

**PROCEEDINGS
SIXTH WORKSHOP
GEOTHERMAL RESERVOIR ENGINEERING**

December 16-18, 1980



**Henry J. Ramey, Jr. and Paul Kruger, Editors
William E. Brigham, Ian G. Donaldson, Roland N. Horne,
and Frank G. Miller, Co-Principal Investigators
Stanford Geothermal Program
Workshop Report SGP-TR-50***

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Three-Dimensional Geothermal Reservoir Simulation

Seiichi Hirakawa, Yoshinobu Fujinaga
and Michinori Miyoshi

Department of Mineral Development Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

The authors present a three-dimensional model for reservoir simulation to show some behaviors of fluid flow in a geothermal reservoir, assuming water influx and heat conduction from heat sources under the reservoir.

Basic Equations The following three equations describe a system, where mass transfer and heat conduction occur.

Mass conservation equation

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi S_w \rho_w + \phi S_g \rho_g) = \text{div}(\rho_w \vec{v}_w + \rho_g \vec{v}_g) + q_w + q_g + q_{we} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Energy balance equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\phi S_w \rho_w U_w + \phi S_g \rho_g U_g + (1-\phi)(\rho C_p)_f T] \\ = \text{div}(H_w \rho_w \vec{v}_w + H_g \rho_g \vec{v}_g) + \text{div} \vec{t} + q_H + q_L \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Equation of state (in case of water-steam equilibrium)

$$P = P_s(T) \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

where, $\vec{v} = -\frac{K k_r}{\mu} \nabla \Phi$, $\vec{t} = -T_c \nabla T$ and $S_w + S_g = 1$

The boundary conditions for solving the above equations are $\partial \Phi / \partial n = 0$ and $\partial T / \partial n = 0$ for mass and heat flow, respectively. Both mass and heat production terms are also considered to account for water encroachment and heat flow from the boundaries.

Potential equilibrium and heat equilibrium are adopted for the initial conditions which in turn imply no mass flow and steady state heat flow.

Difference Equations Equations 1 through 3 are approximated by the finite difference equations as follows.³⁾

$$\frac{\nabla}{\Delta t} \delta(\phi S_w S_w + \phi S_g S_g) = \Delta T_w \Delta \Phi_w + \Delta T_g \Delta \Phi_g - Q_w - Q_g - Q_{we} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nabla}{\Delta t} \delta[\phi \rho_w S_w U_w + \phi \rho_g S_g U_g + (1-\phi)(\rho C_p)_f T] \\ = \Delta H_w T_w \Delta \Phi_w + \Delta H_g T_g \Delta \Phi_g + \Delta T_c \Delta T - Q_H - Q_L \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$-P'_{ST} \delta T + \delta P = -P^n \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

The right hand sides of the eqs. 4 and 5 are expressed in terms of δP using $\Delta \Phi = \Delta \delta P + \Delta P^n - \rho g \Delta Z$. The eqs. 4 and 5 can be written in the following matrix form²⁾ with δS_w , δT and δP as independent variables.

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} \\ C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta S_w \\ \delta T \\ \delta P \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \\ R_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{--- (7)}$$

where, $Y_1 = \Delta(T_w + T_g) \Delta \delta P$ and $Y_2 = \Delta(H_w T_w + H_g T_g) \Delta \delta P$
By elimination, eq. 7 is transformed into

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & C'_1 & C'_3 \\ 0 & 1 & C'_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & C'_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta S_w \\ \delta T \\ \delta P \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & 0 \\ B_{31} & B_{32} & B_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R'_1 \\ R'_2 \\ R'_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The third row of the above equation contains only one independent variable, δP , which satisfies the following set of finite difference equations.

$$f \delta P_{i-1} + d \delta P_{j-1} + b \delta P_{i-1} + a \delta P + c \delta P_{i+1} + e \delta P_{j+1} + g \delta P_{k+1} = R'_3$$

These equations are solved by the direct method.⁶⁾ Fig. 1 is a simplified flow chart that shows the program's basic logic.

Heat Loss Heat flow perpendicular to the top and bottom boundaries is assumed. In calculating heat flow at the boundaries, some more blocks are added above and below the reservoir.

