


FY98 Final Report for the Expedited Technology Demonstration Project: Demonstration Test Results for the Integrated MSO Waste Treatment System

Peter C. Hsu
Martyn G. Adamson
David L. Hipple
Robert W. Hopper

November 1998




Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory

This is an informal report intended primarily for internal or limited external distribution. The opinions and conclusions stated are those of the author and may or may not be those of the Laboratory.
Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-ENG-48.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This report has been reproduced
directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401

Available to the public from the
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Rd.,
Springfield, VA 22161

**FY98 Final Report for the Expedited Technology
Demonstration Project: Demonstration Test Results for
the Integrated MSO Waste Treatment System**

**Peter C. Hsu, Martyn G. Adamson, David L. Hipple, and
Robert W. Hopper**

**Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Expedited Technology Demonstration Project
Earth & Environmental Science Directorate
Livermore, CA 94550**

**Prepared for: U. S. Department of Energy
Oakland Operations Office
Waste Management Division**

November 1998

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| TABLES..... | 3 |
| FIGURES | 4 |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY..... | 5 |
| 1. Introduction..... | 8 |
| 2. Background..... | 8 |
| 3. Process Description..... | 9 |
| 4. System Description..... | 10 |
| 5. Design of Experiments in the MSO/OFF-Gas System..... | 21 |
| 6. Salt Recycle Experiments | 23 |
| 7. Final Forms Experiments..... | 25 |
| 8. Demonstration Results for the MSO/Off-Gas System | 28 |
| 9. Results of the Demonstration of the Salt Recycle System..... | 51 |
| 10. Results of the Ceramic Final Forms Demonstration..... | 55 |
| 11. Lessons Learned..... | 55 |
| 12. Cost Analysis..... | 58 |
| 13. Conclusions..... | 58 |
| REFERENCES..... | 59 |
| APPENDIX 1 | |

Tables

| | |
|----------|--|
| Table 1 | Run Conditions |
| Table 2 | Effect of Temperature on the Ethylene Glycol Run |
| Table 3 | Effect of % Excess Air for the Ethylene Glycol Run |
| Table 4 | Effect of % Excess Air for the Toluene Run |
| Table 5 | MSO Processor Data Sheet for Toluene Run |
| Table 6 | Run Conditions for Heteroatom-containing Organic Liquids |
| Table 7 | Off-gas Composition for Feed of Toluene/Pyridine |
| Table 8 | Conversion of Organic Nitrogen into NO_x in the Molten Salt |
| Table 9 | Off-gas Composition for the MSO Runs with Halides, Sulfur, and Phosphorous-Containing Feeds |
| Table 10 | Run Conditions |
| Table 11 | Off-gas Composition for the Solid Feeds |
| Table 12 | Run Conditions for Test Series IV |
| Table 13 | Off-gas Composition for the Radioactive-spiked Organic Liquids |
| Table 14 | C-14 Level in the C-14 Labeled Toluene Run |
| Table 15 | Off-gas Analyzer Readings |
| Table 16 | Destruction and Removal Efficiency for the MSO Demonstration with Uranium And 2,4-Dichlorophenol-spiked Organics |
| Table 17 | Real Test Specimens for MSO Treatability Study in FY98 |
| Table 18 | Test Methods and Locations for the Treatability Study |
| Table 19 | Off-gas Composition for RTS#1 and RTS#2 |
| Table 20 | Feed Rates, Emission Rates, and DREs for RTS#1 |
| Table 21 | Results for Dioxin and Furan Emissions for RTS#1 |
| Table 22 | Feed Rates, Emission Rates, and DREs for RTS#2 |
| Table 23 | Results for Dioxins and Furan Emissions |
| Table 24 | Cation Compositions of SR1, SR2, SR3 Before and After Salt Recycle |
| Table 25 | Cation Compositions of SR5 and SR6 Before and After Salt Recycle |
| Table 26 | Cation Compositions in SR4 Salt Before and After Salt Recycle |
| Table 27 | Unit Costs for Various Radioactive Feeds Based on 3-Vessel System (in \$/kg) |

Figures

Fig. 1 Integrated MSO System

Fig. 2 MSO Reaction Vessel

Fig. 3 Off-gas System

Fig. 4 Salt Recycle System Flow Diagram

Fig. 5 Ceramic Waste Form Process Functional Flow Diagram

Fig. 6 Floor Plan (Final Forms)

Fig. 7 Flowsheet for Aqueous Processing of Spent Salt

Fig. 8 Salt Plug in the Drain Pipe

Fig. 9 Toluene Feed at 1.2 kg/hr, 30% excess air, salt at 950 C 98 hrs run)

Fig. 10 3 kg/hr Ion Exchange Resin (Amberlite), salt at 950 C

Fig. 11 C-14 Activity in Salt & Off-gas

Fig. 12 MSO Flow Balance for Feed Stream in LLW-008 (Chlorinated Solvents)

Fig. 13 MSO Flow Balance for Feed Stream LLW-009 (PCB Waste Oils)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Molten Salt Oxidation (MSO) is a robust thermal treatment process that can be used to oxidatively and efficiently destroy the organic constituents of mixed and hazardous wastes. In the Expedited Technology Demonstration Project, an integrated pilot-scale MSO demonstration facility has been installed and operated at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL). This facility, which has been operational since December 1997, was built to demonstrate the capability of processing organic feed at a commercially useful scale (5 to 7 kg per hour). The integrated MSO treatment train consists of several subsystems: a primary MSO processor (reaction vessel), an off-gas conditioning system, a salt recycle system, and a ceramic final forms immobilization system. The MSO/off-gas system began operations in December 1997, while the salt recycle system and the ceramic final forms immobilization system were activated in May 1998 and September 1998, respectively. During FY98, we have successfully conducted tests in the MSO facility on a variety of liquid and solid organic feeds: chlorinated solvents, tributyl phosphate/kerosene mixtures, PCB-contaminated waste oils and solvents, shredded booties and coveralls, plastic pellets, ion-exchange resins, activated carbon, several radioactive-spike organics, and two well-characterized low-level liquid mixed wastes. For the last two feeds, which were samples of real LLNL mixed wastes, the tests were conducted under the California EPA protocol for Treatability Tests.

After initial activation of the MSO/off-gas system (which included demonstration of the effectiveness of the frozen salt plug, and the ability to quickly load and drain salt from the MSO vessel), tests on a variety of simulated and real organic wastes were conducted in five distinct test series (I-V). In Series I, three common organic liquids with a range of viscosities were treated to verify the function of each component in the MSO/off-gas system and to establish/verify the optimum conditions for organic destruction. Toluene, ethylene glycol and oil-toluene mixtures were fed for 1- to 8-hr periods at rates of 1.2 to 2.6 kg/hr with 10–60% excess air at process temperatures ranging from 900 to 950°C. The best DREs (>99.9999%) and lowest NO_x levels (8–115 ppm) were obtained at 925–950°C with 30% excess air; the feed rates and optimal air flow corresponded to superficial velocities of 1 ft/sec through the reactor. Long-term process stability was demonstrated in an 8-hr run with toluene. In Series II, several organic liquid mixtures containing N-, P-, F-, Cl- or S-containing compounds were processed at 950°C with 30% excess air and feed rates in the range 1.18–2.23 kg/hr. Again, good DREs were obtained (>99.999%) but, as expected for the N-containing compounds, the NO_x levels immediately after the reactor were high, in some cases exceeding 1000ppm. These high NO_x levels were reduced by 94% before off-gas release by use of a catalytic converter. For compounds containing heteroatoms other than N, the heteroatom was retained quantitatively in the carbonate salt bed. In Series III, some surrogate solid waste materials were fed to the MSO reactor using a vibratory feeder and compressed air flowing through an eductor. At a reactor temperature of 950°C, and with feed rates ranging from 1.45 to 3.0 kg/hr using 30 to 65% excess air, mixed results were obtained, the degree of destruction usually depending on the feed particle dimensions. The best results were obtained with feeds having the smallest particle size, in this case an Amberlite ion-exchange resin. In Series IV, several surrogate organic liquid waste formulations spiked with radionuclides and/or dioxin precursors such as 2,4-dichlorophenol were processed at 900–950°C with 30% excess air and feed rates of 1.2 to 8.2 kg/hr. Two runs conducted with C-14 labeled toluene as feed showed that the molten carbonate bath acts as an effective sink for C-14 in the feed, and that CO₂ in the off-gas rapidly equilibrates with carbonate in the salt. Three runs conducted with highly chlorinated feeds helped establish the optimal conditions for treating two real LLNL chlorosolvent waste streams, and also confirmed that, at high chloride loadings in the salt, low total hydrocarbon (THC) levels in the off-gas (< 1ppm) – which correspond to high DREs – can accompany high CO levels in the post-reactor off-gas. A surrogate waste stream consisting of carbon tetrachloride, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, ethanol, ethylene glycol, 2,4-dichlorophenol and uranyl nitrate (1wt%), was fed with 30% excess air to the MSO processor at 2.2 and 3.3 kg/hr and superficial velocities of 1 ft/s and 1.5 ft/s, respectively, at 950°C. Using continuous off-gas monitors and separate gas sample analyses, it was shown that the system reached steady-state in 30 minutes and the off-gas

quality leaving the MSO vessel was very good under both flow conditions (<10ppm CO, <110ppm NO_x). DREs of all the principal organic hazardous constituents (POHCs), including 2,4-dichlorophenol, were better than five nines, and dioxins and furans were not detectable.

Series V comprised a treatability study of two real waste specimens from the LLNL mixed waste inventory. The first specimen (LL-W008) was chlorosolvents consisting mainly of 1,1,1-trichloroethane with low levels of radionuclides (U, tritium) and trace metals. The second specimen (LL-W009) was hydraulic oil contaminated with PCB (1567 ppm), traces of solvents and metals, and low levels of uranium and tritium. In separate runs using fresh batches of sodium carbonate, five gallons of each waste specimen was processed through the reactor at 950°C with 30% excess air at feed rates of 1.1 kg/hr (LL-W009) to 2.3 kg/hr (LL-W008). To decrease its viscosity, and hence improve the ease of delivery of LL-W009, toluene was added as a diluent. The off-gas composition was monitored continuously by on-line monitors during the runs, and comprehensive gas sampling was performed by Best Environmental, Inc., using certified EPA methods. Gas sampling was conducted from sampling ports located at the inlet and outlet of the GSS filter and from the vent of the catalytic converter. For LL-W008, the concentrations of CO and THC in the off-gas were 15.6 ppm and 0.2 ppm, respectively, considerably lower than the current standards for mixed-waste incinerators. The DREs for the six POHCs were all greater than 99.999%, with the principal constituents, MCM and toluene, exceeding six nines. The particulate loading of the off-gas immediately downstream of the GSS was 6×10^{-5} g/ft³, and the HCl level was <0.0016 g/hr. Total emission of semi-volatile organics was less than 91.376 µg/min and total emission of volatile organics was less than 31.568 µg/min. The total dioxin and furan emissions from the GSS filter inlet and the catalytic converter outlet were 18.534 pg/m³ TEQ and 17.093 pg/m³ TEQ, respectively. All these values fall within proposed EPA regulatory limits. For the PCB-containing waste specimen LL-W009, the off-gas quality was very good, with NO_x <50ppm, CO <20ppm, and non-detectable levels of THC. With the marginal exceptions of 1,1-dichloroethene and trichlorotrifluoroethane, the DREs for the POHCs all exceeded five nines. The particulate loading of the off-gas was 1.86×10^{-6} g/ft³, and the HCl level was <0.0015 g/hr. Total emission of semivolatile organics was less than 95.854 µg/min and total emission of volatile organics was less than 17.82 µg/min. The total dioxan and furan emissions from the GSS filter inlet and the catalytic converter outlet were 38.743 pg/m³ TEQ and 9.338 pg/m³ TEQ, respectively. These values are again below the EPA regulatory limits.

The salt recycle system was used successfully to treat six batches of spent salt generated from the MSO processor during FY98. For spent salts with high carbonate contents, it removed ash, metals, and radionuclides from the salts to levels of 20 ppm (Cr) to 500 ppm (U) and returned 95% of the salt for reuse. For spent salts with low carbonate content, salt recycle removed ash, metals, and radionuclides to levels of 0.1 ppm (U) to 1 ppm (Cr) and generated clean sodium chloride brine solutions which are not considered hazardous. For ceramic final forms, FY98 activity was largely devoted to the design and installation of the fabrication facility, and to initial testing of the major equipment items. Although the components of the system were standard commercial equipment, many modifications were needed to ensure safe and efficient operation with toxic and radioactive materials. An OSP was prepared, and initial shake-down operations were carried out. Evolutionary system modifications were in progress at the end of the fiscal year, and two new R&D issues are being addressed. Integrated operation of the Final Forms system is scheduled to begin in the first quarter of FY99. Mass balances were also calculated for each of the treatability study demonstrations, assuming integrated steady-state operation of the MSO/off-gas system, the salt recycle system, and the ceramic final forms system.

On the basis of the FY98 demonstrations, we have established that MSO is a mature, versatile and effective technology for the treatment of the following classes of organic-based hazardous and mixed wastes: halogenated solvents and refrigerants, PCB-contaminated oils and solvents, solutions of actinide complexants in nonhalogenated solvents, ion-exchange resins, granulated activated carbon, and uranium-contaminated organics. Thus, as a viable alternative to incineration,

MSO could provide a solution to many vexing waste disposal problems that continue to exist around the DOE complex. This report presents the results from operation of the MSO/off-gas system and the salt recycle system with a variety of simulated and real organic-based mixed wastes, and it also discusses general processing capabilities and our accumulated operational experience with a pilot-scale MSO system. Due to the delay in final commissioning of the ceramic final forms subsystem, evaluation of the ceramic pellets derived from the FY98 tests performed on real wastes and spiked surrogates will be reported in FY99, as will the results from follow-on MSO tests that are being conducted in FY99.

1. INTRODUCTION

MSO is a promising alternative to incineration for the treatment of a variety of organic wastes. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) has prepared a facility in which an integrated pilot-scale MSO treatment system is being tested and demonstrated. The system consists of a MSO vessel with a dedicated off-gas treatment system, a salt recycle system, feed preparation equipment, and a ceramic final waste forms immobilization system. This integrated system was designed and engineered based on operational experience with an engineering-scale reactor unit and extensive laboratory development on salt recycle and final forms preparation.

The MSO/off-gas system has been operational since December 1997. The salt recycle system and the ceramic final forms immobilization became operational in May and August, 1998, respectively. We have tested the MSO facility with various organic feeds, including chlorinated solvents, tributyl phosphate/kerosene, PCB-contaminated waste oils & solvents, booties, plastic pellets, ion exchange resins, activated carbon, radioactive-spiked organics, and well-characterized low-level liquid mixed wastes. MSO is shown to be a versatile technology for hazardous waste treatment and may be a solution to many waste disposal problems in DOE sites. This report presents the results obtained from operation of the integrated pilot-scale MSO treatment system through September 1998, and therefore represents a final report for fiscal year 1998 activities.

2. BACKGROUND

In response to public concern about the application of incineration as the best demonstrated available technology (BDAT) for the treatment of organic-based mixed wastes, the Mixed Waste Management Facility (MWMF) project was initiated at LLNL in 1992. The focus of this project was to demonstrate integrated, alternative-to-incineration (non-flame) technologies for the treatment of typical low-level mixed wastes (from LLNL's inventory) at the pilot-scale. Selected alternative-to-incineration technologies, including MSO, were to be evaluated against the federal and state treatment standards that identify incineration as BDAT, thus establishing equivalency with the BDAT. The technologies were to be demonstrated in a dedicated facility (test-bed) in integrated treatment trains incorporating state-of-the-art waste characterization and feed preparation technologies, off-gas treatment, waste water treatment, and the preparation of robust ceramic final forms.

