

**NUREG-0940
Vol. 14, No. 2, Part 1
Individual Actions**

Enforcement Actions: Significant Actions Resolved Individual Actions

**Quarterly Progress Report
April – June 1995**

**Manuscript Completed: August 1995
Date Published: August 1995**

**Office of Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001**



MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

JG

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

ABSTRACT

This compilation summarizes significant enforcement actions that have been resolved during one quarterly period (April - June 1995) and includes copies of Orders sent by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to individuals with respect to these enforcement actions. It is anticipated that the information in this publication will be widely disseminated to managers and employees engaged in activities licensed by the NRC. The Commission believes this information may be useful to licensees in making employment decisions.

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT.....	iii
INTRODUCTION.....	1
SUMMARIES.....	3

INDIVIDUAL ACTIONS (* cases printed in previous volumes)

* Paul A. Bauman IA 94-020.....	1
* Michael J. Berna IA 94-032.....	13
* Jerome E. Bodian, M.D. IA 94-023.....	21
* John W. Boomer IA 94-015.....	31
* Robert C. Dailey IA 94-003.....	40
* Jeffrey DeArmond IA 94-033.....	55
* Richard J. Gardecki IA 93-001.....	63
* William K. Headley IA 94-002.....	73
William Kimbley, Joan Kimbley IAs 95-015 and 95-016.....	82
* William F. Kusmik IA 94-022.....	90
* Larry S. Ladner IA 94-019.....	103
* Stephen Mignotte IA 94-014.....	113
* Sean G. Miller IA 94-008.....	127
* Thomas A. Nisbet IA 94-031.....	139

CONTENTS (Continued)

* Richard E. Odegard IA 94-018.....	149
* Douglas D. Preston IA 94-004.....	159
* Forrest L. Roudebush IA 95-03.....	168
* George D. Shepherd IA 93-002.....	179
* Guillermo Velasquez, M.D. IA 94-013.....	188
* David Tang Wee IA 94-006.....	197
* Rex Allen Werts IA 94-035.....	210

ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS: SIGNIFICANT ACTIONS RESOLVED
INDIVIDUAL ACTIONS


April - June 1995

INTRODUCTION

This issue and Part of NUREG-0940 is being published to inform all Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensees about significant enforcement actions taken against individuals for the second quarter of 1995. Enforcement actions are issued by the Deputy Executive Director for Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Regional Operation and Research (DER), the Deputy Executive Director for Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards, and Operations (DEDS) and the Regional Administrators. The Director, Office of Enforcement, may act for the DER and DEDS or as directed.

In promulgating the regulations concerning deliberate misconduct by unlicensed persons (55 FR 40664, August 15, 1991), the Commission directed that a list of all persons who are currently the subject of an order restricting their employment in licensed activities be made available with copies of the Orders. These enforcement actions will be included for each person as long as the actions remain effective. The Commission believes this information may be useful to licensees in making employment decisions.

The NRC publishes significant enforcement actions involving reactor and materials licensees as Parts II and III of NUREG-0940, respectively.



SUMMARIES

INDIVIDUAL ACTIONS

Paul A. Bauman IA 94-020

An Order Requiring Notification to NRC Prior to Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued August 26, 1994 to the above individual. The action was based on the failure to train and certify personnel, creation of false records, and providing false information to the NRC. The Order requires for a period of three years that the individual provide notice to the NRC of his acceptance of each employment offer in NRC-licensed activities.

Michael J. Berna IA 94-032

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued November 15, 1994 to the above individual. The Order was based on inspection and investigation findings which concluded that the individual deliberately violated 10 CFR 30.10 by failing to perform field audits of radiographers, creating false audit records, and requesting others to create false records. The Order removes the individual from NRC-licensed activities for a period of three years. In addition, the individual is to notify the NRC the first time that he engages in licensed activities following the prohibition period.

Jerome E. Bodian, M.D. IA 94-023

A Confirmatory Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued September 8, 1994 to the above individual. The action was based on an inspection and investigation which concluded that the individual deliberately violated 10 CFR 35.53 by failing to measure the activity of radiopharmaceuticals prior to medical use and 10 CFR 30.10 by deliberately providing inaccurate information to the NRC. The Order prohibits the individual from engaging in NRC-licensed activities for a period of five years. In addition, the individual shall provide notice to NRC the first time following the prohibition that he engages in NRC-licensed activities.

John W. Boomer IA 94-015

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued July 14, 1994 to the above individual. The Order was based on investigation findings which concluded that the individual deliberately violated 10 CFR 35.70(e) and 10 CFR 30.10 while he was President of Chesapeake Imaging Center, Chesapeake, West Virginia, by failing to conduct weekly surveys for removable contamination. The Order prohibits the individual from engaging in NRC-licensed activities for a period of three years. In addition, for that same period he shall provide a copy of the Order to any prospective employer engaged in NRC-licensed activities, provide notice to NRC the first time following the prohibition that he engages in NRC-licensed activities, and cease activities if he is currently involved in NRC-licensed activities.

Robert C. Dailey IA 94-003

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in Certain NRC-Licensed or Regulated Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued March 22, 1994 to the above individual who was employed by NSSI. The Order would have prohibited the individual from participating in NRC-Licensed or regulated activities for a period of five years. The individual asked for a hearing and a settlement agreement was entered into on August 10, 1994 between NSSI, Mr. Dailey, and the NRC. According to the agreement, Mr. Dailey is prohibited from conducting security screening or fitness for duty activities until March 22, 1996. NSSI agrees that, if contacted by another person or company considering employing the individual, it will advise that person or company of the existence of the agreement and will provide them a copy of the Settlement Agreement.

Jeffrey DeArmond IA 94-033

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued November 15, 1994 to the above individual. The Order was based on investigation findings that showed that the above individual created false records at the request of the radiation safety officer. The Order removes the individual from NRC-licensed activities for a period of one year. In addition, the first time following the prohibition that the individual engages in NRC-licensed activities, the individual is to notify the NRC.

Richard J. Gardecki IA 93-001

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in Certain NRC-Licensed Activities was issued May 4, 1993 to the above individual. The Order was based on the deliberate submittal of false information to former employers to obtain employment in licensed activities and to NRC investigators. The Order prohibits the individual, for a period of five years, from being named on an NRC license as a Radiation Safety Officer or supervising licensed activities for an NRC licensee or an Agreement State licensee while conducting activities within NRC jurisdiction. It also requires for the same period notice by copy of the Order to prospective employers engaged in licensed activities and notice to the NRC on acceptance of employment in licensed activities.

William K. Headley IA 94-002

An Order Requiring Notice to Certain Employers and Prospective Employers and Notification to NRC of Certain Employment in NRC-Licensed Activities was issued March 14, 1994 to the above individual. The Order was based on the individual's deliberate actions in failing to make daily and weekly radiation surveys in the nuclear medicine department where he is employed and falsifying NRC-required records to make it appear that the surveys had, in fact, been performed. The violations continued over a period of approximately two and a half years. The Order requires that the individual notify the NRC, for a period of two years, if he is currently employed or accepts employment involving NRC-licensed activities with any employer other than the licensee where the violations occurred and that he provide a copy of the Order to such employers and prospective employers.

William Kimbley IA 95-016
Ms. Joan Kimbley IA 95-015

A Confirmatory Order was issued June 12, 1995 based on an investigation which concluded that Midwest Testing, Inc., through its president, deliberately violated NRC requirements by: (1) allowing operators to use moisture density gauges without personnel monitoring devices, (2) not performing leak tests of two moisture density gauges, (3) not requesting a license amendment to name a new Radiation Protection Officer, (4) storing licensed material at an unauthorized location, and (5) allowing moisture density gauges to be used with an expired license. The investigation also concluded that the licensee's General Manager/Treasurer (the wife of the licensee's president) deliberately violated items (1), (2), and (5) above. The Order prohibits both the president and the General Manager/Treasurer, as well as Midwest Testing, Inc. and any successor entity, from applying to the NRC for a license and from engaging in, or controlling, any NRC-licensed activity for a period of five years.

William F. Kusmik IA 94-022

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued September 7, 1994 to the above individual. The Order was based on the individual providing false or misleading information to the NRC in a letter in response to a Notice of Violation and directing an employee to fabricate false records of NRC-required wipe tests. The Order (1) prohibits the individual from being an authorized user and from acting as an RSO for a period of one year (however, he is permitted to perform NRC-licensed activities under the direct supervision of an authorized user), (2) requires the individual, for a period of three years to notify the NRC within 20 days of the acceptance of an employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities.

Larry S. Ladner IA 94-019

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued August 26, 1994 to the above individual. The action was based on the individual's failure to supervise radiographer's assistants performing licensed activities, falsifying a large number of quarterly personnel audits and providing false information to NRC officials. The Order prohibits the individual from engaging in NRC-licensed activities for a period of three years and for a two year period after the prohibition has expired, requires him to provide notice to the NRC when he will be involved in NRC-licensed activities.

Stephen Mignotte IA 94-014

A Notice of Violation and Order Prohibiting Involvement in 10 CFR Part 55 Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued June 28, 1994 to the above individual. The actions are based on the individual performing licensed duties while under the influence of illegal drugs and submitting a false urine sample under the reactor licensee's fitness-for-duty program. The Order prohibits the individual from

serving as licensed reactor operator for a period of three years from the date of the Order, and for the same period of time, requires that he notify prospective employers involved in NRC-licensed activities of the existence of the Order.

Sean G. Miller

IA 94-008

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued April 21, 1994 to the above individual. The Order was based on an action taken by the individual during and following a rod mispositioning event at Dresden on September 18, 1992, while he was employed as the Qualified Nuclear Engineer at the Dresden Nuclear Station. The individual's actions included an attempt to conceal the occurrence of the event. The Order prohibits the individual for three years from the date of the Order from engaging in activities licensed by the NRC. After the three year prohibition the individual shall provide notice to the NRC of acceptance of any employment in NRC-licensed activity for an additional two year period.

Thomas A. Nisbet

IA 94-031

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued October 31, 1994 to the above individual. The Order was based on inspection and investigation findings which concluded that the individual deliberately violated NRC requirements by failing to properly supervise a radiographer's assistant and by providing false information to a licensee. The Order prohibits the individual from engaging in NRC-licensed activities for a period of one year. In addition, the first time following the prohibition that the individual engages in NRC-licensed activities, the individual is to notify the NRC.

Richard E. Odegard

IA 94-018

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued August 26, 1994 to the above individual. The action was based on the individual providing false testimony to the NRC, and deliberately failing to train and certify employees in radiation safety as required by the license conditions. The Order prohibits the individual from engaging in NRC-licensed activities for a period of five years and after the prohibition has expired requires him to provide notice to the NRC of acceptance of any employment in NRC-licensed activity for an additional five year period.

Douglas D. Preston

IA 94-004

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued April 5, 1994 to the above individual. The action was based on the individual's falsification of information on his application for unescorted access to the licensee's Duane Arnold Energy Center. When interviewed by the investigators, the individual admitted that he had falsified his criminal history and indicated he would do so again. The Order prohibits the individual from involvement in licensed activities for a period of five years.

Forrest L. Roudebush

IA 95-013

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities and Requiring Certain Notification to NRC was issued March 3, 1995 to the above individual. The action was based on investigations that found that the individual was responsible for deliberate violations of NRC requirements, including providing inaccurate information to NRC inspectors and investigators, and that he was untruthful in his testimony before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. The Order prohibits the individual from becoming involved in licensed activities for a period of five years from the date that the NRC staff issued an immediately effective Order suspending the license of the company (October 17, 1991). After the five year prohibition the individual shall provide notice to the NRC of acceptance of any employment in NRC-licensed activity for an additional five year period.

George D. Shepherd

IA 93-002

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in Certain NRC-Licensed Activities was issued October 27, 1993 to the above individual. The Order was based on the individual deliberately failing to wear an alarm ratemeter, failing to post boundaries, and failing to perform radiation surveys of the exposure device and guide tube during the performance of radiographic operations on July 1, 1992. The Order prohibits the individual for a period of two years from performing, supervising, or engaging in any way in licensed activities under an NRC license, or an Agreement State license when activities under that license are conducted in areas of NRC jurisdiction. For a period of two years after the prohibition the individual shall be required to notify the NRC of his employment by any person engaged in licensed activities under an NRC or Agreement State license, so that appropriate inspections can be performed. During that same period the individual is also required to provide a copy of the Order to any person employing him and who holds an NRC license or an Agreement State license and performs licensed activities in NRC jurisdiction.

Guillermo Velasquez, M.D. IA 94-013

A Confirmatory Order was issued June 3, 1994 to the above individual. The action was based on the individual's deliberate use of a Sr-90 eye applicator after his license had expired and providing false information to the NRC. The Order prohibits the individual's participation in licensed activities for a period of three years and requires the individual to notify the NRC the first time he engages in licensed activities after the prohibition period has ended.

David Tang Wee

IA 94-006

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) was issued April 21, 1994 to the above individual. The Order was based on an action taken by the individual during and following a rod mispositioning event at Dresden on September 18, 1992, while he was employed as the Station Control Room Engineer at the Dresden Nuclear Station. The individual's actions included an attempt

to conceal the occurrence of the event. The Order prohibits the individual for three years from the date of the Order from engaging in activities licensed by the NRC. After the three year prohibition the individual shall provide notice to the NRC of acceptance of any employment in NRC-licensed activity for an additional two year period.

Rex Allen Werts

IA 94-035

An Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities and Unescorted Access (Effective Immediately) was issued December 12, 1994 to the above individual. The action was based on an investigation that concluded that the above individual had deliberately falsified his identity to gain employment and unescorted access to the Brunswick facility. The Order prohibits the individual from engaging in NRC-licensed activities and from gaining unescorted access to protected and vital areas of NRC-licensed facilities for a period of three years. After the three year prohibition the individual shall provide notice to the NRC of any employment in NRC-licensed activity for an additional five year period.

INDIVIDUAL ACTIONS



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

AUG 26 1994

IA 94-020

Mr. Paul A. Bauman
(HOME ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 10 CFR 2.790)

Dear Mr. Bauman

SUBJECT: ORDER REQUIRING NOTIFICATION TO NRC PRIOR TO INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

The enclosed Order Requiring Notification of Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) is being issued as a consequence of your actions while employed by the American Inspection Company, Inc., (AMSPEC) between late 1989 and March 1, 1992. The NRC Office of Investigations (OI) conducted an investigation and concluded that you deliberately: (1) falsified employee training records of numerous radiography employees of AMSPEC; (2) failed to train numerous radiography employees of AMSPEC; (3) provided examinees with answers to examination questions and personally aided and assisted employees in order to achieve required test scores; (4) provided false information to the Commission regarding the qualification of AMSPEC employees in an NRC license amendment application; (5) falsified records of quarterly personnel radiation safety audits; and (6) submitted false information regarding the training and qualification of two individuals to the Commission in an application for an NRC license renewal. As detailed in the enclosed Order, your actions caused AMSPEC to be in violation of 10 CFR 30.9, 34.11, and 34.31 of the Commission's requirements.

Your assistance to the United States Attorney in his development of cases against others is appreciated. As a result, we are not prohibiting you from working in NRC-licensed activities. However, we believe that it is appropriate that the NRC be notified when you become involved in NRC licensed activities. Therefore, the enclosed order is being issued to you. Failure to comply with the provisions of this Order may result in civil or criminal sanctions.

Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who may be reached at (301) 504-2741.

Paul A. Bauman

2

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and the enclosure will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,

James Lieberman
James Lieberman, Director
Office of Enforcement

Enclosures:

1. Order
2. Synopsis

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Paul A. Bauman

)
)
)

IA 94-020

ORDER REQUIRING NOTIFICATION PRIOR TO
INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Paul A. Bauman has been employed in the field of industrial radiography since approximately 1981. In April 1987, Mr. Bauman was hired by the American Inspection Company, Inc., (Licensee or AMSPEC). AMSPEC held Materials License No. 12-24801-01 (License) issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 34. This license authorized the conduct of industrial radiography activities in accordance with specified conditions. On April 30, 1992, the License was suspended as a result of significant safety violations and related safety concerns. Mr. Bauman was a Vice President and Radiation Protection Officer of AMSPEC when a majority of the violations discussed below occurred.

II

Between August 22, 1991 and November 12, 1992, the NRC Office of Investigations conducted an investigation of licensed activities at AMSPEC. During the course of this investigation, the License was suspended because a significant number of safety violations were uncovered. In addition, the investigation revealed that Mr. Bauman, in his capacity as a Vice President and Radiation Protection Officer of AMSPEC, deliberately: (1) falsified employee training records of numerous radiography employees of AMSPEC; (2) failed to train and certify numerous radiography employees of AMSPEC; (3)

provided examinees answers to examination questions and personally aided and assisted employees in order to achieve required test scores; (4) provided, with co-conspirator Daniel McCool, false information to the Commission regarding the qualification of AMSPEC employees in an NRC license amendment application; (5) falsified records of quarterly personnel radiation safety audits; and (6) submitted false information regarding the training and qualification of two individuals to the Commission in an application for an NRC license renewal.

10 CFR 34.31(a) provides that a licensee shall not permit any individual to act as a radiographer until such individual: (1) has been instructed in the subjects outlined in Appendix A of 10 CFR Part 34; (2) has received copies of and instruction in NRC regulations contained in 10 CFR Part 34 and in the applicable sections of 10 CFR Parts 19 and 20, NRC license(s) under which the radiographer will perform radiography, and the licensee's operating and emergency procedures; (3) has demonstrated competence to use the licensee's radiographic exposure devices, sealed sources, related handling tools, and survey instruments; and (4) has demonstrated understanding of the instructions in this paragraph by successful completion of a written test and field examination on the subjects covered. AMSPEC submitted a Radiation Safety Manual as a part of its license application dated September 20, 1986. A part of this manual prescribes the licensee's employee training program to satisfy the requirements of Appendix A of 10 CFR Part 34. This manual was incorporated as a part of License Condition 17 of the AMSPEC license. In addition, 10 CFR 34.11(d)(1) requires, in part, that an applicant have an inspection program that includes the observation of the performance of each radiographer and radiographer's assistant during an actual radiographic

operation at intervals not to exceed three months. AMSPEC had an approved audit program that was incorporated as part of License Condition 17 to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 34.11(d)(1). 10 CFR 30.9(a) requires, in part, that information provided to the Commission by a licensee, or information required by the Commission's regulations to be maintained by the licensee, shall be complete and accurate in all material respects. 10 CFR 30.10(a) requires, in part, that any licensee or any employee of a licensee may not: (1) engage in deliberate misconduct that causes a licensee to be in violation of any rule, regulation, order, or term of any license, issued by the Commission, or (2) deliberately submit to the NRC information that the person submitting the information knows to be incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC.

Between late 1989 and March 1, 1992, Mr. Bauman deliberately caused AMSPEC to violate 10 CFR 34.31 by failing to train and certify numerous radiography employees of AMSPEC as required and caused AMSPEC to violate 10 CFR 30.9 by deliberately falsifying training records to show that numerous employees of AMSPEC stationed at the Hess facility on St. Croix were properly trained in radiation safety. During 1990 and 1991, Mr. Bauman violated License Condition 17 by providing unauthorized and improper aid to AMSPEC employees taking radiation safety examinations in that Mr. Bauman: (1) allowed the use of reference material during closed-book examinations; (2) permitted examinees to complete examinations in an untimed, unmonitored setting; and (3) directly provided the examinees with answers to test questions. In June of 1990, Mr. Bauman caused AMSPEC to violate 10 CFR 30.9 by preparing an NRC license amendment letter to the NRC that deliberately contained false information regarding the qualification of three AMSPEC employees. In July and August of

1991, Mr. Bauman caused AMSPEC to violate 10 CFR 30.9 and 10 CFR 34.11 by deliberately falsifying records of quarterly personnel radiation safety audits. In November of 1991, Mr. Bauman caused AMSPEC to violate 10 CFR 30.9 by conspiring with and directing his secretary to physically write answers on a required radiation safety test by annotating on the test the name of an AMSPEC employee and placing it in that employee's radiation safety records. Mr. Bauman violated 10 CFR 30.10 by deliberately submitting false information regarding the training and qualification of two individuals to the Commission in a December 20, 1991 application for an NRC license renewal.

On December 17, 1992, Mr. Bauman pled guilty to two felony counts. The first count involved conspiracy to violate 42 U.S.C. 2273 (section 223 of the Atomic Energy Act). The second count consisted of deliberately providing false information to the NRC in violation of 42 U.S.C. 2273 and 42 U.S.C. 2201b (section 161b of the Atomic Energy Act) and 10 CFR 30.9 and 10 CFR 30.10(a)(2) of the Commission's regulations.

III

The NRC must be able to rely on the Licensee and its employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide information and maintain records that are complete and accurate in all material respects. As a Vice President and Radiation Protection Officer (RPO) of AMSPEC, Mr. Bauman was responsible for ensuring that the Commission's regulations and License conditions were met and that records which were required to demonstrate compliance with the Commission's regulations and License conditions were true and accurate in all material aspects. Mr. Bauman's deliberate actions in

causing the Licensee to violate 10 CFR 30.9, 34.11, and 34.31 and License Condition 17, and his deliberate misrepresentations to the NRC, are unacceptable and raise a question as to whether he can be relied on at this time to comply with NRC requirements and to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC.

Consequently, the NRC needs the capability to monitor his performance of licensed activities in order to be able to maintain the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected if Mr. Bauman is employed in NRC-licensed activities. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that for a period of three years from the date of this Order, Mr. Bauman shall notify the NRC of his employment by any person or entity engaged in NRC-licensed activities to ensure that the NRC can monitor the status of Mr. Bauman's compliance with the Commission's requirements and his understanding of his commitment to compliance. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this order be effective immediately.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 30.10, and 10 CFR 150.20, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

For a period of three years from the date of the Order, Paul A. Bauman shall: Within 20 days of his acceptance of each employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities or his becoming involved in NRC-licensed activities, provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer or the entity where he is, or will be, involved in the NRC-licensed activities. NRC-licensed activities are those activities which are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20. In the first notification Mr. Bauman shall include a statement of his commitment to compliance with regulatory requirements and the basis why the Commission should have confidence that he will now comply with applicable NRC requirements.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Bauman of good cause.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Paul A. Bauman must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and


shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Bauman or any other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, 101 Marietta Street, N. W., Suite 2900, Atlanta, Georgia 30323, and to Paul A. Bauman if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Paul A. Bauman. If a person other than Paul A. Bauman requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Paul A. Bauman or another person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Paul A. Bauman, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



James Lieberman, Director
Office of Enforcement

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this ~~10th~~ day of August 1994

SYNOPSIS

On August 22, 1991, the Regional Administrator, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Region II, requested an investigation to determine whether officials, managers, and/or employees of The American Inspection Company, Inc. (AMSPEC), the licensee, had intentionally violated regulatory and license condition requirements set forth in 10 CFR Parts 20, 30, and 34 and the NRC license of January 15, 1987, respectively. According to reported allegations, licensee management officials had permitted unqualified technicians to perform radiography operations at the Hess Oil Virgin Islands Company (HOVIC) facility, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, which had contracted with AMSPEC for nondestructive examination services. Additionally, licensee officials allegedly: (1) discriminated (involuntary termination) against technicians for reporting radiation health and safety concerns, (2) falsified radiation safety training documents, (3) provided false and misleading information to the NRC, and (4) used source material in a manner not authorized by the license (irradiation of mice).

The Office of Investigations (OI) reviewed the circumstances of the alleged regulatory and license condition violations during which other improprieties by the licensee were identified. The investigation by OI did not substantiate that licensee management officials had terminated radiography technicians for reporting radiation health and safety concerns. It was concluded, however, that these licensee officials at the HOVIC facility appeared insensitive to employee concerns of all topics, including radiation safety, and they were perceived by technicians as acting with apparent disregard concerning this issue. The investigation further determined that licensee officials deliberately provided false and misleading radiation safety-related information to NRC representatives which was pertinent to the regulatory process. The investigation substantiated that the licensee, through actions of some radiation protection officers (RPOs), deliberately falsified radiation safety training records, inserted false records in technician files to give the impression required training was accomplished, and they also conspired to conceal these training deficiencies and improprieties from the NRC. The investigation surfaced and substantiated the allegation that licensee officials and RPOs deliberately falsified required personnel radiation safety audits and accompanying reports and they also created audit reports to make complete the radiation safety files of some technicians.

The investigation also disclosed and confirmed numerous instances of radiographers' assistants performing radiography without supervision and the deliberate falsification of source utilization logs to give the appearance that required supervision was present, all with the apparent knowledge and concurrence of licensee management officials. It was also determined during the investigation that licensee training officials (RPOs) frequently

failed to provide the Operation and Emergency Procedures (O&EP) Manual to new employees prior to source utilization. The investigation also determined that some licensee RPOs were not trained, examined, and certified according to Radiation Safety Program requirements and AMSPEC officials, including the radiation safety officer (RSO) and several RPOs, were aware of some of these violations and failed to correct them. Further, on at least one occasion, the RSO and an RPO conspired to concoct a plausible explanation for the NRC as to why RPO examination/certification requirements were violated.

The investigation substantiated the allegation that radioactive source material was utilized improperly when an AMSPEC night shift supervisor, in the presence of technicians, radiographed a mouse during two to three consecutive source exposures at the HOVIC facility. The OI investigation, and a previous NRC inspection at the St. Croix location, also revealed instances in which AMSPEC technicians failed to observe required surveying and posting activities during radiography operations, actions which demonstrated either an apparent disregard for regulations and/or radiation safety training deficiencies. Finally, the investigation disclosed that the RSO and other licensee management officials deliberately failed to perform required radiation safety review, evaluation, and oversight functions and responsibilities during the past 3 years.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

November 15, 1994

IA 94-032

Michael J. Berna
[ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 10 CFR 2.790]

SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)
(NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 030-04325-92001)
(NRC INVESTIGATION REPORT NO. 3-92-035R)

Dear Mr. Berna:

The enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) (Order) is being issued as a consequence of your actions while employed as the Radiation Safety Officer at the Amoco Refinery, Whiting, Indiana, in 1992. This Order prohibits your involvement in NRC-licensed activities for a period of three years from the date of this Order.

