

LA-UR- 08-6859

Approved for public release;
distribution is unlimited.

Title: Precipitation of radiation belt electrons by EMIC waves,
observed from ground and space

Author(s): V. K. Jordanova, LANL, ISR-1
(see attached for additional authors)

Intended for: Geophysical Research Letters



Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the Los Alamos National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC52-06NA25396. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

Precipitation of radiation belt electrons by EMIC waves, observed from ground and space

Y. Miyoshi (1), K. Sakaguchi (1), K. Shiokawa (1), D. Evans (2), J. Albert (3), M. Connors (4), and V. Jordanova (5)

- (1) Solar-Terrestrial Environment Laboratory, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan
- (2) NOAA/SWPC, Boulder, CO 80305, USA
- (3) AFRL, Hanscom Air Force Base, MA 01731-3010, USA
- (4) Centre for Science, Athabasca University, Alberta T9S 3A43, Canada
- (5) Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA.

Index term

2774: Radiation belts

2772: Plasma waves and instabilities

7867: Wave/particle interactions

2720: Energetic particles: trapped

Keywords

Outer radiation belt

EMIC

Wave-particle interactions

1 **Abstract.**

2

3 We show evidence that left-hand polarised electromagnetic ion cyclotron (EMIC) plasma waves can
4 cause the loss of relativistic electrons into the atmosphere. Our unique set of ground and satellite
5 observations shows coincident precipitation of ions with energies of tens of keV and of relativistic
6 electrons into an isolated proton aurora. The coincident precipitation was produced by wave-particle
7 interactions with EMIC waves near the plasmapause. The estimation of pitch angle diffusion
8 coefficients supports that the observed EMIC waves caused coincident precipitation of both ions and
9 relativistic electrons. This study clarifies that ions with energies of tens of keV affect the evolution
10 of relativistic electrons in the radiation belts via cyclotron resonance with EMIC waves, an effect
11 that was first theoretically predicted in the early 1970's.

12 **1. Introduction**

13

14 Populations of relativistic electrons in the radiation belts vary greatly with geomagnetic disturbance
15 [e.g., Reeves et al., 2003; Miyoshi and Kataoka, 2005] and they are a major source of damage to
16 space vehicles [e.g., Baker et al., 1987]. In order to know when and how much these populations of
17 relativistic electrons increase, it is important to elucidate not only the cause of acceleration of
18 relativistic electrons but also the cause of their loss from the radiation belts.

19

20 Several loss processes of relativistic electrons of the outer belt have been proposed [e.g; Millan and
21 Thorne, 2007]. Relativistic electrons precipitate into the earth's atmosphere through pitch angle
22 scattering caused by plasma waves, which is an important loss process in the outer belt. Whistler mode
23 waves are essential for both non-adiabatic acceleration of relativistic electrons and pitch angle
24 scattering [e.g., Lyons and Thorne, 1973; Li et al., 2007], and recent studies have shown that
25 acceleration caused by whistler mode waves is very important for the flux enhancements of
26 relativistic electrons in the outer belt [e.g., Horne, 2007; Miyoshi et al., 2003, 2007].

27

28 Left-hand polarized EMIC waves exist in the outer belt and usually precipitate ions with energies
29 of tens of keV [Cornwall et al., 1970; Jordanova et al., 2001], generating the proton aurora in the
30 upper atmosphere [Sakaguchi et al., 2007; Jordanova et al., 2007]. Resonance between EMIC waves
31 and moderately energetic electrons is not possible because of their opposite polarisations. Theory
32 suggests that EMIC waves can resonate with electrons when the energy of electrons becomes
33 sufficiently high for the electrons to be relativistic [Thorne and Kennel, 1971; Lyons and Thorne,
34 1972; Horne and Thorne, 1998]. It is predicted that precipitation of relativistic electrons takes place
35 near the dusk-side plasmapause, where EMIC waves are typically generated by ions with energies of
36 tens of keV [Cornwall et al., 1970; Erlandson and Ukhorskiy, 2001; Meredith et al., 2003; Jordanova
37 et al., 2008], and observations of MeV electron precipitation from ground and satellites have been
38 suggestive of electron scattering caused by EMIC waves [Lorentzen et al., 2000; Millan et al., 2002;
39 Sandanger et al., 2007]. However, it has not been possible thus far to confirm whether EMIC waves
40 actually cause electron precipitation on the basis of particle observations alone. We describe here
41 unique simultaneous ground and satellite observations of MeV electron precipitation caused by
42 EMIC waves.

