

LA-UR-01-3146

Approved for public release;  
distribution is unlimited.

*Title:*

**Benchmark Calculations for the Diluted Highly Enriched Uranium (HEU) and Aluminum Experiment**

*Author(s):*

David Loaiza and Rene Sanchez

*Submitted to:*

<http://lib-www.lanl.gov/la-pubs/00796412.pdf>

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

# Benchmark Calculations for the Diluted Highly Enriched Uranium (HEU) and Aluminum Experiment

By

David Loaiza and Rene Sanchez  
P.O. Box 1663, MS J562  
Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Los Alamos, NM 87545

## 1. Introduction

The HEU-Al experiment was performed using the Planet universal critical assembly at Los Alamos Critical Experiment Facility (LACEF) in Los Alamos National Laboratory. This experiment consisted of placing HEU foils interspersed with aluminum plates in a column stack. These uranium foils were moderated and reflected by polyethylene square plates. This experiment was performed to measure the prompt neutron decay constants in uranium systems diluted by matrix materials. This experimental set-up yielded a Al/ $^{235}\text{U}$  ratio of 60:1.<sup>1</sup> The experimental  $k_{\text{eff}}$  was 1.001 and the modeled MCNP  $k_{\text{eff}}$  was  $1.0016 \pm 0.0004$ . This report summarizes the benchmark calculations performed to validate the experiment.

The experimental arrangement is depicted in Figure 1. As Figure 1 illustrates the stack is divided into two parts. The bottom half of the stack rest on an aluminum support plate which is 1 inch thick. The top half of the experiment rest on 0.75 inch thick polyethylene plate. Criticality is achieved by decreasing the gap between the top and bottom portions of the stack. To disassemble the configuration the bottom stack is dropped to its initial position. There are no other control or safety rods inside the assembly.<sup>2</sup>

## 2. Experiment Description

The experiment consists of laminated HEU foils, aluminum plates, and high density polyethylene moderator plates. The moderator in the experiment consists of 18 high density polyethylene plates with recesses built into them for the HEU foils and the

aluminum plates. This is done in order to minimize axial leakage. The dimension for



Figure 1. HEU-Al experiment mounted on the Planet assembly

these moderating plates are 15.4 by 15.4 by 0.75 inches. This experiment also used 8 high density polyethylene reflectors. In total, 18 aluminum plates were used in the experiment. The foils used were 9-inch by 9-inch square and 0.003 inches thick before they were laminated. The lamination material was polyethylene. The final laminated foils had a dimension of 9.5-inches by 9.5-inches and 0.018-inches thick.

### 3. Experimental Procedure

The approach to critical was performed using the (1/M) technique. The HEU foils were placed between the aluminum and polyethylene plates. Once the handstacking limit was reached, the operators split the stack. The bottom part of the core, which contained approximately half of the critical mass, was placed on the movable platen of the Planet assembly. The top part of the core was placed on the top platform and typically contained

two or three units. A unit is defined as two HEU foils. The lower portion of the assembly, which contained a Pu-Be source, was then raised remotely until it contacted the top portion of the assembly. The neutron leakage from the assembly was measured with four  $\text{BF}_3$  detectors and  $(1/M)$  as a function of number of units was plotted. By extrapolating the last two point of the  $(1/M)$  values to zero, it was determined that 19.03 units were necessary to reach criticality. The assembly was delayed critical with 38 HEU foils or 19 units (set of 2 HEU foils). This experiment resulted in a positive period of 64.89 sec which yielded a positive reactor period of 13.8 cents. At this measurement the assembly was fully closed, i.e. top and bottom stacks are in direct contact.

#### 4. Benchmark of the Experiment

A benchmark analysis was performed to validate the experimental results. The reactivity effects of many of the uncertainties discussed below were quantified using the MCNP model of the benchmark. The analysis was performed by employing a detailed three-dimensional MCNP model with continuous-energy cross sections from ENDF/B-VI neutron data. The MCNP calculations had 6,000,000 active histories. A total of 5,000 histories per generation were used and 1,250 generations of neutrons.

The uncertainties affecting the experiment have been divided into three broad categories. They are the uncertainties associated with 1) mass measurement techniques, 2) geometry, and 3) compositions. Each category is considered in turn and then the combined experimental uncertainty is presented. Each uncertainty estimate is one standard deviation.

A summary of the uncertainties are collected in Table I. From the uncertainties due to variations in mass, only the HEU mass uncertainty is important. Two of the uncertainties in the geometry category are important – uncertainties in the polyethylene plates and the uncertainty in the large plate. In fact, the uncertainty in the dimension from the large plate alone is almost as large as the uncertainty from all other plates. This is because of the neutronic importance of this plate with relation to the other plates. Of the composition

uncertainties, only the component due to the impurities of aluminum is significant. Mass uncertainties dominate the overall experimental uncertainty in this benchmark.

Table I. Summary of Uncertainties.

Source of Uncertainty	Parameter Variation in Calculation	Calculated Effect ( $\Delta k_{\text{eff}}$ ) of Variation	Standard Uncertainty of Parameter	Standard Uncertainty in $\Delta k_{\text{eff}}$
<b><i>Material Mass</i></b>				
HEU Mass	0.569 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	$\pm 0.0044$	$0.569/\sqrt{38}$	$\pm 0.0007$
Enrichment in <sup>235</sup> U (wt%)	0.02 wt.%	$\pm 0.0002$	0.02/2	$\pm 0.0001$
Polyethylene Plate Mass	0.0015 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	$\pm 0.0010$	$0.015/\sqrt{26}$	$\pm 0.0002$
Polyethylene Lamination Mass	0.0043 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	$\pm 0.0001$	$0.0043/\sqrt{38}$	$\pm 0.0001$
Aluminum Plate Mass	0.0065 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	$\pm 0.0004$	$0.0065/\sqrt{18}$	$\pm 0.0001$
<b><i>Geometry Dimensions</i></b>				
HEU	0.5 %	$\pm 0.0003$	$0.005/\sqrt{3}$	$\pm 0.0002$
Polyethylene Plates	0.1 in.	$\pm 0.0015$	$0.1/\sqrt{3}$	$\pm 0.0009$
Polyethylene Large Plate 48	0.1 in.	$\pm 0.0011$	$0.1/\sqrt{3}$	$\pm 0.0006$
Aluminum Plate	0.1 in.	$\pm 0.0004$	$0.1/\sqrt{3}$	$\pm 0.0002$
Axial Air Gap	0.002 in.	$\pm 0.0027$	$0.002/\sqrt{3}$	$\pm 0.0016$
<b><i>Material Composition</i></b>				
Impurity in Lamination	Included	- 0.0001	-	-
Impurity in Polyethylene Plates	Included	- 0.0001	-	-
Composition of Al Plates	Included	+ 0.0014	-	$\pm 0.0007$
<b><i>Additional Calculations</i></b>				
Support plates	Included	<0.0010	-	$\pm 0.0010^{(a)}$
Room return	Included	<0.0010	-	
<b>Total Uncertainty</b>			Quadratic Total:	$\pm 0.0027$

(a) 0.0010 is estimated as the standard uncertainty from support plates and room return

## 5. References

1. Sanchez, R., Loaiza, D. and Brunson, G., "Prompt Neutron Decay Constants in Uranium Diluted with Matrix Material Systems," *Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc.* (2001)
2. LACEF, "Los Alamos Critical Experiments Facility Safety Analysis Report," Los Alamos National Laboratory, LA-CP-92-235 (1994).