Pursuant to section 223 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, any person who willfully violates, attempts to violate, or conspires to violate, any provision of this Order shall be subject to criminal prosecution as set forth in that section.

Questions concerning this Order may be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and the enclosure with your home address removed will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Enclosures:

1. Order Prohibiting Involvement
in NRC Licensed Activities
2. Notice of Violation and Proposed
Imposition of Civil Penalties to Amoco

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

MICHAEL J. BERNA

IA 94-032

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT
IN NRC LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Amoco Oil Company (Amoco or Licensee) was the holder of Byproduct Material License No. 13-00155-10 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 34. The license authorized the use of byproduct material (iridium-192 and cobalt-60) for industrial radiography in devices approved by the NRC or an Agreement State. The facility where licensed materials were authorized for use and storage was located at 2815 Indianapolis Boulevard, Whiting, Indiana. The use of licensed material was authorized at temporary job sites anywhere in the United States where the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission maintains jurisdiction for regulating the use of licensed material. The License was originally issued on February 4, 1958, and was terminated on October 19, 1993.

Mr. Michael J. Berna performed duties as the Licensee's Radiation Safety Officer (RSO) from March 1990 until he was relieved of those duties on October 16, 1992.

II

On July 27, 1992, the NRC Region III office received information that Mr. Berna had not conducted field audits of radiographers and radiographer's assistants as required by license conditions and that Mr. Berna fabricated reports for the audits that he did not perform by documenting that the audits

had been performed. The NRC conducted an inspection at the Licensee's Whiting, Indiana, refinery from September 15 to October 9, 1992. The NRC Office of Investigations (OI) subsequently conducted an investigation. The Licensee conducted an investigation contemporaneously with the NRC inspection and investigation. Deliberate violations of NRC requirements were identified as a result of the NRC inspection and the investigation.

Condition 18.A of License No. 13-00155-10 incorporates the statements, representations, and procedures contained in the license application dated March 28, 1990. Item 10.3 of that application required, in part, that practicing radiographers and radiographer's assistants are to be audited at intervals not to exceed 3 months to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 34 and the Licensee's Operating and Emergency Procedures, and that the audits should be unannounced insofar as possible. Item 10.5 of that application required, in part, that certain records be generated and maintained, including a record of quarterly audits of radiographers and radiographer's assistants.

Mr. Berna admitted to the NRC in a sworn, transcribed interview on October 7, 1992, that he knowingly failed to perform the required audits and that he deliberately falsified records to show that audits had been performed on at least ten occasions (February 6, 10, 12, and 29, April 11, 22, 24, and 29, May 12, and September 1, 1992).

In addition, during the September 15, 1992, inspection the NRC inspector asked Mr. Berna if the field audits of radiographers and radiographer's assistants were unannounced. Mr. Berna told the NRC inspector that he did not give any advance notification to radiography personnel. However, the testimony of

eight radiographers or radiographer's assistants indicated that Mr. Berna always informed them when he would be performing an audit.

Testimony provided by an Assistant Radiation Safety Officer (ARSO) on November 5, 1992, indicated that at the request of Mr. Berna on or about September 15, 1992, the ARSO falsified at least two records of audits of radiographers and radiographer's assistants for May 1992. Also, testimony provided to OI by another ARSO on December 17, 1992, indicated that at the request of Mr. Berna during August 1991, this ARSO falsified at least two records of audits of radiographers and radiographer's assistants.

These actions are contrary to the audit requirements and the records generation and maintenance requirements of the License, and a violation of 10 CFR 30.9(a), "Completeness and Accuracy of Information," and 10 CFR 30.10(a)(1) and (2), "Deliberate Misconduct," of the Commission's regulations.

The Licensee conducted an internal investigation and based on the results of its investigation the Licensee suspended Mr. Berna's employment for one month without pay. On December 1, 1992, a Confirmatory Order Modifying License (Effective Immediately) was issued to the Licensee, which confirmed, among other things, that the Licensee would prohibit Mr. Berna from participating in any NRC licensed activities, including the position of RSO.

Based on the above, it appears that Mr. Berna engaged in deliberate misconduct from August 1991 through approximately September 15, 1992, by failing to conduct field audits of radiographers and radiographer's assistants at the interval specified in the NRC Byproduct Material License, and by creating false records for audits which he did not conduct, thus making the record appear as though a field audit was performed at the specified interval. Mr. Berna also engaged in deliberate misconduct when he requested two ARSOs to falsify field audit records. Mr. Berna engaged in additional misconduct when he told an NRC inspector that field audits of radiographers or radiographer's assistants were unannounced. Mr. Berna's actions caused the Licensee to be in violation of the Amoco License, as well as 10 CFR 30.9, and constituted violations of 10 CFR 30.10 of the Commission's regulations. As the Licensee's RSO, Mr. Berna supervised the radiation safety program associated with NRC Byproduct Material License No. 13-00155-10 and was responsible for ensuring that the Commission's regulations and license conditions were met.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected if Mr. Berna were permitted at this time to be involved in NRC-licensed activities. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Berna be prohibited from any involvement in NRC-licensed activities for a period of three years from the date of this Order. Additionally, Mr. Berna is required to notify the NRC of his first employment in NRC-licensed activities licensed by the NRC following the prohibition period. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR

2.202, I find that the significance of Mr. Berna's conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective. A longer period was not imposed because of the issuance of the December 1, 1992 Confirmatory Order Modifying License (Effective Immediately).

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR Part 30, and 10 CFR Part 34, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

- A. Michael J. Berna is prohibited for three years from the date of this Order from engaging in NRC-licensed activities. NRC-licensed activities are those activities that are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20.
- B. The first time Mr. Berna is employed in NRC-licensed activities following the three-year prohibition, he shall, within 20 days of his acceptance of the employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities, notify the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and the Regional Administrator, NRC Region III. The notice shall include the name, address, and telephone number of the employer or the entity where he is, or will be, involved

in the NRC-licensed activities. In the first notification, Mr. Berna shall include a statement of his commitment to compliance with regulatory requirements and the basis why the Commission should have confidence that he will now comply with applicable NRC requirements.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Berna of good cause.

V

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Berna must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Berna or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address; to the Regional Administrator, Region III, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351; and to Mr. Berna, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Berna. If a person other than Mr. Berna requests a hearing, that person

shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Berna or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Berna, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 5 day of November 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

SEP 8 1994

Docket No. 030-02551
License No. 29-12417-01
IA 94-023

Jerome E. Bodian, M.D.
[HOME ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 2.790]

Dear Dr. Bodian:

SUBJECT: CONFIRMATORY ORDER (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

On June 24, 1993, the NRC sent you a Demand for Information (DFI) based on several apparent violations of NRC requirements including (1) administration of doses to patients without first checking the dose in a dose calibrator, and (2) making false statements to the NRC during an NRC inspection at your facility on April 6, 1992, and subsequent telephone conversation on April 7, 1992 with NRC staff. The DFI required, in part, that you provide the reasons why, in light of the apparent violations described therein, the NRC should not issue an Order that precludes you from any involvement in NRC licensed activities in the future.

In your sworn response dated July 20, 1993, to the DFI, you: (1) stated that on infrequent occasions, a precalibrated dose of radioiodine was administered without prior use of a dose calibrator; (2) reiterated a previous request that your license be terminated; and (3) pointed out that you have never used the Englewood Hospital's license on a personal basis and any administration of radiopharmaceuticals to your patients at the Englewood Hospital was done under the supervision of the hospital radiology department.

Based on a NRC Office of Investigation report issued on July 26, 1993, the NRC Staff has determined that you deliberately failed to measure doses before administration to patients, and deliberately provided inaccurate information to the NRC during the April 6, 1992 inspection and the April 7, 1992 telephone conversation. A copy of the synopsis of the investigation is enclosed.

Although the NRC issued amendment No. 07 on September 27, 1993, terminating your license, in telephone conversations between Dr. Ronald R. Bellamy of the NRC Region I office and yourself on July 18, 19, and 20, 1994, you agreed to the issuance of an Order that would confirm that you would not participate in activities licensed by the NRC at any facility for a period of five years, and would notify the NRC the first time (if any) you engage in licensed activities after the five year prohibition expires. The enclosed Confirmatory Order (Effective Immediately) confirms these commitments.


Question concerning the Order may be addressed to Ms. Patricia Santiago, Assistant Director for Materials, Office of Enforcement, at telephone number (301) 504-3055.

Jerome E. Bodian, M.D.

2

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter, its enclosures, and your response will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,



Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards,
and Operations Support

Enclosures:

1. Confirmatory Order (Effective Immediately)
2. OI Report Synopsis

cc w/encls:

Public Document Room (PDR)
Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)
State of New Jersey
Englewood Hospital

SYNOPSIS

On May 22, 1992, the Office of Investigations (OI), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Field Office Region I, initiated an investigation to determine if the licensee intentionally violated NRC regulations by providing inaccurate and/or false information to NRC staff during an April 6, 1992, inspection, and April 7, 1992, telephone conversation. Specifically, the information concerned the licensee having doses of iodine-131 (I-131) assayed by a technologist at Englewood Hospital (EH) prior to the administration of the I-131 to patients.

Based on the evidence, OI concludes that the licensee deliberately failed to measure the activity of each radiopharmaceutical dose before medical use. In addition, the licensee deliberately provided inaccurate and/or false information to NRC staff during the April 6, 1992, inspection and April 7, 1992, telephone conversation.

OI also concludes that the licensee deliberately failed to conduct annual survey meter calibrations.

There is insufficient evidence to conclude that the licensee deliberately failed to possess a dose calibrator for the measurement of patient doses. There is also insufficient evidence to conclude that the licensee deliberately failed to possess appropriate radiation detection and radiation measurement survey instrumentation.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
JERMOE E. BODIAN, M.D.) Docket No. 030-02551
Englewood, New Jersey) License No. 29-12417-01
) IA 94-023

CONFIRMATORY ORDER (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Jerome E. Bodian (Licensee or Dr. Bodian) was the holder of NRC License No. 29-12417-01 (License) issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 35 on September 11, 1967 and last renewed in its entirety on August 20, 1990. The License authorized the Licensee to possess and use iodine-131 as iodide for uptake studies, thyroid imaging, and the treatment of hypothyroidism and cardiac dysfunction. The License was due to expire on August 30, 1995; however on January 25, 1993, the Licensee requested that the License be terminated. The NRC granted this request for termination, and Amendment No. 07 was issued to the Licensee on September 27, 1993, terminating the License.

II

On April 6, 1992, an NRC inspection was conducted at the Licensee's facility in Englewood, New Jersey. During the inspection, the NRC identified several violations of NRC requirements, including the failure to possess and use a dose calibrator to assay therapeutic doses of iodine-131 prior to administration to patients. Also during the inspection, Dr. Bodian told the inspector that he took doses of iodine-131 to Englewood Hospital for calibration. During a telephone conversation with Region I staff on April 7, 1992, Dr. Bodian stated that: (1) although he did not possess a dose

calibrator, he had a technologist at Englewood Hospital perform the dose measurements for almost all patients he had treated; (2) all measurements of doses were within \pm 10 percent of the prescribed dose; and (3) the results of these measurements were recorded in the patient charts.

Shortly after the inspection, the NRC issued a Confirmatory Action Letter to the Licensee on April 9, 1992, which confirmed, in part, the Licensee's agreement to terminate patient treatments with any radiopharmaceutical authorized by the NRC until such time as the Licensee established, and submitted to the NRC for approval, a program that included all of the required equipment and procedures required by 10 CFR Part 35. Such a program was not established and patient treatment has not resumed. The NRC Office of Investigations initiated an investigation on May 22, 1992. Dr. Bodian requested, in a letter dated January 25, 1993, that the License be terminated.

In view of Dr. Bodian's willful failure to adhere to NRC requirements, as well as the apparently willful failure to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC, thereby endangering patients to whom the doses were administered, the NRC needed certain information to determine whether there existed reasonable assurance that Dr. Bodian's activities conducted under other NRC licenses would be performed safely and in accordance with requirements. Accordingly, a Demand for Information (DFI) was issued to Dr. Bodian on June 24, 1993, that requested him to list all NRC licenses on which he was then listed as an authorized user, and to explain why the NRC should not issue an order to preclude him from any involvement in licensed activities in the future.

On July 20, 1993, Dr. Bodian responded to the Demand for Information stating that (1) on infrequent occasions a precalibrated dose of radioiodine was administered without prior use of dose calibrator; (2) a request for termination of his license (No. 29-12417-01) was made on January 25, 1993; and (3) his listing (as an authorized user) on the Englewood Hospital license (No. 29-08519-01) was a carry over from years ago, and that any administration of radiopharmaceuticals to his patients at Englewood Hospital was done under the supervision of the hospital radiology department.

The NRC OI report issued July 26, 1993 determined that notwithstanding Dr. Bodian's statements to the NRC, the doses, with a few exceptions, were not assayed with a dose calibrator prior to administration, even though Dr. Bodian was aware that such assays were required. This finding is based on the fact that although the Licensee's records indicate that 30 iodine-131 doses were provided to patients between January 1990 and April 1992, the NRC has found that most doses were not assayed for the Licensee in the Hospital's dose calibrator during that time. This willful failure to adhere to this requirement, as well as the willful false statements to the NRC during the inspection on April 6, 1992 and the April 7, 1992 telephone conversation, constitute violations of 10 CFR 35.53, 10 CFR 30.9, and 10 CFR 30.10.

III

Based on the above, it appears that Dr. Bodian, the Licensee, engaged in deliberate misconduct that constitutes a violation of 10 CFR 30.10(a)(1) and that has caused the Licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 35.53. It further

appears that Dr. Bodian deliberately provided to NRC inspectors information that he knew to be incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC, in violation of 10 CFR 30.09 and 10 CFR 30.10(a)(2). Dr. Bodian has demonstrated an unwillingness to comply with Commission requirements. NRC must be able to rely on its licensees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide complete and accurate information. Willful violations are of particular concern to the Commission because they undermine the Commission's reasonable assurance that licensed activities will be conducted in accordance with NRC requirements. Dr. Bodian's actions have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied upon to comply with NRC requirements and to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC. Consequently, protection of the public health, safety and interest require that Dr. Bodian be prohibited from engaging in NRC-licensed activities for a period of 5 years and to notify the NRC prior to resumption of any NRC-licensed activities at any facility after termination of the five year prohibition.

In telephone conversations on July 18, 19, and 20, 1994, with Dr. Ronald R. Bellamy of the NRC Region I office, Dr. Bodian agreed not to be involved in any NRC-licensed activities for a period of five years, and to notify the NRC prior to resumption of any licensed activities at any facility after that five year prohibition. I find that the Dr. Bodian's commitments as set forth in that conversation are acceptable and necessary and conclude that with these commitments the protection of the public health and safety is reasonably assured. In view of the foregoing, I have determined that the public health and safety require that the Dr. Bodian's commitments in the telephone

conversations of July 18, 19, and 20, 1994 be confirmed by this Order. Dr. Bodian has agreed to this action. Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I have also determined that the significance of the violations described above is such that the public health and safety require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202 and 10 CFR Parts 30 and 35, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. For a period of five years from the date of this Confirmatory Order, Jerome E. Bodian, M.D., shall not engage in any NRC-licensed activities. NRC-licensed activities are those activities which are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20.
2. When, for the first time, Dr. Bodian is employed in NRC-licensed activities following the five year prohibition, he shall notify the Regional Administrator, NRC Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406, within 20 days prior to engaging in NRC-licensed activities, including activities under an Agreement State license when activities under that license are conducted in areas of NRC

jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20. The notice shall include the name, address, and telephone number of the NRC or Agreement State licensee and the location where licensed activities will be performed.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon a showing by Dr. Bodian of good cause.

V

Any person adversely affected by this Confirmatory Order (Effective Immediately), other than Dr. Bodian, may request a hearing within 20 days of its issuance. Any request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406, and to Dr. Bodian. If such a person requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any

hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Confirmatory Order (Effective Immediately) should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), any person adversely affected by this Order, other than Dr. Bodian, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR REQUEST FOR A HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards,
and Operations Support

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 8th day of September 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

JUL 14 1994

IA 94-015

Mr. John W. Boomer
ADDRESS DELETED

Dear Mr. Boomer:

**SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)**


The enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement In NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) is being issued as a consequence of your deliberate violation of 10 CFR 35.70(e) and 10 CFR 30.10 while President of Chesapeake Imaging Center, Chesapeake, West Virginia. Based on an investigation conducted by the NRC's Office of Investigations (OI), the NRC staff has determined that you deliberately violated NRC requirements by failing to conduct weekly surveys for removable contamination. After being advised by your staff of the regulatory requirement and the fact that instrumentation was not available to perform the required survey, you failed to provide the required instrumentation and permitted licensed activities to continue. A copy of the synopsis of the OI investigation was provided to you by letter dated December 2, 1993, and again by letter dated February 28, 1994. An enforcement conference by telephone was held with you on March 8, 1994. The summary of this conference was sent to you on March 16, 1994.

Such conduct is unacceptable to the NRC. Therefore, after consultation with the Commission, I have been authorized to issue the enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement In NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately). Failure to comply with the provisions of this Order may result in civil or criminal sanctions.

Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter with your address deleted and the enclosure will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,



Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Enclosure: Order Prohibiting Involvement In NRC-Licensed Activities
(Effective Immediately)

cc w/enclosure
Public Document Room

State of West Virginia, Director
Department of Public Health
State of California, Director
Department of Public Health
All States

Chesapeake Imaging Center, Inc.
11940 MacCorkle Avenue
Chesapeake, West Virginia 25315

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

John W. Boomer
ADDRESS DELETED

IA 94-015

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

John W. Boomer has been a nuclear medicine technologist since 1972. On February 11, 1993, Mr. Boomer, as the President of Chesapeake Imaging Center, Inc. (CIC or Licensee) applied for an NRC license. On March 23, 1993 Materials License No. 47-25238-01 was issued to CIC by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 35. The license authorized the possession and use of radiopharmaceuticals for nuclear medicine activities in accordance with the conditions specified therein. The license was terminated this date.

II

On July 30, 1993, the NRC conducted an initial inspection of CIC at its facility located in Chesapeake, West Virginia. As a result of the inspection, multiple violations of NRC requirements were identified. One specific violation identified involved the failure to perform weekly surveys for removable contamination in the nuclear medicine department between March 24 and July 30, 1993. As a result of this inspection, a Notice of Violation is being issued contemporaneously with this Order.

Between August 3 and September 30, 1993, an investigation was conducted by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) to determine if certain violations identified during the July 30, 1993, inspection were the result of deliberate misconduct. Based on investigative findings, the NRC staff concludes that Mr. Boomer deliberately caused CIC to violate the requirement to perform the weekly contamination surveys, after being advised by the CIC facility Manager and CIC technical consultant that such surveys were required. Mr. Boomer was aware of the NRC requirement to perform weekly contamination surveys, yet deliberately failed to meet the requirement in violation of 10 CFR 35.70(e) and 10 CFR 30.10.

A transcribed telephone enforcement conference between the NRC staff and Mr. Boomer was held on March 8, 1994. Mr. Boomer indicated during the enforcement conference that he had significant difficulties in obtaining the funds from investors and did not recognize the severity of the noncompliance but rather focused on the needs of patients traveling miles to obtain the studies. Mr. Boomer also stated during the enforcement conference that he did accept responsibility for not obtaining the equipment in a more timely fashion and for not notifying NRC and indicated that he would exercise better judgment in the future. From the discussions at the enforcement conference, the staff believes an order to remove Mr. Boomer from involvement in NRC-licensed activities is warranted based on (1) the deliberate noncompliance with the NRC's weekly survey requirement, (2) the fundamental lack of assurance that he will in the future comply with Commission requirements, (3) his position as President, (4) his approximate 20 years experience in NRC-licensed activities,

and (5) his decision to continue operations although he knew he was not in compliance with the weekly survey requirement.

III

Based on the above, Mr. Boomer engaged in deliberate misconduct which caused the licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 35.70(e). The NRC must be able to rely on the Licensee and its employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to perform weekly contamination surveys. Compliance with the NRC requirement to perform weekly contamination surveys is necessary to protect members of the public as well as Licensee employees from unnecessary radiation exposure that could result from undetected radioactive contamination. Performance of weekly contamination surveys is an important safety requirement intended to prevent radioactive contamination of patients, employees and other members of the public. Mr. Boomer's deliberate actions in causing the Licensee to violate these requirements have raised serious doubts as to whether he can be relied on to be involved in NRC-licensed activities.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected if Mr. Boomer were permitted at this time to be involved in NRC-licensed activities. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Boomer be prohibited from any involvement in NRC-licensed activities for a period of three years from the date of this Order, and if he is currently involved with another licensee in NRC-licensed activities, he must immediately cease such

activities, and inform the NRC of the name, address and telephone number of the employer, and provide a copy of this order to the employer. During this period Mr. Boomer also shall be required to provide a copy of this Order to any prospective employer who engages in NRC-licensed activities prior to the time that Mr. Boomer accepts employment with such prospective employer. The purpose of this notice is so that any prospective employer is aware of Mr. Boomer's prohibition from engaging in NRC-licensed activities. Additionally, Mr. Boomer is required to notify the NRC of his first employment in NRC-licensed activities following the prohibition period. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of Mr. Boomer's conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161c, 161f, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 30.10, and 10 CFR 150.20, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

1. Mr. John W. Boomer is prohibited for three years from the date of this Order from any involvement in NRC-licensed activities. NRC-licensed activities are those activities which are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20.

2. For a period of three years from the date of this Order, Mr. John W. Boomer shall provide a copy of this Order to any prospective employer who engages in NRC-licensed activities (as defined in 1 above) prior to his acceptance of employment with such prospective employer. The purpose of this requirement is to ensure that the employer is aware of Mr. Boomer's prohibition from engaging in NRC-licensed activities.
3. The first time Mr. Boomer is employed in NRC-licensed activities following the three year prohibition, he shall notify the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900, Atlanta, Georgia 30323, at least five days prior to the performance of licensed activities or his being employed to perform NRC-licensed activities (as described in 1 above). The notice shall include the name, address, and telephone number of the NRC or Agreement State licensee and the location where the licensed activities will be performed.
4. If Mr. Boomer is currently involved in NRC-licensed activities at an employer or entity, Mr. Boomer shall, in accordance with Paragraph 1 above, immediately cease such activities and provide notice within 20 days of the date of this Order to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555 of the name, address and telephone number of the employer or entity where the licensed activities are being conducted. Further, Mr. Boomer shall provide a copy of this Order to his employer if his employer is engaged in NRC-licensed activities.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon a showing by Mr. Boomer of good cause.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Boomer must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Boomer or any other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, 101 Marietta Street, N. W., Suite 2900, Atlanta, Georgia 30323, and to Mr. Boomer if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Boomer. If a person other than Mr. Boomer requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Boomer or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Boomer, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further Order or processing. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards and
Operations Support

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 14th day of July 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

MAR 22 1994

IA 94-003

Mr. Robert C. Dailey
(Address deleted)

Dear Mr. Dailey:

**SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN NRC-LICENSED
OR REGULATED ACTIVITIES (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)**

The NRC received Licensee Event Reports from two NRC licensees indicating that an employee of Nuclear Support Services, Inc., (NSSI) had been improperly granted unescorted access at their plants based on written requests from you certifying that the individual had met all Fitness for Duty requirements. These requests belied the fact that the individual had four past drug-related access denials at other nuclear plants since 1987. When asked about these matters by an investigator from the NRC Office of Investigations (OI Report No. 3-91-017) in January 1993, you stated that you had made the licensees aware of the past access denials while they were considering the applications for access authorization. Additional evidence obtained during the OI investigation proved this to be a false statement. Providing false information to the Commission is a violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(2) of the Commission's regulations.

The enclosed Order is being issued because of your violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(2) as described in the Order. You must respond to and comply with the Order. Failure to comply with the provisions of this Order may result in civil or criminal sanctions. Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

As a separate matter, an Order is being issued to NSSI requiring, among other things, that NSSI remove you from participation in NRC-licensed or regulated activities. A copy of that Order is enclosed for your information and use. As indicated in that Order, you may respond to the NSSI Order.

Robert C. Dailey

- 2 -

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and the enclosure will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,

James L. Milhoan
James L. Milhoan
Deputy Executive Director for Nuclear
Reactor Regulation, Regional Operations
and Research

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure:

Nuclear Support Services, Inc.
SECY

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Robert C. Dailey

)
)
)
)

IA 94-003

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN
NRC-LICENSED OR REGULATED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Robert C. Dailey is employed by Nuclear Support Services, Inc. (NSSI) of Hershey, Pennsylvania, as Vice President of Safety. NSSI provides health physics personnel and support to various nuclear power plants. Mr. Dailey was the NSSI Security Officer from November 1989 to May 1991. As NSSI Security Officer, Mr. Dailey was responsible for requesting unescorted access authorization for NSSI personnel to nuclear power plants which included complying with the NRC fitness-for-duty (FFD) program requirements (10 CFR Part 26).

II

Mr. Dailey, as a representative of NSSI, provided letters to NRC reactor licensees requesting unescorted access authorization for NSSI personnel and certifying that personnel met all FFD and access authorization requirements. Licensees use this information in determining whether the individual should be granted unescorted access authorization and this information is therefore material.