In June 1996, written direction was received from DOE-EM to descope the MWMF project and use the remaining uncosted funds to complete a limited demonstration of MSO as an integrated mixed-waste treatment process in Building 292 (B292), an existing building at LLNL. Cost estimates were developed to meet the DOE funding guidance, then incorporated into a new baseline plan titled "Expedited Technology Demonstration Project (ETDP) Baseline Revision 3.0 and FY97 Plan" which was released in October 1996. An updated version of this document was prepared based on the present test plan, and this details the system deployment/demonstration phase of the project in FY98. During FY97 and early FY98, B292 was modified using GPP funds to meet the ETDP requirements. In addition to the MSO primary unit, off-gas, and salt recycle subsystems, which are somewhat smaller than the MWMF reference design, the FY98 demonstrations include the production of ceramic final forms from process mineral residues and a limited capability for feed preparation.


Testing was originally planned to begin in October 1997 with organic compounds of interest, then it would proceed to surrogate feed streams of interest, and finally selected low-level mixed wastes supplied by LLNL's Hazardous Waste Management (HWM). The latter tests will be performed as treatability studies under the purview of California EPA's Department of Toxic Substances Control. In the DOE letter of June 1996, we were also directed to explore the application of MSO to hazardous organic wastes including those generated in weapons dismantlement, and to facilitate the transition of the MSO technology to a commercial entity. Because of a 3-month delay in the

B292 GPP start date, testing did not actually begin until December 1997. Details of the planned ETD demonstrations for FY98 can be found in Ref. 1.


Waste treatment systems are tested in order to evaluate them relative to the Federal, State and local treatment standards, residual product requirements, and effluent requirements. Ideally, the MSO demonstration waste treatment system under evaluation will be shown to meet all RCRA Land Disposal Restriction (LDR) standards including the Universal Treatment Standards (UTS) for the chosen mixed waste streams. If successful, the treatment evaluation may result in the technology being designated by the EPA as a BDAT (or result in a Determination of Equivalent Treatment to the BDAT) for a specified mixed waste stream. A successful demonstration will result in a clear determination as to whether the MSO treatment process train meets the LDR requirements for the specific waste stream.

3. PROCESS DESCRIPTION


MSO is a robust thermal treatment process for destroying organic waste. In this process, organic-containing wastes are injected with a stoichiometric excess of oxidant air under a pool of molten carbonate salts at temperatures between 700–950°C. Flameless oxidation takes place within the salt bath converting the organic components of the waste into CO₂, N₂, and water. The product off-gas leaving the processor is treated to remove any entrained salt particulate and essentially all water vapor before being discharged to the facility off-gas system. Halogens and heteroatoms such as sulfur are converted into acid gases, which are then “scrubbed” and trapped in the salt in forms such as NaCl and Na₂SO₄. Using sodium carbonate in the processor, this process occurs according to the reaction shown in Equations 1, 2, 3, and 4, where X represents generic halogens.




For nitrogen-bearing organic wastes,



For halogenated organic wastes,



For sulfur-containing organic wastes,



Other non-oxidizable inorganic constituents, heavy metals, and radionuclides are held captive in the salt, either as metals or oxides, and are easily separated for disposal.

MSO has several unique characteristics. The large thermal mass of the molten salt provides a stable heat-transfer medium that resists thermal surges and ensures temperature uniformity and is therefore able to tolerate rapid process fluctuations. Flame-outs are completely avoided, since MSO is a non-flame process that proceeds by catalytic liquid-phase oxidation reactions. Operation of the MSO system is at temperatures hundreds of degrees lower than flame combustion temperatures, which, among other things, minimizes emissions of the radioactive materials from mixed wastes. Acid gases are “scrubbed” by the alkali salts, eliminating the need for a wet off-gas scrubbing system.

4. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The integrated MSO system, shown in Fig. 1, consists of several subsystems. It includes a reaction vessel, an off-gas treatment system, a salt recycle system, feed preparation equipment, as well as ceramic final waste forms immobilization system. The feed preparation area includes waste receiving drums, centrifuge for solid liquid separation, a shredder for size-reducing solid wastes such as gloves, booties etc. The waste is fed to the reaction vessel along with oxidant air using a top-feed injection system designed for solid and liquid waste streams at throughputs up to 7 kg/hr for chlorinated solvents. Product off-gas exiting the vessel is then treated in the off-gas system to remove entrained salt particulates, water vapor, and traces of gas species such as CO and NO_x. As waste is injected into the MSO vessel, residues of inorganic components build up in the salt bed which necessitates periodic removal of salt and replenishment with fresh salt to maintain process efficiency. Because many of the metals and/or radionuclides captured in the salt are hazardous and/or radioactive, without further treatment the removed spent salt would create a large secondary waste stream. A salt recycle system is needed to segregate these materials to minimize the amount of secondary waste, and to reduce the consumption of fresh salt. The segregated inorganic residues are then immobilized as a ceramic final form for disposal. Each subsystem is described below.


4.1. Reaction Vessel

The MSO reaction occurs in a 2.74 meter tall processor vessel, shown in Fig 2. The processor is 38.1 cm inside diameter over the top half and 29.8 cm inside diameter over the bottom half, with a 30.5 cm long, tapered transition zone in between. The normal salt load is 160 kg and fills the vessel to the bottom of the transition zone when quiet. When air and feed injection is occurring, the salt level froths up to the top of the transition zone. The freeboard area of the vessel above the salt level provides a dis-engagement zone for salt spray to separate from the off gas before it exits. There are baffles in this region to assist in separating the salt spray. The air and feed material enter through an injector lance extending through the vessel cover to the bottom of the vessel. The injector is insulated and air cooled to keep the feed temperature low until it leaves the injector and contacts the molten salt.

The vessel is fabricated from 1.27 cm thick Inconel 600[®] material. Corrosion tests at LLNL have shown an acceptable corrosion rate for Inconel 600[®] in Na₂CO₃ and NaCl salt mixtures at operating temperature (ca. 3×10^{-3} ins/100 hrs). The most severe corrosion attack is due to NaCl, so the life time will be shorter at high NaCl contents. The vessel is protected against overpressure by placing close limitations on maximum feed rates, by closely monitoring the offgas system to prevent build up of salt deposits that may cause plugging, and by a rupture disk on a dedicated vessel nozzle. The rupture disc is a low pressure disk that discharges into a separate exhaust vent stack.

The vessel will expand about 3.8 cm over its length when at operating temperature. To permit this, the vessel is held from the top and mounts on a free standing structural support stand. The heaters are radiant electric type and are made up of two major subassemblies: one assembly covering the top half of the vessel and a second subassembly covering the bottom half. The heaters are separately supported from the vessel support stand. The vessel has a salt drain pipe extending from the bottom of the vessel to outside the heated zone. A plug of frozen salt will be established in the salt drain pipe, and when the spent salt is to be removed from the vessel, a separate heater will be turned on to melt the salt in the drain pipe. At that point, the entire salt contents of the vessel will discharge through the salt drain pipe into a collection pan. The collection pan is inside a special canister that contains any salt splashing and misting that may occur. After the salt has cooled, the entire canister with its salt contents is moved to the salt recycle area for extraction of the (now frozen) salt. The vessel temperature is maintained by the control

Fig. 1 Integrated MSO System






Fig. 2. MSO Reaction Vessel

system. The heaters are segregated into several zones, each of which is separately controlled to a temperature determined by sensors mounted in blocks on the outside of the vessel. When exothermic materials are being fed, the vessel must be cooled to prevent overheating. A blower is provided for this which blows ambient air through the annular space between the heaters and the vessel wall.

4.2. Off-gas System

The purpose of the off-gas system is to remove entrained salt particulates, moisture, and traces of CO and NO_x from the off-gas and ensure that clean gas exists the off-gas system. This is accomplished by the following components: a piping section with a gas-to-air cooler, an air cylinder and salt trap, a ceramic filter, a heat exchanger and condenser, an electrical heater, a HEPA filter, and catalytic converter. Figure 3 shows the off-gas system.

The off-gas exiting the reaction vessel is first cooled to 500°C by the gas-to-air cooler. The gas-to-air cooler consists of two concentric pipes with an annular gap between them. Compressed air flows through the annular gap and cools the gas flowing through the inner pipe. As the gas stream cools, the entrained salt cools and sticks to the inner pipe wall. Salt buildup is removed by a wire brush on the end of a rod that is driven and retracted by the air cylinder. The dislodged salt falls into the vessel on the forward stroke and falls into the salt trap on the reverse stroke. The smaller entrained particles are captured in the pulsating, self-cleaning ceramic filter. The ceramic filter captures particles ≥ 0.5 micrometers in size and can withstand gas temperatures up to 700°C. Six, silicon carbide filter elements are pulsed with compressed air to remove caked on salt when the pressure drop across the elements reaches 2.74 kPa (11 inches water). The captured salt in the salt trap and ceramic filter is removed by cycling double dump valves that allow the salt to fall into a drum below them. The double dump valves are used to isolate the negative system pressure from the atmospheric drum pressure. The gas is kept at about 300°C to ensure that the gas is well above the dew point (50°C) to avoid any water condensation and that the salt remains dry.

The filtered off-gas is then cooled to 100°C by a shell and tube heat exchanger using LCW. The moisture is removed when the gas is cooled to 2°C by the condenser using propylene glycol from a chiller. The gas is then heated to 30°C by an electrical heater to ensure dry gas enters the HEPA filter. The HEPA filter serves two purposes. It acts as a pre-filter for the catalytic converter and a barrier for remaining particles in the off-gas. Thus no salt particles escape the process off-gas system. The catalytic converter is designed to abate 50,000 PPM of CO and 30,000 PPM of NO_x. It converts CO into CO₂ in a catalyst bed at elevated temperatures. It also is equipped with an ammonia injection system that converts NO_x into N₂ and H₂O by selective catalytic reduction. The off-gas leaving the catalytic converter is very clean and is exhausted to a building stack via a ducting system.

4.3. Salt Recycle System

The salt recycle system, shown in Fig 4, receives spent salts from MSO processor and off-gas system. The amount of spent salt received is about 160 kg. The size of spent salt is reduced to approximately 6.3 mm by using hand tools, air-power tools, and a crusher; which are all done inside an enclosure. Small salt particles are then transferred to tank T-101 by a spiral conveyor. Salt samples will be taken during salt crushing for analysis. Salt dissolution is performed in tank T-101 using either deionized or clean recycled water. The salt dissolution step is controlled at 30–40°C by an immersion heater and a temperature controller to minimize the amount of water required. Most of mineral residues and ashes precipitates as hydroxides and oxides during the dissolution step. Chemical reagents such as sodium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid, and dithionite is used at various stages of the process to adjust pH and/or facilitate metals removal. Reagents such as Alum [Al₂(SO₄)₃] and activated silica is added to facilitate the coagulation & precipitation




Fig. 3 Off-gas System




Fig. 4. Salt Recycle System flow diagram

process to avoid an excessive holding time in the dissolver tank. These reagents can be fed into the T-101 or T-102 by metering pumps or by opening the hatch.

Once precipitated, these solids can then be removed by pumping the solution through F-101. The filter has a filter element of 1 micron and efficiently removes solid particles from the salt solution. The filter element may be pre-coated with a thin layer of diatomaceous earth to facilitate the filtration process. At various stages, the filter cake is removed by opening the filter vessel and relocating the filter cartridge into the wash-off area inside the enclosure. Air and water are used to assist the cake removal. The wet cakes are then sent to the Final Forms for immobilization. The filtration operation is performed inside an enclosure

After metals precipitation, the salt solution goes to a spray dryer, ion exchange columns, and/or portable containers, depending on the concentrations of carbonate and radionuclides. If the spent salt contains high level of carbonate, then the solution is pumped to T-103 for spray drying. If it contains low levels of carbonate and traces of uranium and thorium, then the salt solution is pumped to the ion exchange columns E-101/E-102 for removal of radionuclides. In some instances, the salt solution is pumped to portable containers and shipped to Hazardous Waste Management (HWM) of LLNL.

The spray dryer is part of the salt recycle system. It receives clean salt solution from T-103. The system includes a natural gas-fired air heater, a dryer using hot air as heating medium, a cyclone separator for collecting clean dry salt, and a venturi scrubber as well as an absorber for gas cooling and dust control. The clean dry salt is collected in well-sealed drums for reuse. The air leaving the absorber passes through a HEPA filter and exhausts. The spray dryer is operated at a slight vacuum. Condensate blowdown is pumped to T-104 for reuse or discharge.

4.4. Ceramic Final Forms

Final Forms will demonstrate making a ceramic waste form containing and immobilizing the "mineral residues" isolated by the ETDP Salt Recycle system. The demonstration is at the scale of a pilot plant, the basic methods having previously been developed at the benchtop scale [Ref. 12]. Final Forms' operations are largely independent of MSO and Salt Recycle, exceptions being optimizing the filter aid used by Salt Recycle to achieve optimal waste loading of the ceramic, receiving mineral residues from Salt Recycle, and merely sharing a single facility.

The filter cake produced by the salt recycle system will contain residues from the MSO input waste stream(s), and compounds introduced by the MSO process itself and by the salt recycle process. Residues derived from LLNL wastes are expected to be dominated by Si, Al, Mg, Zn, Ca and Fe. The filter cake of the present MSO/SR demonstrations, however, will be dominated by the filter aid used in Salt Recycle and by the oxides of Cr, Ni and Fe resulting from corrosion of the MSO reaction vessel. (This is atypical of an extended waste treatment operations; it is a consequence of the small amount of waste treated in each demonstration.) Any of a wide variety of elements may be present in minor or trace amounts. The ceramic final waste form must immobilize the hazardous and radioactive elements present; both the ceramic material and the process to make it must be adaptable to the variable composition of the filter cake; and a high waste loading is desirable. The ceramic is intended to satisfy federal and California leach resistance standards.

The ceramic material is described in Section 7 and in Ref. 12. Briefly, the ceramic comprises four principle crystalline phases chosen because (1) they can be fabricated as a durable ceramic using standard and economical ceramic processing methods; and (2) because they can incorporate, either as major constituents or by ion substitution, all of the dominant elements just mentioned, and most of the hazardous and radioactive elements of concern. In an actual waste processing setting, waste loadings could be optimized by blending residue batches, but this is impractical for ETDP.

Suitable hazards controls are in place. See Ref. 13. In particular, the powder processing steps are conducted in closed equipment or in fume hoods to avoid dispersion of the powders. The quantities of offgas (H_2O , HNO_3 , CO_2 , CO , NO_x , SO_x) generated by the Final Forms system are far below regulatory limits, but some conditioning is done prior to release. Process control is local to each equipment item; process monitoring is direct to the Final Forms computer. (Automatic data logging was planned but has not yet been implemented.) The system is capable of producing ~2 Kg of ceramic waste form per 8-h working day, in the form of cylindrical pellets approximately 9 mm dia \times 6 mm tall.

The main process steps and equipment items (*italics*) are as follows:

- Ceramic design and recipe.
- Batching: formulating a batch comprising a mixture of residues, ceramic precursors, and other reagents; done in an ordinary *fume hood*.
- Wet Milling: mixing and comminuting the batch in an *attritor*;
- Calcining: drying and calcining it in a *rotary calciner*;
- Granulation: granulating the resulting powder in a *granulator*;
- Pellet Pressing: forming pellets by cold-pressing the granulated powder in a *pellet press*.
- Sintering: sintering the pellets in a *large tube furnace*.
- Miscellaneous activities: material transfers between the various pieces of equipment, process control tests (including test sintering in a *small tube furnace*), quality control tests, and equipment maintenance and refurbishment.

These steps and the associated equipment are described below. A process functional flow diagram is given as Fig. 5, and a floor plan showing the layout of Final Forms is given as Fig. 6.

