

RECORD COPY - RETURN TO
LIBRARY
RECORD COPY
RETURN TO LIBRARY

S-73012-00

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTS
OF
GEOTHERMAL RESERVOIR FLUID TEMPERATURE
ON THE COSTS OF
STEAM PRODUCTION AND POWER GENERATION

* 207
B10

April 1973



ROGERS ENGINEERING CO., INC.
ENGINEERS & ARCHITECTS
16 BEALE STREET

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF. 94105

Donated By:
Herbert Rogers Jr.
Rogers Engineering Co.

S-73012-00

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.



Rogers

INTRODUCTION

This report provides a preliminary evaluation of the effect of reservoir temperatures on the cost of geothermal hot water wells and flash-steam gathering systems to support a 50,000 kW power plant. Comparisons are made of the capital investments required for each case and the corresponding payout period based on steam costs of 6 mill/kWh of power generated.

In order to show how the reservoir temperatures and steam cost affect the cost of electric power delivered to the high tension bus at the power plant, capital costs estimates were prepared and economic analyses made to determine the cost of electric power for each corresponding case.

DISCUSSION:

A. STEAM PRODUCTION FACILITIES

In order to determine the cost of the steam production facilities, it was first necessary to establish the basic design and steam requirements for the power plant.

A two-stage steam flash concept was employed for geothermal hot water reservoir temperatures above 350° F. In order to optimize hot water usage and minimize geothermal well



Rogers

costs. The amount of flashed steam produced diminishes rapidly as the reservoir temperature drops below 350° F. For this reason it is preferable to use a binary fluid cycle power plant to reduce field production costs when reservoir temperatures approach the lower values. The amount of fluid produced by flash-flowing diminishes. Also, the fluid temperature drops, resulting in a closer temperature approach and greater surface requirement in the binary fluid heat exchanger train. For this reason the 300° F. reservoir temperature case was evaluated on the binary fluid cycle power plant and the production facilities were cost estimated on the basis of mechanically pumped wells.

The type of pump considered suitable for this service is the deep well vertical shaft driven pump. Costs are based on vendor's best estimates because pumps of suitable capacity are still in the developmental stage. Submersible pumps were not considered suitable because the motors are likely to over-heat and fail in the hot geothermal well environment.

For conservative estimating, the initial wells were assumed to be spaced on 40 acre centers. Each well is equipped



Rogers

with a high pressure steam flash separator, from which the steam is piped to a common manifold and delivered to the power plant.

The hot water from the steam separators is piped to a common low pressure steam flash separator which is located adjacent the power plant. In this manner the low pressure steam line is kept short; the water lines can be sized for a relatively high pressure drop, and the water is brought closer to its point of disposal, which is by reinjection in wells located on the opposite side of the plant and assumed to be located at least 3,000 feet from the production wells.

Requirements for reinjection wells are based on one reinjection well for every two production wells. The requirements for production wells was predicted on a flow rate of 1500 gpm per well. Flow tests on other hot water geothermal fields have shown these to be reasonable bases for designs and cost estimating.

The cost of each production and reinjection well was estimated at \$250,000. Intangible drilling costs were taken at \$150,000, based on our estimated casing program cost of \$100,000.



Rogers

An additional expense item of \$35,000 was added to each production well for flow testing and evaluation.

Geothermal wells typically exhibit a decline in production during the early years and a "levelling off" in the decline curve with time. For each case considered we have added costs for additional production and reinjection wells, based on our estimate of a probable decline curve and life of the wells.

The tangible costs of the production facilities were depreciated on a straight line basis rather than on a unit of production basis because the incremental well additions cannot be produced at any greater rate than that which is required to make up the production decline to sustain the total production fixed by the power plant. The average useful life of the production well was taken as 20 years for depreciation purposes.

Each production well requires a separator and steam and water lines which were estimated to cost \$194,000 average per installation. The reinjection wells are fed by 100% spared pumps, taking suction from the common low pressure separator. Incremental addition of reinjection wells requires only piping costs, estimated at \$78,000, plus well costs of \$250,000.



Rogers

Reinjection pump discharge head requirements were predicated on overcoming static and velocity head losses incurred in flowing to the reinjection wells. In some instances, it has been found that the pump can be shut down and by-passed once reinjection flow is established because the static head exerted by the column of water in the well is sufficient to maintain flow.

