean Elliott, OSTI

Jannean Elliott noted that part of the E-Link refactoring, discussed by Kim Buckner, was a revision of the product type business rules. The change recognizes that any E-Link product type may, in the future, be submitted as AV material (medium=AV Material). Until now, the AN 241.1 would not medium=AV to be selected for anything but a CO product type (Conference/Event paper, proceedings, presentation). The fact that all the product types could potentially be in various media formats, rather than simply traditional text, was discussed in the early New Media Working Group meetings of FY10. The decision was made in FY11 to implement the CO type first because the majority of DOE's AV backlog fell into that category. Now that many of the sites have submitted their CO/AV backlog, OSTI has made it technically possible for any product type to be submitted as AV material.

**NLLC in Brief** – Miriam Blake, LANL

**Challenge of Large Collaboration Papers** – Mary Petersen, BNL

**Planning for 2012 STIP Working Meeting** – Kathy Waldrop, OSTI

We would like input regarding topics that you have an interest in either presenting or would like to see presented. Send suggestions to Kathy Waldrop. Accommodations are in Augusta, GA at the Partridge Inn. There will be at least one full day of training and STIP-related sessions (i.e. STIP orientation, DOE Only and DOE Contractor Only Meetings). There may also be an opportunity for a tour at Savannah River prior to the two-day working meeting on Wednesday, April 18 – Thursday, April 19, 2012.

**Energy Frontier Research Centers** – Judy Gilmore, OSTI

Background: In August 2009, the Office of Basic Energy Sciences in the DOE's Office of Science established 46 Energy Frontier Research Centers. Currently these 46 EFRCs are in 35 States and the District of Columbia. They involve universities, national labs, nonprofit and for-profit entities, and are funded at 2.5 million for an initial 5-year award period. EFRCs are focused on a broad range of research areas that were defined through a series of technical workshops; together, the goal is to establish the scientific foundation for a new U.S. Energy economy.

Of the 46 EFRCs selected, 31 are lead by universities but 12 are lead by DOE National Labs. A break-down by lab follows: Argonne – 2; Brookhaven – 1; Idaho National Lab – 1; Lawrence Berkeley National Lab – 1; Los Alamos – 2; NREL – 1; Oak Ridge National Lab – 2; Pacific Northwest National Lab – 1; and Sandia – 1.

While there are 12 EFRCs that are led by a National Lab, National Labs are also partners in many of the 31 centers led by universities. For example, Brookhaven is a partner for the EFRC led by the University of Delaware and one led by Columbia; and Savannah River and Pacific Northwest are partners in one led by the University of South Carolina.

To-date they have generated a significant number of technical articles and potentially other types of STI, OSTI will be working with HQ and other contacts regarding these specific agreements. Key points: 1) if not already engaged, STI staff should be aware of these arrangements; 2) determine the level of involvement of STI managers at this time regarding EFRC generated STI for respective sites.

OSTI will continue to keep sites informed of any new developments from an OSTI perspective. More information is available at <http://science.energy.gov/bes/efrc/>.

**Miscellaneous** – All

***\*Additional minutes pending.***