III

On August 14, 1991, two NRC licensees (Northern States Power Company (NSP) and Wisconsin Electric Power Company (WEPC)) submitted Licensee Event Reports (LER) to the Commission because an NSSI employee had been improperly granted unescorted access to the NSP Prairie Island plant and the WEPC Point Beach plant based on written requests for such access from Mr. Dailey which stated that the employee met all of the FFD requirements for unescorted access. However, in fact, the employee had four past drug-related access denials at other nuclear power plants since 1987. Both Licensee Event Reports noted that NSSI was aware of the past denials.

An investigation was initiated by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI). The OI investigation concluded that Mr. Dailey had sent on three occasions to Point Beach, and one occasion to Prairie Island, letters stating that the person for whom he was requesting unescorted access had met all FFD requirements and had no positive drug or alcohol use test results within the previous five years. The OI investigation concluded that the letters sent by Mr. Dailey were inaccurate because the person did have positive drug or alcohol use test results.

Despite the statements in the access authorization request letters, Mr. Dailey told the OI investigator during a January

1993 interview that he had verbally advised the appropriate NSP and WEPC security personnel of the past positive test results. These licensee representatives denied being advised of such information. Mr. Dailey's statement to the OI investigator, which was subsequently determined to be false, constitutes a violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(2).

IV

The NRC must be able to rely on licensee contractor personnel to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide information and maintain records that are complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Dailey's deliberate violation of 10 CFR 50.5 has raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied upon to comply with NRC requirements and to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC, a licensee or an employer engaged in NRC-licensed or regulated activities.

Consequently, I lack the requisite assurance that licensed activities under NRC jurisdiction can be conducted by Mr. Dailey in compliance with the Commission's requirements. Therefore, I have concluded that the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Dailey be prohibited from participating in NRC-licensed or regulated activities for a period of five years from the date of this Order. In addition, during the same period, should he seek employment with any person whose operations he knows or suspects involve any NRC-licensed or regulated

activities, Mr. Dailey is required to give notice of the existence of this Order to that person to assure that such employer is aware of Mr. Dailey's history and the restrictions on his activities imposed by this Order. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

V

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 62, 63, 81, 103, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182, and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 26.27, and 10 CFR 50.5, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

1. Robert C. Dailey is prohibited for five years from the date of this Order from participating in NRC-licensed or regulated activities.
2. Should Robert C. Dailey seek employment with any person or entity whose operations he knows or has reason to believe involve any NRC-licensed or regulated activities during the five-year period from the date of this Order, Mr. Dailey shall provide a copy of this Order to such person or entity at the time Mr. Dailey

is soliciting or negotiating employment so that the person or entity is aware of the Order prior to making an employment decision.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Dailey of good cause.

VI

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Robert C. Dailey must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Robert C. Dailey or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the

same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region III, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, IL 60532-4351, and to Robert C. Dailey, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Robert C. Dailey. If a person other than Robert C. Dailey requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).


If a hearing is requested by Robert C. Dailey or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Robert C. Dailey, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the

date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


James L. Milhoan
Deputy Executive Director for Nuclear
Reactor Regulation, Regional Operations
and Research

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 17th day of March 1994

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

DOCKETED
 USNRC

Before Administrative Judges: '94 AUG 18 P2 28

Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman
 Dr. Richard F. Cole
 Dr. Jerry R. Kline

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
 DOCKETING & SERVICE
 BRANCH

In the matter of

NUCLEAR SUPPORT SERVICES, INC.

SERVED AUG 18 1994

EA 93-236: Order Requiring the Removal of an Individual From NRC Licensed or Regulated Activities and Order Directing Review of Personnel Security Files (Effective Immediately)

Docket Nos. EA 93-236
 IA 94-003

ASLBP Nos. 94-692-05-EA
 94-691-04-EA

ROBERT C. DAILEY

IA 94-003: Order Prohibiting Involvement in Certain NRC-Licensed or Regulated Activities (Effective Immediately)

August 18, 1994

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

(Approving Settlement Agreement and Terminating Proceeding)

These proceedings involve two enforcement actions brought by the NRC Staff. The first would have directed Nuclear Support Services, Inc. (NSSI) to remove an individual from NRC-licensed or regulated activities for five years. The second would have prohibited that same individual from participating in NRC-licensed or regulated activities for the same period. Certain near-term corrective actions were also sought.

9404015

By Memorandum and Order (Consolidating Proceedings and Granting Extension of Time), dated May 4, 1994 (unpublished), we granted the requests for a hearing and consolidated the two proceedings. On June 27, 1994, we issued a Notice of Hearing and Prehearing Conference, 59 Fed. Reg. 34454 (July 5, 1994). Following a July 12, 1994 prehearing conference, we issued our First Prehearing Conference Order (Establishing Initial Discovery Schedules), dated July 15, 1994 (unpublished). In that Order, we noted that at the conference we had urged the parties seriously to consider settlement of these proceedings. (On June 21, 1994, prior to the conference NSSI/Dailey advised us that they had reached a settlement agreement with regard to the short-term relief sought by the Staff and were withdrawing their requests for a hearing with respect to those aspects of the Staff's NSSI enforcement order.)

On August 11, 1994, the parties filed a Joint Motion To Approve Settlement Agreement and Terminate Proceeding. A copy of the agreement was attached, and is appended to this Order. According to the Motion, NSSI and Mr. Dailey have entered a compromise because they desire to avoid the expense and hardship of litigation. The Staff believes that the settlement agreement is in the public interest.

We have carefully reviewed the compromise agreement and note that it provides a significant degree of the relief sought by the Staff. We agree with the parties that it is

consistent with the public interest and, consequently, we
grant the Joint Motion, approve the settlement agreement,
and, accordingly, terminate the proceeding.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Atomic Safety and
Licensing Board

Charles Beckhoefer
Charles Beckhoefer, Chairman
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Richard F. Cole
Dr. Richard F. Cole
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Jerry R. Kline
Dr. Jerry R. Kline
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Rockville, MD.
August 18, 1994

Attachment: Settlement Agreement

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, on March 22, 1994 the Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") issued an order to Nuclear Support Services, Inc. ("NSSI") captioned "EA 93-236" (59 Fed. Reg. 14429 (March 28, 1994)) (hereafter "NSSI Order"), and issued an order to Robert C. Dailey captioned "IA 94-003" (59 Fed. Reg. 14688 (March 29, 1994)) (hereafter "Dailey Order"); and

WHEREAS, NSSI and Mr. Dailey have answered the NRC's orders and have requested a hearing on the orders, and NSSI and the NRC Staff later entered into a Settlement Agreement with regard to Part IV.B of the NSSI Order on June 21, 1994; and

WHEREAS, NSSI and Mr. Dailey have engaged in negotiation and compromise because they desire to avoid the expense and hardship of litigation; and

WHEREAS, the remaining issue before the NRC's Atomic Safety and Licensing Board ("Board"), whether the Dailey Order and Part IV.A of the NSSI Order should be sustained, need not be adjudicated because the NRC Staff, Mr. Dailey and NSSI have reached a compromise by which NSSI and Mr. Dailey have agreed to accept certain restrictions on Mr. Dailey's activities, as described below; and

WHEREAS, the NRC Staff believes that this Settlement Agreement is in the public interest;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises made herein, NSSI, Mr. Dailey, and the NRC Staff agree as follows:

1. NSSI agrees to restrict Mr. Dailey from conducting security screening or fitness-for-duty activities (10 CFR Parts 26, 50, & 73) until March 22, 1996.

2. NSSI agrees that, if contacted by another person or company considering employing Mr. Dailey to conduct security screening or fitness-for-duty activities (10 CFR Parts 26, 50, & 73) prior to March 22, 1996, NSSI will advise that person of the existence of this Settlement Agreement and will provide them a copy of this Settlement Agreement.

3. Mr. Dailey agrees that he will not conduct security screening or fitness-for-duty activities (10 CFR Parts 26, 50, & 73) while employed by NSSI or any other person or company prior to March 22, 1996.

Page 1 of 3
August 10, 1994

4. Mr. Dailey agrees that, during the one year period from March 22, 1996 until March 22, 1997, he will provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement within thirty days after commencing employment with any organization other than NSSI, where his duties include responsibilities for conducting security screening or fitness-for-duty activities (10 CFR Parts 26, 50, & 73).

5. The NRC Staff hereby rescinds and vacates the Dailey Order and Part IV.A of the NSSI Order.

6. The NRC Staff agrees that Mr. Dailey's role as NSSI's Vice President Corporate Safety is consistent with this Settlement Agreement, in that his duties do not include responsibilities for conducting security screening or fitness-for-duty activities (10 CFR Parts 26, 50, & 73).

7. Nothing in this agreement shall be construed so as to restrict Mr. Dailey from being subject to security screening or fitness-for-duty requirements.

8. NSSI and Mr. Dailey and the NRC Staff agree to file a joint motion requesting the Board to approve this Settlement Agreement and terminate the proceeding, pursuant to the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR § 2.203. If the Settlement Agreement is not approved or is changed in any substantive manner by the Board, it may be voided by any party by giving written notice to the parties and the Board. The parties agree that under these circumstances and upon request they will negotiate in good faith to resolve differences.

9. The parties understand and acknowledge that there has not been any adjudication of any wrongdoing by Mr. Dailey and that this Settlement Agreement is the result of a compromise and shall not for any purpose be construed: (a) as an admission by NSSI or Mr. Dailey of any wrongdoing or regulatory violation; (b) as an admission that the NRC has jurisdiction to issue orders to NSSI or Mr. Dailey; or (c) as a concession by the NRC Staff that no violation or wrongdoing occurred or that the NRC lacks jurisdiction to issue orders to NSSI or Mr. Dailey.

10. The parties agree that no inference adverse to either party shall be drawn based upon the parties having entered into this agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Mr. Bailey, NSSI and the NRC Staff have caused this Settlement Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives on this 10th day of August, 1994.

James Lieberman
James Lieberman
Director, Office of Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20585

Robert C. Bailey
Robert C. Bailey
Vice President Corporate Safety
Nuclear Support Services, Inc.
West Market Street
Campbelltown, PA 17010

Joe C. Quick
Joe C. Quick
Chairman and President
Nuclear Support Services, Inc.
West Market Street
Campbelltown, PA 17010

Page 3 of 3
August 10, 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

IA 94-033

November 15, 1994

Jeffrey DeArmond
[ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 10 CFR 2.790]

SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)
(NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 030-04325-92001)
(NRC INVESTIGATION REPORT NO. 3-92-035R)

Dear Mr. DeArmond:


The enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) (Order) is being issued as a consequence of your actions while employed as an Assistant Radiation Safety Officer (ARSO) at the Amoco Refinery, Whiting, Indiana, in 1992. This Order prohibits your involvement in NRC-licensed activities for a period of one year from the date of this Order.

Pursuant to section 223 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, any person who willfully violates, attempts to violate, or conspires to violate, any provision of this Order shall be subject to criminal prosecution as set forth in that section.

Questions concerning this Order may be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement. Mr. Lieberman can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and the enclosure, with your home address removed, will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Enclosures:

1. Order Prohibiting Involvement
in NRC Licensed Activities
2. Notice of Violation to Amoco

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

IA 94-033

JEFFREY DEARMOND

)
)
)

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT
IN NRC LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Amoco Oil Company (Amoco or Licensee) was the holder of Byproduct Material License No. 13-00155-10 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 34. The license authorized the use of byproduct material (iridium-192 and cobalt-60) for industrial radiography in devices approved by the NRC or an Agreement State. The facility where licensed materials were authorized for use and storage was located at 2815 Indianapolis Boulevard, Whiting, Indiana. The use of licensed material was authorized at temporary job sites anywhere in the United States where the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission maintains jurisdiction for regulating the use of licensed material. The License was originally issued on February 4, 1958, and was terminated on October 19, 1993.

Mr. DeArmond performed duties as an Assistant Radiation Safety Officer (ARSO) for the Licensee until he was relieved of these duties on October 16, 1992.

II

On July 27, 1992, the NRC Region III office received information that the Licensee's Radiation Safety Officer (RSO), had not conducted field audits of radiographers and radiographer's assistants as required by license conditions and that he fabricated reports for the audits that he did not perform by

documenting that audits had been performed. The NRC conducted an inspection at the Licensee's Whiting, Indiana, refinery from September 15 to October 9, 1992. The NRC Office of Investigations (OI) subsequently conducted an investigation. The Licensee conducted an investigation contemporaneously with the NRC inspection and investigation. Deliberate violations of NRC requirements were identified as a result of the NRC inspection and the investigation.

Condition 18.A of License No. 13-00155-10 incorporates the statements, representations, and procedures contained in the license application dated March 28, 1990. Item 10.3 of that application required, in part, that practicing radiographers and radiographer's assistants are to be audited at intervals not to exceed 3 months to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 34 and the Licensee's Operating and Emergency Procedures. Item 10.5 of that application required, in part, that certain records be generated and maintained, including a record of the quarterly audits of radiographers and radiographer's assistants.

Testimony provided by Mr. DeArmond on November 5, 1992 indicated that at the request of the RSO on or about September 15, 1992, Mr. DeArmond falsified at least two records of audits of radiographers and radiographer's assistants for May 1992 by generating records for audits that were not performed. This is contrary to the audit requirements established by Item 10.3 and the record generation and maintenance requirements established by Item 10.5 of the license application incorporated into the License as Condition No. 18; and caused the Licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 30.9(a) and constituted a

violations of 10 CFR 30.10(a) of the Commission's regulations.

The Licensee conducted an internal investigation and based on the results of its investigation the Licensee suspended Mr. DeArmond's employment for two weeks without pay.

III

Based on the above, it appears that Mr. DeArmond engaged in deliberate misconduct during September 1992, when at the request of the RSO, Mr. DeArmond created false field audit records of radiographers and radiographer's assistants for audits which had not been performed, thus making the record appear as though a field audit was performed at the specified interval. Mr. DeArmond's actions caused the Licensee to be in violation of Items 10.3 and 10.5 of the license application incorporated into the License as Condition No. 18 and 10 CFR 30.9, and constituted a violation of 10 CFR 30.10 of the Commission's regulations. As an ARSO, Mr. DeArmond supervised the radiation safety program associated with NRC Byproduct Material License No. 13-00155-10 and Mr. DeArmond was responsible for ensuring that the Commission's regulations and license conditions were met.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected if Mr. DeArmond were permitted at this time to be involved in NRC-licensed activities. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. DeArmond be

prohibited from any involvement in NRC-licensed activities for a period of one year from the date of this Order. Additionally, Mr. DeArmond is required to notify the NRC of his first employment in NRC-licensed activities licensed by the NRC following the prohibition period. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of Mr. DeArmond's conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR Part 30, and 10 CFR Part 34, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

- A. Jeffrey DeArmond is prohibited for one year from the date of this Order from engaging in NRC-licensed activities. NRC-licensed activities are those activities that are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20.
- B. The first time Mr. DeArmond is employed in NRC-licensed activities following the one-year prohibition, he shall, within 20 days of his acceptance of the employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities, notify the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory

Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and the Regional Administrator, NRC Region III. The notice shall include the name, address, and telephone number of the employer or the entity where he is, or will be, involved in the NRC-licensed activities. In the first notification, Mr. DeArmond shall include a statement of his commitment to compliance with regulatory requirements and the basis why the Commission should have confidence that he will now comply with applicable NRC requirements.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. DeArmond of good cause.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. DeArmond must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. DeArmond or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same


address; to the Regional Administrator, Region III, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351; and to Mr. DeArmond, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. DeArmond. If a person other than Mr. DeArmond requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. DeArmond or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. DeArmond, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 5th day of November 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

May 4, 1993

IA 93-001

Mr. Richard J. Gardecki
(Address)

Dear Sir:

SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

The enclosed Order is being issued because of your violations of 10 CFR 40.10 of the Commission's regulations as described in the Order.

Failure to comply with the provisions of this Order may result in civil or criminal sanctions.

Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice", a copy of this letter and the enclosures will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director
for Nuclear Materials Safety,
Safeguards and Operations
Support

Enclosure: As stated

cc: Allied-Signal, Inc.
All Agreement States
SECY

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Richard J. Gardecki

IA 93-001

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN
NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Richard J. Gardecki was recently employed by Allied-Signal, Inc., Metropolis, Illinois. Allied-Signal, Inc. (Licensee) holds License No. SUB-526 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 40. The license authorizes possession and conversion of uranium in accordance with the conditions specified therein. Mr. Gardecki was employed by the Licensee from about June 1991 through December 1992 in the position of Assistant Health Physicist, with responsibilities involving compliance with NRC requirements for radiation protection. Under the Licensee's organization and qualifications requirements, as specified in License Condition No. 9, an Assistant Health Physicist is required to hold a bachelor's degree. Failure to have a bachelor's degree holder in that position constitutes a violation of License Condition No. 9.

II

On October 5-7, 1992, an inspection was conducted at the Licensee's facility at Metropolis, Illinois, as a result of concerns raised within the NRC staff as to the education and experience of Richard J. Gardecki. As a result of information

developed in that inspection, an investigation was conducted in November and December 1992 by the Office of Investigations (OI). The inspection and investigation revealed that Mr. Gardecki intermittently took courses at the University of Delaware between 1962 and 1967 and in 1978, but did not accumulate sufficient credits to earn a bachelor's degree. While employed at the University of Delaware between 1977 and 1981, Mr. Gardecki prepared a transcript that falsely reflected sufficient hours of credit at that University to entitle him to a Bachelor of Science degree.

Mr. Gardecki subsequently used the false transcript to obtain employment at the University of Nebraska in about 1983, at Westinghouse Radiological Services Division in about 1985, at Environmental Testing Inc., in 1988, and at the Licensee in about June 1991. In each of these positions, Mr. Gardecki was involved in activities licensed by the NRC or an Agreement State, pursuant to an agreement with the NRC under section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

In addition, Mr. Gardecki obtained employment as a Radiation Specialist at the NRC in 1987 by submitting a Standard Form 171 (SF171), Application for Federal Employment, which contained the same false information regarding a bachelor's degree at the University of Delaware. He was allowed to resign his NRC employment following identification of the falsehood. Also,

during the OI investigation, he admitted that he had provided false information to the NRC regarding prior employment by General Dynamics in Denver, Colorado.

Further, in a transcribed sworn statement on December 1, 1992, Mr. Gardecki deliberately provided false information to OI investigators when he stated that he graduated from the University of Delaware in 1961. When asked about the University records indicating that he had not received a degree, Mr. Gardecki fabricated a story about the University having mixed his record with that of his brother. He also deliberately provided false information as to the accuracy of a University of Delaware transcript that he had submitted to the Licensee. In a transcribed, sworn statement to OI investigators on December 14, 1992, Mr. Gardecki admitted that he had provided false information in his sworn statements previously given to OI investigators on December 1, 1992 concerning his academic record and applications for employment.

III

Based on the above, Mr. Gardecki engaged in deliberate misconduct, which through his employment (from about June 1991 through December 1992) in a position with educational requirements that Mr. Gardecki did not meet, caused the Licensee to be in violation of the organization and qualifications

requirements of License Condition No. 9. This is a violation of 10 CFR 40.10. Mr. Gardecki also deliberately provided to NRC investigators information that he knew to be inaccurate and was in some respects material to the NRC which also constitutes a violation of 10 CFR 40.10. As an Assistant Health Physicist for the Licensee, Mr. Gardecki was responsible for performance of required surveys and keeping of required records, all of which provide evidence of compliance with Commission requirements. The NRC must be able to rely on the Licensee and its employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide information and maintain records that are complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Gardecki's deliberate actions in causing this Licensee to be in violation of License Condition No. 9, a violation of 10 CFR 40.10, and his violation of 10 CFR 40.10 caused by his deliberate misrepresentations to the NRC have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied upon to comply with NRC requirements and to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC or to an employer. Mr. Gardecki's misconduct (repeated on several occasions over several years with several employers) caused this Licensee to violate a Commission requirement; and his false statements to Commission officials demonstrate conduct that cannot and will not be tolerated.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities in NRC jurisdiction can be conducted in

compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected, if Mr. Gardecki were permitted at this time to be named as a Radiation Safety Officer (RSO) on an NRC license or permitted to supervise licensed activities (i.e., being responsible in any respect for any individual's performance of any licensed activities) for an NRC licensee or an Agreement State licensee while conducting licensed activities in NRC jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Gardecki be prohibited from being named on an NRC license as an RSO or from supervising licensed activities (i.e., being responsible in any respect for any individual's performance of any licensed activities) for an NRC licensee or an Agreement State licensee while conducting licensed activities in NRC jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20 for a period of five years from the date of this Order. In addition, for the same period, Mr. Gardecki is required to give notice of the existence of this Order to a prospective employer engaged in licensed activities, described below (Section IV, paragraph 2), to assure that such employer is aware of Mr. Gardecki's previous history. Mr. Gardecki is also required to notify the NRC of his employment by any person engaged in licensed activities, described below (Section IV, paragraph 2), so that appropriate inspections can be performed. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the

public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 61, 81, 103, 161b, 161i, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 40.10, and 10 CFR 150.20, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

1. Richard J. Gardecki is prohibited for five years from the date of this Order from being named on an NRC license as a Radiation Safety Officer or from supervising licensed activities (i.e., being responsible in any respect for any individual's performance of any licensed activities) for an NRC licensee or an agreement state licensee while conducting licensed activities in NRC jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20.
2. Should Richard J. Gardecki seek employment with any person engaged in licensed activities during the five year period from the date of this Order, Mr. Gardecki shall provide a copy of this Order to such person at the time Mr. Gardecki is soliciting or negotiating employment so that the person is aware of the Order prior to making an employment decision. For the

purposes of this paragraph licensed activities include licensed activities of 1) an NRC licensee, 2) an Agreement State licensee conducting licensed activities in NRC jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20, and 3) an Agreement State licensee involved in distribution of products that are subject to NRC jurisdiction.

3. For a five year period from the date of this Order, Richard J. Gardecki shall provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer, within 72 hours of his acceptance of an employment offer, involving licensed activities described in paragraph 2, above.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Gardecki of good cause.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Richard J. Gardecki must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the

answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Richard J. Gardecki or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region III, 799 Roosevelt Rd., Glen Ellyn, IL 60137, and to Richard J. Gardecki, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Richard J. Gardecki. If a person other than Richard J. Gardecki requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Richard J. Gardecki or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Richard J. Gardecki, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director
for Nuclear Materials Safety,
Safeguards and Operations Support

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 4th day of May 1993



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

MAR 14 1994

IA 94-002

Mr. William K. Headley
(Address Deleted)

Dear Sir:

SUBJECT: ORDER REQUIRING NOTICE TO CERTAIN EMPLOYERS AND PROSPECTIVE EMPLOYERS AND NOTIFICATION OF NRC OF CERTAIN EMPLOYMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

The enclosed Order is being issued because of your violations of 10 CFR 30.10 of the Commission's regulations as described in the Order. The Order requires that you: 1) inform NRC if, within two years from the date of this Order, you are involved or become involved in NRC-licensed activities at any employer other than Morgan County Memorial Hospital, and 2) provide a copy of the Order to any such employer or potential employer. Failure to comply with the provisions of this Order may result in civil or criminal sanctions.

Also as a result of your actions, a civil monetary penalty of \$9,750 was assessed against your employer. A copy of that enforcement action is also enclosed.

On September 16, 1991, the NRC revised its regulations to allow orders to be issued directly to unlicensed persons who, through their deliberate misconduct, cause a licensee to be in violation of NRC requirements, or who deliberately submits material false or incomplete information to the NRC or any licensee or its contractors. Similarly, an order may be issued to such an individual preventing him or her from engaging in licensed activities at any NRC-licensed facility. A copy of this rulemaking is enclosed.

Similar conduct by you in the future could result in more significant enforcement action against you as an individual, including an Order preventing you from engaging in licensed activities at all NRC facilities. Violation of 10 CFR 30.10 may also lead to criminal prosecution.

Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

Mr. William K. Headley

2

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

Sincerely,


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Enclosures: As Stated

cc: Morgan County Memorial Hospital

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

WILLIAM K. HEADLEY

IA 94-002

ORDER REQUIRING NOTICE TO CERTAIN EMPLOYERS
AND PROSPECTIVE EMPLOYERS AND NOTIFICATION OF NRC OF
CERTAIN EMPLOYMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

William K. Headley is currently involved in NRC-licensed activities as an employee at Morgan County Memorial Hospital, Martinsville, Indiana. Morgan County Memorial Hospital (the licensee) is the holder of Byproduct Material License No. 13-17449-01 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 35. The license authorizes the possession and use of byproduct material for medical use as described in 10 CFR 35.100, 35.200 and 35.300.

II

On September 28, 1993, the NRC conducted an inspection at the licensee's facility. During the inspection, the NRC identified irregularities in the licensee's records of routine daily area radiation and weekly area radiation and contamination surveys conducted by Mr. Headley. During discussions with the NRC inspector, Mr. Headley admitted to deliberately falsifying the survey records and to deliberately failing to perform the required daily, and some of the required weekly, surveys for the past two and one half years. On October 26, 1993 the NRC

conducted an enforcement conference in the Region III Office with the licensee and Mr. Headley. During the enforcement conference, Mr. Headley reaffirmed his statements regarding his deliberate failure to perform required surveys and his deliberate falsification of survey records to make it appear that they had been performed when, in fact, they had not. Mr. Headley stated that one of the reasons for his actions was his full workload and his perceived need to save time by not doing some activities that he considered of minimal safety significance.

