UCRL 49
cy 77 A

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

Special Review of Declassified Reports

Authorized by USDOE JK Bratton Unclassified TWX P182206Z May 79 REPORT PROPERLY DECLASSIFIED

Green Derivative Classifier

Date

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA RADIATION LABORATORY

Cover Sheet
Do not remove

This document contains 13 pages and 0 plates of figures.
This is copy 27 of 90. Series 19

CLASSIFI ATRESTRICTED

BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE

Each person who received this document must sign the cover sheet in the space below.

Route to	Noted by	Date	Route to	Noted by	Date
INFORMATION DI	VISION SEP				
		· resoute	10A6		
		ا ۱۱۱۷			
			4.8 3.1	·	
57 V	The same section of the sa				



CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY OF THE DIST. OF ENGINE A

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Radiation Laboratory

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48B

THE ESTIMATION OF HEATS OF FORMATION.

bу

Special Review of Declassified Reports

Authorized by USDOE JK Bratton Unclassified TWX P182206Z May 79

Leo Brewer

REPORT PROPERLY DECLASSIFIED

February 2, 1948

(Addition to Report CC-3585)

CLASSIFICATION CONTROL OF THE UNIT FENCY TO THE UNITED STATES OF THE UCCL. THEN COMMITTEE

Chemistry-General

Distribution of Report UCRL-49

Argonne National Laboratory	1-10			
Atomic Energy Committee, Washington				
Battelle Memorial Institute	14			
Brookhaven National Laboratory	15-24			
Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Corp. (K-25 Area)	25-26			
Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Corp. (Y-12 Area)	27-28			
Clinton Laboratories	29-40			
General Electric Co.	41-44			
Hanford Engineer Works	45-46			
Iowa State College	47			
Los Alamos	48-50			
Madison Square Area				
Massachusetts Institute of Technology				
Monsanto Chemical Co., Dayton				
National Bureau of Standards				
Patent Advisor				
Research Division (for NEPA), Oak Ridge				
Research Division, Oak Ridge				
University of California, Radiation Laboratory				
Chemistry Department	73			
Dr. W. M. Latimer	74-75			
Information Division				
Dr. Leo Brewer	80 81-90			
Declassification Procedure				

OF THE BOTH COMMON COMMITTEE

ABSTRACT

The procedure for estimation of heats of formation of compounds is illustrated by discussion of compounds of several of the elements of the actinide series. The procedure is particularly suited for lanthanide and actinide elements because of the similarity of the ionic radii and types of bonding.

University of California Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48B

THE ESTIMATION OF HEATS OF FORMATION

By Leo Brewer

February 2, 1948 (Addition to Report CC-3585

For Research, development, or manufacturing work.

As an illustration of this method, the heats of formation of some compounds of Th, Np, and Am will be estimated.

thermodynamic properties of the elements in general.

Westrum and Eyring $^{(6)}$ have determined the heats of solution of Th metal, ${\rm ThCl}_4$, and ${\rm Th}_2{\rm S}_3$ in 6 moles per liter HCl. These determinations give one $\Delta{\rm H}_{298}=-285.2$ kilocals. for ${\rm ThCl}_4({\rm s})$, $\Delta{\rm H}_{298}=-306.8\stackrel{+}{-}2$ for ${\rm Th}_2{\rm S}_3$, and $\Delta{\rm H}_{298}=-185.5$ kilocals. for ${\rm Th}^4+({\rm lM\ HCl})$. These experimental values may now be used to estimate heats of formation of the other tetravalent and trivalent compounds of thorium.

Brewer, Bromley, Lofgren, and Gilles (2) give the best available data for the heats of formation of UF₄, UCl₄, UBr₄, and UI₄. With these data, one could estimate the heats of formation of ThF₄, ThBr₄, ThI₄ from the value for ThCl₄ by assuming the differences between the heats of formation of the above compounds and that of ThCl₄ are the same as the differences between the corresponding uranium

compounds and UCl_4 . Normally one could not make such a simple assumption and one would have to consider the variation in such differences as a function of the ionic radius of the cation. Thus the heat difference MCl_4 - MI_4 should increase as the size of M^{4+} decreases due to increasing anion repulsion. However, the ionic radii of Th^{4+} and U^{4+} are close enough; so that within the general uncertainty of such estimations, one can neglect this variation. This is especially necessary since data are lacking for the effect of the size of the tetravalent ion on the heats of formation for ions of the size of Th^{4+} .

Thus the heat difference UF_4 - UCl_4 is 192 kilocals. The difference UCl_4-UBr_4 is 40 kilocals. The difference ${\tt UCl_4-UI_4}$ is 94 kilocals. Applying these differences to the heat of formation of ThCl4 given above, one estimates the following heats of formation: ThF₄, $\Delta H_{298} = -477$ kilocals; ThBr₄, $\Delta H_{298} = -245$ kilocals; ThI₄, $\Delta H_{298} = -191$ kilocals. Future data on the effect of the difference in ionic radius of Th4+ and U4+ will probably move these values closer together by one to two kilocalories. By a similar process of comparison of UO2 and UCl4, we estimate the heat of formation of ThO₂ to be $\Delta H_{298} = -304 \pm 5$ kilocalories. Bichowsky and Rossini (7) report three different values -327, 330, and -293 kilocalories, all obtained from the heat of combustion of thorium metal. Oxide impurities could cause low results while hydrogen impurity could cause high results. The best one can do is to take about AH299=-310 20 kilocalories as the heat of formation of ThO2.