Residue Process Acceptance: Final Forms will receive containers of the mineral residues from Salt Recycle, typically in the form of an aqueous sludge (well-settled, consisting of ~3-5 L of "mud" and a similar quantity of a clear supernatant aqueous solution). The residue batch will be accompanied by written documentation of its identity, history, and prior characterization. This information is used to determine the ceramic formulation, and to determine that the residue batch conforms with the OSP and Final Forms' "Process Acceptance Criteria." Receipt of the residue is entered into Final Forms' Tracking Log.

Recipe Design & Batching: On the basis of the chemical analysis of the mineral residue, a "design" of the ceramic—meaning its phase constitution—will be chosen and a recipe for the starting mixture formulated. The components of the recipe are measured and blended in the "batching" fume hood and transferred via tubes directly to the attritor's grinding tank.

Wet Milling with Attritor: The attritor is a closed machine for wet milling. Its operation mixes the batch and comminutes it. Minor physicochemical changes occur. The slurry is continually recirculated (by a peristaltic pump) through the attritor grinding chamber. Samples are taken for process control measurements (particle size, viscosity, pH). Batch properties are adjusted by further additions of reagents. When the slurry is deemed satisfactory, it is diverted from the recirculation line and pumped directly to the calciner inlet.




Figure 5. Ceramic waste form process functional flow diagram. Wastewater streams generated are not shown.




Fig. 6. Floor Plan (Final Forms)

Calcining: The rotary calciner is an inclined tube furnace with a sealed rotating process tube (tube ID 10 cm, heated length 1.2 m, 3-zone, 900°C maximum). It is equipped with an integral cooling section, with a hammer and a scraper (to deal with any caking), feed and discharge systems incorporating air-tight seals, air input and offgas removal systems, and a simple offgas conditioning system. The "charge" (i.e., the batch slurry) enters a cool portion of the process tube and translates downward through it, encountering increasing temperatures that peak at ~650°C. The batch dries, releasing water and small amounts of HNO₃ gas; hydrates, carbonates, nitrates and some sulfates decompose with the release of H₂O, CO₂, NO_x and SO_x; and solid-state reactions between batch components occur. Certain inorganic compounds (e.g., Tl₂O₃) will vaporize if present; these are trapped. The charge then passes through a cooling section and into the exit hopper. The "calcine" (i.e., the batch, now a powder) is released through a ball valve into a tube leading to the granulator.

The calciner operates at a slight negative pressure. Offgas is removed from a hot region within the process tube, and passes through a condenser and a local house keeping HEPA filter prior to release to the facility exhaust system. The calciner includes features designed to minimize the likelihood of steam overcoming the negative-pressure operating condition, and to minimize caking of the charge onto the process tube. In the event of a power failure, offgas is removed through a back-up system driven by a blower under emergency power, and the process tube continues to rotate to maintain the translation of the charge along it.

Granulation: Granulation is the conversion of the (potentially) dusty and/or lumpy calcine into small dust-free agglomerates. This is accomplished by tumbling the powder with small amounts of solid organic processing aids (e.g., polyethylene glycol) on a roller mill. The granulator itself is mainly a cylindrical vessel. The calcine passes from the calciner outlet downward through a flexible plastic transfer tube and through the metal inlet tube of granulator. The inlet tube is mounted in a rotary seal, allowing the body of the granulator to rotate on the mill without disconnecting the transfer tube. The outlet end of the granulator is a funnel-shaped hopper. When granulation is complete, the outlet plug is removed and a rubber hose leading to the pellet press is attached. The granulator is then elevated near the pellet press by a manual hoist, and the granulated batch flows to the press.

Pellet Pressing: The batch is cold-pressed in an automatic pellet press of the "sliding anvil" type (maximum force ~36 KN, maximum rate 150 strokes/min). Feeding, pressing, and ejection take place internally and automatically. The pressed pellets (0.45 in dia × ~0.3 in high) are removed by a vacuum pickup and dropped through a plastic tube into a container. When operating normally, the pellet press is effectively a closed system. A malfunction could, however, release loose powder at the sliding anvil mechanism; a small hood covering the top of the press minimizes dispersion. The pressing force is measured intermittently, and sample pellets are removed at intervals for process-control tests.

Sintering: Pressed pellets are, held in suitable refractory trays, are manually placed in the retort (a sealed refractory process tube) of one of the two sintering furnaces. The small furnace (1300°C, 5-zone, 7.6 cm ID, 91 cm long) is for process-control test sintering of a few pellets from the batch: each batch is different, and recycling a full batch of improperly sintered pellets would be troublesome. Production sintering is done the large (1300°C, 3-zone, 15 cm ID, 91 cm long). Operation is very similar. The temperature and process air flow is varied under automatic control through the sintering schedule. The organic additives oxidize early in the sequence. Sintering occurs over a period of about an hour at a high temperature, typically in the 1150-1180°C range. Process offgas is scrubbed prior to release through HEPA filters. The full sintering cycle from loading to unloading requires less than 24 hours.

Quality Control: The sintered pellets will be evaluated for process development and for waste form performance by Final Forms, other LLNL facilities, and external laboratories.

These include physical properties (e.g., density, strength, porosity), phase determination (X-ray diffraction), microstructure, and leach behavior (Federal TCLP and California WET).

Archiving, Recycling, & Disposal: When all evaluations of the ceramic waste form pellets are complete, they will either be retained by ETDP as archival specimens, or will be transferred to Hazardous Waste Management for disposal. Some of Final Forms' process "wastes" can be incorporated into a subsequent ceramic batch formulation or an MSO input waste stream. Any wastes not recycled within the ETDP system are disposed of through HWM in the usual manner.

Final Forms' integrated process: The size and nature of Final Forms' equipment dictates the way in which the above steps are integrated into a single process. We refer to the total amount of a Salt Recycle residue to be processed (which may or may not be all of that produced in the Salt Recycle run) as a "batch." Final Forms will process a batch, in a semi-continuous manner, as a series of "sub-batches." The attritor can efficiently mill enough slurry for about two kilograms of waste form at a time. (There are several standard ways whereby this limit could be overcome, but this is beyond the scope of the project.) In contrast, calcining less than enough for about one kilogram at a time is problematical. Accordingly, a residue batch will be mixed (in case settling has led to segregation of the batch components) and divided into sub-batches. Each sub-batch will be mixed and milled with mineralizers and other reagents in the attritor, and the sub-batch pumped into the calciner. As soon as the attritor is free, another sub-batch will be milled. The calciner will be operated continuously. Typically, calcination of a sub-batch will be finished before milling of the next sub-batch is complete. When enough calcine has been collected in the outlet hopper of the calciner, a suitable quantity (~1 Kg) will be passed into the granulator and granulated. Meanwhile, additional calcine from subsequent sub-batches will be collecting in the hopper. Thus, the sub-batches become re-combined. When granulation is complete, the contents of the granulator are pressed into pellets; the granulator is charged with more powder; and another granulation step carried out. Granulation is the slowest of these steps, requiring about a day.

5. DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTS IN THE MSO OFF-GAS SYSTEM

The experiments performed in the fiscal 1998 were divided into five test series, ranging from functional testing of each hardware component to "treatability test" demonstrations with real waste streams at LLNL. Each test series is described below.

5.1. Test Series I - Operational check-out and Establish Baseline Operations

This test series started with startup testing – a "shake down" of the new pilot plant. It included functional verification of all utilities, the control system (including all safety/alarm features), and confirmation that all hardware was operational and fully functional; this included the heater, and off-gas equipment. In this test series, system operational parameters were evaluated and optimized using the liquid injector. Testing included variation of percent excess air, air/feed ratio within injector tubes, amount of cooling air required in injector to maintain injector tip temperature below 200°C, ability to control temperature within the reactor by varying heater/blower power for both endothermic and exothermic feeds, and determination of heat-up and cool-down profiles for the 160 kg salt charge (to enable programming of off-shift start-up module within control system). Materials tested were toluene, ethylene, and mineral oil that were previously tested in the Engineering Development Unit (EDU), to facilitate assessment of the effectiveness of scale-up. Testing also included a continuous 8-hour toluene run to test the system long term stability.

Organic feed rates, oxidant air flow, injector cooling air flow, injector temperature, vessel temperatures and pressure, gas flow, temperatures, and pressures in the off-gas system were closely monitored. Appendix 1 shows all the data recorded for each run (MSO Processor Data Sheet). Several gas species including carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, oxygen, sulfur oxides, and total organic carbon were monitored continuously with off-gas analyzers. Nitrogen oxides and carbon monoxide were also monitored downstream of the catalytic converter.

5.2. Test Series II - Tests with Liquid Organic Surrogates Containing Heteroatoms

Organic liquids containing heteroatoms were tested in the Test series II. These included toluene spiked with organics containing heteroatoms such as chlorine, fluorine, nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphorous. Examples of spikants are pyridine, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), trichloroethylene (TCE), Freon 113, and dimethyl phosphate. Chlorine, fluorine, sulfur, phosphorous in the organics were converted into chloride, fluoride, sulfate, and phosphate, respectively, which stayed in the molten salt. Salt was drained from the vessel, providing surrogate test material to the salt recycle system. This series was followed by two weeks of maintenance including *in-situ* non-destructive evaluation of reactor corrosion using ultrasonic testing, inspection of rupture disc, GSS filter, and change-over to a solid injector.

For each run in the test series II, all the process data including gas species were recorded in the sheet as shown in Appendix 1. Some gas samples were also collected and sent to a laboratory for analysis of POHCs (principal organic hazardous compounds). This analysis provided information on the DRE of the MSO process for each individual POHC. Spent salt drained out of the reaction vessel was sent to the salt recycle system.

5.3. Test Series III - Solid Feed Injection

The objective for Test Series III was to determine essential operational parameters for the solid injector using several prototypical solid feeds. Surrogate materials included ABS plastic pellets, ion exchange resin, shredded booties and gloves, and activated carbon. For each run in the test series III, all the process data including gas species are recorded in the sheet as shown in Appendix 1. Some gas samples were also collected and sent to a laboratory for analysis. Salt containing ash was drained from the MSO vessel and sent to the salt recycle system.

5.4. Test Series IV - Tests with Radioactive-Spiked Liquids

Based on the results achieved with materials tested in test series II, surrogate organics were spiked with low-level radioactive materials such as $^{238}\text{U}/\text{D}-38$. The compositions of surrogate materials mimicked the following low-level mixed waste streams at LLNL: LL-W008, LL-W009, LL-W014, and LL-W016. Salt was drained from the reaction vessel and sent to the salt recycle system for processing. A surrogate organic, toluene, was traced with a small quantity of C-14 labeled organics in order to establish accurate mass balances for carbon. Analysis of C-14 was determined using the accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) technique.

For each run in the test series, all the process data including gas species were recorded in the sheet as shown in Appendix 1. Some gas samples were also collected and sent to a laboratory for analysis. The off-gas leaving the catalytic converter was monitored continuously for radioactivity. For the run traced with C-14, organic feed, off-gas samples, and salt were collected and sent to CAMS (Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry) for

analysis. This analysis determined the carbon exchange and the distribution between organic carbon and carbon in sodium carbonate (Na_2CO_3) during the oxidative destruction of organics in the reaction vessel.

5.5. Test Series V - Treatability Studies with Low-level Mixed Waste Specimens

Two real waste samples were selected from the current LLNL mixed waste inventory, RTS#1 and RTS#2. RTS#1 was chlorinated solvents (LL-W008) and RTS#2 was a PCB-contaminated waste oil (LL-W009). Both are considered hazardous and difficult to treat or dispose of. Before Series V started, the selected wastes were sampled and sent for a comprehensive analysis. The chlorinated solvent contains mostly methyl chloroform (MCM) with traces of metals and radionuclides such as uranium and tritium. The PCB-contaminated oil contained 1567 ppm PCB.

During the demonstration, toluene was added to the waste specimens for volatility control (chlorinated solvent) and for reducing the viscosity of waste oil (PCB-contaminated oil). All the process data including gas species are recorded in the sheet as shown in Appendix 1. Best Environmental, Inc., a certified off-gas handler, was contracted for the off-gas sample collection and analysis per EPA methods. After each demonstration with the real waste specimen, salt was drained out from the reaction vessel and sent to the salt recycle system for processing.


6. SALT RECYCLE EXPERIMENTS

The salt recycle system processes spent salts generated in MSO. If the spent salts contain significant levels of carbonate, the system will spray-dry the resulting clean salt solution and send the dried salt back to the MSO vessel in order to minimize the generation of secondary waste as well as to reduce cost. If the spent salts contain very high levels of chloride and are destined for disposal, the SR system can clean up the salts and remove contaminants to a degree which meets the specification acceptable for final disposal. In FY 98, there were six batches of spent salts processed in the salt recycle system, SR1, SR2, SR3, SR4, SR5, and SR6. Processing of each spent salt followed the procedure described in Section 4.3 and Fig. 7. A detailed description of the salt recycle operational procedure can be found in the operational manual [4].

SR1 was the spent salt drained from MSO after test series I and II. It was a non-radioactive salt with very low ash content. It also contained some chloride, fluoride, phosphate, and sulfate due to the types of organics fed into the reaction vessel in the test series. Traces metal compounds such as chromium, nickel and iron may exist in the salt. SR2 is the spent salt drained from MSO after test series III. It is a non-radioactive salt with some ash. The ash level in the salt, depending on the types and amounts of solid fed into the reaction vessel, is generally less than 10 wt.% in order to avoid excessive melt viscosity. SR3 was the spent salt drained after the C-14 run and activated carbon run. SR4 was spent salts drained from test series IV and was a high chloride salt. SR5 and SR6 were the salts drained after runs of RTS#1 and RTS#2, respectively. They contained low-level radionuclides such as uranium along with some chloride and fluoride.

In the salt recycle experiments, the salt solutions and the resulting solid salts were routinely analyzed for a variety of metals using ICP-OES. Additional analyses were occasionally performed for anionic species such as Cl^- , F^- , NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} , CO_3^{2-} , and PO_4^{3-} using ion chromatography, and uranium concentrations were determined by using ICP-MS.

Figure 7 Flowsheet for Aqueous Processing of Spent Salt



7. FINAL FORMS EXPERIMENTS

As described in Section 4.4, Final Forms immobilizes solid inorganic residues of the MSO process. The residues (or surrogate residues) are blended with mineralizers and converted to a ceramic waste form. The goal is to test, demonstrate and evaluate the process and waste form for various MSO-based waste treatment scenarios.

The Final Forms system was installed and tested in FY98, and shake-down operation of individual equipment items began in July 1998 and are continuing into FY99. An OSP, based in part on this experience, was reviewed in September and formally approved in October 1998. Only the minimum I&C components have been installed.

The Final Forms system—which includes the design of the ceramic *material* and the *process* as well as the facility—was designed to demonstrate the process on the scale of a small pilot plant. The design was based on previous bench-scale experience (Ref.12). Each of the process steps described in Section 4.4 had their bench-scale analogue, but the pilot-scale processing procedures and equipment are quite different. Adapting the bench-scale process to the pilot scale requires some process development experiments.

In addition, there are significant differences between the residues to be immobilized as part of the ETDP demonstrations and the hypothetical ones on which the bench-scale development was based. Our perception of these differences changed as experience with the MSO and Salt Recycle operations accumulated. Adapting to these changed conditions will be an important part of our FY99 efforts, involving both MSO and Salt Recycle as well as Final Forms. In a broader context of a bona fide waste treatment operation, the significance of these matters depend on the nature of the operation. A brief discussion follows:

7.1 Residues, ceramic material design, and integrated operations

The ceramic waste form comprises five principal phases. These, with their base compositions and immobilization rôles are as follows:

| | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| nepheline | $\text{Na}_2\text{O}\cdot\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 2\text{SiO}_2$ | [Si, K] |
| spinel | $\text{MgO}\cdot\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | [Mg, V-Ga except Cu^{1+}] |
| zirconolite | $\text{CaO}\cdot\text{ZrO}_2\cdot 2\text{TiO}_2$ | [Sr, Hf, tetravalent lanthanides and actinides including U^{4+}] |
| perovskite | $\text{CaO}\cdot\text{TiO}_2$ | [Sr, Pb, trivalent lanthanides and actinides] |
| rutile | TiO_2 | stabilizes perovskite and zirconolite |

A ceramic waste form “design” is simply the specification of the relative amounts of each phase. This simply a matter of matching the phases to the filter cake composition, subject to the constraints that ~5 mole % should be rutile and each of the other four should be >10 mole %.