III

As discussed above, Mr. Headley deliberately failed to conduct surveys required by 10 CFR 35.70 and, in violation of 10 CFR 30.9, deliberately created survey records required to be maintained by licensees pursuant to 10 CFR 35.70 and which he knew to be false. Further, in violation of 10 CFR 30.10, Mr. Headley, an employee of the licensee, has engaged in deliberate misconduct that has caused the licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 35.70 and 10 CFR 30.9.

The NRC must be able to rely on the Licensee and its employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to maintain records that are complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Headley's actions have raised serious doubt as to

whether he can be relied upon to comply with NRC requirements and to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC.

The licensee has counseled Mr. Headley that further failures on his part will result in the licensee's removal of him from licensed activities and may result in his termination by the licensee. The licensee has also issued a letter of reprimand to Mr. Headley. Further, the licensee has instituted procedures to ensure that each survey is observed by the Department Head or designee.

Given the deliberate nature of Mr. Headley's conduct over an extensive period of time, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected, if Mr. Headley were permitted at this time to become involved in licensed activities, other than those licensed activities performed at Morgan County Memorial Hospital, without providing specific notice to the NRC and the employing licensee as described above. Therefore, the public health, safety, and interest require that Mr. Headley be required to: 1) provide a copy of this Order to any employer or prospective employer, other than Morgan County Community Hospital, engaged in licensed activities to assure that such employer is aware of Mr. Headley's previous history, and 2) notify the NRC of any involvement in licensed activities,

other than those conducted at Morgan County Memorial Hospital, to assure that the NRC can continue to monitor the status of Mr. Headley's compliance with the Commission's requirements. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the public health, safety, and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161c, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202 and 10 CFR 30.10, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVELY IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

1. Should William K. Headley seek employment involving NRC-licensed activities during the two year period from the date of this Order, Mr. Headley shall provide a copy of this Order to the prospective employer at the time that Mr. Headley is soliciting or negotiating employment so that the person is aware of the Order prior to making an employment decision.
2. For a two year period from the date of this Order, William K. Headley shall, within 10 business days of his acceptance of an employment offer involving NRC-licensed

activities, provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer.

3. If William K. Headley is currently involved in NRC-licensed activities at any employer other than Morgan County Community Hospital, Mr. Headley shall, within 30 days of the date of this Order, provide a copy of this Order to any such employer and provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, at the address in 2. above, of the name, address, and telephone number of any such employer.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstrations by Mr. Headley of good cause.

v

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, William K. Headley must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this

Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which William K. Headley or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351, and to William K. Headley if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than William K. Headley. If a person other than William K. Headley requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by William K. Headley or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), William K. Headley, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition

to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 14th day of March 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

June 12, 1995

EA 94-240
IA 95-015
IA 95-016

Midwest Testing, Inc.
ATTN: Mr. William Kimbley, President
Ms. Joan Kimbley, General Manager and
Treasurer
2421 Production Drive
Indianapolis, Indiana 46241

SUBJECT: CONFIRMATORY ORDER AND NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF LICENSE
(OI INVESTIGATION REPORT NO. 3-93-022R)

Dear Mr. and Ms. Kimbley:


The Confirmatory Order (Order) to which you agreed on June 2, 1995, has been executed. A signed copy of the Order is enclosed. In addition, your license has been terminated as of the date of this letter in accordance with the Order Suspending License dated August 26, 1994. Enclosed is a copy of Amendment 1 terminating License No. 030-24866-02. We consider this matter settled.

Under the terms of this Order, for a period of five years beginning June 2, 1995, you, as well as Midwest Testing, Inc. and any successor entity, are prohibited from applying to the NRC for a license, and prohibited from engaging in, or controlling, any NRC-licensed activity. Should you violate the terms of the Order, you may be subject to civil and criminal sanctions under Sections 233 and 234 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to me at (301) 415-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice", a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

Sincerely,



James Lieberman, Director
Office of Enforcement

Enclosures: As Stated

Docket No. 030-32827
License No. 13-24866-02

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
MIDWEST TESTING, INC.) Docket No. 030-32827
Indianapolis, Indiana) License No. 13-24866-02
EA 94-240
MR. WILLIAM G. KIMBLEY) IA 95-015
MS. JOAN KIMBLEY) IA 95-016

CONFIRMATORY ORDER

I

Midwest Testing, Inc. (Licensee) is holder of NRC License No. 13-24866-02 (License) issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 30. The License authorized the Licensee to possess and use cesium-137 and americium-241 as sealed sources in moisture/density gauges. The License was issued on August 19, 1992, and is being terminated by Amendment No. 1, which is being issued on the date of this Order.

II

On July 27, 1993, a routine inspection of licensed activities was conducted at Midwest Testing, Inc. (Licensee) by NRC Region III. During the inspection the inspector identified that licensee management had allowed workers to operate moisture density gauges without personnel monitoring devices (film badges) and that required leak tests of the gauges had not been performed.

The NRC Office of Investigations (OI) conducted an investigation to determine whether willful violations of NRC requirements had occurred. Based on the NRC inspection and OI investigation, it appears that Mr. William G. Kimbley, owner

of Midwest Testing, deliberately violated NRC requirements by:

- (1) allowing operators to use moisture density gauges without personnel monitoring devices between December 24, 1991, and August 25, 1993, in violation of Condition 18.A of License No. 13-24866-01 (expired on March 31, 1992) and Condition 20.A of License No. 13-24866-02 (issued on August 19, 1992);
- (2) not performing leak tests of two moisture density gauges between August 19, 1992, and July 31, 1993, in violation of Condition 13.A of License No. 13-24866-02;
- (3) not requesting a license amendment to name a new Radiation Protection Officer, in violation of Condition 11 of License No. 13-24866-02, when the individual named on the License left Midwest Testing in October 1993;
- (4) storing licensed material at an unauthorized location since March 1994 in violation of Condition 10 of License No. 13-24866-02 and 10 CFR 30.34(c); and
- (5) allowing moisture density gauges to be used between April 1, 1992, and August 19, 1992, with an expired license in violation of 10 CFR 30.3 and 10 CFR 30.36(c)(1)(i) and (iii).

In addition, it appears that Ms. Joan Kimbley, General Manager and Treasurer of Midwest Testing, Inc., deliberately violated Items (1), (2), and (5) above. These actions appear to have been a result of Midwest Testing, Inc. financial constraints, inexperience of the General Manager and, in general, a lack of appreciation on the part of the Owner and the General Manager of the regulatory significance and consequences of the violations.

A Confirmatory Action Letter was issued to the Licensee on March 21, 1994, confirming that the Licensee would secure its moisture density gauges in locked storage until the Licensee: (1) designated a Radiation Protection Officer, (2) obtained NRC approval via a license amendment for its designated Radiation Protection Officer and its current moisture density gauge storage location, (3) demonstrated that all its moisture density gauges were appropriately tested for leakage, and (4) demonstrated that personnel radiation monitoring devices were provided for those persons designated to use moisture density gauges. The Licensee did not use its moisture density gauges after issuance of the Confirmatory Action Letter.

Subsequently, an Order Suspending License (Effective Immediately) was issued to the Licensee on August 26, 1994, for nonpayment of fees, which required: (1) the Licensee to suspend NRC licensed activities and dispose of its licensed material; and (2) NRC termination of License No. 13-24866-02 following disposal of the licensed material. The Licensee disposed of its licensed material in December 1994. NRC Region III verified that the licensed material was properly transferred to authorized recipients.

A transcribed enforcement conference was conducted between the NRC and the Licensee on March 15, 1995, to discuss the apparent violations, their causes and safety significance. Mr. Kimbley stated during the enforcement conference, "And the question about would we ever pursue an NRC license again, the answer to that is no. If there is any way I can give you assurance of that, I'll be glad to do that." Ms. Kimbley stated during the Enforcement Conference, "Like we stated earlier, we don't intend to continue with any licensed material in the future."

Further, in a telephone conversation on May 2, 1995, with Mr. Paul Pelke, NRC Region III, Mr. and Ms. Kimbley agreed to the provisions and to the issuance of this Order to resolve all matters pending between them. Specifically, Mr. Kimbley agreed, for a period of five years from the date he signs this Confirmatory Order, that Mr. Kimbley, Midwest Testing, Inc., or any successor entity wherein Mr. Kimbley is an authorized user, radiation safety officer, owner, an officer, or a controlling stockholder, will not apply to the NRC for a new license, nor shall Mr. Kimbley, Midwest Testing, Inc., or a successor entity, as described above, engage in licensed activities within the jurisdiction of the NRC for that same period of time. Ms. Kimbley agreed, for a period of five years from the date she signs this Confirmatory Order, that Ms. Kimbley, Midwest Testing, Inc., or any successor entity wherein Ms. Kimbley is an authorized user, radiation safety officer, owner, an officer, or a controlling stockholder, will not apply to the NRC for a new license, nor shall Ms. Kimbley, Midwest Testing, Inc., or a successor entity,

as described above, engage in licensed activities within the jurisdiction of the NRC for that same period of time.

I find that the Licensee's commitments as stated in the May 2, 1995 conversation with Paul Pelke, NRC Region III, are acceptable and necessary and conclude that with these commitments the public health and safety are reasonably assured. In view of the foregoing, I have determined that the public health and safety require that the Licensee's commitments be confirmed by this Order.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, and 10 CFR Part 30, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. For a period of five years from the date Mr. William G. Kimbley signs this Confirmatory Order, Mr. Kimbley, Midwest Testing, Inc., or any successor entity wherein Mr. Kimbley is an authorized user, radiation safety officer, owner, an officer, or a controlling stockholder, will not apply to the NRC for a new license, nor shall Mr. Kimbley, Midwest Testing, Inc., or a successor entity, as described above, engage in licensed activities within the jurisdiction of the NRC for that same period of time.

2. For a period of five years from the date Ms. Joan Kimbley signs this Confirmatory Order, Ms. Kimbley, Midwest Testing, Inc., or any successor entity wherein Ms. Kimbley is an authorized user, radiation safety officer, owner, an officer, or a controlling stockholder, will not apply to the NRC for a new license, nor shall Ms. Kimbley, Midwest Testing, Inc., or a successor entity, as described above, engage in licensed activities within the jurisdiction of the NRC for that same period of time.
3. Mr. Kimbley, Ms. Kimbley, Midwest Testing, Inc., or any successor entity, as described above, waive the right to contest this Order in any manner, including requesting a hearing on this Order.

The Regional Administrator, NRC Region III, may relax or rescind, in writing, any of the above conditions upon a showing by the Licensee, Mr. William G. Kimbley, or Ms. Joan Kimbley of good cause.

V

Any person adversely affected by this Confirmatory Order, other than the Licensee, Mr. William G. Kimbley, and Ms. Joan Kimbley may request a hearing within 20 days of its issuance. Any request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and

Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region III, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532, and to the Licensee. If such a person requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Confirmatory Order should be sustained.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings.

This Order was consented to:

FOR THE LICENSEE, WILLIAM G. KIMBLY, AND JOAN KIMBLY

BY: Beck E. Kimbley Dated: 4/02/95
William G. Kimbley

Notary: Beck E. Kimbley *
Residence: Hendricks County, Indiana - Expires: 6-19-98

BY: Joan Kimbley Dated: 6-2-95
Joan Kimbley

Notary: Beck E. Kimbley *
Residence: Hendricks County, Indiana - Expires: 6-19-98

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BY: James Lieberman
James Lieberman

Order Dated: June 12, 1995
Rockville, Maryland



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

SEP 07 1994

IA 94-022

William F. Kusmik, Ph.D.
[HOME ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 2.790]

SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

Dear Dr. Kusmik:

On June 7, 1994, the NRC conducted a transcribed enforcement conference with your employer, Creative Biomolecules, Inc. (CBM), to discuss numerous violations identified during an NRC inspection at the facility on November 10 and 11, 1992, as well as during a subsequent investigation at the facility by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI). At the time of the inspection, you were the Radiation Safety Officer (RSO) at the facility. Although you declined to be interviewed during the OI investigation, exercising your Fifth Amendment right, you did provide information during the enforcement conference.

Based on the evidence gathered during the OI investigation and at the enforcement conference, the NRC has determined that you engaged in deliberate misconduct at the time you were the RSO in that you: (1) deliberately directed and caused an employee of CBM to fabricate records of certain wipe tests required by CBM's NRC license to be performed, when you knew that they had not been performed; and (2) deliberately provided inaccurate information to the NRC in a letter, dated September 21, 1992, in response to a previous Notice of Violation issued by the NRC on July 29, 1992.

At the enforcement conference at which you were in attendance and provided information, you were specifically questioned regarding these matters. With respect to the wipe test records, you admitted during the enforcement conference that you directed an employee to fabricate records of wipe tests for certain months, when you knew that the wipe tests had not been performed for those months. With respect to your September 21, 1992 letter, you admitted during the enforcement conference that the letter stated that certain actions had been taken in response to NRC inspection findings articulated in a July 29, 1992 letter and Notice of Violation, when in fact, those stated actions had not been taken. The details of these findings are described in the enclosed Order issued to you, as well as in a Notice of Violation and Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalties - \$15,000 and Order Modifying License (Effective Immediately) issued on this date to your employer. A copy of the NRC actions issued to CBM are also enclosed.

Your deliberate actions in directing and causing the fabrication of inaccurate records at the facility, as well as submitting inaccurate information to the NRC, are of particular concern to the NRC. These actions were particularly serious, since, as the RSO at the facility, you were charged with ensuring that CBM staff adhered to NRC requirements. Rather than properly discharging those responsibilities, you set an unacceptable example for employees at the facility, in particular, for the individual to whom you gave direction to fabricate the false records. These deliberate actions on your part resulted in your employer being in violation of NRC requirements set forth in 10 CFR 30.9, for which, in part, the civil monetary penalties are being issued to CBM on this date. Furthermore, by deliberately submitting information to the NRC in the September 21, 1992 letter, which you knew to be inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC, you violated the deliberate misconduct rule set forth in 10 CFR 30.10, a copy of which is enclosed. That rule provides, in part, that if an employee of a licensee engages in deliberate misconduct that causes a licensee to be in violation of any rule, regulation, or any term, condition, or limitation of any license, issued by the Commission, or if an employee deliberately provides information to the NRC which the employee knows to be inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC, that employee may be subject to enforcement action. Such deliberate misconduct, particularly by an RSO, cannot and will not be tolerated by the NRC.

Therefore, the NRC is issuing to you the enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement in Certain NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) which: (1) prohibits you from being an authorized user and from acting as an RSO for a period of one year from the date of this Order; you are permitted, however, to perform NRC-licensed activities under the direct supervision of an authorized user; (2) requires you, for a period of three years from the date of the Order, to notify the NRC within 20 days of your acceptance of an employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities; and (3) requires you, within 30 days from the date of this Order, to provide a statement of your commitment to comply with NRC requirements and the basis why the Commission should have confidence that you will comply with applicable NRC requirements. The staff notes that pursuant to section 223 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, any person who willfully violates, attempts to violate, or conspires to violate, any provision of this Order shall be subject to criminal prosecution as set forth in that section.

Should you have any questions on this matter, please contact Ms. Patricia Santiago, Assistant Director for Materials, NRC Office of Enforcement, at (301) 504-3055.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosures will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

Sincerely,


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards,
and Operations Support

Enclosures:

1. Order Prohibiting Involvement in Certain NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately)
2. Notice of Violation and Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalties and Order Modifying License (Effective Immediately) issued to Creative Biomolecules, Inc.
3. Copy of Deliberate Misconduct Rule

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

DR. WILLIAM F. KUSMIK

IA 94-022

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN
CERTAIN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Creative Biomolecules, Inc. (Licensee) is the holder of Byproduct License No. 20-20592-01 (License) issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 30. The License authorizes the Licensee to use certain stated byproduct materials for research and development as defined in Section 30.4(q) of 10 CFR Part 30. At the time of an NRC inspection in November 1992, Dr. William F. Kusmik was the Radiation Safety Officer at the facility. The License, originally issued on April 20, 1983, was amended in its entirety on March 3, 1993, and was due to expire on March 31, 1994, but has remained in effect since that time due to a timely renewal request made pursuant to 10 CFR 30.37(b).

II

On November 10-11, 1992, the NRC performed an inspection at the Licensee's facility in Hopkinton, Massachusetts. During the inspection, the NRC identified numerous violations of NRC requirements. As a result of the inspection findings, a Confirmatory Action Letter was issued to the Licensee on November 12, 1992. The inspector also found indications that information provided by Dr. William F. Kusmik (the Radiation Safety Officer (RSO) at the facility at the time of an NRC inspection in November 1992) in a letter dated

September 21, 1992, did not appear to be accurate, and that records of monthly wipe tests at the facility may have been falsified. As a result, an investigation was conducted by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI).

As a result of the OI investigation, a transcribed enforcement conference was conducted on June 7, 1994, with Dr. Kusmik, to address whether he:

(1) deliberately directed a Licensee employee to falsify certain NRC-required wipe test records; and (2) deliberately provided false or misleading information to the NRC in a letter dated September 21, 1992, in response to a previous Notice of Violation (Notice) issued by the NRC on July 29, 1992.

With respect to the issue of records falsification, Dr. Kusmik admitted during the enforcement conference that in 1991 he directed an employee to fabricate records of wipe tests for certain months (at a minimum, for November 1990 and February 1991), even though the tests had not been performed for those months.

With respect to the information provided in the September 21, 1992 letter sent to the NRC under Dr. Kusmik's signature, Dr. Kusmik admitted during the enforcement conference that he had stated in the letter that certain actions had been taken in response to NRC inspection findings articulated in the July 29, 1992 letter and Notice, when, in fact, those stated actions had not been taken. Specifically, in response to the July 29, 1992 Notice involving the failure to perform surveys of a certain laboratory required to be performed by License Condition 14, the September 21, 1992 letter stated that "To avoid a repetition of these discrepancies, three individuals within the laboratory have been trained to perform these surveys." This statement was

not accurate in that Dr. Kusmik stated during the enforcement conference that while he intended to provide such training, no such training subsequent to the July 29, 1992 Notice had been provided at the time Dr. Kusmik signed and sent the September 21, 1992 letter to the NRC. In addition, in response to a concern expressed in the NRC's July 29, 1992 letter transmitting the Notice, involving the licensee's plans to obtain access to a thyroid phantom or some other method to quantitatively determine thyroid uptakes of personnel, the licensee's September 21, 1992 letter stated that "A calibrated survey meter with a Sodium Iodine [Iodide] crystal has been recalibrated for thyroid counting using a thyroid phantom consisting of a plexiglas block approximately three inches thick." This statement was not accurate in that Dr. Kusmik admitted during the enforcement conference that the sodium iodide crystal had not been recalibrated at the time he had signed and sent the letter to the NRC.

Based on the above, the NRC has concluded that in 1991, Dr. Kusmik caused the Licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 30.9(a). Specifically, Dr. Kusmik deliberately directed an employee to fabricate records, required to be maintained by the Licensee, of wipe tests for certain months (at a minimum, for November 1990 and February 1991), even though the tests had not been performed during those months. In addition, on September 21, 1992, Dr. Kusmik violated 10 CFR 30.10(a) by engaging in deliberate misconduct that caused the Licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 30.9(a). Specifically, Dr. Kusmik deliberately submitted to the NRC false information in the September 21, 1992 letter in

which he stated that: (1) three individuals within the laboratory had been trained to perform surveys; and (2) a calibrated survey meter with a Sodium Iodide crystal had been recalibrated for thyroid counting.

III

As a result, the NRC has serious concerns regarding Dr. Kusmik's performance and supervision of NRC-licensed activities, and in particular, the supervision of such activities. The actions of Dr. Kusmik, described above, were particularly serious since, as the RSO at the facility, Dr. Kusmik was charged with ensuring that Licensee staff adhered to requirements and performed activities in a safe manner. Rather than properly discharging those responsibilities, Dr. Kusmik set an unacceptable example for the individuals to whom he gave direction, as well as to others engaged in NRC-licensed activities at the facility.

While Dr. Kusmik did not cooperate during the OI investigation, he did provide information during the transcribed enforcement conference on June 7, 1994. Specifically, Dr. Kusmik stated that he: (1) directed an employee to falsify NRC-required wipe test records; and (2) provided false or misleading information to the NRC in a letter, dated September 21, 1992, in response to a Notice of Violation. The NRC recognizes Dr. Kusmik was candid and straightforward with the NRC when questioned during the enforcement conference. The NRC also recognizes that Dr. Kusmik was contrite and expressed remorse for his actions. However, Dr. Kusmik's actions cannot and will not be tolerated by the NRC. Consequently, I lack the requisite

reasonable assurance that information provided to the NRC by Dr. Kusmik, or required to be maintained by Dr. Kusmik or the Licensee, will be complete and accurate in all material respects, and that the health and safety of the public will be protected if Dr. Kusmik were permitted at this time to be an authorized user listed on any NRC license or act as an RSO.

Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Dr. Kusmik: (1) be prohibited from being an authorized user and from acting as an RSO for a period of one year from the date of this Order; (2) for a period of three years from the date of the Order, notify the NRC within 20 days of his acceptance of an employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities; and (3) Dr. Kusmik, within 30 days from the date of this Order, provide a statement of his commitment to comply with NRC requirements and the basis why the Commission should have confidence that he will comply with applicable NRC requirements. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be effective immediately.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202 and 10 CFR 30.10, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

- A. Dr. William F. Kusmik is prohibited from being an authorized user and from acting as an RSO on any NRC license for a period of one year from

the date of this Order. During this one-year period, an NRC licensee may allow Dr. Kusmik to perform NRC-licensed activities only if he is under the direct supervision of an authorized user as defined below. NRC-licensed activities are those activities that are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20. During this time period, Dr. Kusmik must also provide a copy of this Order to prospective employers who engage in NRC-licensed activities.

Supervision of Dr. Kusmik during this period shall be performed as follows:

1. The licensee must document the name of the authorized user responsible for supervising Dr. Kusmik's activities and ensuring compliance with all applicable NRC requirements.
2. The authorized user supervising Dr. Kusmik shall routinely observe and review all radiological safety records generated by Dr. Kusmik's activities. On monthly basis, records generated by Dr. Kusmik will be reviewed and initialed by the supervising authorized user to assure that the records are complete and accurate. Any record found not to be in accordance with NRC requirements shall be reported to the RSO.

3. The RSO shall:

- a. ensure and document that he/she has provided training to Dr. Kusmik on the License, its conditions, and all applicable NRC requirements including the Licensee's radiation safety procedures;
- b. perform documented audits of all NRC-licensed activities performed by Dr. Kusmik on quarterly basis; and
- c. review and institute corrective actions for any violations noted.

4. Records of NRC-licensed activities conducted by Dr. Kusmik must be retained until the next NRC inspection.

B. For a period of three years from the date of the Order, Dr. Kusmik shall, within 20 days of his acceptance of each employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities, as defined in Paragraph IV.A above, provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer or the entity where he is, or will be, involved in the NRC-licensed activities.

C. Dr. Kusmik shall, within 30 days of the date of this Order, provide a statement to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear

Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, of his commitment to comply with regulatory requirements and the basis why the Commission should have confidence that he will now comply with applicable NRC requirements.

D. For purposes of this Order, an authorized user is a person who is listed on an NRC license as a user of, or is an individual who supervises other persons using, NRC-licensed material.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Dr. Kusmik of good cause.

v

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Dr. Kusmik must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Dr. Kusmik or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406, and to Dr. Kusmik if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Dr. Kusmik. If a person other than Dr. Kusmik requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).


If a hearing is requested by Dr. Kusmik or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Dr. Kusmik, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further

order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards,
and Operations Support

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 7th day of September 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

AUG 26 1994

IA 94-019

Mr. Larry S. Ladner
(HOME ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 10 CFR 2.790)

SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

The enclosed Order is being issued because of your violations of 10 CFR 30.10 of the Commission's regulations as described in the Order.

Based on an investigation conducted by the NRC's Office of Investigation, the NRC Staff has determined that you deliberately failed to supervise radiographers' assistants performing licensed activities, falsified a large number of quarterly personnel audits and provided false information to NRC officials. A copy of the synopsis of the investigation is enclosed.

Failure to comply with the provisions of this Order may result in further civil or criminal sanctions.

Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and the enclosures will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,

James Lieberman
James Lieberman, Director
Office of Enforcement

Enclosures:

1. Order
2. Synopsis

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Larry S. Ladner

)
)
)
)

IA 94-019

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN
NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Larry S. Ladner has been employed as a radiographer in the field of industrial radiography since approximately 1964. In October, 1989, Mr. Ladner was hired by the American Inspection Company, Inc. (AMSPEC). AMSPEC held Materials License No. 12-24801-01 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 34. This license authorized the conduct of industrial radiography activities in accordance with certain specified conditions. On April 30, 1992, the license was suspended as a result of significant safety violations and related safety concerns.

Mr. Ladner worked as both a radiographer and a supervisor until his dismissal by AMSPEC in the latter part of 1991.

II

Between August 22, 1991 and November 12, 1992, the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) conducted an investigation of licensed activities of AMSPEC. During the course of this investigation, the AMSPEC license was suspended when a significant number of safety violations were identified. In addition, the investigation revealed that Mr. Ladner, in his position as a supervisor (1) deliberately allowed radiographers' assistants to work

unsupervised on numerous occasions, (2) deliberately falsified in excess of 100 quarterly personnel audits, and (3) deliberately gave false information to NRC officials regarding the unauthorized use of licensed material.

10 CFR 34.44 requires that a radiographer's assistant shall be under the personal supervision of a radiographer whenever he uses radiographic exposure devices, sealed sources or related source handling tools, or conducts radiation surveys required by 10 CFR 34.43(b) to determine that the sealed source has returned to the shielded position after an exposure. The personal supervision shall include: (a) the radiographer's personal presence at the site where the sealed sources are being used; (b) the ability of the radiographer to give immediate assistance if required; and (c) the radiographer watching the assistant's performance of the operations referred to in this section. In addition, 10 CFR 34.11(d)(1) requires, in part, that an applicant have an inspection program that requires the observation of the performance of each radiographer and radiographer's assistant during an actual radiographic operation at intervals not to exceed three months.