The cash flow analysis for the steam production facilities was predicted on the following:

1. Gross revenue based on steam sale price of 6 mills/kWh of electric power generated by the power plant, operating at 85% average annual availability.
2. Royalty payment to lease holders of 10% of gross revenues.
3. Depreciation on a straight line basis for 20 years.
4. Interest payment of 8% on the yearly net capital requirements.
5. Depletion allowance of 22% of gross income, but not to exceed 50% of taxable income.



Rogers

6. Income taxes were calculated at an effective rate of 52% on the taxable income. This rate was determined by taking 48% for Federal income tax and Arizona State income tax of 8% on income after Federal taxes.

The project schedule and capital expenditures for the steam production facilities are paced by the construction schedule for the power plant. Six months are provided for drilling exploratory and step-out wells to establish the existence of adequate steam and to negotiate a contract with the utility company.

24 months are provided for construction of the power plant during which time the additional wells required to make up the total required production would be drilled and surface facilities installed to deliver the steam to the power plant and to dispose of the waste water. These are admittedly tight schedules but they could be realized by preselecting the engineering and procurement services so that the long lead items could be purchased at the earliest date.



Rogers

Since the surface facilities do not have to be purchased until the end of the second year, a cost escalation of 5% per year is included in the cost estimate. The capital cost estimate also includes an overall contingency of 15%.

The summary of the capital costs and payout periods for the three principal cases are shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

B. THE POWER PLANT

Summarized in Table 4 are the capital costs of the power plant and the cost of electricity delivered to the high tension bus for each of the corresponding geothermal reservoir temperature cases used for evaluating the cost of the steam production facilities.

Plant costs are based on using steam turbine drives for the generator. The steam turbines are of the double admission design to accept high and low pressure steam delivered to the power plant from the two-stage flash separators in the steam production facilities.

As a basis for cost comparison with a flash steam power plant, the cost of a binary power cycle plant (Magmamax) is presented for the highest (450°F) geothermal reservoir temperature case. Costs are also presented for the Magmamax plant using



Rogers

geothermal hot water at a reservoir temperature of 300° F. At this low temperature level only the binary fluid cycle plant is considered practicable.

All plant capacities were based on 50,000 kW except for the 300° F. reservoir temperature Magmamax plant. The latter was based on 20,000 kW in order to limit the total number of geothermal production wells required to sustain a single plant installation.

The 50,000 kW flash steam power plants were based on the following design parameters:

| <u>CASE</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>3</u> |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Reservoir temperature, °F. | 450 | 400 | 350 |
| First flash pressure, Psia | 95 | 95 | 75 |
| First flash steam flow, Lb/Hr | 670,000 | 568,000 | 485,000 |
| Second flash pressure, Pisa | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Second flash steam flow, Lb/Hr | 389,000 | 584,000 | 800,000 |
| Condenser pressure, "HG Abs | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Condenser duty, M ² Btu/Hr | 983 | 1,071 | 1,207 |
| Cooling water circ., gpm | 51,800 | 56,500 | 63,700 |

Cooling Tower design parameters:

| | |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Design wet bulb temperature | 75° F. |
| Approach temperature | 8° F. |
| Temperature range | 38° F. |

Plant costs and condenser vacuum ejector steam requirements were based on an assumption that the noncondensable gases entering with the steam would not exceed one percent by weight.



Rogers

The plant was assumed to be situated on a relatively flat area with good soil bearing. No special allowance was made in the cost estimate for piling, and only a nominal amount of grading work was assumed necessary.

The turbine building is a fabricated steel structure containing control room, office space, change room, maintenance shop and store room in addition to the turbine-generator set, associated electrical equipment and bridge crane.

The capital cost estimate includes the cost of the power plant and substation. Cost elements making up the estimate include equipment costs, field erection materials and labor, construction overhead and profit. To this sum is added 15% contingency and 8% for engineering.

Total capitalization includes interest during construction. Based on the projected rate of expenditure over the two year construction period interest payment equal to one year's amount was considered adequate.

CONCLUSIONS:

Economic evaluations were made of the capital investments required for the geothermal steam production facilities and for the power plant to determine payout period and cost of electric power.



Rogers

These order-of-magnitude costs provide a basis for establishing the economic viability of the geothermal project for a range of anticipated reservoir fluid temperatures.

While geothermal reservoir temperatures in excess of 600°F have been encountered in some fields, this evaluation was limited to a maximum temperature of 450°F per instructions of GKS Corporation.