43

44 **2. Observation**

45

46 At about 0500 UT on September 5, 2005, we observed an isolated proton aurora in the southern sky
47 at Athabasca, Canada, as shown in Figure 1a. Figure 1b shows an image of the proton aurora at the

48 wavelength of the H β (Hydrogen Balmer β) line obtained by averaging images captured from 0430
49 to 0500 UT with an all-sky cooled CCD imager at Athabasca [Shiokawa et al., 1999]. The stable
50 isolated proton auroral arc, shown by the red rectangle, was observed just south of the zenith. The
51 latitudinal width of the proton aurora was \sim 1 deg.

52

53 Simultaneously, we recorded magnetic pulsations with an induction magnetometer at Athabasca.
54 The pulsations were observed continuously from 0230 to 0800 UT, during the recovery phase of a
55 moderate geomagnetic storm. The sinusoidal nature of the pulsations is clear from Figure 2a, and
56 results of a spectrum analysis shown in Figure 2b indicate that pulsations in the frequency range of
57 0.5-0.9 Hz are dominant. The three vertical lines in the figure indicate hydrogen, helium, and oxygen
58 gyro-frequencies calculated from a geomagnetic field model [Tsyganenko, 2002a, b] for the
59 geomagnetic equator along the magnetic field line of the observed proton aurora. The dominant
60 frequency of the observed pulsations is between the helium and oxygen gyro-frequencies at the
61 geomagnetic equator, indicating that the pulsations are helium-band EMIC waves that have
62 propagated from the geomagnetic equator. Related observations [Sakaguchi et al., 2007, 2008] have
63 shown the expected link between isolated proton auroras, pitch angle scattering of ions caused by
64 EMIC waves, and resulting ion precipitation, which are consistent with previous studies[Sørras et al.,
65 1980; Yahnina et al., 2000, 2003].

66

67 A unique opportunity to identify other precipitating particles and extend such observations to
68 include high-energy electrons was realised when the low-altitude POES-17 (formally NOAA-17)
69 satellite passed over Athabasca during this event. The dashed line of Figure 1b shows the POES-17
70 footprint trajectory during the overpass, mapped from satellite altitude of 800 km to the ionosphere
71 along the magnetic field line, and transiting the eastern part of the proton arc. The POES satellite
72 measures ions in six energy bands from 30 keV to more than 6900 keV, as well as electrons in three
73 integrated energy ranges of >30 keV, >100 keV, and >300 keV. Separate particle detector telescopes
74 observe locally mirroring particles returning to the magnetosphere via the magnetic mirror force, as
75 well as particles precipitating into the atmosphere [Evans and Greer, 2000].

76

77 Figure 3 shows the precipitating (red) and trapped (black) ion and electron count rates observed by
78 the POES-17 satellite over Athabasca together with the emission profile of H β (Figure 3a), with the
79 POES footprint shown in the label. The black line in Figure 3b indicates the 30-80 keV ion count
80 rates obtained from the telescope viewing the near locally mirroring particles along the satellite
81 trajectory. Trapped ions of 30-80 keV were distributed over a wide magnetic latitude (MLAT) range
82 from 50 deg to 70 deg, and high count rates of over 1000/s were seen in a MLAT range of 59 deg to
83 62 deg. The precipitation of 30-80 keV ions (red line in Figure 3b) showed an isolated peak from