10 CFR 30.9(a) requires, in part, that information provided to the Commission by a licensee, and information required by the Commission's regulations to be maintained by the licensee, shall be complete and accurate in all material respects.

While functioning as a radiation protection officer, Mr. Ladner deliberately caused a violation of 10 CFR 34.44 in December 1990 and February through May 1991 by allowing three radiographers' assistants to work independently and without personal supervision. During this same period, Mr. Ladner also

authorized others to use his name on check-out logs, in violation of 10 CFR 30.10. Moreover, Mr. Ladner's employer (AMSPEC) had an approved program that required the observation of radiographers and radiographers' assistants at the required interval as prescribed by 10 CFR 34.11(d); however, between September 1990 and November 1991, he deliberately disregarded the licensee's program in excess of 100 times by falsifying records of audits that were never performed, causing a violation of 10 CFR 30.9. During an NRC inspection conducted on July 22-23, 1991, Mr. Ladner deliberately provided inaccurate information to NRC inspectors when he claimed no knowledge of a reported unauthorized use of licensed material, when in fact he was aware of such use.

On January 15, 1993, Mr. Ladner pled guilty to one felony count involving deliberate violations of the Atomic Energy Act based on his violations of these requirements.

III

Based on the above, Mr. Ladner engaged in deliberate misconduct which caused AMSPEC to be in violation of 10 CFR 30.9 and 34.11(d). The NRC must be able to rely on licensees and their employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirements to supervise radiographer's assistants performing licensed activities and to maintain and compile records that are complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Ladner's deliberate actions in causing AMSPEC to be in violation of NRC requirements (e.g. 30.9 and 34.11(d)), and his deliberate submittal to AMSPEC of false audit records, which are violations of 10 CFR 30.10, have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied on to comply with NRC requirements and to provide complete and accurate

information to the NRC. Mr. Ladner's deliberate misconduct, including his deliberate false statements to Commission officials, cannot and will not be tolerated.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected, if Mr. Ladner were permitted at this time to supervise or perform licensed activities in any area where the NRC maintains jurisdiction. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Ladner be prohibited from engaging in NRC licensed activities (including supervising, training and auditing) for either an NRC licensee or an Agreement State licensee in areas of NRC jurisdiction in accordance with 10 CFR 150.20 for a period of three years from the date of this Order. In addition, for a period of two years commencing after completion of the three year period of prohibition, Mr. Ladner is required to notify the NRC of his employment by any person or entity engaged in NRC-licensed activities to ensure that the NRC can monitor the status of Mr. Ladner's compliance with the Commission's requirements and his understanding of his commitment to compliance. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this order be effective immediately.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in

10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 30.10, and 10 CFR 150.20, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

1. Larry S. Ladner is prohibited for three years from the date of this Order from engaging in NRC-licensed activities. NRC-licensed activities are those activities which are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20. During this time period, Mr. Ladner must also provide a copy of this Order to prospective employers who engage in NRC-licensed activities, at the time he accepts employment.
2. For a period of two years after the three-year period of prohibition has expired, Larry S. Ladner shall within 20 days of his acceptance of an employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities or his becoming involved in NRC-licensed activities, as defined in Paragraph IV.1 above, provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer or the entity where he is, or will be, involved in the NRC-licensed activities. In the first notification Mr. Ladner shall include a statement of his commitment to compliance with regulatory requirements and the basis why the Commission should have confidence that he will now comply with applicable NRC requirements.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Ladner of good cause.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Larry S. Ladner must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Larry S. Ladner or any other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, 101 Marietta Street, N. W., Suite 2900, Atlanta, Georgia 30323, and to Larry S. Ladner if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Larry S. Ladner. If a person other than Larry S. Ladner requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Larry S. Ladner or another person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Larry S. Ladner, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing; the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or processing. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

James Lieberman
James Lieberman, Director
Office of Enforcement

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 26th day of August 1994

SYNOPSIS

On August 22, 1991, the Regional Administrator, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Region II, requested an investigation to determine whether officials, managers, and/or employees of The American Inspection Company, Inc. (AMSPEC), the licensee, had intentionally violated regulatory and license condition requirements set forth in 10 CFR Parts 20, 30, and 34 and the NRC license of January 15, 1987, respectively. According to reported allegations, licensee management officials had permitted unqualified technicians to perform radiography operations at the Hess Oil Virgin Islands Company (HOVIC) facility, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, which had contracted with AMSPEC for nondestructive examination services. Additionally, licensee officials allegedly: (1) discriminated (involuntary termination) against technicians for reporting radiation health and safety concerns, (2) falsified radiation safety training documents, (3) provided false and misleading information to the NRC, and (4) used source material in a manner not authorized by the license (irradiation of mice).

The Office of Investigations (OI) reviewed the circumstances of the alleged regulatory and license condition violations during which other improprieties by the licensee were identified. The investigation by OI did not substantiate that licensee management officials had terminated radiography technicians for reporting radiation health and safety concerns. It was concluded, however, that these licensee officials at the HOVIC facility appeared insensitive to employee concerns of all topics, including radiation safety, and they were perceived by technicians as acting with apparent disregard concerning this issue. The investigation further determined that licensee officials deliberately provided false and misleading radiation safety-related information to NRC representatives which was pertinent to the regulatory process. The investigation substantiated that the licensee, through actions of some radiation protection officers (RPOs), deliberately falsified radiation safety training records, inserted false records in technician files to give the impression required training was accomplished, and they also conspired to conceal these training deficiencies and improprieties from the NRC. The investigation surfaced and substantiated the allegation that licensee officials and RPOs deliberately falsified required personnel radiation safety audits and accompanying reports and they also created audit reports to make complete the radiation safety files of some technicians.

The investigation also disclosed and confirmed numerous instances of radiographers' assistants performing radiography without supervision and the deliberate falsification of source utilization logs to give the appearance that required supervision was present, all with the apparent knowledge and concurrence of licensee management officials. It was also determined during the investigation that licensee training officials (RPOs) frequently

failed to provide the Operation and Emergency Procedures (O&EP) Manual to new employees prior to source utilization. The investigation also determined that some licensee RPOs were not trained, examined, and certified according to Radiation Safety Program requirements and AMSPEC officials, including the radiation safety officer (RSO) and several RPOs, were aware of some of these violations and failed to correct them. Further, on at least one occasion, the RSO and an RPO conspired to concoct a plausible explanation for the NRC as to why RPO examination/certification requirements were violated.

The investigation substantiated the allegation that radioactive source material was utilized improperly when an AMSPEC night shift supervisor, in the presence of technicians, radiographed a mouse during two to three consecutive source exposures at the HOVIC facility. The QI investigation, and a previous NRC inspection at the St. Croix location, also revealed instances in which AMSPEC technicians failed to observe required surveying and posting activities during radiography operations, actions which demonstrated either an apparent disregard for regulations and/or radiation safety training deficiencies. Finally, the investigation disclosed that the RSO and other licensee management officials deliberately failed to perform required radiation safety review, evaluation, and oversight functions and responsibilities during the past 3 years.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

JUN 28 1994

Docket No. 55-60117
License No. SOP-11160
IA 94-014 and EA 94-094

Mr. Stephen Mignotte
[HOME ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 10 CFR 2.790]

Dear Mr. Mignotte:

**SUBJECT: NOTICE OF VIOLATION AND ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN
10 CFR PART 55 LICENSED ACTIVITIES (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)**

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has received a letter dated December 23, 1993 from the New York Power Authority, informing us that it no longer has a need to maintain your operating license for the Indian Point Unit 3 Nuclear Power Plant. We also received a letter dated January 3, 1994 (the letter is actually dated January 3, 1993, but due to the content of the letter, it is apparent that the correct date is January 3, 1994) from the New York Power Authority containing information concerning the circumstances associated with your confirmed positive test for marijuana and cocaine during a random drug test conducted at the facility on November 23, 1993. The test was conducted in accordance with fitness-for-duty requirements. We plan to place both of these letters in your 10 CFR Part 55 docket file.

In accordance with 10 CFR 55.55(a), the determination by your facility licensee that you no longer need to maintain a license has caused your license SOP-11160 to expire as of December 23, 1993. A Notice of Violation is being issued to you for your failure of the chemical test, your performance of licensed duties while under the influence of illegal drugs, and your submission of inaccurate information in the form of a false urine sample.

The purpose of the Commission's Fitness-for-Duty requirements is to provide reasonable assurance that nuclear power plant personnel work in an environment that is free of drugs and alcohol and the effects of the use of these substances. The use of illegal drugs is a serious matter that undermines the special trust and confidence placed in you as a licensed operator. The violations relating to the chemical test failure were categorized as a Severity Level III problem in accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions", 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (Policy) because the use of illegal drugs by licensed operators is a significant regulatory concern.

The violation relating to the submission of a false urine sample is of significant concern to the NRC because it indicates a willingness on your part to subvert the purpose of the facility licensee's fitness-for-duty program by deliberate violation of 10 CFR 55.53(k) and by deliberately providing

inaccurate and incomplete information to the licensee in violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(1) and (2). This violation was also categorized as a Severity Level III violation in accordance with the Policy.

Because your license has expired, you are not required to respond to the Notice of Violation at this time unless you contest the violations. Should you contest the violations, a response is required within 30 days of the date of this letter addressing the specific basis for disputing the violation. This response should be sent to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region I, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406.

The purpose of this letter is to make clear to you the consequences of your violation of NRC requirements governing fitness-for-duty as a licensed operator, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 55. Although you resigned your position at Indian Point 3 on November 23, 1993, the NRC remains concerned about the circumstances surrounding your urine test. The temperature of the first urine sample you provided was below the limits to be expected from a fresh urine sample and that sample yielded a negative test result. Due to the temperature of the sample, however, you were required to supply another sample, which was witnessed to ensure that it was a genuine sample, and this sample yielded a positive test result. The temperature of the first sample and the different results of the two samples taken close in time indicate that the first sample was not genuine and is evidence that you supplied a surrogate sample in an attempt to avoid detection for the use of illegal drugs. This attempt to subvert the testing process is a violation of 10 CFR 55.53(k), as well as 10 CFR 50.5(a)(1) and (a)(2), and demonstrates an intentional disregard for the important obligations of a licensed operator. In addition, the positive test result constitutes a violation of the conditions of your license prohibiting any use of illegal drugs, by the terms of 10 CFR 55.53(j). Therefore, an Order is also being issued prohibiting your involvement in 10 CFR Part 55 licensed activities for a period of three years from the date of the Order.

Failure to comply with the provisions of the enclosed Order may result in civil or criminal sanctions. Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

If, after the time period specified in the Order, you reapply for an operating license, you will need to satisfy not only the requirements of 10 CFR 55.31, but also those of 10 CFR 2.201, by addressing the reasons for the violations and the actions you have taken to prevent recurrence in order to ensure your ability and willingness to carry out the special trust and confidence placed in you as a licensed operator and to abide by all fitness-for-duty and other license requirements and conditions.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, enforcement actions are placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR). A copy of this letter with its enclosures but

with your address removed will be placed in the PDR. The letters from New York Power Authority, dated December 23, 1993 and January 3, 1994, will not be placed in the PDR.

Sincerely,


James L. Milhoan
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Reactor Regulation,
Regional Operations, and Research

Enclosures:

1. Order Prohibiting Involvement
in 10 CFR Part 55 Licensed Activities
(Effective Immediately)
2. Notice of Violation
3. December 23, 1993 letter from NYPA
4. January 3, 1994 letter from NYPA

cc w/encl:
Resident Manager, IP-3

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

STEPHEN MIGNOTTE

Senior Reactor Operator

)
)

Docket No. 55-60117

License No. SOP-11160

IA 94-014

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT
IN 10 CFR PART 55 LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Stephen Mignotte (Mr. Mignotte) held Senior Reactor Operator License No. SOP-11160 (License) issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 55. The license authorized Mr. Mignotte to manipulate, and to supervise the manipulation of, the controls of the nuclear power reactor at the New York Power Authority's (Facility Licensee) Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant in Buchanan, New York. On November 23, 1993, Mr. Mignotte resigned his employment with the New York Power Authority, which caused the License to expire. Additionally, the Facility Licensee, in a letter dated December 23, 1993, informed the NRC that the New York Power Authority no longer had a need to maintain Mr. Mignotte's operating license for the Indian Point Unit 3 Nuclear Power Plant.

II

The responsibilities associated with a Senior Reactor Operator license issued pursuant to 10 CFR Part 55 require that individuals be fit for duty while performing safety-related activities at the facility. The character of the individual, which includes the individual's trustworthiness, is a

consideration in issuing an operator license. See Section 182a of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. § 2232a). In determining whether or not an individual seeking a license to be a reactor operator or senior reactor operator has the necessary character and trustworthiness, the Commission takes into account any history of illegal drug use by the applicant. Prior to May 26, 1987, each applicant for a reactor operator or senior reactor operator license was required to certify that the applicant had no drug or narcotic habit on the Certificate of Medical Examination, NRC Form 396. Since that time, the NRC has required an evaluation of the applicant prepared by a physician as part of a license application. See 10 CFR 55.23(a). This evaluation is presented on a Certificate of Medical Examination, NRC Form 396. See 10 CFR 55.23. Among the factors to be considered by the certifying physician are factors such as use of illegal drugs or abuse of alcohol. See Form 396; see also ANSI/ANS 3.4-1983, Section 5.2.2.

In accordance with 10 CFR Part 26, the Facility Licensee established a program to provide reasonable assurance that nuclear power plant personnel are not under the influence of any substance, legal or illegal, which affects their ability to safely and competently perform their duties, including measures for early detection of persons who are not fit to perform licensed activities. In addition, licensed operators are required by 10 CFR 55.53(j) to refrain from use of illegal drugs, including marijuana and cocaine. Licensed operators are also required by 10 CFR 55.53(k) to participate in 10 CFR Part 26 fitness-for-duty programs established by the Facility Licensees.

On November 23, 1993, Mr. Mignotte, while on duty as a Senior Reactor Operator at the Indian Point 3 facility, was requested by the Facility Licensee to provide a urine sample to the nurse at the plant after being randomly selected as part of the routine fitness for duty chemical testing program required of the Facility Licensee by the NRC pursuant to 10 CFR 26.24. After receiving a sample from Mr. Mignotte, the nurse checked the temperature of the sample, noticed that it felt "cool to the touch", and found that the temperature was below specifications in 10 CFR Part 26, Appendix A, Section 2.4(g)(14), for acceptable urine samples. As a result, Mr. Mignotte was requested to provide a witnessed urine sample to the Facility Licensee in accordance with the same section of the Appendix. Mr. Mignotte provided a second sample which was subsequently determined, on November 30, 1993, to contain both marijuana and cocaine above cutoff levels specified by the Appendix. After the witnessed urine sample had been collected on November 23, 1993, Mr. Mignotte was suspended from licensed duties and he subsequently resigned that same day. These facts were provided to the NRC by the Facility Licensee, in letters dated December 23, 1993 and January 3, 1994, and were discussed in the report of an NRC inspection conducted January 12-13, 1994.

The results of the second, witnessed urine sample indicate that Mr. Mignotte used illegal drugs, which is a violation of the conditions of his license imposed by 10 CFR 55.53(j). Furthermore, his performance of licensed duties while under the influence of illegal drugs is also a violation of the conditions of his license imposed by 10 CFR 55.53(j). Based on the

temperature of the first urine sample provided by Mr. Mignotte and the fact that the first sample yielded negative results when tested for illegal substances while the subsequent, witnessed sample yielded positive results, I conclude that the first sample was a surrogate false sample, submitted by Mr. Mignotte in an attempt to conceal illegal drug use.

10 CFR 50.5(a)(2) prohibits any employee of a licensee from deliberately submitting to the NRC, a licensee, or a licensee's contractor or subcontractor, information that the person submitting the information knows to be incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC. The urine samples collected within the context of a licensee's chemical testing program pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR Part 26 represent information material to an access authorization and fitness-for-duty decision. Therefore, Mr. Mignotte's deliberately submitting inaccurate information material to the NRC in the form of a false sample, is a violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(2). In addition, Mr. Mignotte violated 10 CFR 50.5(a)(1) by deliberately providing to the Facility Licensee a surrogate urine sample that he knew to be inaccurate at the time he submitted it and which, but for detection, would have caused the Facility Licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 50.9(a).

Mr. Mignotte's failure to comply with the prohibition against illegal drug use and his attempts to circumvent the chemical testing program to avoid detection of illegal drug use while employed by the Facility Licensee are violations of the conditions of Mr. Mignotte's license imposed by 10 CFR 55.53(j) and (k), and demonstrate an intentional disregard for the important obligations of a licensed operator.

Based on the above, Mr. Mignotte, an employee of the New York Power Authority at the time of the incident, engaged in deliberate misconduct in violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(1) and (2) by deliberately violating 10 CFR 55.53(k), in that he submitted to the facility licensee information which he knew to be inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC. Mr. Mignotte, a licensed Senior Reactor Operator at the time of the event, also used illegal substances and performed licensed duties while under the influence of illegal substances in violation of 10 CFR 55.53(j), and deliberately failed to participate in the fitness-for-duty program established by the facility licensee in violation of 10 CFR 55.53(k).

The NRC must be able to rely on its licensees and their employees, especially NRC-licensed operators, to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide information and maintain records that are complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Mignotte's actions in using illegal drugs and attempting to circumvent fitness-for-duty requirements have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied upon to comply with NRC requirements applicable to licensed individuals and to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that Mr. Mignotte will conduct any 10 CFR Part 55 licensed activities in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be

protected with Mr. Mignotte engaged in such licensed activities at this time. Therefore, I find that the public health, safety, and interest require that Mr. Mignotte be prohibited from involvement in 10 CFR Part 55 licensed activities for three years from the date of this Order. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the misconduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 103, 107, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 50.5, and 10 CFR 55.61, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

- A. Mr. Mignotte is prohibited for three years from the date of this Order from engaging in licensed operator activities licensed by the NRC pursuant to 10 CFR Part 55.
- B. For a period of three years from the date of this Order, Mr. Mignotte shall provide a copy of this Order to any prospective employer engaged in activities licensed by the NRC pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50 prior to his acceptance of employment with such prospective employer so that the employer will have notice of the prohibition against Mr. Mignotte's involvement in licensed operator activities licensed pursuant to 10 CFR Part 55.

C. For three years from the date of this Order, Mr. Mignotte shall provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer, within 72 hours of his acceptance of an employment offer, from an employer who is engaged in activities licensed by the NRC pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50.

The Director, Office of Enforcement may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Mignotte of good cause.

VI

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Mignotte must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Mignotte or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; to the

Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address; to the Regional Administrator, Region I, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406; and to Mr. Mignotte, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Mignotte. If a person other than Mr. Mignotte requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Mignotte or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(1), Mr. Mignotte or any person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time that answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without

further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR A HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

James L. Milhoan
James L. Milhoan
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Reactor Regulation,
Regional Operations and Research

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 28th day of June 1994

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Stephen Mignotte
Senior Reactor Operator

Docket No. 55-60117
License No. SOP-11160
EA 94-094

In letters from the New York Power Authority dated December 23, 1993 and January 3, 1994, and during an inspection conducted by the NRC on January 12-13, 1994, violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violations are listed below:

A. 10 CFR 55.53(j) prohibits the use of illegal drugs, including marijuana and cocaine, and prohibits the operator from performing activities authorized by a license issued under 10 CFR Part 55 while under the influence of marijuana or cocaine. "Under the influence" is defined in 10 CFR 55.53(j) to mean that the operator "exceeded, as evidenced by a confirmed positive test, the lower of the cutoff levels for drugs or alcohol contained in 10 CFR Part 26, Appendix A, of this chapter, or as established by the facility licensee."

10 CFR 55.53(k) requires each licensee at power reactors to participate in the drug and alcohol testing programs established pursuant to 10 CFR Part 26.

1. Contrary to the above, the licensee violated 10 CFR 55.53(j) as evidenced by the following examples:
 - a. the licensee used marijuana and cocaine, as evidenced by a confirmed positive test for these drugs from a urine sample submitted on November 23, 1993; and
 - b. the licensee performed licensed duties on November 23, 1993 immediately before the submission of the urine sample which indicated that the licensee was under the influence of marijuana and cocaine while performing those duties. (01013)
2. Contrary to the above, the licensee violated 10 CFR 55.53(k) in that when he was selected for a random test on November 23, 1993, he submitted a surrogate urine sample for testing. The low temperature of this first sample and the fact that it tested negative while an observed sample submitted soon afterward tested positive for drugs is evidence that the first sample was a surrogate. (01023)

This is a Severity Level III problem (Supplement I).

B. 10 CFR 50.9(a) requires that information required by license conditions to be maintained by the licensee shall be complete and accurate in all material respects.

10 CFR 50.5(a)(1) prohibits an employee of a licensee from engaging in deliberate misconduct that, but for detection, would have caused the licensee to be in violation of any rule, regulation, or order, or any term, condition, or limitation of any license.

10 CFR 50.5(a)(2) prohibits any employee of a licensee from submitting to a licensee information that the employee submitting the information knows to be inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC.

Contrary to the above, in violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(1), Stephen Mignotte deliberately provided a surrogate urine sample to New York Power Authority, a Commission licensee, as described in Violation A, above, which, if New York Power Authority had not detected that the sample was a surrogate sample, would have caused the licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 50.9(a). In addition, Mr. Mignotte's action violated 10 CFR 50.5(a)(2) because the information to be derived from that urine sample was material to the NRC in that it was required by 10 CFR Part 26. (02013)

This is a Severity Level III violation (Supplement VII).

Because your license has expired, you are not required to respond to this Notice of Violation at this time unless you contest the violation. Should you contest the Notice of Violation, a response is required within 30 days of the date of this Notice addressing the specific basis for disputing the violation. This response should be sent to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland
this 28th day of June 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

APR 21 1994

IA 94-008

Mr. Sean G. Miller
[Home Address Deleted
Under 10 CFR 2.790]

Dear Mr. Miller:

**SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED
ACTIVITIES (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)
(NRC Inspection Report No. 50-237/92033; 50-249/92033;
NRC Investigation Report No. 3-92-055R)**

The enclosed Order is being issued as a consequence of events which occurred during operation of the Dresden Nuclear Station Unit 2 on September 18, 1992, and in violation of the Dresden Technical Specifications and Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) regulations. The NRC conducted an inspection and an investigation of the event. The investigation by the NRC's Office of Investigations (OI) concluded that on September 18, 1992, you deliberately violated or caused violations of NRC requirements and the Dresden Technical Specifications. A copy of the synopsis of the OI report was forwarded to you by letter dated November 4, 1993. You were invited to participate in an enforcement conference scheduled on this matter for November 17, 1993, but you declined.

On September 18, 1992, a rod mispositioning incident occurred when a Nuclear Station Operator (NSO), a licensed operator, moved a control rod out of sequence during your shift as the Qualified Nuclear Engineer (QNE). You noticed the error, and the NSO continued to move control rods in violation of station procedures, at your direction and without the knowledge or authorization of the Station Control Room Engineer (SCRE), after which you informed the SCRE of the mispositioned rod. Subsequently, you, the SCRE, the NSO and the two nuclear engineers in training who were present during the incident agreed not to tell anyone else about the mispositioned rod incident. As a result, neither the mispositioned rod nor the subsequent deviation from the planned control rod pattern were documented in the control room log, you falsified a Dresden Form 14-14C, and CECO management was not informed of the incident.

Your actions in connection with a deliberate attempt to conceal the September 18, 1992 event caused CECO to be in violation of 10 CFR 50.9, "Completeness and Accuracy of Information", and the Dresden license conditions, including technical specifications,

and constituted a violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a), "Deliberate Misconduct". In addition, by directing the NSO to continue to move control rods, you violated 10 CFR 55.3.


NRC does not have the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities will be properly conducted in accordance with regulatory requirements, including the requirement to provide information that is complete and accurate in all material respects, with you involved in licensed activities.

Consequently, after consultation with the Commission, I have been authorized to issue the enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately). Failure to comply with the provisions of this Order may result in civil or criminal sanctions.

Questions concerning the Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately) may be addressed to James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement. Mr. Lieberman can be reached at telephone number (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and the enclosure with your home address removed will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,


James L. Milhoan
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Reactor Regulation,
Regional Operations and Research

Enclosure: Order Prohibiting Involvement
in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately)

cc w/enclosure:

W. J. Wallace, Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer
L. O. DelGeorge, Vice President, Nuclear Oversight
and Regulatory Services
M. Lyster, Site Vice President
G. Spedl, Station Manager
J. Shields, Regulatory Assurance Manager
D. Farrar, Nuclear Regulatory Services Manager
Richard Hubbard
J. W. McCaffrey, Chief Public Utilities Division
Robert Newmann, Office of Public Counsel
State of Illinois Center

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of
Sean G. Miller
Coal City, Illinois

IA 94-008

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN
NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Mr. Sean G. Miller was formerly employed by the Commonwealth Edison Company (CECo) from June 18, 1990, until he resigned his employment on December 2, 1992. He most recently held the position of Qualified Nuclear Engineer (QNE) with responsibilities involving compliance with NRC requirements for the operation of a nuclear power plant. CECo holds Facility Licenses DPR-19 and DPR-25 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50. The licenses authorize CECo to operate the Dresden Nuclear Station Units 2 and 3 located near Morris, Illinois. The licenses were issued by the NRC on December 22, 1969, and March 2, 1971, respectively.