Input waste streams for an integrated MSO-based waste processing facility might include any or all of the following: (1) low-ash, low-halogen; (2) low-ash, high-halogen; and (3) high-ash. All these are found in LLNL’s inventory of mixed wastes. To date, only low-ash streams have been treated by ETDP, and these have been in relatively small quantities. For demonstration reasons, the salt has been recycled frequently, so only small amounts inorganic solid residues had accumulated in the salt. Moreover, the “ash” content of the input waste streams was so low that the principal inorganics in the salt have been the products of corrosion of the Inconel 600® reaction vessel—oxides of Cr, Fe and Ni. (Cr and Ni are regulated elements.) The amount of “filter aid” used in Salt Recycle’s filtration is pretty much a fixed volume, independent of the amount of residue solids to be trapped. Typically, ~2 Kg of diatomaceous earth (amorphous SiO_2) is used. As a consequence of

all this, the residue batches to be immobilized by Final Forms are dominated by the filter aid and, to a much lesser extent, by the vessel corrosion products.

Now this is not the natural way to operate a "real" waste processing facility. Instead, the inorganic residues would be allowed to build up in the salt until recycling became *necessary* either because of the viscosity increase due to the suspended solids, or (when halogenated hydrocarbons are treated) the concentration of NaCl (or other sodium halide) became high enough to degrade the MSO efficiency. In either case, the filter aid would be used and reused until the amount of residue accumulated interfered with the filtration process (e.g., excessive pressures). In the case of low-ash waste streams, a residue batch would include substantial amounts of filter aid, and of oxides of Cr, Fe and Ni; and lesser—but important—quantities of the inorganic contaminants in the input waste, including hazardous and radioactive components. With high-ash waste streams, the "ash" and the filter aid would dominate the filter cake. It was for these scenarios that Final Forms' ceramic material was designed.

It is clear that a convincing demonstration of Final Forms' process must include more than just immobilizing the hazardous and radioactive constituents in the ETDP demonstrator residues: Final Forms should also immobilize surrogate residues modeled on the normal operation of a real waste processing facility.

Since silica is the principal component in filter cake from ETDP's demonstrations, the ceramic design requires a high fraction of nepheline. Reasonable levels of spinel and zirconolite are needed to demonstrate the capability to immobilize the corrosion products (intrinsic to the system) and uranium (the main radioisotope of interest). Obviously, a high silica content in the residue implies major additions of other mineralizers (alumina, titania, etc.). This dilutes the other components in the filter cake, thereby perversely lowering the possible loading levels of hazardous and radioactive elements. This can be alleviated by modifying the filter aid. Instead of using only silica, other mineralizers required in the ceramic formulation could be added to the diatomaceous earth to give the standard total volume of filter aid. Filtration efficiency might suffer somewhat (diatomaceous earth is exceptionally effective), but waste form loadings would be higher.

Final Forms' earlier plans were based on the mistaken notion that the filter cakes received from Salt Recycle would be quite small. We therefore planned to immobilize these at the bench scale, and to simulate the pilot-scale immobilization using a carefully matched surrogate. Such coordinated immobilizations (previously termed "Combination Tests") were dropped from the work plan.

The mineral content of residues resulting from processing laboratory trash and certain other solids are affected by their residence in the MSO vessel. Surrogates of such residues prepared by simply blending reagents may not adequately mimic the authentic residues. It is therefore desirable to demonstrate Final Forms' performance with for high-mineral wastes using residues from a special surrogate feed processed through the MSO and SR systems.

The bench-scale development work used calcined kaolin ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 2\text{SiO}_2$) as the source of SiO_2 . Though diatomaceous earth provides ample silica needed chemically for the ceramic, the solid- and liquid-state reactions during calcination and sintering will be different. It is not certain that the correct phases will form in the correct amounts. (The ceramic is *not* a thermodynamically-equilibrated system.) Experimental verification is a top priority for FY99.

As noted above the concentrations of hazardous and radioactive elements in the filter cakes are very small. Final Forms tests will therefore include surrogate formulations to demonstrate process and material performance at high waste loadings. Most of the Salt Recycle filter cakes are large enough that immobilizing half would provide an adequate demonstration. The other half could be augmented with selected hazardous and radioactive

compounds to test our ability to achieve high waste loadings in a matrix of authentic MSO residues.

7.2 Startup and Test Schedule

The schedule of Final Forms activities, actual for FY1998 and planned into FY99, are as follows:

Facility installation (10/97-7/98): The main Final Forms equipment items were installed, mainly by Plant Engineering. Fabrication and installation of the process air/process offgas (PA/POG) system for the tube furnaces will continue into FY1999.

Facility startup (7/98 - 9/98): Testing of individual equipment items, initially with no processing of material. Functional tests of mechanical, thermal and I&C operation. Tests of containment functions, including fume hoods, waste water collection, and off-gas treatment. Functional tests of individual equipment items, with processing of innocuous material. Determine operational responses of equipment items (for example, the actual time and position temperature profiles of a furnace).

Equipment modifications (8/98 - continuing): As we work with the equipment, various desirable design modifications become apparent. An example is the granulator design, whose operation is proving finicky. Some modifications have been recommended by Hazards Control. The modifications will be scheduled to interfere as little as possible with the processing activities.

Process development I — Individual equipment items (7/98 – 12/99): Fabrication of ceramic waste form from residue surrogates using major equipment items individually. The purpose is to determine the process parameters of the individual process steps, to test all safety and I&C functions, and to gain experience with a variety of formulations. At first innocuous surrogates will be used, then testing will continue with low-hazard surrogates more typical of the residues expected in practice. Filter aids will be included in varying amounts to determine their influence on the process. No radioactive or particularly hazardous components will be used during this phase. Also included in this phase will be the joint experimental development of filter aids by Salt Recycle and Final Forms. Work in FY98 was mainly with the attritor, the calciner, and the pellet press.

Process development II — system integration (12/98 – 2/99): Process integration of major equipment items, initially pair-wise along with the connections between them. (An example is the attrition mill and rotary calciner along with the slurry pumping system that joins them.) This will be followed by testing and process development of the fully integrated system.

Demonstrations (2/99 – 3/99): Demonstration tests of the FFM system will consist of fabricating and evaluating ceramic waste forms. Some tests will use surrogates chosen to mimic residues from waste streams which have not been tested by MSO but which appear sensible. Such waste streams include laboratory trash (paper, protective garments, etc.), machining fluids containing dispersed metals, and contaminated organic liquids. Toxic and low-activity radioisotopes (mainly ^{238}U) will be included. Immobilization demonstrations using authentic residues from MSO treatment of surrogate wastes will be done. In particular, the residue from the high-mineral waste stream surrogate will be treated/immobilized. The main demonstrations will be the immobilization of filter cake from MSO/Salt Recycle operations.

8. DEMONSTRATION RESULTS FOR THE MSO/OFF-GAS SYSTEM

The pilot-scale MSO/Off-gas system was successfully started up in December 1997. The system had been tested with various liquid feeds including simple organics, organics containing heteroatoms (Cl,F,N,O,P,S), surrogate feeds, and LLNL real wastes. The system was also tested with several solids such as ion exchange resin, plastic, rubber, and activated carbon. The testing was based on the five test series described in Section 5.

8.1. Results of Test Series I

8.1.1. Establishing the Salt Plug

The MSO vessel has a salt drain pipe extending from the bottom of the vessel to outside the heated zone as described in Section 4.1. A mechanical plug is mounted to the end of the drain pipe. A plug of frozen salt needs to be established in the salt drain pipe before organic is fed into the vessel. The salt is either pure sodium carbonate or a mixture of sodium carbonate and potassium carbonate. The amount of salt used in the MSO vessel is 160 kg, but a lesser amount of salt (50 kg) can be used for establishing the salt plug. To confirm the existence of the salt plug, the mechanical plug was removed after the salt plug was established. Figure 8 is a picture of a salt plug.

8.1.2. Results of Testing with Simple Organics

The function of each component in the MSO/off-gas system was verified with several organic liquids: toluene, mineral oil, and ethylene glycol. Process data were recorded using datasheet as shown in Appendix 1. Toluene and mineral oil were chosen for their range of viscosities. It was expected that viscosities of most of surrogate and real wastes would be in between viscosities of toluene and mineral oil. Table 1 lists the organic liquids and run conditions for the test series.

Table 1: Run Conditions

| No. | Organic Liquids | Run Conditions * |
|-----|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Toluene (50%), Mineral oil (50%) | 950°C, 30% excess air |
| 2 | Ethylene glycol | 30% excess air, 900 C, 925°C, 950°C |
| 3 | Ethylene glycol | 950°C, varying % excess air |
| 4 | Toluene | 950°C, varying % excess air |
| 6 | Toluene | 950°C, 30% excess air, 8-hour run |

* Note: driver air is the process air fed through the feed tube along with the organic liquid; most of the process air was fed through the oxidant air tube.

Table 2 shows the off-gas compositions from the ethylene glycol run at three salt temperatures, 900°C, 925°C, and 950°C. CO and NO_x concentrations in the off-gas were very low. It seems that, above 900°C, the effect of temperature on the off-gas quality was very small. However, it is desirable to maintain the temperature of sodium carbonate above




Figure 8. Salt plug in the drain pipe (picture taken on December 4, 1997)

900°C (50°C above its melting point) during the organic feed in order to avoid plugging of the injector tip.

Table 2: Effect of Temperature on the Ethylene Glycol Run

| Off-gas Species | Off-gas Composition at various temp | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 900°C | 925°C | 950°C |
| CO ₂ , % | 8.70 | 8.66 | 8.96 |
| O ₂ , % | 10.50 | 10.42 | 10.30 |
| CO, ppm | 13.1 | 7.5 | 9.2 |
| NO _x , ppm | 8.7 | 11.0 | 8.4 |
| THC ³ | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Note: 1. feed rate of ethylene glycol was 2.6 kg/hr,
 2. 30 % excess air was used.
 3. During the time of run, the THC analyzer was being serviced and therefore was not available.

For any oxidation process, excess oxygen/air is needed to maintain a good process efficiency. Higher excess air dilutes CO₂ and increases O₂ concentration in the off-gas. It also increases off-gas flow. Tables 3 and 4 show the off-gas compositions for the MSO demonstration with ethylene glycol and toluene at various % excess air, respectively. They show that the off-gas qualities were not affected by the % excess air. It is desirable to run the MSO process with at least 10% excess air or higher to overcome the fluctuation of organic feed rate.

Table 3: Effect of % Excess Air for the Ethylene Glycol Run

| Off-gas Species | Off-gas Composition at various excess air | | |
|-----------------------|---|------|------|
| | 10% | 30% | 60% |
| CO ₂ , % | 10.2 | 8.74 | 7.1 |
| O ₂ , % | 8.4 | 10.2 | 12.1 |
| CO, ppm | 9.2 | 8.3 | 8.7 |
| NO _x , ppm | 13.7 | 14.6 | 7.5 |
| THC | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.5 |

Notes: 1. feed rate of ethylene glycol was 2.6 kg/hr,
 2. salt temperature at 950°C.

Table 4: Effect of % Excess Air for the Toluene Run

| Off-gas Species | <u>Off-gas Composition at various excess air</u> | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----|------|
| | 10% | 30% | 60% |
| CO ₂ , % | 10.5 | 8.9 | 7.3 |
| O ₂ , % | 7.8 | 9.9 | 11.8 |
| CO, ppm | 6.2 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| NO _x , ppm | 115 | 97 | 68 |
| THC | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.85 |

Notes: 1. feed rate of toluene was 1.2 kg/hr,
2. salt temperature at 950°C.

For all MSO runs in test series I, run duration was at least one hour or longer in order to reach steady state which typically occurred 30 minutes after feed starts. An 8-hour run with toluene was demonstrated for its long term stability. Figure 9 shows the off-gas composition for the 8-hr toluene run. All the data were recorded in process datasheet as shown in Table 5. Figure 9 and Table 5 demonstrate the stability of the MSO process during the treatment of toluene.

Table 7: Off-gas Composition for Feed of Toluene/Pyridine

| Time, hrs | <u>Off-gas Species, ppm or %</u> | | | | | Vs, ft/s |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | THC, ppm* | NO _x , ppm | CO, ppm | CO ₂ , % | O ₂ , % | |
| 0.3 | 0.61 | 967 (50) | 10.7 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 1.0 |
| 0.8 | 1.1 | 1195 (50) | 9.7 | 8.8 | 10.8 | 1.0 |
| 1.3 | 1.2 | 1371 (50) | 10.0 | 8.6 | 10.5 | 1.0 |
| 1.7 | 1.3 | 370 (50) | 10.3 | 8.4 | 10.6 | 1.0 |

The conversion of organic nitrogen in the feed to NO_x depends on the source of organic nitrogen and oxidation temperature. Incineration would generate higher level of NO_x than molten salt oxidation because incinerators operate at several hundred degree higher than 950°C, a typical MSO temperature for waste treatment. Pruneda et.al.[5] reported that less than 1% of organic nitrogen converted into NO_x at 750°C for the destruction of energetic materials. Table 9 shows the degrees of conversion of pyridine, nitromethane, and urea at 950°C along with several explosives at 750°C.

Fig. 9. Toluene feed at 1.2 kg/hr, 30% excess air, salt at 950 C
(8 hrs run)




Table 5 MSO Processor Data Sheet for Toluene Run

Date 1/27/98

Phase No. 1

Run No.