Based on a geothermal steam sale price of 6 mills per kW/Hr of electricity produced, the payout period for the steam production facilities ranged from 9 years for 450°F reservoir temperature to 19 years for 350°F reservoir temperature. The cost of electricity delivered to the plant high tension bus varied from 10.67 mills/kWh to 10.88 mills/kWh for the same corresponding reservoir temperatures.

The cost of electricity for the 300°F reservoir case utilizing a binary fluid Magmamax cycle was 16.20 mills/kWh.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The results of this preliminary evaluation are adequate for the purposes intended; however, it is recommended that a detailed economic analysis of the geothermal project be undertaken to determine definitive costs when the geothermal reservoir and fluid conditions are determined by actual flow tests on multiple wells.

TABLE 1

CASE 1 450° BHT
 50 MW
 85% L.F. (7450 Hr/Yr)
 372.3 x 10⁶ Kwh/Yr.
 Cost in \$1000

Well Requirements:

| | <u>Initial</u> | <u>30 Year Total</u> |
|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Production, P | 8 | 22 |
| Reinjection, R | 4 | 7 |

| YEAR | WELLS INSTALLED | WELL COSTS EXPENSED | TANGIBLE | SURFACE FACILITY | WELL TEST EXPENSE | ACCUMULATED WELLS & FACIL. COSTS | INCOME LESS 10% ROYALTY | DEPRECIATION | 8% INTEREST | OPERATIONS COST | TAXABLE INCOME | DEPLETION | TAXES 52% | ACCUMULATED PROFIT (LOSS) | UNRECOVERED CAPITAL INVESTMENT |
|------|--------------------|------------------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1973 | 4P | 600 | 400 | | 140 | 1,140 | | | 91 | | | | | (831) | 1231 |
| 1974 | 4P/1R | 750 | 500 | | 140 | 2,530 | | | 210 | | | | | (2331) | 2831 |
| 1975 | 3R | 450 | 300 | 2,118 | | 5,398 | 1005 | 166 | 456 | 80 | (147) | | | (2478) | 5260 |
| 1976 | 2P | 300 | 200 | 388 | 70 | 6,356 | 2011 | 195 | 492 | 160 | 794 | | | (1684) | 4859 |
| 1977 | | | | | | | 2011 | 195 | 389 | 160 | 1267 | | | (417) | 3397 |
| 1978 | | | | | | | 2011 | 195 | 272 | 160 | 1384/967 | 483 | 252 | 232 | 2070 |
| 1979 | | | | | | | 2011 | 195 | 166 | 160 | 1490 | 491 | 520 | 711 | 905 |
| 1980 | 2P | 300 | 200 | 388 | 70 | 7,314 | 2011 | 225 | 143 | 160 | 1113 | 491 | 323 | 1010 | 478 |
| 1981 | | | | | | | 2011 | 225 | 38 | 160 | 1588 | 491 | 570 | 1537 | 0 |
| 1982 | | | | | | | 2011 | 225 | | 160 | 1626 | 491 | 590 | 2082 | |
| 1983 | | | | | | | 2011 | 225 | | 160 | 1626 | 491 | 590 | 2627 | |
| 1984 | 2P/1R | 450 | 300 | 466 | 70 | 8,600 | 2011 | 260 | | 160 | 1071 | 491 | 302 | 2935 | |
| 1985 | | | | | | | 2011 | 260 | | 160 | 1591 | 491 | 572 | 3463 | |
| 1986 | | | | | | | 2011 | 260 | | 160 | 1591 | 491 | 572 | 3991 | |
| 1987 | | | | | | | 2011 | 260 | | 160 | 1591 | 491 | 572 | 4519 | |
| 1988 | 2P | 300 | 200 | 388 | 70 | 9,558 | 2011 | 290 | | 160 | 1191 | 491 | 364 | 4855 | |
| 1989 | | | | | | | 2011 | 290 | | 160 | 1561 | 491 | 556 | 5369 | |
| 1990 | | | | | | | 2011 | 290 | | 160 | 1561 | 491 | 556 | 5883 | |
| 1991 | | | | | | | 2011 | 290 | | 160 | 1561 | 491 | 556 | 6397 | |
| 1992 | 2P/1R | 450 | 300 | 466 | 70 | 10,844 | 2011 | 330 | | 160 | 1001 | 491 | 265 | 6642 | |
| 1993 | | | | | | | 2011 | 330 | | 160 | 1521 | 491 | 536 | 7136 | |
| 1994 | | | | | | | 2011 | 330 | | 160 | 1521 | 491 | 536 | 7630 | |
| 1995 | | | | | | | 2011 | 195 | | 160 | 1656 | 491 | 606 | 8189 | |
| 1996 | 2P | 300 | 200 | 388 | 70 | 11,802 | 2011 | 165 | | 160 | 1316 | 491 | 429 | 8585 | |
| 1997 | | | | | | | 2011 | 165 | | 160 | 1686 | 491 | 621 | 9159 | |
| 1998 | | | | | | | 2011 | 165 | | 160 | 1686 | 491 | 621 | 9733 | |
| 1999 | | | | | | | 2011 | 165 | | 160 | 1686 | 491 | 621 | 10,307 | |
| 2000 | 2P/1R | 450 | 300 | 466 | 70 | 13,088 | 2011 | 175 | | 160 | 1156 | 491 | 346 | 10,626 | |
| 2001 | | | | | | | 2011 | 175 | | 160 | 1676 | 491 | 616 | 11,195 | |
| 2002 | | | | | | | 2011 | 175 | | 160 | 1676 | 491 | 616 | 11,764 | |
| 2003 | | | | | | | 2011 | 175 | | 160 | 1676 | 491 | 618 | 12,333 | |
| 2004 | | | | | | | 2011 | 135 | | 160 | 1716 | 491 | 637 | 12,921 | |
| 2005 | | | | | | | 2011 | 135 | | 160 | 1716 | 491 | 637 | 13,509 | |