The heat conduction equation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left(T_c \frac{\partial T}{\partial Z} \right) = \rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$$

is solved in such blocks with appropriate boundary and initial conditions at the newly formed boundaries. In this procedure, the heat flow at the new time step calculated with the following equation.

$$Q_L^{n+1} = Q_L^n + \alpha \delta T, \quad \alpha = A \cdot T_B / L \cdot \operatorname{erf}(4/2\sqrt{k\alpha t})$$

Water Influx Based on the solution of the diffusivity equation for the linear flow case by van Everdingen and Hurst,⁵ water influx is

$$Q_{we}^{n+1} = \frac{A\phi C_w (\Delta P + \Delta P^n) Q(t) - A\phi C_w \Delta P^n Q(t^n)}{\Delta t}$$

The reservoir is divided into $10 \times 10 \times 5$ blocks in X - Y - Z directions, respectively (Fig. 2). The size of the blocks and physical properties of the rock and fluid are shown in Table 1. For simplicity, the model treats an initially hot-water system and the bottom hole pressure of the well is assumed to be constant. Plots of potential distributions at various times are shown in Fig. 3 without water influx, while Fig. 4 with water influx.

NOMENCLATURE

A = cross sectional area, cm^2
C = compressibility, vol/vol-atm
 C_p = specific heat, $\text{cal/g-}^\circ\text{C}$
 H = enthalpy, cal/g
 g = gravitational acceleration
K = absolute permeability, darcy
 k_r = relative permeability
 T_c = thermal conductivity, $\text{cal/cm-}^\circ\text{C-sec}$
P = pressure, atm
Q = production rate, g/sec
 Q_H = enthalpy production rate, $H_w Q_w + H_g Q_g$, cal/sec
 Q_L = rate of heat loss to surroundings, cal/sec
 $Q(t)$ = fluid influx, dimensionless
 S_w = saturation, fraction
t = time, sec
T = temperature, $^\circ\text{C}$
 T_w = water transmissibility, $\text{f} \cdot AKk_r/\mu L$, g/atm-sec
 T_g = steam transmissibility, g/atm-sec
 U = internal energy, cal/g
V = bulk volume, cm^3
Z = depth, cm
 Φ = potential, $P - \int \rho g \, dz$, atm
 ϕ = porosity, fraction
 δ = time difference, $\Delta P = P^{n+1} - P^n$
 Δt = time increment, $t^{n+1} - t^n$
 μ = viscosity, c.p
 ρ = density, g/cm^3
k = diffusivity, $T_c/\rho C_p$, cm^2/sec
 P'_{ST} = derivative of the saturated curve with respect to temperature

Subscripts

we = water encroachment, f = formation
w = water, g = steam, i,j,k = grid, n = time level

REFERENCES

- 1) Hirakawa, S.: "A Reservoir Engineering Study of the Takinoue Geothermal Field", J. Jap. Geo. Ene. Assoc., (1976) vol. 13, no. 4
- 2) Coats, K. H., George, W. D., Chu, C., and Marcum, B. E.: "Three-Dimensional Simulation of Steam-flooding", Soc. Pet. Eng. J., (Dec. 1974) 573 - 592; Trans., AIME, vol. 257
- 3) Thomas, L. K. and Pierson, R. G.: "Three-Dimensional Geothermal Reservoir Simulation", Soc. Pet. Eng. J., (Apr. 1978) 151 - 161; Trans., AIME, vol. 261
- 4) JSME Steam Tables, The Japan Society of Mechanical Engineerings (1968)
- 5) Van Everdingen, A. F. and Hurst, W.: "The Application of the Laplace Transformation to flow Problems in Reservoirs", Trans., AIME, (Dec. 1949) 305 - 324
- 6) Price, H. S. and Coats, K. H.: "Direct Methods in Reservoir Simulation", Soc. Pet. Eng. J., (June 1974) 295 - 308; Trans., AIME, vol. 257
- 7) Aziz, K. and Settari, A.: Petroleum Reservoir Simulation, Applied Science P. Ltd., (1979)

Table 1. Example data of Physical Properties and Block Dimensions

Initial temperature (1,1,1)	= 260°C
Initial temperature gradient	= 5°C/100m
Initial pressure (1,1,1)	= 70 atm
Horizontal permeability	= 100 md
Vertical permeability	= 10 md
Porosity	= 0.38
Initial water saturation	= 1.0
Water compressibility	= 1.65×10^{-4} vol/vol-atm
Formation compressibility	= 4.4×10^{-5} vol/vol-atm
Thermal conductivity	= 1.53×10^{-3} cal/cm-°C-sec
$NX = 10, NY = 10, NZ = 5$	
$\Delta X = 150m, \Delta Y = 150m, \Delta Z = 15m$	
Initial production rate	= 1.2×10^4 g/sec
Bottom-hole pressure	= 60 atm




Fig. 1 Simplified Flow Chart




Fig.2 Geometry of 3-D Geothermal Reservoir Model




Fig. 3 Potential Distribution Behaviors (without water influx)
 Solid curve, after 10 days of production. Dashed curve, after 50 days of production.




Fig. 4 Potential Distribution Behaviors (with water influx)
 Solid curve, after 50 days of production. Dashed curve, after 100 days of production.