Organic : Toluene

Start Time 7:50 am

| Elements | Time, min | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|
| | 0 | 3 hr | 4 hr | 6hr | 8hr |
| Organic Feed Rate (g/min) | 0 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 |
| Liquid feed pressure (psig) | N/A | 19.5 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 19.5 |

Air Supply Parameters

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Driver Air Flow (slpm) | 53.6 | 54.4 | 54.2 | 54.1 | 53.7 |
| Driver Air Press (psig) | 36.2 | 38.1 | 37.9 | 37.8 | 37.8 |
| Oxidant Air Flow (slpm) | 215.6 | 215.4 | 216 | 215.6 | 215.6 |
| Oxidant air press (psig) | 25.5 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.66 | 25.7 |
| Cooling Air (slpm) | 200 | 200 | 200 | 199 | 200 |
| Purge Air (scfm) | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

Temperatures (C°)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|-----|-------|------|
| Salt | TE17 | 936 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 |
| Exit Gas | TE20 | 618 | 662 | 662 | 659 | 658 |
| After Soot Blower | TE35 | 336 | 404 | 403 | 415 | 416 |
| After Salt Trap | TE36 | 172 | 253 | 257 | 270 | 274 |
| After GSS Filter | TE37 | 78 | 125 | 134 | 147.3 | 157 |
| After HTX | TE38 | 36 | 58 | 63 | 69.5 | 58.1 |
| After Condenser | TE40 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 9 |
| After Electric Heater | TE41 | 25.1 | 33.5 | 31 | 42.7 | 33.2 |
| After Cat. converter | TE43 | 22.4 | 25.7 | 270 | 28.3 | 28.9 |
| Injector Tip | TE21 | 876 | 880 | 880 | 880 | 881 |
| Cooling air exit temp | TE25 | 148 | 193 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Injector nozzle | TE24 | | | | | |

Pressures (inches of water)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Vessel | PT10/11/12 | -3 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -5 |
| After Soot Blower | PT13 | -2 | -4 | -4 | -5 | -5 |
| After Salt Trap | PT14 | -3 | -5 | -5 | -6 | -6 |
| After GSS Filter | PT15 | -7 | -10.5 | -10.5 | -6 | -11.4 |
| After HTX | PT16 | -7 | -11 | -11 | -11.6 | -11.9 |
| After Condenser | PT17 | -7 | -11 | -11 | -12 | -12.3 |
| After Electric Heater | PT18 | -10 | -14 | -14 | -15 | -15.3 |
| After PCV01 | PT19 | -11 | -15 | -15 | -17 | -16.6 |
| After Cat. Converter | PT20 | -3 | -3 | -2 | -3 | -2.5 |
| Delta P of HEPA | | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 |

Off Gas Analysis

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| THC (ppm) | 1.7 | 0.79 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| NOx (ppm) | 27.1 | 62.2 | 63.1 | 59.1 | 58.8 |
| CO (ppm) | 0.15 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 8.7 | 8.2 |
| CO (ppm), after the cat. converter | | | | | |
| CO2 (%) | 0.33 | 8.66 | 8.75 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
| O2 (%) | 21.37 | 10.19 | 10.01 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| HCl, ppm | N/A | | | | |
| SOx (ppm) | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Other Parameters

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Off-Gas Flow (scfm) | 13.38 | 12.7 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
| Blower Frequency (hz) | 30 | 32 | 35 | 37 | 37 |

Time of activating Soot Blower

Time of GSS blowback

Time of gas sample collection

Table 8: Conversion of Organic Nitrogen into NO_x in the Molten Salt

| Feed material | Reaction Temperature | % N to NO _x |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Pyridine/Toluene | 950°C | 44.0 |
| Nitromethane/Ethylene Glycol | 950°C | 34.0 |
| Urea/EtOH/Propanol | 950°C | 31.0 |
| Explosives from ref. 4 | | |
| RDX | 750°C | 0.05 |
| HMX | 750°C | 0.11 |
| TNT | 750°C | 0.45 |
| Comp-B | 750°C | 0.09 |
| LX-10 | 750°C | 0.019 |
| LX-16 | 750°C | 0.14 |
| LX-17 | 750°C | 0.20 |
| PBX 9404 | 750°C | 0.25 |

Notes:

RDX- hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine; HMX-octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazine; TNT-2,4,6-trinitrotoluene; Comp-B-(RDX/TNT); LX-10- (HMX/Viton); LX-16- PETN/FPC 461); LX-17- (TATB/Kel F); PBX-9404- (HMX/CEF/Nitrocellulose); PETN- 2,2-bisnitoxymethyl-1,3-propanediol dinitrate; TATB- 2,4,6-trinitro-1,3,5-benzentriamine.

One of the features of the molten salt process is its ability to retain halides, sulfur, and phosphorous. They stay in the molten sodium carbonate salt bed as sodium halide, sodium sulfate, and sodium phosphate. For the test series II, the following organics containing fluorine, chlorine, sulfur, and phosphorous were tested: Freon 113 (fluorine, chlorine), DMSO (sulfur), TCE (chlorine), TBP (phosphorous). These organics were diluted with toluene and fed into the MSO process vessel at 950°C with 30% excess process air. The off-gas quality from these testing was very good. Table 9 shows the off-gas quality for the testing. The THC levels in the off-gas were very low, an indication of good process efficiency. SO_x in the off-gas was not detectable for each run since all the organic sulfur was converted into sodium sulfate and stayed in the salt bed. Salt samples were later taken for analysis of cations and anions which included fluoride (F⁻), chloride (Cl⁻), sulfate (SO₄²⁻), and phosphate (PO₄³⁻). The results of salt analysis are reported in Section 9.

Table 9: Off-gas Composition for the MSO Runs with Halides, Sulfur, and Phosphorous-containing Feeds

| Off-gas Species | Off-gas Composition for MSO Runs | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------|------|------|
| | 4 | 5 | 6* | 7 |
| CO ₂ , % | 8.8 | 9.2 | 13.8 | 7.7 |
| O ₂ , % | 10.1 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 10.1 |
| CO, ppm | 11.6 | 9.4 | 11.1 | 12.3 |
| NO _x , ppm | 56.6 | 60.7 | 29.9 | 36.0 |
| SO _x | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| THC | 0.0 | 0.64 | 0.02 | 0.12 |

Note: 4-TMP/DMSO/Toluene; 5- Freon 113/DMSO/Toluene; 6-DMSO/TCE/Toluene; 7-TBP/Kerosene. * 20% excess process air was used for run 6, 30% excess process air for runs 4,5,7.

8.3. Results of Test Series III -Solids

Surrogate materials including ABS plastic pellets, shredded booties and gloves, ion exchange resin (Amberlite), and activated carbon were demonstrated. For each run in the test series III, all the process data including gas species are recorded in the sheet as shown in Appendix 1. Some gas samples were also collected and sent to a laboratory for analysis. Salt containing ash was drained from the MSO vessel and sent to the salt recycle system. Table 10 shows the run conditions for test series III. The solid feeds were fed to the MSO vessel with a vibratory feeder and an eductor and carried into the molten salt bed by the compressed air. A large excess of process air was provided for these runs to overcome the feedrate fluctuation from the vibratory feeder. A larger size of ABS pellet (3.0 to 5.5 mm) was also tested but the off-gas quality was not good, probably because this increased the residence time of the pellet in the molten salt bed to complete the oxidation process. It was found that solid particles less than 3.0 mm can be effectively treated by the MSO process if sufficient excess air is provided. The off-gas composition for these runs is shown in Table 11.

Table 10: Run Conditions

| No. | Feeds | Run Conditions |
|-----|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | ABS pellets (2.5 mm) | 950°C, 1.45 kg/hr, 58% excess air |
| 2 | Shredded booties | 950°C, 1.88 kg/hr, 65% excess air |
| 3 | Ion exchange resin (Amberlite) (0.2 to 1.0 mm) | 950°C, 3.0 kg/hr, 40% excess air |
| 4 | Activated Carbon (1.2 to 2.4 mm) | 950°C, 1.45 kg/hr, 30% excess air |

Table 11: Off-gas Composition for the Solid Feeds

| Off-gas Species | Run Numbers | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|------|------|------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| CO ₂ , % | 9.4 | 8.4 | 12.9 | 8.2 |
| O ₂ , % | 9.3 | 11.2 | 6.2 | 12.2 |
| CO, ppm | 18.6 | 154 | 17 | 326 |
| NO _x , ppm | 306 | 6.9 | 150 | 112 |
| SO _x | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| THC | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.15 |

The values in the Table 11 were taken from the off-gas analyzer readings at steady feed rate, they varied when the feed rate fluctuated and may reach as high as 80 ppm for THC,

and 400 ppm for NO_x and CO, respectively. Figure 10 shows the off-gas composition for the MSO run with ion exchange resin. The spikes were the result of feed rate variation. Although it is desirable to have a solid feeder which can deliver a constant feed to the MSO vessel, the feed rate variation can be overcome with a large excess of process air. The higher levels of NO_x, CO, and ThC in the off-gas system can be further reduced in the catalytic converter before venting to the facility stack. Effort is underway to find an alternative means to feed organic solids to the MSO vessel, including finding a different type of solid feeder and suspend solid particles in a slurry using water or organic liquid as a carrier. This option will be further studied in FY99.

The MSO demonstration with activated carbon was based on the collaboration between the EM MSO team and the Defense Programs MSO team at LLNL. A granular activated carbon, 8 to 14 mesh size, was used as the surrogate feed. The activated carbon was fed for 4 hours at 1.5 kgs/hr followed by a 9 hours of air purge. Composition of off-gas was closely monitored with off-gas analyzers. Molten salt samples were taken during the course of demonstration and were sent for carbon analysis. It was found that the instantaneous efficiency of conversion of carbon feed to CO₂ slowly increased with time, reaching approximately 80% after 4 hours. However the overall conversion efficiency of C to CO₂ at this time was considerably lower, and we found the only way to increase it was by stopping the C feed but continuing to purge air through the reactor. Carbon analyses on salt samples taken after air purging for 2 hours and 9 hours showed that the overall carbon conversion improved to 94% and almost 100%, respectively. A more detailed description of these experiments can be found elsewhere [6].

8.4. Results of Test Series IV –Spiked Organic Liquids

In the test series IV, some surrogate organics were spiked with low-level radioactive materials such as uranium. The compositions of surrogate materials mimicked some of the waste streams at LLNL. A surrogate organic, toluene, was traced with a small quantity of C-14 labelled organics in order to establish accurate mass balances for carbon. Tables 12 and 13 show the run conditions for test series IV and off-gas compositions, respectively.

Table 12: Run Conditions for Test Series IV

| No. | Feeds | Run Conditions |
|--------|--|--|
| 1 | C-14 Labeled Toluene | 950°C, 1.3 kg/hr, 30% excess air |
| 2A, 2B | MCM/Toluene | 900°C (A) & 950 C (B), 2.4 kg/hr, 30% excess air |
| 3 | Uranyl Nitrate & 2,4 Dichlorophenol in EtOH/MCM/Toluene/Mineral oil | 950°C, 1.2 kg/hr, 30% excess air |
| 4 | Perchlor | 910°C, 8.2 kg/hr, 30% excess air |
| 5 | Uranyl nitrate & 2,4-Dichlorophenol in EtOH/MCM/CCl ₄ /EG | 950°C, 2.2 & 3.3 kg/hr, 30% excess air |

Fig. 10 3 kg/hr Ion Exchange resin (Amberlite), salt at 950 C




Table 13: Off-gas Composition for the Radioactive-spiked Organic Liquids

| Off-gas Species | 1 | 2A | Run Numbers | | | |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| | | | 2B | 3 | 4* | 5 |
| CO ₂ , % | 10.3 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 7.8 | 16.0 | 8.2 |
| O ₂ , % | 7.8 | 10.0 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 14.3 | 10.3 |
| CO, ppm | 10.7 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 6200 | 11.3 |
| NO _x , ppm | 45 | 131 | 114 | 125 | 19.3 | 50.5 |
| SO _x | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| THC | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

*Note: at 8.2 kg/hr of Perchlor

8.4.1. C-14 Labeled Toluene Experiment

Intuitively, carbon in the feed is expected to exchange with carbon of the carbonate salt during the oxidation process, however no experimental data was available. Therefore, in Run 1 of this test series, toluene was spiked and labeled with C-14 to 9.9 modern (or 9.9×10^{-12} fraction C-14 in carbon) and fed into the MSO process vessel in order to determine carbon exchange in the MSO process. C-14 labeled toluene was fed into the molten salt bed at 950°C for 2.83 hours followed by a 4-hr gas purge (9.0% CO₂ in air). Samples including organic feed, off-gas, and salt were taken before the run, during the run, and during the gas purge. These samples were sent to the Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (CAMS) at LLNL for the analysis of C-14. Table 14 and Fig. 11 show the activity of the samples during the run. C-14 labeled toluene was fed into the MSO vessel at 21 g/min with 30% excess process air. The values in the parentheses were the percentages of C-14 fed to the MSO vessel that exchanged with the carbon atom of the carbonate molecule in the molten salt. Table 14 shows that most of C-14 fed into the MSO vessel went through carbon exchange and stayed in the salt. The percentages of the exchange was 75.3%, 77.3%, and 73.9% after 1.0 hr, 2 hrs, and 2.83 hrs, respectively. These values are very close and are well within the margin of errors in sampling and analysis. The activity of C-14 in both molten salt samples and off-gas samples increased with time, which indicates that a much longer run time is needed before a true steady state can be reached.

The feed was stopped after 2.83 hours and then was followed by a gas purge at 215 liters/min for 4 hours. The purge gas consisted of 9.0 mol% CO₂ which is a typical CO₂ composition in the off-gas. Gas purging slowly decreased the C-14 activity in the molten salt. Table 14 shows that the C-14 activity decreased from 1.3158 moderns to 1.0883 moderns after 4 hours of gas purge. Based on these results, it would clearly need much longer than 4 hours to completely purge and exchange the C-14 in the molten salt. The C-14 activity in the off-gas during the feed and the gas purge depends on the C-14 activity in the melt. Table 14 and Fig. 10 also show that the specific activities of C-14 in the salt and in the off-gas are almost equal, indicating that gaseous CO₂ and the carbonate salt are in thermodynamic equilibrium.

The C-14 labeled experiment has provided new and valuable technical data for the MSO process.

Fig. 11. C-14 Activity in Salt & Off-gas




Table 14: C-14 Level in the C-14 Labeled Toluene Run

| Time, hour | C-14 in the sampels, modern | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | Molten Salt Sample | Off-gas Sample |
| Organic Feed (9.9 modern of C-14 in the feed) | | |
| 0 (before the feed) | 0.0189 | 0.0075 |
| 0.5 | --- | 0.2285 |
| 1.0 | 0.4712 (75.3%) | 0.4688 |
| 1.5 | --- | 0.7256 |
| 2.0 | 0.9717 (77.3%) | 0.9748 |
| 2.5 | --- | 1.2312 |
| <u>2.83</u> | <u>1.3158 (73.9%)</u> | <u>1.3062</u> |
| Purge Period (Organic feed terminated) | | |
| 0 (before the purge) | 1.3158 (73.9%) | |
| 0.67 | --- | 1.3015 |
| 1.0 | 1.2380 (69.5%) | --- |
| 1.42 | --- | 1.2144 |
| 2.0 | 1.1920 (66.9) | 1.1848 |
| 2.75 | --- | 1.1564 |
| 3.0 | 1.1471 (64.4%) | --- |
| 3.42 | --- | 1.0961 |
| <u>4.0</u> | <u>1.0883 (61.1%)</u> | <u>1.0684</u> |

Note: The salt sample collected in the GSS filter after the run was 0.3542 modern.

8.4.2 MCM/Toluene and Perchlor Experiments

There are 4500 gallons of organic liquid wastes in the LLNL waste inventory, and most of them are chlorinated solvents (LLW-008). Over 90% of the chlorinated waste consists of MCM. One of the runs in the test series was to simulate the composition of LLW-008 waste in order to understand the feed containing mostly MCM. The salt, consisted of sodium carbonate and potassium carbonate, has lower melting point which allowed the MSO vessel to operate at lower temperature. The off-gas qualities for the MCM run at both 900°C (run 2A) and 950°C (run 2B) were very good, as shown in Table 13. Operating at lower temperature with chlorinated solvents is desirable because as chloride builds up in the

the melt, the salt becomes more volatile. Operating at lower temperature not only reduces metal corrosion but also depresses sodium chloride volatilization into the off-gas system.

Perchloroethylene, "Perchlor," consists of 85% chlorine and was chosen to build up chloride content in the molten salt. Perchlor was fed into the MSO vessel with 30% excess air at higher feed rates than most organic feeds. The salt temperature was kept at 910°C for the run in order to reduce salt volatility. As shown in the Table 13, the THC level in the off-gas was only 1 ppm, an acceptable value despite the high CO concentration observed. The high CO concentration in the off-gas is typical at high chloride loading in the melt. The CO in the off-gas was further treated in the catalytic converter before venting through the facility stack. After the run, the high chloride salt was drained out and sent to the salt recycle system for processing.

8.4.3. Uranyl Nitrate and 2,4-dichlorophenol spiked organics (runs 3 and 5)

PCB-contaminated liquid organic waste exists in several DOE sites and presents a difficult problem due to the lack of an efficient treatment technology. Incineration can destroy PCB but it generates toxic off-gas species such as dioxins and furans. A PCB-contaminated liquid waste stream, one of the difficult wastes in the LLNL waste inventory, was chosen as one of the treatability study samples. As part of test series IV, a feed containing uranium and 2,4-dichlorophenol (a PCB surrogate as a recognized precursor to the formation of dioxins/furans) was demonstrated in the MSO vessel at 950°C before the real PCB-contaminated waste was introduced.