84 04:58:35 UT to 04:58:50 UT when the satellite footprint was just crossing the proton aurora, as
85 shown in Figure 3a. The latitudinal width of the ion precipitation region was less than 1 deg, which
86 is consistent with the proton aurora observations. As reported previously [Sakaguchi et al., 2007,
87 2008], isolated proton auroras observed at Athabasca usually show very good temporal
88 correspondence with the appearance and disappearance of EMIC waves. Moreover, the
89 gyro-frequencies estimated at the geomagnetic equator along the magnetic field line of the proton
90 aurora agree well with the observed EMIC frequencies. It is therefore concluded that the observed
91 EMIC waves scattered energetic ions into the atmosphere to cause the proton aurora. Similar ion
92 precipitation was seen in the 80-240 keV energy range but not at energies higher than 240 keV. The
93 fluxes of both trapped and precipitating protons above 240 keV were too small to be observable [see
94 Figure 3 of Sakaguchi et al., 2007].

95

96 The POES-17 footprint was conjugate to the outer belt during the event, as shown by the locally
97 mirroring electrons with energies between 300 keV and a couple of MeV (black line in Figure 3c).
98 No significant precipitation of electrons below a couple of MeV (red line in Figure 3c) was found at
99 04:58-04:59 UT when EMIC waves were observed associated with the proton precipitation. Absence
100 of precipitation was also observed for lower energy electrons of 30-100 keV and 100-300 keV, while
101 significant fluxes of trapped electrons existed at those energy ranges [see Figure 3 of Sakaguchi et
102 al., 2007].

103

104 Although the POES-17 satellite does not have sensors designed specifically to observe MeV
105 electrons, the ion telescopes respond to relativistic electrons. A case of significant count rates in the
106 nominal >6900 keV proton energy channel of the ion telescopes but no response in the 2400-6900
107 keV proton energy channel would represent an unphysical proton energy spectrum, and a detector
108 response of that character is interpreted as being due to >800 keV electrons. In addition, an ion
109 sensor designed to monitor >16 MeV protons over a wide acceptance angle will respond to electrons
110 of >3 MeV that precipitate into the atmosphere and can provide both confirmation of the inferred
111 presence of >800 keV electron precipitation and an indication of the presence of even higher energy
112 electrons.

113

114 The inferred presence of >800 keV electrons showed weak precipitation of >800 keV electrons (red
115 line in Figure 3d) between 04:58 UT and 04:59 UT, concurrently with the 30-80 keV ion
116 precipitations in Figure 3b. The count rates of precipitating electrons are less than 10% that of the
117 trapped electrons (black line in Figure 3d). At the same time, we also found precipitation of >3 MeV
118 electrons (Figure 3e) at rates about 100 times the background levels, and more intense than
119 precipitation of >800 keV electrons because of the larger acceptance angle of that detector. The

120 latitudinal width of the electron precipitations is about 2 deg, which is slightly wider than that of ion
121 precipitations. Since the proton aurora shown in Figure 3a is a manifestation of the flux tube where
122 EMIC-ion interaction is occurring, precipitation of relativistic electrons into the proton aurora was
123 the result of EMIC-electron interactions.

124

125

126 **3. Summary and Discussion**

127

128 The observations from ground and the satellite identified coincident precipitation of both tens of
129 keV ions and MeV electrons associated with the helium-band EMIC waves. The observation of the
130 proton aurora identified the flux tube in which EMIC-ions interactions actually occurred. It is
131 noteworthy to note that during this event the DMSP satellite identified the plasmapause location
132 conjugate point of Athabasca in the southern hemisphere [Sakaguchi et al., 2007]. Therefore, the
133 coincident precipitation of ions and electrons observed by POES in the northern hemisphere
134 occurred near the plasmapause. These aspects of the observations are consistent with theoretical
135 suggestions of the early 1970's [Thorne and Kennel, 1971; Lyons and Thorne, 1972].