CASE II 400°F BHT

TABLE 2

Well Requirements:

| | <u>Initial</u> | <u>30 Year Total</u> |
|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Production, P | 10 | 28 |
| Reinjection, R | 5 | 9 |

| YEAR | WELLS INSTALLED | WELL COSTS EXPENSED TANGIBLE | SURFACE FACILITY | WELL TEST EXPENSE | ACCUMULATED WELLS & FACIL. COSTS | INCOME LESS 10% ROYALTY | DEPRECIATION | 8% INTEREST | OPERATIONS COST | TAXABLE INCOME | DEPLETION | TAXES 52% | ACCUMULATED PROFIT (LOSS) | UNRECOVERED CAPITAL INVESTMENT |
|------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1973 | 5P | 750 | 500 | | 1425 | | | 114 | | | | | (1039) | 1539 |
| 1974 | 5P/2R | 1050 | 700 | | 3350 | | | 277 | | | | | (2541) | 3627 |
| 1975 | 3R | 450 | 300 | 2,700 | 6800 | 1005 | 210 | 566 | 85 | (306) | | | (2847) | 6723 |
| 1976 | 3P | 450 | 300 | 582 | 8237 | 2011 | 255 | 644 | 170 | 387 | | | (2460) | 6963 |
| 1977 | | | | | | 2011 | 255 | 557 | 170 | 1029 | | | (1431) | 5679 |
| 1978 | | | | | | 2011 | 255 | 454 | 170 | 1132 | | | (299) | 4292 |
| 1979 | | | | | | 2011 | 255 | 343 | 170 | 1243/944 | 472 | 245 | 227 | 3039 |
| 1980 | 3P/1R | 600 | 400 | 660 | 10,002 | 2011 | 305 | 376 | 170 | 455 | 227 | 119 | 336 | 3458 |
| 1981 | | | | | | 2011 | 305 | 277 | 170 | 1259 | 491 | 399 | 705 | 2293 |
| 1982 | | | | | | 2011 | 305 | 183 | 170 | 1353 | 491 | 448 | 1119 | 1083 |
| 1983 | | | | | | 2011 | 305 | 87 | 170 | 1449 | 491 | 498 | 1579 | 0 |
| 1984 | 3P | 450 | 300 | 582 | 11,439 | 2011 | 350 | | 170 | 936 | 468 | 244 | 1803 | |
| 1985 | | | | | | 2011 | 350 | | 170 | 1491 | 491 | 520 | 2283 | |
| 1986 | | | | | | 2011 | 350 | | 170 | 1491 | 491 | 520 | 2763 | |
| 1987 | | | | | | 2011 | 350 | | 170 | 1491 | 491 | 520 | 3243 | |
| 1988 | 3P/1R | 600 | 400 | 660 | 13,204 | 2011 | 405 | | 170 | 731 | 365 | 190 | 3419 | |
| 1989 | | | | | | 2011 | 405 | | 170 | 1436 | 491 | 491 | 3873 | |
| 1990 | | | | | | 2011 | 405 | | 170 | 1436 | 491 | 491 | 4327 | |
| 1991 | | | | | | 2011 | 405 | | 170 | 1436 | 491 | 491 | 4781 | |
| 1992 | 2P/1R | 450 | 300 | 466 | 14,490 | 2011 | 445 | | 170 | 876 | 438 | 228 | 4991 | |
| 1993 | | | | | | 2011 | 445 | | 170 | 1396 | 491 | 471 | 5425 | |
| 1994 | | | | | | 2011 | 445 | | 170 | 1396 | 491 | 471 | 5859 | |
| 1995 | | | | | | 2011 | 260 | | 170 | 1581 | 491 | 567 | 6382 | |
| 1996 | 2P | 300 | 200 | 388 | 15,448 | 2011 | 220 | | 170 | 1251 | 491 | 395 | 6747 | |
| 1997 | | | | | | 2011 | 220 | | 170 | 1621 | 491 | 588 | 7289 | |
| 1998 | | | | | | 2011 | 220 | | 170 | 1621 | 491 | 588 | 7831 | |
| 1999 | | | | | | 2011 | 220 | | 170 | 1621 | 491 | 588 | 8373 | |
| 2000 | 2P/1R | 450 | 300 | 466 | 16,734 | 2011 | 205 | | 170 | 1116 | 491 | 325 | 8673 | |
| 2001 | | | | | | 2011 | 205 | | 170 | 1636 | 491 | 595 | 9223 | |
| 2002 | | | | | | 2011 | 205 | | 170 | 1636 | 491 | 595 | 9773 | |
| 2003 | | | | | | 2011 | 205 | | 170 | 1636 | 491 | 595 | 10,323 | |
| 2004 | | | | | | 2011 | 160 | | 170 | 1681 | 491 | 619 | 10,894 | |
| 2005 | | | | | | 2011 | 160 | | 170 | 1681 | 491 | 619 | 11,465 | |