II

On November 24, 1992, CECo notified the NRC that CECo senior managers had just become aware of an incident that had occurred on September 18, 1992, when Unit 2 was operating at 75% power. A Nuclear Station Operator (NSO), a licensed reactor operator, had incorrectly moved control rod H-1 while repositioning control rods to change localized power levels within the reactor core,

and the event was concealed from CECO management. Both CECO and NRC initiated an investigation of the incident.

On September 18, 1992, the NSO, a licensed operator, erroneously moved control rod H-1 from Position 48 (fully withdrawn) to Position 36. The NSO and two individuals in training to become nuclear engineers were in the control room when Mr. Miller, the QNE on duty and an unlicensed individual, recognized the NSO's error. Mr. Miller informed the NSO of the error, the NSO continued to move control rods at Mr. Miller's direction, without the knowledge or approval of the Station Control Room Engineer (SCRE), and then Mr. Miller informed the SCRE of the event. Later the SCRE spoke with Mr. Miller, the NSO and the two nuclear engineers in training and they all agreed that they would not discuss the incident with anyone else. As a result, neither the mispositioned rod nor the subsequent deviation from the planned control rod pattern were documented in the control room log, Mr. Miller falsified a Form 14-14C plant record, and CECO management was not informed of the incident.

Dresden Technical Specification 6.2.A.1 stated that applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2 dated February 1978, shall be established, implemented, and maintained. Regulatory Guide 1.33, Appendix A.1.c, included administrative procedures, general plant

operating procedures, and procedures for startup, operation, and shutdown of safety related systems.

Dresden Operating Abnormal Procedure (DOA) 300-12, "Mispositioned Control Rod", Revision 2, November 1991, Section C.2, required, in part, that if a control rod is moved more than one even notch from its in-sequence position, then all control rod movement must be discontinued. Section D.2.a.(1) required, in part, that if a single control rod is inserted more than one even notch from its in-sequence position and reactor power is greater than 20%, and if the mispositioning occurred within the last 10 minutes, then the mispositioned control rod must be continuously inserted to Position 00. Section D.6 required that an upper management representative will conduct an evaluation into the cause of the mispositioning and implement immediate corrective actions prior to the resumption of routine control rod movements.

These procedures were not followed. Specifically, the NSO failed to insert the mispositioned control rod to Position 00, and continued to move control rods solely at the direction of Mr. Miller and without the performance of an evaluation and corrective actions by an upper management representative.

Dresden Administrative Procedure (DAP) 14-14, "Control Rod Sequences," Revision 0, dated November 1991, section F.1.e, required that Form 14-14C, "Special Instructions", must provide

instructions which should be clearly stated and strictly adhered to and required that the instructions be approved by the QNE (in this case, Mr. Miller) and an operations shift supervisor.

However, on September 18, 1992, following the mispositioning of control rod H-1, control rod arrays 8D2 and 5 were moved at Mr. Miller's direction and without the completion of a Special Instruction Form 14-14C clearly stating the sequence, and without prior approval of Mr. Miller's instructions by an operations shift supervisor. By directing the continued movement of control rods without the approval of a licensed operator, Mr. Miller, who is not a licensed operator, violated 10 CFR 55.3. Furthermore, after these rods had been moved, Mr. Miller knowingly completed a Form 14-14C to indicate a different sequence of control rod movements than that which actually occurred. The effect of this inaccurate Form 14-14C was to conceal the mispositioning of control rod H-1 and the subsequent movement of control rods in violation of plant procedures.

Based on the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) investigation of this matter (OI Report No. 3-92-055R), I conclude that Mr. Miller, along with certain other CECO employees, deliberately attempted to conceal the mispositioned control rod event by failing to document the incident as required by plant procedures. By falsifying the Form 14-14C, Mr. Miller deliberately put CECO

in violation of Dresden Technical Specification 6.2.A.1, DAP 14-14, Section F.1.e., and 10 CFR 50.9, "Completeness and Accuracy of Information".

III

Based on the above, Mr. Miller, an employee of CECO at the time of the event, engaged in deliberate misconduct which caused CECO to be in violation of its license conditions and 10 CFR 50.9, and which constitutes a violation of 10 CFR 50.5 and 10 CFR 55.3.

The NRC must be able to rely on its licensees and their employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to maintain records that are complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Miller's action in causing CECO to violate its license conditions and 10 CFR 50.9 have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied upon to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirements to maintain complete and accurate records. Mr. Miller's deliberate misconduct that caused CECO to violate Commission requirements cannot and will not be tolerated.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected, if Mr. Miller were permitted at this time to be engaged in the performance of NRC-licensed and

regulated activities. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Miller be prohibited from being involved in any NRC-licensed activities for three years from the date of this Order. In addition, for the same period, Mr. Miller is required to give notice of this Order to any prospective employer engaged in NRC-licensed activities as described in Section IV, Paragraph B, below, from whom he seeks employment in non-licensed activities to ensure that such employer is aware of Mr. Miller's previous history. For five years from the date of this Order, Mr. Miller is also required to notify the NRC of his employment by any person engaged in NRC-licensed activities, as described in Section IV, Paragraph B, below, so that appropriate inspections can be performed. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 103, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202 and 10 CFR 50.5, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

- A. Mr. Miller is prohibited for three years from the date of this Order from engaging in activities licensed by the NRC.
- B. Should Mr. Miller seek employment in non-licensed activities with any person engaged in NRC-licensed activities for three years from the date of this Order, Mr. Miller shall provide a copy of this Order to such person at the time Mr. Miller is soliciting or negotiating employment so that the person is aware of the Order prior to making an employment decision. For the purposes of this Order, licensed activities include the activities of: (1) an NRC licensee; (2) an Agreement State licensee conducting NRC-licensed activities pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20; and (3) an Agreement State licensee involved in the distribution of products that are subject to NRC jurisdiction.
- C. For three years from the date of this Order, Mr. Miller shall provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer, within 72 hours of his acceptance of an employment offer involving non-licensed activities for an employer engaged in NRC-licensed activities described in Paragraph IV.B, above.

D. After the three year prohibition has expired as described in Paragraphs IV.A and B above, Mr. Miller shall provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, for acceptance of any employment in NRC-licensed activity for an additional two year period.

The Director, Office of Enforcement may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Miller of good cause.

v

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Miller must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing within 30 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Miller or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory

Commission, Washington, DC 20555; to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address; to the Regional Administrator, Region III, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351; and to Mr. Miller, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Miller. If a person other than Mr. Miller requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).


If a hearing is requested by Mr. Miller or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Miller, or any person adversely affected by this Order, may in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time that answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

- 10 -

In the absence of any request for a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR A HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


James L. Milhoan
Deputy Executive Director
for Nuclear Reactor Regulation,
Regional Operations and Research

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 21st day of April 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

IA 94-031

October 31, 1994

Thomas A. Nisbet
[HOME ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 10 CFR 2.790]

SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)
(NRC INVESTIGATION REPORT NO. 4-93-049)

Dear Mr. Nisbet:

The enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities is being issued because you engaged in deliberate misconduct, as defined in 10 CFR 30.10, during your employment with Western Industrial X-Ray Inspection Company, Inc.

The Order prohibits your involvement in NRC-licensed activities for a period of one year from the date of the Order. This means that you are prohibited from any direct involvement in supervising or performing activities that are regulated by the NRC, including conducting or supervising radiographic operations. This prohibition extends to performing these activities in NRC jurisdiction, including working in non-Agreement States on behalf of an Agreement State licensee.

Pursuant to Section 223 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, any person who willfully violates, attempts to violate, or conspires to violate, any provision of this Order shall be subject to criminal prosecution as set forth in that section.

Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice", a copy of this letter and the enclosures will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Docket No. 030-32190
License No. 49-27356-01

Enclosure: As Stated

cc w/enclosure: State of Wyoming

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

THOMAS A. NISBET

) IA 94-031
)
)
)

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN
NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Thomas A. Nisbet was employed as a Radiographer for Western Industrial X-Ray Inspection Company, Inc. (Licensee or WIX), Evanston, Wyoming, from May 1993 to June 1994, when the WIX license was suspended. WIX is the holder of License No. 49-27356-01 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 34. The license authorizes the Licensee to possess sealed sources of iridium-192 in various radiography devices for use in performing industrial radiography in accordance with the conditions of the license. The license was suspended by NRC Order on June 16, 1994, and remains in suspension while a hearing requested by the Licensee is pending. On September 27, 1994, the NRC issued an immediately effective Order to WIX to transfer material in its possession. In a provision that is not effective immediately, the Order also revoked the WIX license.

II

Between January and June 1994, an inspection (030-32190/94-01) and an Office of Investigations (OI) investigation (4-93-049R) of licensed activities were conducted in response to allegations that Mr. Thomas A. Nisbet, a Radiographer formerly employed by WIX, had deliberately allowed a Radiographer's Assistant employed by WIX and working with him, to perform radiographic operations on July 31, 1993, without supervision, and that the Licensee deliberately failed to evaluate a July 31, 1993, potential overexposure incident involving the

Radiographer's Assistant. During the inspection and investigation, the Radiographer's Assistant informed the inspector and investigator that she and Mr. Nisbet falsified a written incident report provided to their employer that described the circumstances involving the potential overexposure incident. This potential overexposure incident occurred as the result of the Radiographer's Assistant not properly implementing radiography procedures while performing radiographic operations in that she failed to perform a survey to verify that the source was returned to its shielded position after a radiographic exposure was taken and she failed to lock the source in the exposure device prior to moving the device.

Based on its review of the available information, the NRC concludes that Mr. Nisbet violated provisions of 10 CFR 30.10, which prohibits individuals from deliberately causing a licensee to be in noncompliance with NRC requirements and from deliberately providing incomplete or inaccurate information to the NRC or to a licensee of the NRC which the individual knows is material in some respect to the NRC. Specifically, as discussed below in more detail, the NRC concludes that : 1) Mr. Nisbet deliberately failed to provide personal supervision of, including the failure to watch, his assistant, while she was performing radiographic operations on July 31, 1993, a violation of 10 CFR 34.44; and 2) Mr. Nisbet deliberately provided inaccurate information to the Radiation Safety Officer for WIX about the July 31, 1993, incident, a violation of 10 CFR 30.10.

During the inspection and investigation, Mr. Nisbet stated that he had allowed the Radiographer's Assistant to perform radiographic operations without his direct supervision. When questioned, Mr. Nisbet stated that he knew that allowing the Radiographer's Assistant to perform radiographic operations

without his supervision was a violation of NRC requirements; however, during subsequent questioning, Mr. Nisbet stated that he knew that he was responsible for the Radiographer's Assistant but he did not know that he had to watch her perform radiographic operations 100 percent of the time. Mr. Nisbet stated that he was performing paperwork in his truck during the time that the Radiographer's Assistant was potentially overexposed while performing radiographic operations on July 31, 1993. Mr. Nisbet stated that his written report of the incident which he provided to the President and Radiation Safety Officer for WIX was not completely true in that he did not actually observe the Radiographer's Assistant conduct radiography when the incident occurred.

During an enforcement conference that was held on August 30, 1994, Mr. Nisbet stated that he and other WIX radiographers had allowed their assistants to perform radiographic operations without being observed once they were confident that their assistants could perform radiographic operations without direct supervision. Mr. Nisbet stated that this was a common practice and that the WIX President and Radiation Safety Officer, Mr. Larry D. Wicks, had provided guidance to conduct radiography in this manner. Mr. Nisbet stated that he knew that there was an NRC regulation which required radiographers to supervise radiographer's assistants, but he did not know specifically what this supervision entailed and the guidance that he received from Mr. Wicks relative to this requirement was that he could perform dark room activities and complete paperwork while the Radiographer's Assistant conducted radiographic operations once he was confident that the assistant could perform radiography without direct supervision. Mr. Nisbet also stated that he felt pressured to allow the Radiographer's Assistant to perform radiographic operations without direct supervision or observation in order to meet the

schedule for accomplishing the number of contractually-specified daily radiographs.

Although 10 CFR 34.44 is explicit that personal supervision includes watching the radiographer's assistant's performance of operations, Mr. Nisbet stated that he was provided guidance by his employer that is contrary to the requirements of that regulation. However, improper direction from management does not excuse failure to comply with regulatory requirements.

The following considerations raise significant questions about Mr. Nisbet's willingness to comply with the NRC regulation that governs the supervision of Radiographers' Assistants:

1. Mr. Nisbet initially told the investigators that he did not watch the Radiographer's Assistant operate the exposure device for a particular weld (three exposures) on July 31, 1993, which he knew was a violation of NRC requirements.
2. Mr. Nisbet initially told the investigators that he had told the Radiographer's Assistant that she violated NRC regulations when she operated the exposure device on July 31, 1993, without him observing.
3. Mr. Nisbet falsified the written report that described the July 31, 1993, incident so that the report indicated that he was observing the Radiographer's Assistant at the time that the potential overexposure of the Radiographer's Assistant occurred.

4. The Radiographer's Assistant told the investigators that she agreed to falsify the incident report because, knowing it was a violation of NRC requirements for her to perform radiographic operations without being observed by Mr. Nisbet, she believed that he would be fired if Mr. Wicks knew that Mr. Nisbet was not supervising her while she was performing radiography.

Mr. Nisbet also told NRC personnel during the enforcement conference that he and the Radiographer's Assistant agreed, at the suggestion of the Radiographer's Assistant's spouse, who was also a WIX radiographer and Assistant Radiation Safety Officer, to provide a false account of how the potential overexposure incident occurred. Mr. Nisbet stated that the Radiographer's Assistant's spouse told him and the Radiographer's Assistant that they were likely to be fired if they told Mr. Wicks what actually transpired. Upon further questioning by the NRC personnel during the enforcement conference, Mr. Nisbet stated that he was not coerced into falsifying the written incident report and it was his decision to do so. Mr. Nisbet stated that after Mr. Wicks became aware of what actually occurred, Mr. Wicks told him that he would be fired if a similar incident occurred again.

Based on its review of the evidence gathered during the OI investigation, as well as the information obtained during the enforcement conference, the NRC concludes that Mr. Nisbet deliberately failed to personally supervise the Radiographer's Assistant while she conducted radiographic operations on July 31, 1993, and that Mr. Nisbet deliberately provided false information to the Licensee regarding the July 31, 1993 incident.

Based on the above, Thomas A. Nisbet has engaged in deliberate misconduct that caused the Licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 34.44. The NRC must be able to rely on the Licensee and its employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide information and maintain records that are complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Nisbet's actions in causing the Licensee to violate 10 CFR 34.44 have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied upon to comply with NRC requirements in the future.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected, if Mr. Nisbet were permitted at this time to be involved in NRC-licensed activities. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Thomas A. Nisbet be prohibited from any involvement in NRC-licensed activities for a period of one year from the date of this Order, and if he is currently involved with another NRC licensee in NRC-licensed activities, he must immediately cease those activities, and inform the NRC of the name, address and telephone number of the employer, and provide a copy of this Order to the employer. Additionally, Mr. Nisbet is required to notify the NRC of his first employment in NRC-licensed activities following the prohibition period. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of Mr. Nisbet's conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 30.10, and 10 CFR Part 34, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

1. Thomas A. Nisbet is prohibited for one year from the date of this Order from engaging in NRC-licensed activities. NRC-licensed activities are those activities that are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20.
2. The first time Mr. Nisbet is employed in NRC-licensed activities following the one-year prohibition, he shall notify the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and the Regional Administrator, NRC Region IV, at least five days prior to the performance of licensed activities (as described in 1 above). The notice shall include the name, address, and telephone number of the NRC or Agreement State licensee and the location where the licensed activities will be performed. The notice shall include a statement of his commitment to compliance with regulatory requirements and the basis why the Commission should have confidence that he will now comply with applicable NRC requirements.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Nisbet of good cause.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Nisbet must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Nisbet or any other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011, and to Mr. Nisbet if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Nisbet. If a person other than Mr. Nisbet requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Nisbet or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Nisbet, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 21st day of October 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

AUG 26 1991

IA 94-018

Richard E. Odegard
(HOME ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 10 CFR 2.790)

SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

The enclosed Order is being issued because of your violations of 10 CFR 30.10 of the Commission's regulations as described in the Order.

Based on an investigation conducted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Office of Investigation, the NRC Staff has determined that you deliberately conspired with other AMSPEC officials to deceive the Commission and provided false testimony, under oath, to NRC officials. In addition, you deliberately failed to train and certify employees in radiation safety as required by the AMSPEC license conditions. A copy of the synopsis of the investigation is enclosed.

Failure to comply with the provisions of this Order may result in further civil or criminal sanctions.

Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and the enclosures will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,



James Lieberman
Office of Enforcement

Enclosures:

1. Order
2. OI Synopsis

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Richard E. Odegard

IA 94-018

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN
NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Richard E. Odegard has been employed as a radiographer in the field of industrial radiography since approximately 1978. On approximately June 20, 1989, Mr. Odegard was hired by the American Inspection Company, Inc. (AMSPEC). AMSPEC held Materials License No. 12-24801-01 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 34. This license authorized the conduct of industrial radiography activities in accordance with specified conditions. On April 30, 1992, the license was suspended as a result of significant safety violations and related safety concerns. Mr. Odegard was a Vice-President of AMSPEC at the time of license suspension.

II

Between August 22, 1991 and November 12, 1992, the NRC Office of Investigations conducted an investigation of licensed activities at AMSPEC. During the course of this investigation, the AMSPEC license was suspended when a significant number of safety violations were identified. In addition, the investigation revealed that Mr. Odegard, in his capacity as a Vice-President and Area Manager for AMSPEC, conspired with other AMSPEC officials to deceive

the Commission regarding training of employees and, in addition, deliberately provided false sworn testimony to NRC officials.

AMSPEC submitted a Radiation Safety Manual as a part of its license application dated September 20, 1986. A part of this manual refers to employee training to satisfy the requirements of Appendix A of 10 CFR Part 34. This manual was incorporated as a part of License Condition 17 of the AMSPEC license. 10 CFR 30.9(a) requires, in part, that information provided to the Commission by a licensee, and information required by the Commission's regulations to be maintained by the licensee, shall be complete and accurate in all material respects. 10 CFR 30.10(a) requires, in part, that any licensee or any employee of a licensee may not: (1) engage in deliberate misconduct that causes a licensee to be in violation of any rule, regulation, or limitation of any license, issued by the Commission, or (2) deliberately submit to the NRC information that the person submitting the information knows to be incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC.

Between late 1989 and March 1, 1992, Mr. Odegard deliberately created false documents concerning the training of AMSPEC employees (documents that were required by the Commission's regulations to be maintained by AMSPEC), causing a violation of 10 CFR 30.9 by AMSPEC. During 1990 and 1991, Mr. Odegard deliberately provided unauthorized and improper aid to AMSPEC employees taking radiation safety examinations, a violation of License Condition 17. Between late 1989 and the end of 1991, Mr. Odegard deliberately falsified records of quarterly personnel radiation safety audits, causing violations of 10 CFR 30.9 and 34.11(d). On April 13, 1993, Mr. Odegard deliberately provided false

testimony under oath during the NRC investigation, a violation of 10 CFR 30.10.

On January 29, 1993, Mr. Odegard pled guilty to one felony count involving deliberate violations of the Atomic Energy Act based on his violations of these requirements.

III

Based on the above, Mr. Odegard engaged in deliberate misconduct which caused AMSPEC to be in violation of the training requirements of License Condition 17 and NRC regulations, including 10 CFR 30.9 and 34.11(d). The NRC must be able to rely on licensees and their employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirements to train and certify employees in radiation safety and procedures and the requirement to provide information that is complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Odegard's actions in deliberately causing AMSPEC to be in violation of NRC requirements regarding training and completeness and accuracy of information and his deliberate misrepresentations to NRC officials in violation of 10 CFR 30.10 have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied on to comply with NRC requirements, specifically the requirement to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC.

Mr. Odegard's deliberate misconduct, including his false statement to Commission officials, cannot and will not be tolerated.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected if Mr. Odegard

were permitted at this time to supervise or perform licensed activities in any area where the NRC maintains jurisdiction. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Odegard be prohibited from engaging in NRC licensed activities (including supervising, training or auditing) for either an NRC licensee or an Agreement State licensee performing licensed activities in areas of NRC jurisdiction in accordance with 10 CFR 150.20 for a period of five years from the date of this Order. In addition, for a period of five years commencing after completion of the five-year period of prohibition, Mr. Odegard is required to notify the NRC of his employment by any person or entity engaged in NRC-licensed activities, to ensure that the NRC can monitor the status of Mr. Odegard's compliance with the Commission's requirements and his understanding of his commitment to compliance. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this order be effective immediately.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 30.10, and 10 CFR 150.20, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

1. Richard E. Odegard is prohibited for five years from the date of this Order from engaging in NRC-licensed activities. NRC-licensed activities are those activities which are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those

activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20. During this time period, Mr. Odegard must also provide a copy of this Order to prospective employers who engage in NRC-licensed activities, at the time he accepts employment.

2. For a period of five years after the five-year period of prohibition has expired, Richard E. Odegard shall, within 20 days of his acceptance of an employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities or his becoming involved in NRC-licensed activities, as defined in Paragraph IV.1 above, provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer or the entity where he is, or will be, involved in the NRC-licensed activities. In the first notification Mr. Odegard shall include a statement of his commitment to compliance with regulatory requirements and the basis why the Commission should have confidence that he will now comply with applicable NRC requirements.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Odegard of good cause.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Richard E. Odegard must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order.

The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Richard E. Odegard or any other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, 101 Marietta Street, N. W., Suite 2900, Atlanta, Georgia 30323, and to Richard E. Odegard if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Richard E. Odegard. If a person other than Richard E. Odegard requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).


If a hearing is requested by Richard E. Odegard or another person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Richard E. Odegard or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order,

including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or processing. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



James Lieberman, Director
Office of Enforcement

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 26th day of August 1994

SYNOPSIS

On August 22, 1991, the Regional Administrator, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Region II, requested an investigation to determine whether officials, managers, and/or employees of The American Inspection Company, Inc. (AMSPEC), the licensee, had intentionally violated regulatory and license condition requirements set forth in 10 CFR Parts 20, 30, and 34 and the NRC license of January 15, 1987, respectively. According to reported allegations, licensee management officials had permitted unqualified technicians to perform radiography operations at the Hess Oil Virgin Islands Company (HOVIC) facility, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, which had contracted with AMSPEC for nondestructive examination services. Additionally, licensee officials allegedly: (1) discriminated (involuntary termination) against technicians for reporting radiation health and safety concerns, (2) falsified radiation safety training documents, (3) provided false and misleading information to the NRC, and (4) used source material in a manner not authorized by the license (irradiation of mice).

The Office of Investigations (OI) reviewed the circumstances of the alleged regulatory and license condition violations during which other improprieties by the licensee were identified. The investigation by OI did not substantiate that licensee management officials had terminated radiography technicians for reporting radiation health and safety concerns. It was concluded, however, that these licensee officials at the HOVIC facility appeared insensitive to employee concerns of all topics, including radiation safety, and they were perceived by technicians as acting with apparent disregard concerning this issue. The investigation further determined that licensee officials deliberately provided false and misleading radiation safety-related information to NRC representatives which was pertinent to the regulatory process. The investigation substantiated that the licensee, through actions of some radiation protection officers (RPOs), deliberately falsified radiation safety training records, inserted false records in technician files to give the impression required training was accomplished, and they also conspired to conceal these training deficiencies and improprieties from the NRC. The investigation surfaced and substantiated the allegation that licensee officials and RPOs deliberately falsified required personnel radiation safety audits and accompanying reports and they also created audit reports to make complete the radiation safety files of some technicians.

The investigation also disclosed and confirmed numerous instances of radiographers' assistants performing radiography without supervision and the deliberate falsification of source utilization logs to give the appearance that required supervision was present, all with the apparent knowledge and concurrence of licensee management officials. It was also determined during the investigation that licensee training officials (RPOs) frequently

failed to provide the Operation and Emergency Procedures (O&EP) Manual to new employees prior to source utilization. The investigation also determined that some licensee RPOs were not trained, examined, and certified according to Radiation Safety Program requirements and AMSPEC officials, including the radiation safety officer (RSO) and several RPOs, were aware of some of these violations and failed to correct them. Further, on at least one occasion, the RSO and an RPO conspired to concoct a plausible explanation for the NRC as to why RPO examination/certification requirements were violated.

The investigation substantiated the allegation that radioactive source material was utilized improperly when an AMSPEC night shift supervisor, in the presence of technicians, radiographed a mouse during two to three consecutive source exposures at the HOVIC facility. The OI investigation, and a previous NRC inspection at the St. Croix location, also revealed instances in which AMSPEC technicians failed to observe required surveying and posting activities during radiography operations, actions which demonstrated either an apparent disregard for regulations and/or radiation safety training deficiencies. Finally, the investigation disclosed that the RSO and other licensee management officials deliberately failed to perform required radiation safety review, evaluation, and oversight functions and responsibilities during the past 3 years.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

APR 05 1994

IA 94-004

Mr. Douglas D. Preston
(Address deleted
Under 10 CFR 2.790)

Dear Mr. Preston:

**SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY) (NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-
331/93020)**

The enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities is being issued as a consequence of your deliberately providing false information on applications you made for access authorization at the Iowa Electric Light and Power Company's (licensee) Duane Arnold Energy Center. On or about June 19, 1990, and on June 23, 1993, you indicated on your access authorization applications that you had not been arrested or convicted of a criminal offense other than minor traffic violations. The licensee subsequently learned that you had been arrested and convicted several times for crimes other than traffic violations and that you were incarcerated for some of those offenses. As a result of your deliberate false statements, you were granted unescorted access to the Duane Arnold facility in 1990 and again in 1993. A licensee investigator interviewed you about the false information at which time you indicated that you had lied on your applications in 1990 and 1993 and that you would lie again about your criminal record. The deliberate false information on your criminal history in your June 23, 1993 application caused you to be personally in violation of 10 CFR 50.5, "Deliberate Misconduct".