136

137 Energy-dependent scattering of relativistic electrons has been predicted theoretically: only
138 high-energy electrons precipitate if EMIC waves resonate with electrons and cause pitch angle
139 scattering [Summers and Thorne, 2003; Albert, 2003]. In order to confirm that the observations
140 actually indicated EMIC-electron interactions, we calculated the pitch angle scattering coefficients
141 for both ions and electrons using the quasi-linear approach [Albert, 2003]. A wave spectrum with a
142 wave power peak and band width obtained from observation (Figure 2), and a typical EMIC wave
143 amplitude of 1 nT at the geomagnetic equator, were assumed [Summers and Thorne, 2003; Albert,
144 2003]. The resonance conditions are largely influenced by the ambient plasma density, magnetic
145 field intensity, and ion compositions. Two values of equatorial plasma density, 10 cm^{-3} and 100 cm^{-3} ,
146 were assumed for the calculations since these values are typical for regions near the plasmapause.
147 The magnetic field intensity was calculated using an empirical model [Tsyganenko, 2002a, b].
148 Relevant thermal ion compositions of 70%H⁺, 20%He⁺, and 10%O⁺ were assumed for the magnetic
149 active period [Loto'ani et al., 2006].

150

151 Figure 4 shows the energy dependence of the pitch angle diffusion coefficients near the loss cone
152 for protons (red) and electrons (blue). In both the 10 cm^{-3} and 100 cm^{-3} cases, the observed EMIC waves
153 can resonate with electrons of $>3 \text{ MeV}$. Electrons of $>800 \text{ keV}$ can also resonate with the observed
154 EMIC waves when the thermal plasma density is 100 cm^{-3} , but low-energy electrons of 30-100 keV
155 are not able to do so, which is consistent with the POES observations. The scattering rate of 800 keV

156 electrons is much smaller than that of 3 MeV electrons. Also, in both cases the observed EMIC
157 waves can resonate with ions with energies from tens of keV to MeV, and the pitch angle diffusion
158 coefficient at lower proton energies is larger than that at higher energies. Again, this is consistent
159 with the POES observations for ions.

160

161 One may expect that the latitudinal width of ion precipitations should be the same as that of
162 electron precipitations if EMIC waves cause a coincident precipitation of ions and electrons, while
163 Figure 3 shows that the electron precipitation region was different from that of ions. The recent
164 RAM-simulation for the inner magnetosphere [Jordanova et al., 2008], in which the generation of
165 EMIC waves and pitch angle scattering was calculated for both ions and electrons in a
166 self-consistent manner, indicated that spatial distributions of electron and ion precipitations can
167 differ from each other even if the same EMIC waves cause the pitch angle scattering. Thus, based on
168 our simultaneous ground and satellite observations and theoretical calculations, we conclude that the
169 observed EMIC waves caused precipitation of relativistic electrons in the outer belt into the proton
170 aurora.

171

172 Theory suggests that the pitch angle scattering of high-energy electrons by EMIC waves is much
173 faster than that by whistler mode waves [Albert, 2003; Li et al., 2007], meaning that EMIC waves
174 would be important for rapid loss of relativistic electrons from the outer belt. Further statistical
175 studies are necessary to see how much EMIC waves contribute the net loss of the outer belt electrons.
176 Since EMIC waves are generated from a plasma instability involving ions with energies of tens of
177 keV, the pitch angle scattering of relativistic electrons by EMIC waves indicates that such ions drive
178 the dynamics of relativistic outer belt electrons through cyclotron resonance.

179 **Acknowledgements.**

180 The POES particle data are provided from the NGDC. This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid
181 for Scientific Research (16403007, 19403010, 20740283, and 20244080) from the Ministry of
182 Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan, and the GEMSIS, STEL, Nagoya
183 University.

184

185 **References.**

186

187 Albert, J. M. (2003), Evaluation of quasi-linear diffusion coefficients for EMIC waves in a
188 multi-species plasma, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **108**, 1249, doi:10.1029/2002JA009792.

189 Baker, D. N., R. Belian, P. R. Higbie, R. W. Klebesadel, and J. B. Blake (1987), Deep dielectric
190 charging effects due to high energy electrons in the Earth's outer magnetosphere, *J. Electrost.*, **20**,
191 3-19.

192 Cornwall, J. M., F. V. Coroniti, and R. M. Thorne(1970), Turbulent loss of ring current protons, *J.*
193 *Geophys. Res.*, **75**, 4699.