UCRL-49

From the heat of formation of $\mathrm{Th}_2\mathrm{S}_3$, one may make estimates of the heats of formation of other trivalent compounds of thorium. The most similar trivalent sulfide for which the heat of formation is known is Ce₂S₃. heat of formation of Ce₂S₃ as tabulated by Brewer, Bromley, and Gilles (8) is 40.3 kilocalories more negative than the heat for Thosa. If one assumed that Ce2S3 and Th2S3 were compounds of similar structure, one would then assume that the heat of formation of 2CeCl would be more negative by 40.3 kilocalories than the heat of formation of 2ThCl3. Such an assumption gives $\Delta H_{298} = -240$ kilocalories for ThCl3. Actually, all one can say is that this value gives an upper limit to the stability of ThCl3. Whereas in the case of comparison of tetravalent thorium with tetravalent uranium, we were comparing compounds with similar crystal structure and similar bonding, in the case of ThoS3 and Ce2S3, the compounds have different crystal structures and Th2S3 definitely appears to have more metallic-type bonding than Ce_2S_3 (9). This type of bonding should give a more stable sulfide than one bonded like Ce2S3. If ThCl3, on the other hand, has bonding very similar to that of CeCl3, which would be expected, than we should make our estimated heat of formation for $ThCl_3$ less negative. We do not know

how far the true value is from the limit given above. The effect of different crystal structures can not be large; since it is believed that Ce_2S_3 exists in the Th_2S_3 crystal structure type when a small amount of oxide impurity is present. This indicates the difference in crystal structures does not involve a large energy difference. It appears very difficult to estimate the effect of metallic bonding, and the best one can do at this time is to give the limiting value. Having fixed the limit of stability of ThCl_3 , one can by a process similar to that used for the tetravalent state calculate the upper limits of stability of ThF_3 , ThBr_3 , and ThI_3 by comparison with Ce and U trivalent halides.

This procedure gives as limiting values the following: $\mbox{ThF}_3, \ \Delta \mbox{H}_{298} = -383 \ \mbox{kilocals.}; \ \mbox{ThBr}_3, \ \Delta \mbox{H}_{298} = -207 \ \mbox{kilocals.};$ $\mbox{ThI}_3, \ \Delta \mbox{H}_{298} = -163 \ \mbox{kilocals.}$

The very surprising thing about these figures is that they indicate that ${\rm ThI}_3$, ${\rm ThBr}_3$, and ${\rm ThCl}_3$ should all be stable compounds with respect to the reactions of the type ${\rm 4ThCl}_3(s) = {\rm 3ThGl}_4(s) + {\rm Th}(s)$ if the trivalent heats are near the limiting value and therefore should be preparable. Warf (ll) appears to have demonstrated that ${\rm ThF}_3$ is not stable which would require ${\rm \Delta H}_{298} \cong -358$ kilocals. This would make ${\rm ThBr}_3$ and ${\rm ThI}_3$ unstable, but ${\rm ThCl}_3$ could still be stable. The only other data which might be used to check these predictions are some vapor density measurements on ${\rm ThCl}_4$ gas by Kruss and Nilson (12) which show that ${\rm ThCl}_4$ vapor is undissociated below 1000°C. Above 1000°C., the ${\rm CO}_2$ they used

reacts with ThCl_4 , and their data do not give any evidence on whether ThCl_4 is dissociated, in spite of the fact that their data are widely quoted to indicate dissociation of ThCl_4 . Using the value of $\Delta\mathrm{H}_{298} = -240$ kilocals. for $\mathrm{ThCl}_3(s)$ which gives the highest possible stability to the trivalent state, we calculate for the reaction $\mathrm{ThCl}_4(g) = \mathrm{ThCl}_3(g) +$

Cl (g) at 1100° K. that K = 10^{-12} by using the vapor pressures given by Brewer⁽⁵⁾. This calculation indicates that ThCl_4 vapor would not be appreciably dissociated even at very high temperatures although $\text{ThCl}_3(s)$ could be quite stable. It would require a strong reducing agent to obtain ThCl_3 from ThCl_4 . A similar calculation indicates that ThCl_3 should vaporize without appreciable disproportionation if $\Delta H_{298} = -240$ kilocalories is taken for $\text{ThCl}_3(s)$.

It should be rather simple to check the above predictions by heating ThCl₄ with thorium metal. If the calculations given above are reliable, one should obtain a reaction giving ThCl₃. Experiments of this type are in progress.