While you deliberately made the same false statements on your access authorization application of June 19, 1990, that instance is not being cited in the enclosed Order because it occurred prior to September 16, 1991, the date that 10 CFR 50.5 became effective.

Failure to comply with the provisions of the enclosed Order may result in civil or criminal sanctions.

Questions concerning the Order may be addressed to James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement. Mr. Lieberman can be reached at telephone number (301) 504-2741.

Mr. Douglas D. Preston

2

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice", a copy of this letter and the enclosure with your home address removed will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,

James Lieberman
James Lieberman, Director
Office of Enforcement

Enclosure:

**Order Prohibiting Involvement in
NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately)**

cc w/enclosure:

L. Liu, Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer
D. Wilson, Plant Superintendent
Nuclear Licensing
K. Young, Manager, Nuclear Licensing
Resident Inspector, RIII
Stephen Brown, Iowa Department
of Commerce
Licensing Project Manager, NRR
Berry Construction Company

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
MR. DOUGLAS D. PRESTON) IA 94-004

**ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN
NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)**

I

Mr. Douglas D. Preston was employed by the Berry Construction Company at the Iowa Electric Light and Power Company's (IELPC or Licensee) Duane Arnold Energy Center where he was granted unescorted access. IELPC holds Facility License DPR-49, issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50 on February 22, 1974. The license authorizes IELPC to operate the Duane Arnold Energy Center located near Cedar Rapids, Iowa, in accordance with the conditions specified therein.

II

Mr. Preston first applied for employment with Berry Construction Company and was subsequently granted unescorted access to the Duane Arnold Energy Center on or about June 19, 1990, based in part on the representations he made on his access authorization applications. One of the representations was that he had not been arrested and convicted for any criminal offense other than minor traffic violations. The Licensee submitted fingerprint cards to the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) and subsequently was

informed that Mr. Preston had a record of arrests, convictions, and imprisonments prior to 1978. However, while waiting for the results of the FBI fingerprint check, Mr. Preston's employment at the Duane Arnold Energy Center was terminated for a lack of work. Mr. Preston's deliberate false statements on his access authorization application on or about June 19, 1990 were essentially the same as his 1993 false statements (addressed below), but are not being cited in this Order as a violation because they were made before the effective date of 10 CFR 50.5.

On June 21, 1993, Mr. Preston again applied for a position at the Duane Arnold Energy Center and was hired on June 21, 1993 by the Berry Construction Company as a laborer with responsibilities involving NRC-licensed activities. On June 23, 1993, Mr. Preston filled out an access authorization application and again denied having a criminal history. The Licensee granted Mr. Preston temporary unescorted access to the plant on or about July 15, 1993. On or about August 13, 1993, the Licensee received the results of a second FBI fingerprint check which again detailed Mr. Preston's criminal history. Mr. Preston, when questioned by an IELPC investigator on August 13, at first denied having a criminal history and then admitted that he had lied about his criminal history to gain employment in 1990 and again in 1993. He further stated that he would lie again to gain employment in the future. The Licensee then revoked Mr. Preston's unescorted access based on the deliberately false information regarding his criminal history.

on his access authorization application.

Based on the above, Mr. Preston engaged in deliberate misconduct on or about June 23, 1993, by deliberately falsely stating on the access authorization application that he had no criminal history for crimes other than minor traffic offenses. The Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.5, in part, prohibit any employee of a contractor of a licensee from deliberately submitting to the licensee information that the employee knows to be incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC. Mr. Preston's actions constitute a violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a). Information concerning criminal history is material to the determination the licensee must make to meet 10 CFR 73.56(b)(2).

III

The NRC must be able to rely on the Licensee, its contractors, and the licensee and contractor employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide information that is complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Preston's actions in deliberately providing false information to the Licensee constitute deliberate violations of Commission regulations and his statement to the Licensee that he would do it again have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied upon to comply with

NRC requirements and to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC in the future.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that nuclear safety activities within NRC jurisdiction can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public would be protected if Mr. Preston were permitted to be engaged in the performance of licensed activities. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Preston be prohibited from being involved in the performance of activities licensed by the NRC for a five year period. In addition, Mr. Preston is required to notify the NRC, for an additional five year period, of his acceptance of employment in NRC-licensed activities so that appropriate inspections can be performed. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the deliberate misconduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 103, 161b, 161i, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202 and 10 CFR 50.5, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT :

A. Mr. Douglas D. Preston is prohibited from engaging in activities licensed by the NRC for five years from the date of this Order. For the purposes of this Order, licensed activities include the activities licensed or regulated by: (1) NRC; (2) an Agreement State, limited to the Licensee's conduct of activities within NRC jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20; and (3) an Agreement State where the licensee is involved in the distribution of products that are subject to NRC jurisdiction.

B. After the five year prohibition has expired as described in paragraph A above, Mr. Preston shall provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, for acceptance of any employment in licensed activity for an additional five year period.

The Regional Administrator, Region III, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Preston of good cause.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Preston must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to

this Order, and may request a hearing within 30 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Preston or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, Region III, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351, and to Mr. Preston, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Preston. If a person other than Mr. Preston requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Preston or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether

this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Preston, or any person adversely affected by this Order, may in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time that answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR A HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

James Lieberman
James Lieberman, Director
Office of Enforcement

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 5th day of April 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

March 3, 1995

IA 95-03

Forrest L. Roudebush
(HOME ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 10 CFR 2.790)

SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES AND
REQUIRING CERTAIN NOTIFICATION TO NRC

Dear Mr. Roudebush:

The enclosed Order is being issued as the result of an investigation by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) and a hearing before the NRC Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB) which found that you were responsible for deliberate violations of NRC requirements while you were the owner and president of Piping Specialists Incorporated (PSI), also known as PSI Inspection. The violations are fully described in the Order.

The Order prohibits your involvement in NRC-licensed activities for a period of five years beginning October 17, 1991, the date of the Immediately Effective Order that suspended the license of PSI. In addition, for a period of five years after the five year prohibition period, the Order also requires you to notify the NRC within 20 days of your employment or involvement in licensed activities. Pursuant to section 223 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, any person who willfully violates, attempts to violate, or conspires to violate, any provision of this Order is subject to criminal prosecution as set forth in that section.

You are required to respond to this Order and should follow the instructions specified in Section V of the Order when preparing your response. Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to Ms. Patricia A. Santiago, Assistant Director for Materials, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 415-3055.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice", a copy of this letter with your address removed, and the enclosures will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR). To the extent possible, your response should not include any personal privacy or proprietary information so that it can be placed in the PDR without redaction. However, if you find it necessary to include such information, you should clearly indicate the specific information that you desire not to be placed in the PDR, and provide the legal basis to support your request for withholding the information from the public.

The responses directed by this letter and the enclosed Order are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. No. 96-511.

Sincerely,


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Docket No. 030-29626
License No. 24-24826-01

Enclosure:
Order Prohibiting Involvement
in NRC Licensed Activities
and Requiring Certain Notification
to NRC

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

FORREST L. ROUDEBUSH
Kansas City, Missouri

) IA 95-03
)

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
AND REQUIRING CERTAIN NOTIFICATION TO NRC

I

Mr. Forrest L. Roudebush has been, from its inception, the owner and president of Piping Specialists Incorporated (PSI or licensee), also known as PSI Inspection, which was the holder of Byproduct Material License No. 24-24826-01 issued by the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 34 on March 6, 1987. The license authorized the use of byproduct material (iridium-192 and cobalt-60) for industrial radiography in devices approved by the NRC or an Agreement State. The facility where licensed materials were authorized for storage was located at 1010 East 10th Street, Kansas City, Missouri. The use of licensed materials was authorized at temporary job sites anywhere in the United States that the NRC maintains jurisdiction for regulating the use of licensed materials. On October 17, 1991, the NRC staff issued an Order Suspending License (Effective Immediately) to PSI. On April 22, 1992, the NRC staff issued to PSI an Order Modifying Order Suspending License (Effective Immediately) and Order Revoking License. The revocation of the license was upheld by a decision of the NRC Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB), *Piping Specialists, Inc. and Forrest L. Roudebush, LBP 92-25, 36 NRC 156 (1992)*, which the Commission declined to review, *CLI-92-16, 36 NRC 351 (1992)*.

NRC Region III initiated an inspection of the Licensee on September 4, 1991, and on September 24, 1991, the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) commenced an investigation based on information received on August 29, 1991, that the PSI radiation safety program was not being conducted in compliance with NRC rules, regulations, and license conditions. The inspection and investigation focused on the Licensee's compliance with NRC regulations, including possible willful violations involving: (1) false statements to NRC inspectors and investigators; (2) use of unauthorized and/or unqualified radiographer's assistants while conducting radiography; (3) preparation of false, inaccurate, and incomplete records; (4) failure to provide or use personnel dosimetry devices while conducting radiography; and (5) failure to survey and post radiation area boundaries to provide notice of radiation hazards to the public while performing radiography.

The OI investigation was completed on February 21, 1992, and identified the following deliberate violations of NRC requirements attributable to Mr. Roudebush:

- A. In violation of 10 CFR 30.9, the PSI Radiation Safety Officer (RSO), with the prior knowledge of Mr. Roudebush, deliberately provided incomplete and inaccurate information to NRC inspectors during inspections conducted on March 21 and September 17-18, 1991. Specifically, the RSO presented to the inspectors the Licensee's utilization log, records of pocket dosimeter readings, and records of

surveys of radiographic exposure devices performed at the time of the storage of the device at the end of the work day. Those records were neither complete nor accurate because: (1) the records did not document the Licensee's uses of the radiographic exposure devices which occurred during periods when the Licensee's personnel dosimetry service was interrupted due to the nonpayment of service fees; and (2) the information in the records had not been recorded daily as required, but instead, had been fabricated *en masse* shortly before the inspections. Further, the RSO and Mr. Roudebush knew that the records were inaccurate and that the records had been fabricated by the RSO immediately before the inspections.

- B. In violation of 10 CFR 30.9, during an interview with OI on October 16, 1991, Mr. Roudebush, under oath, after defining a radiographer's assistant as one who ". . . handles and operates the enclosure, handle [sic] and operates the device, handles and operates the survey meter, takes charge of that dosimeter", denied to an OI investigator that he had performed work as a radiographer's assistant. This statement was deliberately false because during the NRC inspection conducted on September 17-18, 1991, Mr. Roudebush acknowledged that he had attached the control cable and guide tube to a radiographic exposure device and had exposed and retracted the source during radiographic operations. Mr. Roudebush was not qualified as a radiographer or assistant radiographer.

The investigation found other deliberate violations of NRC requirements, as well as a number of violations that in the aggregate represented a breakdown in the management of the PSI radiation safety program. Those violations are discussed in the October 17, 1991 Order Suspending License (Effective Immediately), EA 91-136; and the April 22, 1992 Order Modifying Order Suspending License (Effective Immediately) and Order Revoking License, EA 92-054. Those orders discuss why the staff does not have reasonable assurance that the licensee or Mr. Roudebush would comply with NRC requirements in the future.

The ASLB conducted a hearing from April 28 to May 1, 1992 on the October 17, 1991 Order Suspending License (Effective Immediately) and the April 22, 1992 Order Modifying Order Suspending License (Effective Immediately) and Order Revoking License.

The ASLB, in its Final Initial Decision (Revoking License), LBP-92-25, 36 NRC 156 (1992), stated:

We conclude that there have been extensive failures on the part of PSI and Mr. Roudebush to comply with NRC regulations. The Board finds that the Licensee has failed to act as a reasonable manager of licensed activities; failed to detect and correct violations caused by an employee; willfully attempted to conceal violations from NRC Staff, and given untruthful information to the Staff during its inspections and investigations. Moreover, we find that

Mr. Roudebush was untruthful in some aspects of his testimony both during a formal investigation and this Licensing Board. *Id.*, at 186.

Pursuant to a plea agreement, on August 18, 1994, Mr. Roudebush pled guilty in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Missouri to one criminal count of violating Title 42, United States Code, Sections 2273 and 2201(b) and (i) (§§161b, 161i, and 223 of the Atomic Energy Act). Specifically, the agreement describes the nature of the offense as the failure to provide dosimetry devices to employees. As a result, on December 12, 1994, an amended judgment was filed whereby Mr. Roudebush was sentenced to two years probation. The terms of the probation, in part, provide that Mr. Roudebush shall not apply for or obtain a license for radiography during the probation period.

III

Based on the above, the NRC concludes that Forrest L. Roudebush, the owner and president of PSI, engaged in deliberate misconduct that caused the Licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 30.9, 30.10, and 34.33. Mr. Roudebush deliberately provided information to NRC inspectors and investigators that he knew to be incomplete or inaccurate in some material respect to the NRC, and Mr. Roudebush was deliberately untruthful during portions of his testimony to the ASLB, in violation of 10 CFR 30.9 and 30.10. Further, Mr. Roudebush deliberately failed to provide dosimetry devices to his employees, in violation of 10 CFR 34.33 and 30.10. The NRC must be able to rely on its licensees, including their officers and employees, to comply with NRC

requirements, including the requirement to provide information and to maintain records that are complete and accurate in all respects material to the NRC. The deliberate actions of Forrest L. Roudebush in causing the Licensee to violate 10 CFR 30.9, 30.10, and 34.33, and his misrepresentations to the NRC have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied on to comply with NRC requirements and to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that Forrest L. Roudebush will conduct licensed activities in compliance with the Commission's requirements or that the health and safety of the public will be protected if Forrest L. Roudebush were permitted at this time to be involved in NRC-licensed activities. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that, for a period of five years from October 17, 1991, the date that the PSI license was suspended by Immediately Effective Order, Forrest L. Roudebush be prohibited from any involvement in NRC-licensed activities for either: (1) an NRC licensee, or (2) an Agreement State licensee performing licensed activities in areas of NRC jurisdiction in accordance with 10 CFR 150.20. In addition, for a period of five years commencing after completion of the five year period of prohibition, Mr. Roudebush must notify the NRC of his employment or involvement in NRC-licensed activities to ensure that the NRC can monitor the status of Mr. Roudebush's compliance with the Commission's requirements and his understanding of his commitment to compliance. If Mr. Roudebush is currently involved with another licensee in NRC-licensed activities, Mr. Roudebush must immediately cease such activities, and inform the NRC of the name, address and telephone number of the employer, and provide a copy of this order to the employer.

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 30.10, and 10 CFR 150.20, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Forrest L. Roudebush is prohibited until October 17, 1996 from engaging in any NRC-licensed activities. NRC-licensed activities are those activities that are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20.
2. For a period of five years, beginning October 17, 1996, after the five-year period of prohibition has expired, Forrest L. Roudebush shall, within 20 days of his acceptance of each employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities or his becoming involved in NRC-licensed activities, as defined in Paragraph IV.1 above, provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer or the entity where he is, or will be, involved in the NRC-licensed activities. In the first such notification, Forrest L. Roudebush shall include a statement of his commitment to compliance with regulatory requirements and the basis why the Commission should have confidence that he will now comply with applicable NRC requirements.

3. If Forrest L. Roudebush is currently involved with any NRC licensee or Agreement State licensee engaging in NRC-licensed activities, then Forrest L. Roudebush must, as of the effective date of this Order, cease such activities and inform the NRC of the name, address and telephone number of the licensee, and provide a copy of this Order to the licensee.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Roudebush of good cause.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Forrest L. Roudebush must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Roudebush or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, and to the Regional Administrator, NRC

Region III, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532-4531 if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Roudebush. If a person other than Mr. Roudebush requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Roudebush or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether, on the basis of the matters described in: (1) this Order; (2) EA 91-136; (3) EA 92-054; and (4) LBP-92-25, 36 NRC 156 (1992), this Order should be sustained.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 3rd day of March 1995



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

OCT 27 1993

IA 93-002

Mr. George D. Shepherd
(HOME ADDRESS DELETED
UNDER 10 CFR 2.790)

Dear Mr. Shepherd:

SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN NRC-LICENSED
ACTIVITIES (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

The enclosed Order is being issued because of your violations of 10 CFR §§ 30.10, 34.33(a), 34.42, and 34.43(b) of the Commission's regulations as described in the Order. Based on an investigation conducted by the NRC's Office of Investigations, the NRC staff has determined that you deliberately failed to wear an alarm ratemeter, failed to post boundaries, and failed to perform radiation surveys of the exposure device and guide tube, during the performance of radiographic operations on July 1, 1992, in violation of NRC requirements. Also, you encouraged a new assistant radiographer to discontinue using his alarm ratemeter. In addition to the Order, I have enclosed a copy of the synopsis of the investigation.

Failure to comply with the provisions of this Order may result in civil or criminal sanctions.

Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to Mr. James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, who can be reached at (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter with your address deleted and the enclosure will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Enclosure: As stated

cc: All Agreement States
Western Stress, Inc.
SECY

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

IA 93-002

George D. Shepherd

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN
NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

George D. Shepherd has been employed as a radiographer in the field of industrial radiography since 1980. On approximately June 15, 1992, Mr. Shepherd was hired by Western Stress, Inc. (WSI or Licensee). WSI holds Materials License No. 42-26900-01 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 34. The license authorizes the conduct of industrial radiography activities in accordance with the conditions specified therein.

II

On July 1, 1992, NRC conducted a field inspection of WSI at the Hess Oil Refinery in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. During this inspection, Mr. Shepherd, who was the lead radiographer, and an assistant radiographer were observed performing radiographic operations without alarm ratemeters as required by 10 CFR 34.33(a). The violation was observed by the inspector as he entered the immediate vicinity of the work area. When Mr. Shepherd and the assistant radiographer observed the inspector, the assistant radiographer went to the work vehicle to get the

alarm ratemeters. The inspector also observed that the radiographers had not posted the restricted area during radiographic operations, as required by 10 CFR 34.42, nor had Mr. Shepherd performed a survey of the exposure device and source guide tube following each radiographic exposure, as required by 10 CFR 34.43(b). As a result of this inspection, a Notice of Violation and Proposed Imposition of a Civil Penalty was issued to WSI on July 30, 1992.

Between July 29, 1992 and April 30, 1993, an investigation was conducted by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) to determine whether the conduct of Mr. Shepherd and the assistant radiographer was willful. Based on that investigation the NRC staff concludes that Mr. Shepherd deliberately and repeatedly violated the NRC requirement to wear an alarm ratemeter during radiographic operations and according to the testimony of the assistant radiographer, encouraged the assistant radiographer to discontinue using his alarm ratemeter. In addition, based on the investigation, the NRC staff concludes that on July 1, 1992, Mr. Shepherd deliberately violated the NRC posting and surveying requirements. Specifically, he was aware of the regulatory requirements to rope off and conspicuously post the area in which radiographic operations were being performed and to perform a radiation survey of the entire circumference of the exposure device and the source guide tube after each radiographic

exposure, and yet failed to meet the regulatory requirements of 10 CFR 34.43 and 10 CFR 34.42.

III

Based on the above, Mr. Shepherd engaged in deliberate misconduct which caused the licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 34.33(a), 34.43, 34.42, and 30.10. The NRC must be able to rely on the Licensee and its employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirements to wear alarming ratemeters, to rope off and post the area of radiographic operations, and to perform post-exposure surveys. Compliance with NRC requirements as to posting and roping of radiation areas is necessary to protect members of the public, including licensee employees, from potential danger. Performance of a survey of the radiographic device after each exposure is an important safety requirement to prevent overexposures. Mr. Shepherd's deliberate actions in causing the Licensee to violate these requirements have raised serious doubts as to whether he can be relied on to comply with NRC requirements. Mr. Shepherd's deliberate misconduct cannot and will not be tolerated.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected if Mr. Shepherd were permitted at this

time to perform radiographic operations in any area where the NRC maintains jurisdiction. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Shepherd be prohibited from performing or supervising licensed activities for either an NRC licensee or an Agreement State licensee (operating in areas of NRC jurisdiction in accordance with 10 CFR 150.20) for a period of two years from the date of this order. In addition, for a period of two years commencing after the two-year prohibition, Mr. Shepherd should be required to notify the NRC of his employment by any person (including any entity) engaged in licensed activities under an NRC or Agreement State license (where the work is performed in areas under NRC jurisdiction), so that appropriate inspections can be performed. During that same two-year period, Mr. Shepherd should also be required to provide a copy of this Order to any person employing him and who holds an NRC license or an Agreement State license and performs licensed activities in NRC jurisdiction. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be effective immediately.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the

Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 30.10, and 10 CFR 150.20, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

1. George D. Shepherd is prohibited for two years from the date of this Order from performing, supervising, or engaging in any way in licensed activities under an NRC license, or an Agreement State license when activities under that license are conducted in areas of NRC jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20.
2. For a period of two years commencing after the expiration of the two-year period of prohibition, George D. Shepherd shall notify the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900, Atlanta, Georgia 30323, at least five days prior to the performance of licensed activities, of his being employed to perform or supervise such licensed activities. Licensed activities include those performed for an NRC licensee or an Agreement State licensee doing work in areas of NRC jurisdiction. The notice shall include the name, address, and telephone number of the NRC or Agreement State licensee and the location where the licensed activities will be performed. In addition, for that same period of two years commencing after completion of the two-year period of prohibition, Mr. Shepherd shall provide a copy of this Order to his employer prior to performing licensed activities in areas of NRC jurisdiction

for any employer holding either an NRC license or an
Agreement State license.

The Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, may, in writing, relax
or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by
Mr. Shepherd of good cause.

v

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, George D. Shepherd must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 30 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which George D. Shepherd or any other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, 101

Marietta Street, N. W., Suite 2900, Atlanta, Georgia 30323, and to George D. Shepherd if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than George D. Shepherd. If a person other than George D. Shepherd requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his or her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by George D. Shepherd or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), George D. Shepherd, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or processing. AN

ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE
EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards
and Operations Support

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 27th day of October 1993



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

JUN 13 1994

Docket No. 030-19747
License No. 52-21082-01 (expired)
IA 94-013

Guillermo Velasquez, M.D.
959 Americo Miranda
Reparto Metropolitano
(Rio Piedras) San Juan, PR 00921

Dear Dr. Velasquez:

SUBJECT: CONFIRMATORY ORDER

This is in reference to the Order to Transfer Byproduct Material to an Authorized Recipient (Effective Immediately) and Demand for Information issued by the NRC on July 21, 1993, your Answer to the Demand for Information dated September 13, 1993, and a completed NRC Form 314 dated January 24 1994, notifying the NRC of the transfer of all licensed material previously in your possession to an authorized recipient.

In your sworn response to the Demand for Information, you stated that you did not intend to perform any licensed activities either personally or on behalf of anyone else in the future. In a telephone conversation between Mr. Charles M. Hosey of the NRC Region II office and yourself on June 2, 1994, you agreed to the issuance of an order that would confirm that you would not participate in activities licensed by the NRC for a period of three years and would contain a requirement to notify the NRC the first time (if any) you engage in licensed activities thereafter. Based on these representations, we are issuing the enclosed Confirmatory Order.

In addition to the Confirmatory Order, we are enclosing Amendment 2 to your license which formally terminates your license.

Questions concerning the Order may be addressed to Ms. Patricia Santiago, Assistant Director for Materials, Office of Enforcement, at telephone number (301) 504-3055.

Guillermo Velasquez, M.D.

2

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter, its enclosures, and your response will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,

James Lieberman
James Lieberman, Director
Office of Enforcement

Enclosures:

1. Confirmatory Order
2. License Amendment No. 2

cc w/encls:

Commonwealth of Puerto Rico

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

GUILLERMO VELASQUEZ, M.D.
San Juan, Puerto Rico

Docket No. 030-19747
License No. 52-21082-01
IA 94-013

CONFIRMATORY ORDER

I

Guillermo Velasquez, M.D. (Licensee) is the holder of expired Byproduct Materials License No. 52-21082-01 (license) issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 35 on September 3, 1982. The license authorized the use of strontium-90 for ophthalmic radiotherapy in accordance with the conditions specified therein. The license was renewed in its entirety on August 21, 1987, and expired on August 31, 1992. The byproduct material remained in the possession of the Licensee until it was transferred to an authorized recipient on January 7, 1994 pursuant to an NRC Order to Transfer Byproduct Material to an Authorized Recipient (Effective Immediately) and Demand for Information issued July 21, 1993.

II

The Licensee did not submit an application for renewal of the license prior to its expiration, as required by 10 CFR 30.37, nor did the Licensee notify the Commission in writing, pursuant to 10 CFR 30.36, of a decision not to renew the license. Therefore, on September 11, 1992, NRC Region II issued a Notice of Violation (Notice) to the Licensee for failure to request renewal prior to expiration of the license or to file a notice of non-renewal or transfer of

the byproduct material. The letter forwarding the Notice directed the Licensee to place the strontium-90 in storage and to discontinue use of the material until he obtained a new NRC license. In the alternative, the Licensee was directed to transfer the material to an authorized recipient if adequate storage was not available, or to submit an NRC Form 314 to the NRC if the Licensee chose to dispose of the byproduct material. During a December 4, 1992 telephone conversation between a Region II inspector and the Licensee, the Licensee stated that the source was locked in storage and that the Licensee had not used the source. The Licensee responded to the Notice on December 4, 1992, by requesting renewal of the license. Because the Licensee failed to provide the appropriate licensing fee, no action was taken by the NRC to renew the license and the Licensee was notified.