194 Erlandson, R. E., and A. J. Ukhorskiy (2001), Observations of electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves
195 during geomagnetic storms: Wave occurrence and pitch angle scattering, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
196 **106**(A3), 3883–3896, doi:10.1029/2000JA000083.

197 Evans, D. S., and M. S. Greer (2000), Polar orbiting environmental satellite space environment
198 monitor: 2. Instrument description and archive data documentation, *Tech. Memo. OAR SEC-93*,
199 NOAA, Boulder, CO..

200 Horne, R., and R. M. Thorne (1998), Potential waves for relativistic electron scattering and stochastic
201 acceleration during magnetic storms, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **25**, 3011-3014.

202 Horne, R.(2007), Acceleration of killer electrons, *Nature*, 590-591.

203 Jordanova, V. K. et al.(2001), Modeling ring current proton precipitation by electromagnetic ion
204 cyclotron waves during the May 14-16, 1997, storm, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106**, 7,

205 Jordanova, V. K., M. Spasojevic, and M. F. Thomsen (2007), Modeling the EMIC wave-induced
206 formation of detached subauroral proton arcs, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, A08209,
207 doi:10.1029/2006JA012215.

208 Jordanova, V., J. Albert, and Y. Miyoshi (2008), Relativistic electron precipitation by EMIC waves
209 from self-consistent global simulation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2008JA013490, in press.

210 Li W., Y. Y. Shprits, and R. M. Thorne (2007), Dynamic evolution of energetic outer zone electrons
211 due to wave-particle interactions during storms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, A10220,
212 doi:10.1029/2007JA012368.

213 Lorentzen, K. R. et al. (2000), Precipitation of relativistic electrons by interaction with
214 electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **105**, 5381-5389.

215 Loto'aniu, T. M., R. M. Thorne, B. J. Fraser, and D. Summers (2006), Estimating relativistic electron
216 pitch angle scattering rates using properties of the electromagnetic ion cyclotron wave spectrum, *J.*
217 *Geophys. Res.*, **111**, A04220, doi:10.1029/2005JA011452.

218 Lyons, L. R., and R. M. Thorne (1972), Parasitic pitch angle diffusion of radiation belt particles by
219 ions cyclotron waves, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **77**, 5608-5617.

220 Lyons, L. R., and R. M. Thorne (1973), Equilibrium structure of radiation belt electrons, *J. Geophys.*
221 *Res.*, **78**, 2142-2149.

222 Meredith, N. P. et al. (2003), Statistical analysis of relativistic electron energies for cyclotron
223 resonance with EMIC waves observed on CRRES, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **108**(A6), 1250,
224 doi:10.1029/2002JA009700.

225 Millan R. M., R. P. Lin, D. M. Smith, K. R. Lorentzen, and M. P. McCarthy (2002), X-ray
226 observations of MeV electron precipitation with a balloon-borne germanium spectrometer,
227 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **29** (24), 2194, doi:10.1029/2002GL015922.

228 Millan, R. M., and R. M. Thorne (2007), Review of radiation belt relativistic electron losses, *J. Atm.*
229 *Solar-Terr. Phys.*, **69**, 362-377.

230 Miyoshi, Y. et al. (2003), Rebuilding process of the outer radiation belt during the November 3, 1993,
231 magnetic storm - NOAA and EXOS-D observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **108**, 1004,
232 doi:10.1029/2001JA007542.

233 Miyoshi, Y., and R. Kataoka (2005), Ring current ions and radiation belt electrons during
234 geomagnetic storms driven by coronal mass ejections and corotating interaction regions, *Geophys.*
235 *Res. Lett.*, **32**, L21105, doi:10.1029/2005GL024590.

236 Miyoshi Y., A. Morioka, R. Kataoka, Y. Kasahara, and T. Mukai (2007), Evolution of the outer
237 radiation belt during the November 1993 storms driven by corotating interaction regions, *J.*
238 *Geophys. Res.*, **112**, A05210, doi:10.1029/2006JA012148.

239 Reeves, G. D., K. L. McAdams, R. H. W. Friedel, and T. P. O'Brien (2003), Acceleration and loss of
240 relativistic electrons during geomagnetic storms. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **30**,
241 doi:10.1029/2002GL016513.