Brewer, Eromley, Gilles, and Lofgren have given values for the heats of formation of the neptunium ions and halides. The oxide system will be taken here as an example. By comparing the heat of formation of $\rm UO_2$ with $\rm UCl_4$ or aqueous $\rm U^{4+}$, and applying the differences to the heat of formation of $\rm NpCl_4$ or $\rm Np^{4+}$, one calculates $\rm \Delta H_{298} = -289^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 7 kilocalories for $\rm NpO_2$. In a similar manner, one can estimate $\rm \Delta H_{298} = -618^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 15 kilocalories for $\rm NpO_3$. Using these values, one calculates

that NpO2 and Np2O5 are both stable with respect to disproportionation or decomposition. For example at 1000°K., one calculates that the oxygen partial pressure over a mixture of NpO_2 and Np_2O_5 would be only about 10^{-8} atmospheres. However, one calculates that NpO3 would be unstable at all temperatures. In view of the uncertainties of the values given above, it is difficult to calculate how much NpO3 might dissolve in Np2O5, but it does not seem likely that one could obtain an oxide phase above NpO2.51. one would predict, that although Np205 could be prepared, one would not be able to prepare any solid solutions above Np₂0₅, e.g. Np₃0₈. Gruin and Katz⁽¹³⁾ have reported the preparation of $\mathrm{Np_{30}_{8}}$ or $\mathrm{Np_{60}_{17}}$. Details of the work are not available yet. It would be interesting to know if actual analyses were performed. Np205, Np308, and Np6017 would all give virtually the same X-ray pattern so they could be distinguished only by very careful and precise analyses. Although it is not possible to eliminate Np308 and Np6017 in view of the uncertainties of the estimated values, one would suggest that the preparation may have been Np205 instead of the reported compositions.

In addition to the oxides discussed above, NpO also probably exists, but not enough data are available to allow one to estimate its heat of formation.

In the cases of the estimates made above, we had in every case a heat of formation of some species at the desired oxidation state as a starting point for the calculations.

If no heats are known for any species at a given oxidation state, it is usually not possible to make any reasonable estimates of heats of formation. However, sometimes one can estimate the stability of one oxidation state relative to another even when absolute values of the heats of formation can not be estimated. Thus if the stability of Am4+ relative to Am 3+ were known, one could calculate the stability of the tetravalent halides and oxides relative to the trivalent compounds. Unfortunately no oxidation-reduction potentials or other data are available for the Am3+-Am4+ couple. However, in order to provide a very rough idea of the possible compounds of Am, one can extrapolate the potential for the 3-4 couple for the series U, Np, and Pu to a very rough value for Am. This gives us $\Delta H_{298} = 31$ kilocalories for $Am^{3+} + H^{+} = Am^{4+} + 1/2 H_2(g)$. A similar procedure may be carried out for the other oxidation states. Such a procedure allows one to predict that the trivalent chloride, bromide, iodide, and sulfide will be the highest oxidation state preparable in those systems. In the oxide system, one would predict that AmO2 would be preparable in addition to ${\rm Am_2O_3}.~{\rm In}$ the fluoride system, ${\rm AmF_4}$ and ${\rm AmF_3}$ should be preparable, but no higher states should exist. The stability of the tetravalent oxide and fluoride relative to the trivalent state may be judged qualitatively by comparison with cerium, which has a potential for the Ce^{3+} - Ce^{4+} couple which is close to that predicted for the Americium couple.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- (1) Brewer, Bromley, Gilles, and Lofgren, Declassified

 Atomic Energy Commission Report CT-3232, Sept. 1, 1945;

 Mo and W.
- (2) Brewer, Bromley, Gilles and Lofgren, Declassified Atomic Energy Commission Report BC-82, April 1, 1947; U.
- (3) Brewer, Bromley, Gilles, and Lofgren, Declassified Atomic Energy Commission Report BC-85, Sept. 19, 1947, Np.
- (4) Brewer, Bromley, Gilles, and Lofgren, BC-88, Oct. 10, 1947; Pu.
- (5) Brewer, Declassified Atomic Energy Commission Reports CC-2058, July 23, 1945, "Properties of Elements", and CC-3455, March 11, 1946, "Vaporization of Halides", and Brewer, Bromley, Gilles, and Lofgren, CC-3585, Oct. 15, 1945.
- (6) Westrum and Eyring, private communication from University of Michigan, Ann Arbor and University of California, Berkeley, 1948.
- (7) Bichowsky and Rossini, "The Thermochemistry of the Chemical Substances", Reinhold Publishing Co. (1936).
- (8) Brewer, Bromley, Gilles, and Lofgren, Declassified

 Atomic Energy Commission Report CC-3307, Oct. 29, 1945.
- (9) Brewer, Bromley, Gilles, and Lofgren, MB-LB-18-5, Oct. 15, 1945.
- (10) Brewer, Bromley, Gilles, and Lofgren, MB-LB-18-1, July 24, 1945.

- (11) Warf, ISC-1, May 27, 1947.
- (12) Kruss and Nilson, Z. physik. Chem., $\underline{1}$, 301(1887); Ber. $\underline{20}$, 1665(1887).
- (13) Gruin and Katz, ANL-4031.

ATOMIC ENERGY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

"This paper is based on work performed under Contract Number W-7405-eng-48B, with the Atomic Energy Commission in connection with the Radiation Laboratory of the University of California, Berkeley, California".