In run 3, uranyl nitrate and 2,4-dichlorophenol were dissolved in ethanol and mixed with MCM, mineral oil and toluene in the feed container. Although it mimicked the composition of PCB-contaminated waste, phase separation occurred due to immiscibility of ethanol and mineral oil. It was fed to the MSO vessel for two hours before the injector experienced minor plugging. Pure ethanol was subsequently introduced to clear up the plugging. The off-gas quality for this run was very good.

In run 5, the surrogate waste used for the demonstration had the following formulation: Carbon tetrachloride, 4.0 wt.%; 1,1,1-trichloroethane (MCM), 4.0 wt.%, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 1.0 wt.%, uranyl nitrate, 0.5 wt.%, ethylene glycol, 46.5 wt.%, ethanol, 45.0 wt.%. Carbon tetrachloride and 1,1,1-trichloroethane are common RCRA solvents in wastes. Uranyl nitrate and 2,4-dichlorophenol were fed in diluent streams composed primarily of ethylene glycol and ethanol for miscibility. The surrogate feed was delivered to the MSO vessel at 2.2 kgs/hr and 3.3 kgs/hr for superficial velocities of 1 ft/s and 1.5 ft/s, respectively, with 30% excess process air. Off-gas quality was monitored by off-gas analyzers during the course of the demonstration. Sample gas was also collected and sent to the Environmental Laboratory in E&ES for analysis of POHCs (principal organic hazardous compounds), dioxins, furans, and total organics. The surrogate was fed for 3.25 hrs. The system reached steady state in 30 minutes. Overall, 8.7 kg of surrogate waste was fed into the MSO vessel.

Table 15 shows the off-gas composition during the feed. Table 16 shows the POHCs in the feed and in the off-gas. A blank gas sample was also collected for reference.

Table 15: Off-gas Analyzer Readings

| Time, hrs | Off-gas Species, ppm or % | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | THC, ppm* | NO _x , ppm | CO, ppm | CO ₂ , % | O ₂ , % | Vs, ft/s |
| 0 | 0.0 | 43 | 3.2 | 0.09 | 21.20 | 1.0 |
| 0.75 | 0.5 | 103 | 10.8 | 8.13 | 10.4 | 1.0 |
| 1.25 | 0.0 | 50.5 | 11.3 | 8.16 | 10.3 | 1.0 |
| 1.75 | 0.0 | 40.5 | 11.4 | 8.24 | 10.13 | 1.0 |
| 2.75 | 0.0 | 42.8 | 10.8 | 8.47 | 9.89 | 1.5 |
| 3.25 | 0.0 | 44.2 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 9.72 | 1.5 |

* Detection limit of THC analyzer is 0.01 ppm. It drifted down to less than 0 ppm during the course of the experiment.

Table 15 shows that off-gas quality was very good with less than 10 ppm of CO and less than 110 ppm of NO_x leaving the MSO vessel, respectively. CO and NO_x levels in the off-gas were further reduced to undetectable levels in the catalytic converter. Other organic PICs (products of incomplete combustion) in the off-gas were not detectable. It also indicates that operating at the higher flow velocity of 1.5 ft/s would not adversely affect the off-gas quality.

Table 16 shows that DREs of the MSO process for major organics in the feed were very high, greater than 99.999%. Testing performed with the bench scale MSO unit in 1994 at Oak Ridge National Laboratory yielded similar results [7]. Dioxins and furans in the off-gas were not detectable.

8.5. Results of Test Series V- Treatability Study

8.5.1 Description

Two real waste specimens were chosen for the MSO treatability study in FY98. These specimens were received from the Hazardous Waste Management Division at LLNL (waste stream numbers LL-W008 and LL-W009). The first specimen (RTS #1) was chlorinated solvent and consisted of mainly 1,1,1-trichloroethane (MCM) with traces of metals and low-level of radionuclides. The second specimen (RTS#2) was PCB-contaminated waste.

Table 17 shows the composition of these two specimens. Treatment of five gallons of each specimen was successfully demonstrated in the MSO process vessel in August 1998. Off-gas was monitored continuously by off-gas analyzers installed in the off-gas system during the course of the demonstration. In addition to the continuous off-gas monitoring, a comprehensive gas sampling was performed per EPA methods and handled by the Best Environmental, Inc., a certified firm. Gas sampling was conducted from the sampling ports located on the 1.375" i.d. inlet and outlet of the GSS filter and from the catalytic converter 4" i.d. outlet stack venting emission from the MSO process. The sampling ports were located to meet EPA criteria (8 duct diameter downstream and 2 duct diameter upstream from any points of flow disturbance). The source test methods and test locations used for each waste feed are summarized in Table 18. Descriptions of each test method can be found in the report (Appendix 2) submitted by the Best Environmental, Inc.

Table 16. Destruction and Removal Efficiency for the MSO Demonstration with Uranium and 2,4-Dichlorophenol-spiked Organics

Conditions: 950 C, 30% excess air

| Component | In the feed, g/min | | In the off-gas, g/min | | DRE, %, minimum | | ORNL Test |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 1 ft/s | 1.5 ft/s | 1 ft/s | 1.5 ft/s | 1 ft/s | 1.5 ft/s | |
| Ethylene Glycol | 16.633 | 24.950 | 1.44E-06 | 1.01E-06 | 99.999991 | 99.999996 | N/A |
| Ethanol | 16.267 | 24.400 | 1.44E-06 | 1.01E-06 | 99.999991 | 99.999996 | N/A |
| CCl4 | 1.192 | 1.788 | 1.44E-06 | 1.01E-06 | 99.999879 | 99.999943 | 99.999988 |
| MCM | 1.450 | 2.175 | 1.44E-06 | 1.01E-06 | 99.999901 | 99.999954 | 99.999985 |
| 2,4-dichlorophenol | 0.367 | 0.550 | 1.44E-06 | 1.01E-06 | 99.999607 | 99.999816 | 99.998283 |
| Total | 35.908 | 53.863 | | | | | |

Dioxins & Furans were not detectable.

Other organic PICs (products of incomplete combustion) were not detectable.

DRE = (1- organic in the off-gas/organic fed to the MSO vessel)*100%

Note: GC/MS was used for off-gas sample analysis.

GC/MS Detection limit is 25 nanogram.

Table 17

Real Test Specimens for MSO Treatability Study in FY 98

| | <i>RTS #1</i> <i>Chlorosolvent</i> LL-W008 | <i>RTS #2</i> <i>Oil/PCB</i> LL-W009 |
|--|--|--|
| chloroform (g/L) | 0.1 | ND |
| 1,1-dichloroethane (g/L) | 0.5 | ND |
| 1,2-dichloroethane (g/L) | 0.5 | ND |
| 1,1-dichloroethene (g/L) | 20 | ND |
| dichloromethane (g/L) | 1.4 | ND |
| tetrachloroethane (g/L) | 40 | ND |
| toluene (g/L) | 0.1 | 2.9 |
| dichloroethene | ND | 1.7 |
| trichloroethene (g/L) | 10 | ND |
| 1,1,2-trichloro, 1,2,2 trifluoroethane (g/l) | 28 | 14 |
| methylchloroform (g/L) | 1226.25 | 11 |
| Sb (mg/L) | 0 | ND |
| Ba (mg/L) | 1.5 | 2.6 |
| Be (mg/L) | 8.2 | ND |
| Cd (mg/L) | 0 | ND |
| Cr (mg/L) | 1.3 | 0.38 |
| Co (mg/L) | 0.2 | ND |
| Cu (mg/L) | 11 | 1.7 |
| Pb (mg/L) | 11 | 7.4 |
| Mo (mg/L) | 2.5 | 0.3 |
| Ni (mg/L) | 2 | ND |
| K (mg/L) | 4 | ND |
| Ag (mg/L) | 0.3 | 0.07 |
| U (mg/L) | 20 | 11.5 |
| V (mg/L) | 3.1 | ND |
| Zn (mg/L) | 5.3 | 2.3 |
| Hg (mg/L) | 0.04 | 0.35 |
| hydraulic oil, (g/L) | None | 862.5 |
| PCB, mg/L | None | 1567 |
| Gross Alpha (nCi/L) | 7.26 | 7.8 |
| Gross Beta (nCi/L) | 11.4 | 0.85 |
| (Tritium (nCi/L)) | 33.1 | 38 |

Table 18: Test Methods and Locations for the Treatability Study

| <u>Test Location</u> | <u>Parameters</u> | <u>Test Methods</u> | <u>Runs</u> | <u>Duration, min.</u> |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| GSS Filter Outlet | Filtable Particulate | EPA Method 17 | 3 | 60 |
| | Radionuclides | EPA Method 114 | 3 | 60 |
| | Volatiles (VOST) | EPA Method 30 | 9 | 20 |
| | HCl | EPA Method 50 | 3 | 60 |
| | DSCFM | EPA Method 1-4 | 3 | 60 |
| GSS Filter Inlet | Dioxins/Furan, PCB* | EPA Method 23 | 3 | 180 |
| | Semi-Volatiles (MM5) | EPA Method 10 | 3 | 180 |
| Catalytic Converter Outlet | Dioxins/Furan, PCB* | EPA Method 23 | 1 | 180 |
| | Semi-Volatiles (MM5) | EPA Method 10 | 1 | 180 |
| | Volatiles (VOST) | EPA Method 30 | 3 | 20 |
| | Radionuclides | EPA Method 114 | 1 | 60 |

* PCB only for RTS#2.

8.5.2. Results of MSO Demonstration with Chlorinated Solvent (LL-W008)

The specimen was sampled and transferred from the waste container to the feed tank. Toluene was then added to the feed container under mixing to prevent phase separation during the feed to the MSO vessel. The waste liquid was pumped into the MSO vessel at 2.5 kg/hr for 14 hours with 30% excess process air. The longer run was chosen to meet the time requirement for gas sampling. Table 19 shows the off-gas composition at steady state for both RTS#1 and RTS#2. Concentrations of CO and THC in the off-gas for RTS#1 were 15.6 ppm and 0.2 ppm, respectively, which were much less than 100 ppm for CO and 20 ppm for THC, the current standards for mixed waste incinerators [8].

Table 19: Off-gas Composition for RTS#1 and RTS#2*

| <u>Off-gas Species</u> | <u>Run Numbers</u> | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | <u>RTS#1</u> | <u>RTS#2</u> |
| CO ₂ , % | 8.1 | 7.3 |
| O ₂ , % | 12.0 | 10.1 |
| CO, ppm | 10.2 | 15.6 |
| NO _x , ppm | 56.4 | 27.3 |
| SO _x | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| THC | 0.2 | 0.0 |

*The numbers were taken directly from the readings of the off-gas analyzers.

Table 20 shows feed rates to the MSO vessel and emission rates and DREs for principal organic hazardous constituents (POHCs) along with total dioxin/furan, volatile organics, semi-volatile organic, particulate, gross alpha, gross beta, tritium and HCl in the off-gas. There were six POHCs in the RTS#1 feed: MCM, 1,1-dichloroethene, tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, trichloro-trifluoroethane, and toluene. The DREs for these six POHCs were greater 99.999%, an indication of excellent process efficiency for the demonstration. The particulate emission rate was 0.00011 lbs/hr at average off-gas flow rate of 13.5 dscfm (dry standard cubic ft per min) or 0.00006 g/ft³. This is much less than the particulate loading of 0.08 g/ft³, the standard for mixed waste incinerators. The low particulate loading in the MSO off-gas system is not surprising because the GSS filter can effectively remove most particles from the gas stream. Table 20 also shows that the molten salt retained and trapped gross alpha and gross beta very well. The percentages of gross alpha and gross beta which was fed into the MSO vessel going into the off-gas were 0.32% and 0.12%, respectively. HCl level in the off-gas was less than 0.0016 g/hr, which indicated that most of the chlorine in the feed (about 50 wt.% of the liquid feed or 21 g/min of chlorine) has been converted into sodium chloride as expected and stayed in the salt bed. Sodium chloride is ten times more volatile than sodium carbonate and its vapor would carry over to the off-gas system. The concentration of sodium chloride vapor at 950°C is 0.014 g/ft³ [9]. Most of the sodium chloride vapor condensed in the air-cooled jacket of the off-gas system and was pushed back to the MSO vessel by the salt brush. Some of it may end up in the salt trap and GSS filter. After the run, a salt sample was taken from the reactor and analyzed for its chloride content; the sodium chloride composition after feeding chlorinated solvent for 14 hours was found to be 30 wt.%.

In addition to analyzing the off-gas for the feed compounds, EPA method 10 and method were used to determine the emissions of semi-volatile organics (boiling point >100°C) and volatile organics boiling point <100°C), respectively. Table 4 and Table 6 in the Appendix 2 show the emissions of each individual semi-volatile organic and volatile organic for RTS#1. Total emissions of the semi-volatile organics from RTS#1 was less than 91.376 µg/min and total emissions of volatile organics was less than 31.568 µg/min, respectively.

Dioxins and furans are a group of related compounds which are suspected of having harmful effects in humans. Laboratory tests have shown that 2,3,7,8-TCDD (2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-para-dioxin), one of most toxic compounds in the group, can cause cancer and toxic effects in laboratory animals. Hence their emissions from a thermal treatment process are subjected to strict regulations. EPA proposed that the regulatory limit for dioxin and furan emissions from hazardous waste treatment systems be 100 pg/m³ toxic equivalence quotient (TEQ). The toxic equivalence factor (TEF) of a particular dioxin or furan is defined as the ratio of its toxicity to the toxicity of 2,3,7,8-TCDD, which has a TEF of 1.

Table 21 shows the results of dioxin and furan emissions. Gas samples were taken from the GSS filter inlet and the outlet of the catalytic converter. The table shows the emission rate and concentration of each individual dioxin and furan and its TEF and TEQ. TEQ is the concentration of each individual dioxin and furan multiplied by its TEF. As shown in the table, the total dioxin and furan emissions from the GSS filter inlet and the catalytic converter outlet were 18.534 pg/m³ TEQ and 17.093 pg/m³ TEQ, respectively. These emissions are well below the EPA proposed regulatory limit of 100 pg/m³.