CASE III 350°F BHT

TABLE 3

Well Requirements:

| | <u>Initial</u> | <u>30 Year Total</u> |
|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Production, P | 15 | 30 |
| Reinjection, R | 8 | 11 |

| YEAR | WELLS INSTALLED | WELL COSTS EXPENSED TANGIBLE | SURFACE FACILITY | WELL TEST EXPENSE | ACCUMULATED WELLS & FACIL. COSTS | INCOME LESS 10% ROYALTY | DEPRECIATION | 8% INTEREST | OPERATIONS COST | TAXABLE INCOME | DEPLETION | TAXES 52% | ACCUMULATED PROFIT (LOSS) | UNRECOVERED CAPITAL INVESTMENT |
|------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1973 | 7P | \$1050 | \$700 | | \$245 | \$1995 | | \$160 | | | | | \$(1455) | \$2155 |
| 1974 | 8P/4R | 1800 | 1200 | | 280 | 5275 | | 435 | | | | | (3970) | 5870 |
| 1975 | 4R | 600 | 400 | 4031 | | 10,306 | 1005 | 872 | 105 | (887) | | | (4857) | 10,873 |
| 1976 | 4P | 600 | 400 | 776 | 140 | 12,222 | 2011 | 1012 | 210 | (326) | | | (5193) | 12,000 |
| 1977 | | | | | | | 2011 | 960 | 210 | 466 | | | (4727) | 11,159 |
| 1978 | | | | | | | 2011 | 892 | 210 | 534 | | | (4193) | 10,250 |
| 1979 | | | | | | | 2011 | 820 | 210 | 606 | | | (3587) | 9,269 |
| 1980 | 4P/1R | 750 | 500 | 854 | 140 | 14,466 | 2011 | 910 | 210 | (444) | | | (4031) | 10,622 |
| 1981 | | | | | | | 2011 | 850 | 210 | 506 | | | *(3525) | 9,671 |
| 1982 | | | | | | | 2011 | 774 | 210 | 582/308 | 154 | 72 | (3169) | 8,716 |
| 1983 | 4P | 600 | 400 | 776 | 140 | 16,382 | 2011 | 697 | 210 | 659 | 329 | 172 | (3011) | 7,784 |
| 1984 | | | | | | | 2011 | 765 | 210 | (204) | | (106) | (3109) | 8,558 |
| 1985 | | | | | | | 2011 | 685 | 210 | 616 | 308 | 160 | (2961) | 7,602 |
| 1986 | | | | | | | 2011 | 608 | 210 | 693 | 346 | 180 | (2794) | 6,589 |
| 1987 | | | | | | | 2011 | 527 | 210 | 774 | 387 | 201 | (2608) | 5,516 |
| 1988 | 2P/1R | 450 | 300 | 466 | 70 | 17,668 | 2011 | 541 | 210 | 200 | 100 | 52 | (2560) | 4,828 |
| 1989 | | | | | | | 2011 | 386 | 210 | 875 | 437 | 228 | (2350) | 3,641 |
| 1990 | | | | | | | 2011 | 291 | 210 | 970 | 485 | 252 | (2117) | 2,383 |
| 1991 | | | | | | | 2011 | 190 | 210 | 1071 | 491 | 302 | (1839) | 1,074 |
| 1992 | | | | | | | 2011 | 86 | 210 | 1175 | 491 | 356 | (1511) | 0 |
| 1993 | | | | | | | 2011 | | 210 | 1261 | 491 | 400 | (1141) | |
| 1994 | 1P/1R | 150 | 100 | 272 | 35 | 18,225 | 2011 | | 210 | 1056 | 491 | 294 | (870) | |
| 1995 | | | | | | | 2011 | | 210 | 1556 | 491 | 554 | (359) | |
| 1996 | | | | | | | 2011 | | 210 | 1616 | 491 | 585 | 181 | |
| 1997 | | | | | | | 2011 | | 210 | 1616 | 491 | 585 | 721 | |
| 1998 | | | | | | | 2011 | | 210 | 1616 | 491 | 585 | 1261 | |
| 1999 | | | | | | | 2011 | | 210 | 1616 | 491 | 585 | 1801 | |
| 2000 | | | | | | | 2011 | | 210 | 1686 | 491 | 621 | 2375 | |
| 2001 | | | | | | | 2011 | | 210 | 1686 | 491 | 621 | 2949 | |
| 2002 | | | | | | | 2011 | | 210 | 1686 | 491 | 621 | 3523 | |
| 2003 | | | | | | | 2011 | | 210 | 1686 | 491 | 621 | 4097 | |
| 2004 | | | | | | | 2011 | 60 | 210 | 1741 | 491 | 650 | 4671 | |
| 2005 | | | | | | | 2011 | 60 | 210 | 1741 | 491 | 650 | 5162 | |