The NRC performed a routine inspection of the Licensee's facility in Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico on February 24, 1993. One purpose of this inspection was to determine the status of the strontium-90 source. The inspection revealed that the Licensee had continued to use the material (1) after expiration of the license; (2) after receipt of the NRC letter and Notice dated September 11, 1992, which directed the Licensee to place the material in storage and to discontinue use of the material until a new license was obtained; and (3) after the December 4, 1992 telephone conversation with the Region II inspector when the inspector explained that the source could not be used and the Licensee had stated the source was in locked storage and not being used.

In April and May 1993, the NRC Office of Investigations conducted an investigation of the circumstances surrounding the Licensee's apparent use of

the source after the license had expired and after receiving notification from the NRC to discontinue use of the material until a new license was obtained. As a result of this investigation, it was determined that on 20 occasions, between October 9, 1992, and February 19, 1993, the Licensee, with the full understanding that use of the source was prohibited, deliberately used the strontium-90 source for patient ophthalmic radiotherapy, in violation of 10 CFR 30.3. In addition, the investigation confirmed that the Licensee deliberately provided false information to the NRC inspector during the December 4, 1992 telephone conversation and during the inspection conducted at the Licensee's facility on February 24, 1993. Specifically, the Licensee told the NRC inspector that the strontium-90 source had not been used for ophthalmic radiotherapy since receipt of the Notice which was issued on September 11, 1992, when in fact the Licensee had used the strontium-90 source at least 20 times between October 9, 1992 and February 19, 1993, which was as recently as five days before the inspection. This deliberate submission of materially false information constitutes violations of 10 CFR 30.9 and 30.10.

III

Based on the NRC inspection and the subsequent investigation, the NRC determined that the Licensee, by continuing to use licensed material after being notified of the expiration of the license which authorized that use and by deliberately providing false information to an NRC inspector, had demonstrated an unwillingness to comply with Commission requirements. The Commission must be able to rely on its licensees to provide complete and accurate information. Willful violations are of particular concern to the

Commission because they undermine the Commission's reasonable assurance that licensed activities are being conducted in accordance with NRC requirements. Therefore, on July 21, 1993, the NRC issued an Order to the Licensee requiring the transfer of the strontium-90 source to an authorized recipient within 45 days of the date of the Order. The NRC also issued a Demand for Information with the Order requiring the Licensee to submit a written statement, under oath or affirmation, stating why the NRC should have confidence that in the future the Licensee would comply with NRC requirements or provide complete and accurate information to the NRC.

The Licensee responded to the Order in letters dated September 7 and 13, 1993, and in telephone conversations with the NRC Region II staff on September 10 and 20, 1993. During these communications, the Licensee indicated that he was making a good faith effort to transfer the byproduct material to an authorized recipient. Based on this good faith effort, the NRC by letter dated October 15, 1993, extended the strontium-90 transfer date to December 6, 1993. On January 24, 1994, the Licensee submitted a completed NRC Form 314 notifying the NRC that the strontium-90 source had been transferred to an authorized recipient and provided the documentation required by the Order to demonstrate that the source was tested for leakage prior to the transfer and that the transfer had taken place.

On September 13, 1993, the Licensee responded to the Demand for Information indicating that he did not intend to perform licensed activities or to use the strontium-90 source in his possession, or one in anyone else's possession. Further, in a telephone conversation on June 2, 1994, with Mr. Charles M.

Hosey of the NRC Region II office, Dr. Velasquez agreed to the provisions and to the issuance of this Confirmatory Order. I find that the Licensee's commitments as set forth in that conversation are acceptable and necessary and conclude that with these commitments the public health and safety are reasonably assured. In view of the foregoing, I have determined that the public health and safety require that the Licensee's commitments in the telephone call of June 2, 1994 be confirmed by this Order.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 81, 161b, 1611, 1610, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations at 10 CFR 2.202 and 10 CFR Parts 30 and 35, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. For a period of three years from the date of this Confirmatory Order, Guillermo Velasquez, M.D., shall not supervise or engage in any way in NRC-licensed activities. NRC-licensed activities are those activities which are conducted pursuant to a specific or general license issued by the NRC, including, but not limited to, those activities of Agreement State licensees conducted pursuant to the authority granted by 10 CFR 150.20.
2. For a period of three years from the date of this Order, Dr. Velasquez shall provide a copy of this Order to any prospective employer who engages in NRC-licensed activities (as defined in 1. above) prior to his acceptance of employment with such prospective employer. The purpose of

this requirement is to ensure that the employer is aware of Dr. Velasquez' prohibition from engaging in NRC-licensed activities.

3. The first time Guillermo Velasquez, M.D., is employed in NRC licensed activities following the three year prohibition, he shall notify the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900, Atlanta, Georgia 30323, prior to engaging in NRC licensed activities including activities under an Agreement State license when activities under that license are conducted in areas of NRC jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20. The notice shall include the name, address, and telephone number of the NRC or Agreement State licensee and the location where licensed activities will be performed.

The Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon a showing by the Licensee of good cause.


Any person adversely affected by this Confirmatory Order, other than the Licensee, may request a hearing within 20 days of the date of its issuance. Any request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at same address, and to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900,

Atlanta, Georgia 30323 and to the Licensee. If such a person requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Confirmatory Order should be sustained.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section V above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


James Lieberman, Director
Office of Enforcement

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 24 day of June 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

APR 21 1994

Docket No. 55-30849
License No. SOP-30516-01
IA 94-006

Mr. David Tang Wee
[Home Address Deleted
Under 10 CFR 2.790]

Dear Mr. Tang Wee:

**SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED
ACTIVITIES (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY
(NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-237/92033; 50-249/92033;
NRC INVESTIGATION REPORT NO. 3-92-055R)**

The enclosed Order is being issued as a consequence of events which occurred during operation of the Dresden Nuclear Station Unit 2 on September 18, 1992 and in violation of Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) regulations and of the Dresden Technical Specifications. The NRC conducted an inspection and an investigation of the event. The investigation by the NRC's Office of Investigations (OI) concluded that on September 18, 1992 you deliberately violated or caused violations of NRC requirements and the Dresden Technical Specifications. A copy of the synopsis of the OI report was forwarded to you by letter dated November 4, 1993. An enforcement conference was held with you on November 17, 1993.

On September 18, 1992, a rod mispositioning event occurred when a Nuclear Station Operator (NSO) moved a control rod out of sequence during your shift as the Station Control Room Engineer (SCRE). The error was noticed by a Qualified Nuclear Engineer (QNE). The NSO continued to move control rods in violation of station procedures, at the QNE's direction and without your knowledge or authorization, after which the QNE informed you of the mispositioned rod. Subsequently, you, the NSO, the QNE, and the two nuclear engineers in training who were present during the incident, agreed not to tell anyone else about the mispositioned rod incident. As a result, neither the mispositioned rod nor the subsequent deviation from the planned control rod pattern were documented in the control room log, a Dresden Form 14-14C was falsified, and Commonwealth Edison Company (CECO) management was not informed of the incident. The OI investigation also concluded, based on the testimony of three other individuals involved in the September 18, 1992 incident, that you deliberately provided inaccurate information to NRC investigators

during your transcribed interview on December 1, 1992 when you denied making a statement to the effect that the information about the mispositioned control rod should not leave the control room.

Your actions in connection with the attempt to conceal the September 18, 1992 event caused CECO to be in violation of its license conditions, including technical specifications and administrative procedures, and constituted a violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a), "Deliberate Misconduct". Furthermore, your provision of inaccurate information which was material to NRC investigators constituted a violation of 10 CFR 55.9, "Completeness and Accuracy of Information".

NRC does not have the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities will be properly conducted in accordance with regulatory requirements, including the requirement to provide information that is complete and accurate in all material respects, with you involved in licensed activities. Consequently, after consultation with the Commission, I have been authorized to issue the enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately). Failure to comply with the provisions of this Order may result in civil or criminal sanctions.

Questions concerning the enclosed Order may be addressed to James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement. Mr. Lieberman can be reached at telephone number (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and the enclosure with your home address removed will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,

James L. Milhoan
James L. Milhoan
Deputy Executive Director
for Nuclear Reactor Regulation,
Regional Operations and Research

Enclosure:

Order Prohibiting Involvement
in NRC-Licensed Activities
(Effective Immediately)

cc w/enclosure: See Next Page

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of) Docket No. 55-30849
David Tang Wee) License No. SOP-30516-01
Tinley Park, Illinois) IA 94-006

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN
NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Mr. David Tang Wee (Licensee) held Senior Reactor Operator's License No. SOP-30516-01 (License), issued by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) on August 14, 1985.

Mr. Tang Wee was employed by Commonwealth Edison Company (CECO) between June 22, 1981 until his employment was terminated by CECO on December 2, 1992, an action which terminated license SOP-30516-01. The Licensee most recently held the position of Station Control Room Engineer (SCRE) with responsibilities involving compliance with NRC requirements for the operation of a nuclear power plant. CECO holds Facility Licenses DPR-19 and DPR-25 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50. These licenses authorize CECO to operate the Dresden Nuclear Station Units 2 and 3 located near Morris, Illinois.

II

On November 24, 1992, CECO notified the NRC that CECO senior managers had just become aware of an incident that had occurred on September 18, 1992 when Unit 2 was operating at 75% power. A Nuclear Station Operator (NSO), who was a licensed reactor

operator, incorrectly positioned control rod H-1 while repositioning control rods to change localized power levels within the reactor core, and the event was concealed from CECO management. Both CECO and the NRC initiated investigations of the incident.

On September 18, 1992, the NSO erroneously moved control rod H-1 from Position 48 (fully withdrawn) to Position 36. A Qualified Nuclear Engineer (QNE) and two individuals in training to become "qualified" nuclear engineers were in the control room when the QNE recognized the NSO's error. The QNE informed the NSO of the error. The NSO failed to insert the mispositioned rod to Position 00 and continued to move other control rods at the direction of the QNE. The QNE then informed Mr. Tang Wee, the Station Control Room Engineer on duty, of the mispositioned rod. Later, Mr. Tang Wee spoke with the NSO and the three nuclear engineers and they all agreed that they would not discuss the incident with anyone else. As a result, neither the mispositioned rod nor the subsequent deviation from the planned control rod pattern were documented in the control room log, a Dresden Form 14-14C was falsified, and CECO management was not informed of the incident.

The NRC licenses individuals pursuant to 10 CFR Part 55, "Operators' Licenses," to manipulate the controls of an utilization facility. The operator license requires the

individual to observe all applicable rules, regulations and orders of the Commission, including the operating procedures and other conditions specified in the facility license.

Dresden Technical Specification 6.2.A.1 stated that applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2 dated February 1978, shall be established, implemented, and maintained. Regulatory Guide 1.33 Appendix A.1.c included administrative procedures, general plant operating procedures, and procedures for startup, operation, and shutdown of safety related systems.

Dresden Operating Abnormal Procedure (DOA) 300-12, "Mispositioned Control Rod," Revision 2, dated November 1991, section D "Subsequent Operator Actions," step 2, required, in part, that if a single control rod was inserted greater than one even notch from its in-sequence position and reactor power was greater than 20%, then the mispositioned rod must be continuously inserted to position 00. Section D.5 required, in part, that the NSO record any mispositioned control rod in the Unit log book.

Dresden Administrative Procedure, (DAP) 07-29, "Reactivity Management Controls," Revision 0, section F.1.g required, in part, that the station control room engineer (SCRE) communicate to the NSO the requirements for procedural adherence.

Dresden Administrative Procedure, (DAP) 07-01, "Operations Department Organization", Section B.5.e., requires in part that the SCRE report any abnormal operating conditions to the Shift Engineer.

These procedures were not followed. Specifically, Mr. Tang Wee did not communicate to the NSO requirements for procedural adherence concerning the NSO's duty to record the mispositioning incident in the unit control room log, and did not report the mispositioning incident to the Shift Engineer. Instead, Mr. Tang Wee agreed with the NSO, the QNE and two nuclear engineers in training that they would not discuss the incident with anyone else.

Based on the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) investigation of this matter (OI Report No. 3-92-055R), I conclude that Mr. Tang Wee, along with the NSO, the QNE and two nuclear engineers in training, deliberately attempted to conceal the mispositioned control rod event by failing to document and report the incident as required by plant procedures. In furtherance of this agreement, Mr. Tang Wee deliberately caused CECO to be in violation of Dresden Technical Specification 6.2.A.1; DAP 07-29, Revision 0, Section F.1.g; and DAP 07-01, Section B.5.e, by failing to communicate to the NSO the requirement to record the mispositioned rod event in the control room log and by failing to report the event to the Shift Engineer.

Further, in a transcribed sworn statement on December 1, 1992, Mr. Tang Wee stated that he did not have a reason to make, and did not believe he made, a statement to the effect that information about the mispositioned control rod should not leave the control room. Based on the transcribed testimony of three individuals who were present during the incident that Mr. Tang Wee had made a statement to them to the effect that information about the mispositioned control rod should not leave the control room, and that all five individuals had agreed not to discuss the event with anyone else, I conclude that Mr. Tang Wee's testimony to the contrary constituted the deliberate provision of inaccurate information material to the NRC in violation of 10 CFR 55.9, "Completeness and Accuracy of Information."

III

Based on the above, Mr. Tang Wee, an employee of CECO at the time of the event, engaged in deliberate misconduct which caused CECO to be in violation of its license conditions and which constitutes a violation of 10 CFR 50.5. Further, Mr. Tang Wee, a licensed senior reactor operator at the time of the event, deliberately provided to NRC investigators information which he knew to be inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC, in violation of 10 CFR 55.9.

The NRC must be able to rely on its licensees and their employees, especially NRC-licensed operators, to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide information and maintain records that are complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Tang Wee's action in causing CECO to violate its license conditions and his misrepresentations to the NRC have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied upon to comply with NRC requirements applicable to licensed facilities and licensed individuals and to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC. Mr. Tang Wee's deliberate misconduct that caused CECO to violate Commission requirements, and his false statements to Commission officials, cannot and will not be tolerated.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected, if Mr. Tang Wee were permitted at this time to be engaged in the performance of NRC-licensed and regulated activities. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Tang Wee be prohibited from being involved in any NRC-licensed activities for three years from the date of this Order. In addition, for the same period, Mr. Tang Wee is required to give notice of this Order to any prospective employer engaged in NRC-licensed activities as described in Section IV, Paragraph B, below, from whom he seeks

employment in non-licensed activities in order to ensure that such employer is aware of Mr. Tang Wee's previous history. For five years from the date of the Order, Mr. Tang Wee is also required to notify the NRC of his employment by any person engaged in licensed activities, as described in Section IV, Paragraph B, below, so that appropriate inspections can be performed. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 103, 107, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 50.5, and 10 CFR 55.61, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

- A. Mr. Tang Wee is prohibited for three years from the date of this Order from engaging in activities licensed by the NRC.
- B. Should Mr. Tang Wee seek employment in non-licensed activities with any person engaged in NRC-licensed activities in the three years from the date of this Order, Mr. Tang Wee shall provide a copy of this Order

to such person at the time Mr. Tang Wee is soliciting or negotiating employment so that the person is aware of the Order prior to making an employment decision. For the purposes of this Order, licensed activities include the activities of: (1) an NRC licensee; (2) an Agreement State licensee conducting licensed activities in NRC jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20; and (3) an Agreement State licensee involved in the distribution of products that are subject to NRC jurisdiction.

- C. For three years from the date of this Order, Mr. Tang Wee shall provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer, within 72 hours of his acceptance of an employment offer involving non-licensed activities from an employer engaged in NRC-licensed activities, as described in Paragraph IV.B, above.
- D. After the three year prohibition has expired as described in Paragraphs IV.A and B, above, Mr. Tang Wee shall provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, of acceptance of any employment in NRC-licensed activity for an additional two year period.

The Director, Office of Enforcement may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Tang Wee of good cause.

v

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Tang Wee must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing within 30 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Tang Wee or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address; to the Regional Administrator, Region III, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351; and to Mr. Tang Wee, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Tang Wee. If a person other than Mr. Tang Wee

requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Tang Wee or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Tang Wee, or any person adversely affected by this Order, may in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time that answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. AN

- 11 -

ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR A HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE
EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

James L. Milhoan
James L. Milhoan
Deputy Executive Director
for Nuclear Reactor Regulation,
Regional Operations and Research

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 27 day of April 1994



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585-0001

December 12, 1994

IA 94-035

Mr. Rex Allen Werts
(Address deleted
under 10 CFR 2.790)

SUBJECT: ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES
AND UNESCORTED ACCESS (EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)
OI INVESTIGATION REPORT SYNOPSIS (2-93-052R)

Dear Mr. Werts:

The enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities and Unescorted Access (Effectively Immediately) is being issued as a consequence of the deliberate false statements you made on an application for access authorization at the Carolina Power and Light Company's (Licensee) Brunswick Nuclear Plant. On or about March 11, 1993, you used an alias on your access authorization application and indicated on the application that you had not been arrested or convicted of any criminal offense. As a result of your deliberate false statements, you were granted unescorted access to the Brunswick Nuclear Plant on March 24, 1993. The Licensee subsequently learned of your use of an alias and that you had been arrested and convicted several times for crimes and were incarcerated for some of those offenses. A licensee supervisor interviewed you about your application, at which time you admitted that you had submitted false information on your application.

10 CFR 50.5(a)(2), "Deliberate misconduct," prohibits an employee of an NRC licensee or licensee contractor from deliberately submitting information to the licensee or licensee contractor that the employee knows to be incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC. 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, "General Statement of Policy and Procedures for NRC Enforcement Actions," in particular Section VIII, "Enforcement Action Involving Individuals," provides guidance and considerations for enforcement sanctions against individuals who deliberately violate NRC requirements.

The NRC Office of Investigations (OI) conducted an investigation (2-93-052R) to determine whether you committed a willful violation in connection with your making false statements regarding your criminal background. The OI investigation concluded that you had deliberately provided false information concerning your criminal arrest and conviction record in order to gain unescorted access to the site protected area. By letter dated September 14, 1994, the NRC attempted to provide you with a copy of the OI investigation synopsis and afford you an opportunity for an enforcement conference prior to making a final decision regarding escalated enforcement action in your case. The letter has been returned by the post office as undeliverable and we have been unable to locate you. A copy of the September 14, 1994, letter with the OI synopsis attached is enclosed (Enclosure 1). If attempts to deliver this letter and the enclosed Order are not successful, it will not delay the effective date of the enclosed Order nor the placement of this letter and enclosed Order in the Public Document Room.

Mr. Rex Allen Werts


- 2 -

The false information you provided regarding your criminal history on the March 11, 1993 access authorization application is a violation of 10 CFR 50.5, "Deliberate misconduct." Such conduct is unacceptable to the NRC. Therefore, after consultation with the Commission, I have been authorized to issue the enclosed Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities and Unescorted Access (Effective Immediately). Pursuant to section 223 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, any person who willfully violates, attempts to violate, or conspires to violate, any provision of this Order shall be subject to criminal prosecution as set forth in that section.

You are required to provide a response to this Order and should do so within 20 days. Questions concerning the Order may be addressed to James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement. Mr. Lieberman can be reached at telephone number (301) 504-2741.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter with your home address removed, its enclosures and any response will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR). To the extent possible, your response should not include any personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so that it can be placed in the PDR without redaction. However, if you find it necessary to include such information, you should clearly indicate the specific information that you desire not to be placed in the PDR, and provide the legal basis to support your request for withholding the information from the public.

Sincerely,


James L. Milhoan
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Reactor Regulation,
Regional Operations and Research

Enclosures: 1. September 14, 1994 letter with OI synopsis
2. Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed
Activities and Unescorted Access (Effective Immediately)

cc w/encls: (See next page)

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

REX ALLEN WERTS

(Also Known As:

MICHAEL ALLEN HUNTER)

) IA 94-035

ORDER PROHIBITING INVOLVEMENT IN
NRC-LICENSED ACTIVITIES AND UNESCORTED ACCESS
(EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY)

I

Mr. Rex Allen Werts (Also Known As: Michael Allen Hunter) was employed by Power Plant Maintenance, Inc., (PPM) a contractor of the Carolina Power and Light Company (CP&L or Licensee), from March 24, 1993 until his unescorted access was revoked on July 26, 1993. Licensee is the holder of License Nos. DPR-62 and DPR-71 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50 on December 27, 1974 and November 12, 1976, respectively. The licenses authorize the operation of the Brunswick Nuclear Plant in accordance with the conditions specified therein. The facility is located on the Licensee's site in Southport, North Carolina.

II

On March 24, 1993, Mr. Werts was granted unescorted access to the Brunswick Nuclear Plant, based in part on representations he made on an access authorization application, dated March 11, 1993, which he submitted to Power Plant Maintenance, Inc., (PPM), a contractor of the Licensee. In the application, Mr. Werts falsely represented himself as Michael Allen Hunter and stated that he had not been arrested or convicted of any criminal offense. In addition, Mr. Werts failed to correct that information after he was granted unescorted access and continued to hold that status on the basis of his false

identity. The Licensee submitted fingerprint cards completed by Mr. Werts to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and subsequently was informed that Mr. Werts (alias Mr. Hunter) had a record of arrests, convictions, and imprisonments prior to 1990.

III

Based on the above, Mr. Werts engaged in deliberate misconduct in violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(2) which prohibits any employee of a licensee or licensee contractor from deliberately submitting to the licensee or licensee's contractor information the employee knows to be incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC. Information concerning an individual's true identity and criminal history is material in that it is used by the Licensee to make determinations relative to the grant or denial of access authorization. If the Licensee had been given accurate information regarding Mr. Werts' criminal record, the Licensee would not have granted unescorted access to Mr. Werts.

The NRC must be able to rely on the Licensee, its contractors, and licensee and contractor employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide information that is complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Werts' actions have raised serious concerns as to whether he can be relied upon to comply with NRC requirements and to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC or to NRC licensees in the future.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that nuclear safety activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements

and that the health and safety of the public would be protected if Mr. Werts were permitted at this time to be involved in the performance of licensed activities or were permitted unescorted access to protected or vital areas of NRC-licensed facilities. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Werts be prohibited from being involved in the performance of activities licensed by the NRC and be prohibited from obtaining unescorted access for a period of three years from the date of this Order. For a period of five years from the date of this Order, Mr. Werts is required to inform the NRC of his acceptance of employment with any employer whose operations he knows or has reason to believe involve NRC-licensed activities. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of the deliberate misconduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 103, 161b, 161i, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 50.5, and 10 CFR 150.20, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THAT:

- A. For a three-year period from the date of this Order, Mr. Rex Allen Werts is prohibited from engaging in activities licensed by the NRC and is prohibited from obtaining unescorted access to protected and vital areas of facilities licensed by the NRC. For the purposes of this Order, licensed activities include the

activities licensed or regulated by: (1) NRC; (2) an Agreement State, limited to the Licensee's conduct of activities within NRC jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20; and (3) an Agreement State where the licensee is involved in the distribution of products that are subject to NRC jurisdiction.

B. For a five-year period from the date of this Order, Mr. Werts is required to provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, of his acceptance of employment with any employer whose operations he knows or has reason to believe involve NRC-licensed activities.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Werts of good cause.

V

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Werts must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Werts or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the

Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Services Section, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, Region II, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 101 Marietta St. N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30323, and to Mr. Werts, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Werts. If a person other than Mr. Werts requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Werts or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Werts, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without

- 6 -

further order or proceedings. AN ANSWER OR A REQUEST FOR A HEARING SHALL NOT STAY THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS ORDER.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

James L. Milhoan
James L. Milhoan
Deputy Executive Director for
Nuclear Reactor Regulation,
Regional Operations and Research

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 12th day of December 1994

SYNOPSIS

On August 20, 1993, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensee, Carolina Power and Light Company, submitted a Security Event Report to the NRC regarding an event at the licensee's Brunswick Nuclear Plant (BNP). The event described by the licensee involved an employee of a contractor who was granted unescorted access to the BNP vital and protected areas based on falsified employment and background information. This matter was referred to the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) Region II Field Office on September 1, 1993, for evaluation.

Based on OI review of the documentation and evidence obtained in this investigation, it is concluded that the subject deliberately falsified personal identification and background information to deceive the contractor, PPM, the licensee and the NRC in order to fraudulently obtain employment and unescorted access at the BNP.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET

(See instructions on the reverse)

2. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

Enforcement Actions: Significant Actions Resolved
Individual Actions
Quarterly Progress Report
April-June 1995

5. AUTHOR(S)

Office of Enforcement

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION – NAME AND ADDRESS *(If NRC, provide Division, Office or Region, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and mailing address; if contractor, provide name and mailing address.)*

Office of Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

9. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION – NAME AND ADDRESS *(If NRC, type "Same as above"; if contractor, provide NRC Division, Office or Region, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and mailing address.)*

Same as above

10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

11. ABSTRACT *(200 words or less)*

This compilation summarizes significant enforcement actions that have been resolved during one quarterly period (April - June 1995) and includes copies of Orders sent by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to individuals with respect to these enforcement actions. It is anticipated that the information in this publication will be widely disseminated to managers and employees engaged in activities licensed by the NRC. The Commission believes this information may be useful to licensees in making employment decisions.

12. KEY WORDS/DESCRIPTORS *(List words or phrases that will assist researchers in locating the report.)*

Wrongdoers

1. REPORT NUMBER
(Assigned by NRC. Add Vol., Supp., Rev., and Addendum Numbers, if any.)

NUREG-0940, PART I
Vol. 14, No. 2

3. DATE REPORT PUBLISHED

MONTH YEAR

August 1995

4. FIN OR GRANT NUMBER

6. TYPE OF REPORT

Technical

7. PERIOD COVERED *(Inclusive Dates)*

13. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Unlimited

14. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

(This Page)

Unclassified

(This Report)

Unclassified

15. NUMBER OF PAGES

16. PRICE