Table 20: Feed Rates, Emission Rates, and DREs for RTS#1

| <u>Test Parameter</u> | <u>Feed Rate to the MSO Vessel</u> | <u>Emission Rate</u> | <u>DRE %</u> |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| MCM | 24.98 g/min | <0.542 µg/min | >99.999998 |
| 1,1-dichloroethene | 0.41 g/min | 1.067 µg/min | 99.99974 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.81 g/min | <0.175 µg/min | >99.999978 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.20 g/min | <0.197 µg/min | >99.99998 |
| Trichloro-trifluoroethane | 0.57 g/min | <4.372 µg/min | >99.999233 |
| Toluene | 14.69 g/min | 3.775 µg/min | 99.999974 |
| Total PCB | None | None | N.A. |
| Total Dioxin/Furan | None | 56.061 pg/min | N.A. |
| VOST Volatiles | N.A. | <31.568 µg/min | N.A. |
| Semi-volatiles | N.A. | <91.376 µg/min | N.A. |
| Particulate | N.A. | 0.00011lbs/hr | N.A. |
| Gross Alpha | 9,000 pCi/hr | 28.55 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| Gross Beta | 14,100 pCi/hr | 16.73 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| Tritium | 41,070 pCi/hr | 7688 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| HCl | N.A. | <0.0016 g/hr | N.A. |

Table 21: Results for Dioxin and Furan Emissions for RTS#1

| <u>Species</u> | <u>TEF</u> | <u>@ GSS Filter Inlet, pg/min</u> | <u>@ GSS Filter Inlet, pg/m3</u> | <u>TEQ pg/m3</u> | <u>@ Catalytic Converter Outlet, pg/min</u> | <u>@ Catalytic Converter Outlet, pg/m3</u> | <u>TEQ pg/m3</u> |
|----------------|------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|--|------------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1 | 4.941 | 12.973 | 12.973 | 5.108 | 10.941 | 10.941 |
| TCDF | 0.1 | 4.033 | 10.583 | 1.058 | 5.325 | 11.407 | 1.141 |
| PeCDF | 0.05 | 7.318 | 19.214 | 0.961 | 10.216 | 21.882 | 1.094 |
| HxCDF | 0.1 | 2.359 | 6.201 | 0.620 | 2.391 | 5.121 | 0.512 |
| HpCDF | 0.01 | 0.772 | 2.034 | 0.020 | 1.195 | 2.561 | 0.026 |
| OCDF | 0.001 | 1.536 | 4.047 | 0.004 | 0.967 | 2.072 | 0.002 |
| PeCDD | 0.5 | 1.921 | 5.048 | 2.524 | 2.934 | 6.285 | 3.143 |
| HxCDD | 0.1 | 0.892 | 2.351 | 0.235 | 0.880 | 1.886 | 0.189 |
| HpCDD | 0.01 | 2.219 | 5.854 | 0.059 | 1.087 | 2.328 | 0.023 |
| OCDD | 0.001 | 30.07 | 79.599 | 0.080 | 10.542 | 22.581 | 0.023 |
| | | 56.061 | 147.904 | 18.534 | 40.645 | 87.064 | 17.093 |

Figure 12 shows the mass balance for the MSO demonstration with the chlorinated solvent waste stream. This assumes an integrated operation of the MSO/off-gas system, the salt recycle system, and the ceramic final forms at steady state. The feed rate of organic liquid is assumed at 2 liters/hr (2.31 kg/hr) along with traces of metal and radionuclides. The amount of air required for the process including purge air, and oxidant air, is 887 moles/hr

LLW-008

Chlorinated solvent 2310 g/hr
(2 liters/hr)

C 84.2 moles/hr
H 103.5 moles/hr
Cl 33.4 moles/hr
F 0.51 moles/hr
Metals 0.072 g/hr
Radionuclides 75.6 nCi/hr

Off-gas at 650 degree C

CO₂ 84.2 moles/hr
H₂O 51.7 moles/hr
O₂ 76.0 moles/hr
N₂ 701 moles/hr




Fig. 12. MSO Flow balance for feed stream LLW-008 (Chlorinated Solvents)

and the off-gas discharge rate is 913 moles. Assuming continuous salt withdrawal from the MSO system to the salt recycle system, the water required to process the spent salt would be 10 liters/hr. The salt recycle process discharges 10 liter/min clean salt brine solution which consists of sodium chloride and sodium fluoride. Metals, metal oxides, and radionuclides are sent to the ceramic final forms system to generate ceramic pellets. As shown in the diagram, 475 grams of ceramic powder is required to stabilize the waste stream from the salt recycle system. As a result, 0.13 liters/hr of ceramic pellets would be generated.

8.5.3. Results of MSO Demonstration with PCB-Contaminated Organics (LLW-009)

PCB-contaminated wastes are difficult to treat by incineration due to the formation of dioxins and furans, cancer-causing agents, at the incineration temperature, which is typically above 1200°C. To demonstrate that MSO is an efficient alternative to treat these wastes, 5 gallons of a PCB-contaminated waste specimen (RTS#2) was fed into the process vessel as part of the treatability study. The main objective of the experiments was to show that dioxin and furan emissions from the system were below the proposed regulatory limit of 100 pg/m³ gas as 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-para-dioxin equivalents or toxic equivalence quotient.

The PCB-contaminated waste was delivered to the MSO facility from the Waste Management Division of LLNL in a five-gallon container. The waste was sampled and sent for analysis. Table 17 shows the composition of the waste. Toluene was used as a diluent to reduce the viscosity of the waste for the ease of feed delivery. The waste, containing mostly hydraulic oil with over 1000 ppm PCB and traces of metals and radionuclides was fed into the MSO system at 1.1 kg/hr along with 30% excess air for 13 hours. The molten salt bed, containing 160 kg sodium carbonate, was controlled at 950°C during the course of the demonstration. The GSS (gas/solid separation) filter was backflushed with compressed air periodically to prevent excessive buildup of salt cake in the filter element. CO₂, O₂, CO, NO_x, SO_x in the off-gas were continuously monitored with off-gas analyzers. Sampling was conducted from the sampling ports located on the inlet and outlet of the GSS filter and from the catalytic converter outlet. The sampling ports were located to meet the 8 duct diameter downstream and 2 duct diameter upstream from any points of flow disturbance criteria. Best Environmental, Inc. handled the off-gas sampling operation during the demonstration. All the collected samples were sent to EPA-certified laboratories for analysis.

The off-gas quality, as shown in Table 19 was very good with less than 50 ppm NO_x, less than 20 ppm CO, and nondetectable THC in the off-gas. Table 22 shows that feed rates and emission rates of POHCs, total dioxins and furans, volatile organics, total semivolatile organics, particulate, gross alpha, gross beta, and HCl. The particulate emission rate was 0.0000034 lbs/hr at average off-gas flow rate of 13.8 dscfm or only 0.0000016 g/ft³. The destruction efficiencies of the POHCs were greater than 99.99%.

Table 22: Feed Rates, Emission Rates, and DREs for RTS#2

| <u>Test Parameter</u> | <u>Feed Rate to the MSO Vessel</u> | <u>Emission Rate</u> | <u>DRE %</u> |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| MCM | 0.168 g/min | <0.291 µg/min | >99.999827 |
| 1,1-dichloroethene | 0.025 g/min | 1.073 µg/min | 99.99571 |
| Tetrachloroethene | N.A. | <0.166 µg/min | N.A. |
| Trichloroethene | N.A. | <0.187 µg/min | N.A. |
| Trichloro-trifluoroethane | 0.213 g/min | <4.151 µg/min | >99.99805 |
| Toluene | 2.78 g/min | 1.069 µg/min | 99.999962 |
| Total PCB | 0.0233 g/min | <0.0414 µg/min | >99.99982 |
| Hydraulic oil | 14.98 g/min | N.A. | N.A. |
| Total Dioxin/Furan | None | 108.86 pg/min | N.A. |
| VOST Volatiles | N.A. | <17.82 µg/min | N.A. |
| Semi-volatiles | N.A. | <95.854 µg/min | N.A. |
| Particulate | N.A. | 0.000034 lbs/hr | N.A. |
| Gross Alpha | 8,140 pCi/hr | 15.53 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| Gross Beta | 890 pCi/hr | 0.961 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| Tritium | 39,700 pCi/hr | 3,564,582 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| HCl | N.A. | <0.0015 g/hr | N.A. |

Table 23 lists the emission rates of each dioxin and furan, the toxicity equivalent factor (TEF), and its toxic equivalence quotient (TEQ). Total TEQs of dioxins and furans in the GSS filter inlet and the catalytic converter outlet were 38.743 pg/m³ and 9.338 pg/m³, respectively. These values are again below 100 pg/m³, the EPA proposed regulatory limits.

Table 23: Results for Dioxins and Furan Emissions

| Species | TEF | @ GSS Filter Thlet, pg/m ³ | TEQ pg/m ³ | @ Catalytic Converter Outlet, pg/m ³ | TEQ pg/m ³ |
|--------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1 | 22.301 | 22.301 | 7.506 | 7.506 |
| TCDF | 0.1 | 78.954 | 7.895 | 3.503 | 0.350 |
| PeCDF | 0.05 | 50.310 | 2.516 | 5.505 | 0.275 |
| HxCDF | 0.1 | 12.521 | 1.252 | 1.426 | 0.143 |
| HpCDF | 0.01 | 4.204 | 0.042 | 1.051 | 0.011 |
| OCDF | 0.001 | 2.742 | 0.003 | 1.776 | 0.002 |
| PeCDD | 0.5 | 8.527 | 4.264 | 1.877 | 0.939 |
| HxCDD | 0.1 | 3.593 | 0.359 | 1.001 | 0.100 |
| HpCDD | 0.01 | 4.684 | 0.047 | 1.101 | 0.011 |
| OCDD | 0.001 | 64.379 | 0.064 | 2.352 | 0.002 |
| | | Total TEQ | 38.743 | | 9.338 |

Note: The oxygen concentration in the off-gas was 10.1 vol.%. Volume of off-gas sample collected was about 4 m³.

Figure 13 shows the mass balance for the MSO demonstration with PCB-contaminated waste oil. This again assumes an integrated operation of the MSO process which includes process vessel, off-gas system, the salt recycle system, and the ceramic final forms at steady state. The feed rate of organic liquid is assumed at 1.2 liters/hr. The amount of air required for the process including purge air and oxidant air is 861 moles/hr, and the off-gas discharge rate is 904 moles. Assuming continuous salt withdrawal from the MSO system to the salt recycle system, the water required to process the spent salt would be only 0.18 liters/hr. The salt recycle process discharges 0.18 liter/min clean salt brine solution which consists of sodium chloride and sodium fluoride. Metals, metal oxides, and radionuclides are sent to the ceramic final forms system to generate ceramic pellets. As shown in the diagram, 475 grams of ceramic powder is required to stabilize the waste stream from the salt recycle system. As a result, 0.13 liters/hr of ceramic pellets would be generated. For the PCB-contaminated oil, for every 1.2 liters treated, the MSO process would generate 0.18 liters of clean brine solution and 0.13 liters of stabilized ceramic pellets.

9. Results of the Demonstration of the Salt Recycle System

Salt recycle is an important element of the integrated MSO system. It was developed based on extensive small-scale laboratory experiments to prove the concept with subsequent scale-up to the pilot system as part of an integrated MSO facility. The salt recycle process separates metals, mineral residues, and radionuclides from spent salt generated by the MSO process. It includes salt size reduction with a grinder, salt dissolution in water, precipitation, chemical reduction, filtration, pH adjustments, ion exchange, and drying. Each component in the salt recycle system was shown to work as designed.

The salt recycle system has successfully treated six batches of spent salt generated from the MSO vessel in FY 98. For spent salts with high carbonate contents (SR1, SR2, SR3, SR5, SR6), it removed ash, metals, and radionuclides from the salts and returned 95% of the salt for reuse. Table 24 shows the concentrations of cations in the spent salt and clean salt for SR1, SR2, and SR3. These salt consisted of mostly sodium carbonate with traces of sodium chloride, sodium fluoride, sodium phosphate, sodium sulfate along with some metals such as nickel and chromium. The presence of chromium and nickel in the spent salt was expected because the vessel was made of Inconel 600 which contains chromium and nickel as major components. Table 24 shows that almost all the nickel was removed and more than 90% of chromium in the spent salt was removed in the salt recycle system. It was impossible to remove chromium to less than 20 ppm in the carbonate salt due to the solubility of both Cr+3 and Cr+6 in the salt solution.

SR5 was the spent salt generated from the first treatability specimen (RTS#1) which consisted mostly of methyl chloroform with traces of metals and uranium. SR6 was the spent salt from the second treatability study specimen (RTS#2) which was PCB-contaminated hydraulic oil. Table 25 shows the concentrations of cations and radionuclide (uranium) in the starting spent salts and reusable clean salt for SR5 and SR6. It again shows that almost all the nickel was removed and more than 90% of chromium in the spent salt was removed in the salt recycle system. Uranium concentration in the salt did not change significantly after the salt recycle because uranium forms the tricarbonat uranium complex ion and stays in the carbonate solution. But if the uranium concentration in the salt solution is significantly higher than 100 ppm, it can be reduced by adding caustic alkali to precipitate out uranium as sodium diuranate [10].




Fig. 13. MSO Flow balance for feed stream LLW-009 (PCB Waste Oils)

Table 24. Cation Compositions of SR1, SR2, SR3 Before and After Salt Recycle (Dry Basis)

| | SR1 | SR1 | SR2 | SR2 | SR3 | SR3 |
|----|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm |
| Ag | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| As | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Ba | 1 | 1 | 37 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 5.7 |
| Be | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Cd | 3 | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.9 |
| Co | 2 | 0.3 | 5.7 | ND | 4 | 0.4 |
| Cr | 2900 | 150 | 1300 | 57 | 500 | 50 |
| Cu | 3 | ND | 6 | ND | 2 | ND |
| Mo | 16 | 16 | 5 | 7.7 | 4 | 5.6 |
| Hg | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Ni | 53 | 1 | 710 | 1.4 | 670 | 1.3 |
| Pb | 2 | 2 | 20 | 20 | 5 | 2.5 |
| Sb | 7 | ND | 10 | 7.7 | 6 | 2 |
| Se | 5 | ND | 7 | ND | ND | ND |
| Tl | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| V | ND | ND | 3 | ND | ND | ND |
| Zn | ND | ND | 220 | ND | 4 | ND |

The salt consisted of mostly sodium carbonate with traces of sodium chloride sodium sulfate, and sodium phosphate.

| Table 25. Cation Compositions of SR5 and SR6 Before and After Salt Recycle (Dry Basis) | | | | |
|--|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| | SR5 | SR5 | SR6 | SR6 |
| | Before | After | Before | After |
| | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm |
| Ag | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| As | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Ba | 1 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 1.1 |
| Be | 0.4 | ND | ND | ND |
| Cd | ND | ND | 15 | ND |
| Co | 0.7 | ND | 3 | ND |
| Cr | 420 | 32 | 980 | 15 |
| Cu | 3 | ND | 7 | ND |
| Mo | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Hg | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Ni | 230 | ND | 840 | ND |
| Pb | ND | ND | 10 | ND |
| Sb | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Se | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Tl | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| V | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Zn | 1 | ND | 66 | ND |
| U | 11 | 9.4 | 0.63 | 0.6 |

The salt consisted of mostly sodium carbonate, some sodium chloride, and traces of sodium sulfate, sodium fluoride, and Sodium phosphatge.

For spent salts with low carbonate content (SR4), salt recycle removed ash, metals, and radionuclides from the salts and generated clean brine (sodium chloride) solution which is not considered hazardous. Table 26 shows the cations (excluding sodium and potassium) and radionuclide (uranium) in the starting spent salt and clean salt after the salt recycle. Initial concentrations of Cr, Ni and U in the spent salt were over 100 ppm. Salt recycle successfully reduced Cr, Ni, and U to 0.45 ppm, 2 ppm, and 0.1 ppm, respectively.

Because the chromium concentration in the high carbonate salts (SR1, SR2, SR3, SR5, SR6) did not drop to less than 20 ppm, these salts were not considered for direct disposal. They were reused in the MSO vessel until the chloride contents built up to the level at which the salt could be recycled as "low carbonate," e.g. SR4.

A more comprehensive description of the results of the salt recycle demonstration will be furnished as part of FY99 report.

10. Results of the Ceramic Final Forms Demonstration

No Final Forms demonstrations were done in FY1998, nor were any pellets fabricated from surrogate residues. Equipment functional testing was completed satisfactorily. Practice operations of the batching, milling and calcining were done, using innocuous material.

11. Lessons Learned

A number of lessons were learned in the first years' operation of the MSO processor and offgas system in the Expedited Technology Demonstration (ETD). These lessons and suggestions for improved operation are summarized below:

- * Early practice was to allow the salt to freeze with the injector fully immersed in the melt. To prevent plugging in the injector, air flow was maintained through the injector both during freezing and during melting. During one of the melt cycles, the air flow caused the salt to foam up and some passed over into the off-gas pipe. This occurred with salt with a high ash content, and apparently when salt containing certain – as yet undefined - compositions of ash is first melted, its viscosity and surface tension are conducive to foaming. The operational procedure was changed to require the injector be lifted out of the melt every time the salt was allowed to cool.