* Net operating loss carry-over lost -
totaling \$3,251 (5 year basis expired)

TABLE 4

SUMMARY

BASES: 50,000 Kw Capacity
 7450 Operating Hours/Year
 372,300,000 Kwh/Year Operation

* 20,000 Kw
 7450 Hr/Yr.
 149,000,000 Kwh/Yr.

| Case | 1 | | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 5* | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| BHT, °F | 450 | | 400 | | 350 | | 450 | | 300 | |
| Type | Flash Steam | | Flash Steam | | Flash Steam | | Binary Cycle | | Binary Cycle | |
| | \$1000 | (\$/Kw) | \$1000 | (\$/Kw) | \$1000 | (\$/Kw) | \$1000 | (\$/Kw) | \$1000 | (\$/Kw) |
| Plant Cost Inc. Substation | 8,201 | (164) | 8,362 | (167) | 8,608 | (172) | 9,020 | (180) | 7,011 | (350) |
| Int. During Const. | <u>615</u> | (12) | <u>627</u> | (13) | <u>646</u> | (13) | <u>676</u> | (14) | <u>526</u> | (26) |
| Total Capitalization | 8,816 | (176) | 8,989 | (180) | 9,254 | (185) | 9,696 | (194) | 7,537 | (376) |
| Annual Costs | \$1000 | (Mills/Kwh) | \$1000 | (Mills/Kwh) | \$1000 | (Mills/Kwh) | \$1000 | (Mills/Kwh) | \$1000 | (Mills/Kwh) |
| Fixed Charges @ 18% | \$1,587 | (4.27) | 1,618 | (4.35) | 1,666 | (4.48) | 1,883 | (5.06) | 1,357 | (9.10) |
| Operating Costs | 150 | (0.40) | 150 | (0.40) | 150 | (0.40) | 200 | (0.54) | 165 | (1.10) |
| Labor and Material Fuel Cost | <u>2,234</u> \$3,971 | (6.00) | <u>2,234</u> 4,002 | (6.00) | <u>2,234</u> 4,050 | (6.00) | <u>2,234</u> 4,317 | (6.00) | <u>894</u> 2,416 | (6.00) |
| Electricity Cost at Plant Bus | | (10.67) | | (10.75) | | (10.88) | | (11.60) | | (16.20) |