242 Sakaguchi, K. et al. (2007), Simultaneous ground and satellite observations of an isolated proton arc
243 at subauroral latitudes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, A04202, doi:10.1029/2006JA012135.

244 Sakaguchi, K. et al. (2008) Simultaneous appearance of isolated auroral arcs and Pc 1 geomagnetic
245 pulsations at subauroral latitudes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**, A05201, doi:10.1029/2007JA012888.

246 Sandanger, M., F. Soraas, K. Aarsnes, K. Oksavik, and D. S. Evans (2007), Loss of relativistic
247 electrons: Evidence for pitch angle scattering by electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves excited by
248 unstable ring current protons, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, A12213, doi:10.1029/2006JA012138.

249 Shiokawa, K. et al. (1999), Development of optical mesosphere thermosphere imagers (OMTI), *Earth*
250 *Planets Space*, **51**, 887.

251 Søraas, F., J. A. Lundblad, N. F. Maltseva, V. Troitskaya, and V. Selivanov (1980), A
252 comparison between simultaneous I.P.D.P. groundbased observations and observations of
253 energetic protons obtained by satellites, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **28**, 387-405.

254 Summers, D., and R. M. Thorne (2003), Relativistic electron pitch angle scattering by
255 electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves during geomagnetic storms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **108**, 1143,
256 doi:10.1029/2002JA009489.

257 Thorne, R. M., and C. F. Kennel (1971), Relativistic electron precipitation during magnetic storm
258 phase, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **76**, 446.

259 Tsyganenko, N. A. (2002a), A model of the near magnetosphere with a dawn-dusk asymmetry - 1.
260 Mathematical structure, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **107**, A8, 10.1029/2001JA000219.

261 Tsyganenko, N. A. (2002b), A model of the near magnetosphere with a dawn-dusk asymmetry 2.
262 Parameterization and fitting to observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **107**, A8, 1176,
263 doi:10.1029/2001JA000220.

264 Yahnina, T. A., A. G. Yahnin, J. Kangas, and J. Manninen (2000), Proton precipitation related to
265 P_c1 pulsations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **27** (21), 3575.

266 Yahnina, T. A., et al. (2003), Energetic particle counterparts for geomagnetic pulsations of P_c1
267 and IPDP types, *Ann. Geophys.*, **21**, 2281.

268

269 **Figure caption.**

270

271 **Figure 1.**

272 (a). Photograph of the isolated proton auroral arc in the southern sky of Athabasca, Canada, taken on
273 September 5, 2005, and the Athabasca observatory building with two all-sky camera domes on the
274 roof. (b). An auroral image at a wavelength of H β line obtained by averaging images captured from
275 0430 to 0500 UT. The arc-like structure perpendicular to that arc is the Milky Way, as can be seen in
276 Figure 1a. The dashed line shows the trajectory of the POES-17 footprints that are mapped onto the
277 ionospheric altitude of 120 km. The rectangle highlights the location of the isolated proton aurora.

278

279 **Figure 2.**

280 (a). Waveform of EMIC waves during the event. (b). Power spectral density of EMIC waves. Three
281 vertical lines in the panel indicate gyro-frequencies at the magnetic equator for hydrogen, helium, and
282 oxygen, respectively.

283

284 **Figure 3.**

285 Emission profile of H β (a), and count rates of energetic ions and electrons observed by POES-17 (b-e)
286 on September 5, 2005 with UT, MLAT, the McIlwain L-value, and magnetic local time (MLT) of the
287 satellite footprint during the period when the satellite was crossing over Athabasca. The vertical blue
288 line indicates the time when the satellite footprint crossed the stable isolated proton aurora. MLAT
289 and L-value are calculated by the IGRF model.

290

291 **Figure 4.**

292 Pitch angle scattering rate of ions (red lines) and electrons (blue lines) by EMIC waves indicated
293 by left and right axes, respectively. Solid and dotted lines correspond to the cases of thermal
294 densities of 100 cm $^{-3}$ and 10 cm $^{-3}$, respectively.







