

---

**Cover Sheet for a Hanford  
Historical Document  
Released for Public Availability**

---

**Released 1995**

**Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy  
under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830**

**Pacific Northwest Laboratory  
Operated for the U.S. Department of Energy  
by Battelle Memorial Institute**



## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.**

DECLASSIFIED

Hel 11112

RED LABEL

RECEIVED

MAY 22 1956

300 AREA  
CLASSIFIED FILES

1. 2 3 25 Street  
2. 25 Street  
3. 25 Street  
4. 25 Street  
5. 25 Street  
6. 25 Street  
7. 25 Street  
8. 25 Street  
9. 25 Street  
10. 25 Street

RECORD CENT COPY

COPY 1 OF 1, SERIES MA

D. D. Strelitz  
Design Engineering Division

THIS DOCUMENT  
OF 17

3 PAGES

ADDITIONAL DESIGN DATA FOR C-1 PROJECT

After completion of the initial specification of process and equipment requirements for the C-1 Project by the Technical Division, it was necessary from time to time to ask for additional or substitute facilities and equipment. In most of these cases it was found that completion of the newly specified item could not be expected in time for plant start-up and that installation could be finished as soon after start-up as possible.

During the past two weeks you have collected these items into a group for the purpose of determining the magnitude of the design job and the probable cost, with the expectation of writing a project proposal (or Part IV of Project C-100) requesting funds if the work could not be finished in Part III of C-100 or if the scope of the work was otherwise altered. Several meetings have been attended by yourself, J. E. Gray, F. E. Collins, G. L. Greger, H. A. Boulton and the writer in an attempt to plan this work.

At the last of these meetings, September 21, 1955, an agreement was reached that three of the nine previously requested items should be completed as soon as possible, three should be deferred indefinitely, and three should be cancelled in entirety. The table below lists these items, the date and document in which the item was requested, and the decision which was made in the September 21 meeting. The table also lists in additional items which were requested at the meeting.

Classification Cancelled And Changed To  
**DECLASSIFIED**

By Authority of CH Henagar,  
CG-PR-2, 1-8-92  
by DK Harrison, 2-12-92  
Verified By DA Burk 2-21-92

NOT UCN

Small text at bottom left, mostly illegible.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

13

# DECLASSIFIED

ITEM	DATE RECEIVED	FILE NUMBER	REMARKS
1. Transfer container (for 25 collection)	7/24/43	MS-3681	Completed
2. Loading system for 251 M4s.	"	"	"
3. Requisition for 251 M4s. (for 25 collection)	"	"	"
4. 70-1100 inventory equipment	2/10/43	MS-3176	Completed
5. Credits Ship development equipment	2/10/43	MS-3176	"
6. Documentation Field Storage Units	7/19/43	MS-3176	"
7. One method for verification load	2/10/43	MS-3176	Completed
8. Maintenance loads	7/1/43	E.A. Modification to P.M. Collins Verbal	"
9. Diagrams for dry chemistry load	2/10/43	"	"
10. Sample can loading conditions	2/10/43	"	"

11-0000

17-0000

- 3 -

# DECLASSIFIED

In support of the disposition of the first three items in the list are two points.

(1) No proposed change, elimination of the single and double evaporation in the 2nd and 3rd Building evaporators (in still and in sample tank) into one evaporation in the 2nd Building, is an improvement but not a process change. It has been proposed prior to January of 1944, the transportation into the rubber glove and remote lines would have been logical and desirable. It was this change at the present time, however, would waste the time and effort already expended in design of sample and handling facilities in these two lines. The estimated expenditure (\$100,000) is not deemed justifiable at the present time.

(2) Great advantages of process simplification and improvement and (possibly) savings have been frequently mentioned as possible if the evaporation is eliminated completely. This request presents changes for which information is not now available. Studies at Los Alamos and at Hanford may later show that sample and evaporation may be eliminated in favor of (a) dilution or partial neutralization before sulfate precipitation or (b) destruction of nitric acid by such materials as ferrous acid. These studies may even show that both the still and sample and evaporation may be abandoned by dissolving the second peroxide cake in 7-8 tank of the 2nd Building in (a) low concentrations of nitric acid (possibly with phosphorus catalyst or peroxide decomposition) or (d) ammonia sulfate solution. There is also the possibility that (c) the second peroxide cake can be transferred directly to the 2nd Building for hydrofluorination. Thus, if the proposed change were made today, it might be necessary some months later to design and install a third set of equipment for a major process change.

The basis for the recommendation of items 4, 5 and 6 in the above list is primarily one of economy. It is felt reasonable to wait until questions have proceeded long enough to establish the need for these replacement or additional items were definitely known for the necessary funds can then be made if required.

The remaining four items of the list have been considered as minor and have been indicated as necessary at plant start-up or soon thereafter. It is suggested that these tasks be completed as soon as possible, even if they are considered outside the scope of project G-12 and it is necessary to bring a part of it into project to obtain further funds.

*B. Work*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J. A. Work  
Contract Engineer

CLASSIFIED FILE

SECRET