- * The present processor has a salt drainpipe that extends horizontally from the bottom of the processor and drains the hot, molten salt into a pan located beside the processor. At the end of a draining operation, small quantities of salt could wick back into the heater around the drainpipe, thus causing failure of the heater. In addition, relatively small pieces of debris in the salt could plug the pipe. A larger diameter drainpipe pointing down into a drain pan directly under the processor would improve the salt flow and prevent wicking of the salt into the heater. In addition, the processor top cover should be redesigned so that, if necessary, the drainpipe could be cleared while hot with a long rod from the top of the processor. The design must also recognize that the drainpipe and drain pan will likely distort due to temperature cycling. A spare drain pan is thus necessary to support processor operation while salt is being removed from the first pan.

Table 26. Cation Composition in SR4 Salt Before and After Salt Recycle (Dry Basis)

| | Starting spent salt | Final clean salt |
|----|---------------------|------------------|
| | (Before) ppm | (After) ppm |
| Ag | ND | ND |
| As | ND | ND |
| Ba | 3 | 1.1 |
| Be | ND | ND |
| Cd | 3 | 1.5 |
| Co | 1 | ND |
| Cr | 820 | 0.45 |
| Cu | 1 | 0.5 |
| Mo | 2 | 2 |
| Hg | ND | ND |
| Ni | 100 | 2 |
| Pb | 7 | ND |
| Sb | 10 | ND |
| Se | ND | ND |
| Tl | ND | ND |
| V | ND | ND |
| Zn | 2 | ND |
| U | 200 | 0.1 |

Note: The clean salt consists over 99 wt.% sodium chloride with trace of sodium sulfate.

* The processor was built with a cooling air blower capable of blowing air underneath the heater assembly, along the vessel wall, to remove excess heat. Since the blower was pushing air into the heater assembly, hot air leaked out through seams and electrical penetrations. On one occasion during activation, this superheated air damaged insulation on the electrical leads to the heaters. The processor also incorporated fins on the outside surface along the lower, melt-containing zone to assist in heat removal. For the feed rates and materials used in the ETD, overheating of the processor never occurred, thus neither the fins nor the cooling air blower proved necessary. However, if forced air cooling is needed in the future, the cooling air blower should be redesigned to pull air through the furnace assembly rather than pushing air through it. The cooling air duct should also include automatic shut-off dampers to prevent heat loss due to free convection when the blower is not being used.

* The injector assembly for injecting the feed material into the molten salt proved to be very robust and was not prone to plugging. However, to simplify the operation and maintenance and possibly to improve efficiency, the following issues should be considered: a) if internal thermocouples are used, they should be replaceable, b) the hoses that feed the injector needed better support with more compact configuration of hose connections at the top of the injector, c) the injector needs a clamping design to hold it in operating position that will tolerate heat and will be easy to operate, and d) the injector should be self-supporting when raised clear of the molten salt.

* The off-gas system design demonstrated that a simple length of bare pipe with its attendant free convection is sufficient to cool the offgas to a temperature that is compatible with the ceramic filter. No active cooling is required. A trap in front of the GSS filter proved very valuable in the ETD. If molten salt is blown (or foams) out of the processor, the trap will prevent damage to the ceramic filter elements. In addition, the only cooling needed after the ceramic filter is the condenser.

* The off-gas system included a steel wire brush in the pipe section exiting the processor to prevent salt plugging of this line. This salt brush proved invaluable. However, the brush could be more "robust" (i.e., better able to unstick itself) and should enter the top of the main processor vessel. If the brush encounters some molten salt at the vessel end of the stroke, it must be able to push this aside or tolerate some salt build up on the wall without getting stuck. The brush is less likely to get stuck or abort its stroke if the system is thoroughly hot when the brush is activated.

* In the present design, the feed system to the reactor could potentially expose the operator to hazardous vapors. The feed station should be in a full fume hood to minimize exposure hazards from the feed material. Additionally, the feed system should be optimized to accept multiple phase liquids and liquids containing suspended particulates without allowing significant phase or particle separation or plugging of the pumps and feed lines.

* In the present design, all of the salt was loaded manually into the reaction vessel using a barrel turner hanging from an overhead hoist. The salt was poured out of a funnel attached to the drum, through a plastic tube and into the processor. This design could potentially cause an exposure risk to the operator. A solids conveyor that eliminates salt handling on top of the processor and conveys the salt in a more automatic and contained fashion should be considered.

For the MSO/ETD Salt Recycle system, lessons and recommendations for improved operation are:

* At present, the entire Salt Recycle system is operated manually. For production use, more automation should be provided. For example, the brine filter should have an easier, perhaps entirely automatic, system for applying the precoat material. The filter should also discharge its filter cake automatically. In addition to filter-related concerns, the valve sequencing for the most common brine transfers should be automated.

* Ground salt is presently conveyed to the dissolution tank using a screw conveyor. Hold-up of salt in this conveyor proved to be excessive, and migration of moisture from the tank into the conveyor caked this remaining salt. A pneumatic type of conveyor would seem to be a better choice since it would have negligible salt hold-up. The conveyor should be isolated from the moist atmosphere in the dissolution tank.

* Significant amounts of non-soluble mineral residue were found in the spent salt. The dissolver tank needs some provision to handle the slurry that results when this salt is introduced. This would include a mixer in the tank plus piping and pumps that can move these solids and pump them into the filter.

* Spray drying of treated brines proved to require expert set-up and operation. Several features of the ETD spray drier should be improved upon for the next-generation system. The wet scrubber should receive more design attention in the following areas: water carry-over from the scrubber into the exhaust piping, and algae growth in the scrubber water.

12. Cost Analysis

Ecology and Environment, Inc. was contracted by the DOE Oakland Operations Office to prepare an innovative technology summary report for the molten salt oxidation project [11]. The report includes a cost analysis for various feeds. The capital cost for a three vessels system with salt recycle and ceramic final forms was estimated at \$3.3 M. Without salt recycle and ceramic final form, the capital cost drops to \$1.8 M. Unit costs for several feeds are listed in Table 27.

Table 27: Unit Costs for Various Radioactive Feeds Based on 3-Vessel System (in \$/kg)

| Feeds | MSO ^(a) | Incineration |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Halogenated organic liquid | 32 | 338 ^(b) |
| Non-halogenated organic liquid | 27 | 20 ^(b) |
| Ion Exchange resins | 44 | N/A |

(a) 3-vessel system, plus operating cost

(b) estimates from LLNL-HWM

13. Conclusion

An integrated MSO pilot-scale facility has been built and demonstrations conducted since December 1997. The facility has been demonstrated with over 20 surrogates and real waste streams. Chlorinated solvents and PCB-contaminated oils, two of the difficult low-level

mixed waste streams at LLNL, were successfully treated in the facility last August with good results. The MSO technology appears ready to be fielded and implemented with a variety of superfund and DOE low-level mixed wastes.

References

1. Martyn Adamson and Peter C. Hsu, "Test Plan for Expedited Technology Demonstration Project," Rev. 1, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, August 1998.
2. Martyn Adamson, Peter C. Hsu, David Hipple, Ken Foster, Robert Hopper, and Tim Ford, "Organic Waste Processing using Molten Salt Oxidation," UCRL-JC-129946, presented at 1998 Euchem Conference on Molten Salt Oxidation, France, June 1998.
3. Peter C. Hsu, David Hipple, Dwight Squire, Erica von Holtz, Robert Hopper, and Martyn Adamson, "Integrated Demonstration of Molten Salt Oxidation with Salt Recycle for Mixed Waste Treatment," UCRL-JC-128143, Proceedings of Waste Management '98, Tucson, AZ, March 1998.
4. Peter C. Hsu, David H. Hipple, and Martyn Adamson, "MSO Salt Recycle System Operation Manual," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 1998.
5. Cesar O. Pruneda, Bruce E. Watkins, and Ravindra S. Upadhye, "Recent Advances in the Molten Salt Destruction of Energetic Materials," URCL-JC-125250, September 1996.
6. Peter C. Hsu and Martyn G. Adamson, "Treatment of Activated Carbon with Molten Salt Oxidation," unpublished report, LLNL, September 1988.
7. J.C. Rudolph, P.A. Hass, J.T. Bell, S.M. Crosley, Cl. Calhoun, Jr., A.H. Gorin, and L.E. Nulf, "Molten Salt Oxidation of Chloro-organic Compounds: Experimental Results for Products Gas Compositions and Final Forms Studies," ORNL/TM-129412, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, April 1995.
8. "Demonstration Testing for Mixed Waste Thermal Treatment Technologies," by Energy and Environmental Research Corporation, available from the website, wastenot.inel.gov/mwfa/ntw/roadmap/compliance/trd/dt.pdt, July 1997.
9. Oscar H. Krikorian, "Selection of Molten Salt Composition for Bench-Scale Molten Salt Processor Tests," UCRL-ID-107081, LLNL, April 1991.
10. Peter C. Hsu, Leslie Summers, Erica von Holtz, David Hipple, Francis Wang, and Martyn Adamson, "Removal of Uranium from MSO Spent Salts," UCRL-126857, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 1998.
11. Denise M Toombs, "Molten Salt Oxidation: Innovative Technology Summary Report," Ecology and Environment, Inc., December 1998.
12. R. A. Van Konynenburg, R. W. Hopper, J. A. Rard, F. J. Ryerson, D. L. Phinney, L. D. Hutcheon & P. G. Curtis, "Ceramic Waste Form for Residues from Molten Salt Oxidation of Mixed Waste," in *Scientific Basis for Nuclear Waste Management XIX*, edited by Dieter Knecht & William M. Murphy (Materials Research Society, Symposium Proceedings 412, Pittsburgh, 1996) pp. 321-328.
13. Operational Safety Procedure 292.19, "ETDP Final Forms System Operation" (effective 12/98).

APPENDIX 1

Prepared for:
Peter C. Hsu
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
P.O. Box 808, L-276
Livermore, CA 94551

SOURCE TEST REPORT
Hazardous Waste Emissions Testing
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories
Molten Salt Oxidation Pilot Plant

Test Date(s): August 18, 19 & 26 1998

Prepared by:

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd.
San Leandro, CA 94578-2101
Phone (510) 278-4011
Fax (510) 278-4018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------------|--|-----------------|
| 1.0 | Test Results Summary | pg.2 |
| 2.0 | Introduction & General Information | pg.3 |
| 3.0 | Process Description | pg.4 |
| 4.0 | Test Program | pg.5 |
| 5.0 | Test Methods | pg.6 |
| 6.0 | Test Results | pg.9 |
| 7.0 | Comments | pg.9 |
| | Tabulated Data | pg.11-46 |
| | Appendix A- Calculations & Nomenclature | pg.47 |
| | Appendix B- Laboratory Reports | pg.69 |
| | Appendix C- Field Data Sheets | pg.158 |
| | Appendix F- Equipment Calibration Records | pg.187 |
| | Appendix E- Process Data | pg.209 |
| | Appendix F- Stack Diagram | pg.215 |
| | Appendix G- Sampling System Diagrams | pg.219 |

1.0 Test Results Summary: The average emission rate and destruction efficiency test results for the LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO process test program performed on August 18, 19 & 26, 1998 are presented below:

**MSO PROCESS RTS #1 WASTE FEED EMISSIONS
SUMMARY TABLE**

| Test Parameter | MSO Inlet Feed Rate | MSO Outlet Emission Rate | Destruction Efficiency |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| MCM (1,1,1 trichloroethane) | 24.98 g/min | <0.542 ug/min | >99.999998 |
| 1,1-dichloroethene | 0.41 g/min | 1.067 ug/min | 99.99974 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.81 g/min | <0.175 ug/min | >99.999978 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.20 g/min | <0.197 ug/min | >99.999980 |
| Trichloro-trifluoroethane | 0.57 g/min | <4.372 ug/min | >99.999233 |
| Toluene | 14.69 g/min | 3.775 ug/min | 99.999974 |
| Total PCB | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| Total Dioxin/Furan | N.A. | 56.061 pg/min | N.A. |
| VOST Volatiles | N.A. | <31.568 ug/min | N.A. |
| Total MM5 Semi-volatiles | N.A. | <91.376 ug/min | N.A. |
| Particulate | N.A. | 0.00011 lbs/hr | N.A. |
| Gross Alpha | 9,000 pCi/hr | 28.55 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| Gross Beta | 14,100 pCi/hr | 16.73 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| Tritium | 41,070 pCi/hr | 7687.7 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| HCl | N.A. | <0.0016 g/hr | N.A. |

**MSO PROCESS RTS #2 WASTE FEED EMISSIONS
SUMMARY TABLE**

| Test Parameter | MSO Inlet Feed Rate | MSO Outlet Emission Rate | Destruction Efficiency |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| MCM (1,1,1 trichloroethane) | 0.168 g/min | <0.291 ug/min | >99.999827 |
| 1,1-dichloroethene | 0.025 g/min | 1.073 ug/min | 99.99571 |
| Tetrachloroethene | N.A. | <0.166 ug/min | N.A. |
| Trichloroethene | N.A. | <0.187 ug/min | N.A. |
| Trichloro-trifluoroethane | 0.213 g/min | <4.151 ug/min | >99.99805 |
| Toluene | 2.78 g/min | 1.069 ug/min | 99.999962 |
| Total PCB | 0.0233 g/min | <0.0414 ug/min | >99.99982 |
| Total Dioxin/Furan | N.A. | 108.860 pg/min | N.A. |
| VOST Volatiles | N.A. | <17.820 ug/min | N.A. |
| Total MM5 Semi-volatiles | N.A. | <95.854 ug/min | N.A. |
| Particulate | N.A. | 0.000034 lbs/hr | N.A. |
| Gross Alpha | 8,140 pCi/hr | 15.53 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| Gross Beta | 890 pCi/hr | 0.961 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| Tritium | 39,700 pCi/hr | 3,564.582 pCi/hr | N.A. |
| HCl | N.A. | <0.0015 g/hr | N.A. |

2.0 Introduction & General Information:

Test Date: August 18, 19 & 26, 1998.

Report Date: November 12, 1998.

Source Facility: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), Bldg. 292 on Vasco Road in Livermore, California.

Facility Representative: Mr. Peter Hsu, (925) 422-0317.

Subject: Best Environmental Inc. (BEI) was contracted to provide (in-house) emissions testing services on the Molten Salt Oxidation (MSO) pilot plant located at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), Bldg. 292 on Vasco Road in Livermore, California.

Sampling Locations: Sampling was conducted from the sampling ports located on the 1.375" i.d. inlet and outlet of the GSS (Gas/Solid Separation) filter and from the catalytic converter 4" i.d. outlet stack venting emissions from the MSO process. The sampling ports were located to meet EPA criteria (8 duct diameter downstream and 2 duct diameter upstream from any points of flow disturbance). For more information on the test locations please refer to the Appendix F-Stack Diagrams section in the Appendices.

Sampling Personnel & Test Firm: Regan Best, Dan Cartner, Jeff Mesloh, Phil Romando and Mike Wiley of Best Environmental, Inc., (BEI) 15890 Foothill Blvd., San Leandro, CA, 94578.

Observing Personnel: No representatives from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) were notified or present during the test days as the test program was not for compliance purposes. Peter Hsu, David Hipple and Tim Ford of LLNL coordinated operation of the MSO process during testing.

Analytical Laboratories: The following analytical laboratories performed analytical work for this project:

EPA M23 Philip Services Corporation
EPA M0030 5555 North Service Road
EPA M0050 Burlington, Ontario, Canada L7L5H7
EPA M0010 Contact: Ron McLeod (905) 332-8788

EPA M114 FGL
 P.O. Box 272
 Santa Paula, CA 93601-0272
 Contact: Michel M. Franco (805) 525-3824

EPA M17 BEST ENVIRONMENTAL, INC
 15890 Foothill BLVD
 San Leandro, CA 94578
 Contact: Michael Wiley (510) 278 4011

3.0 Process Description: Molten Salt Oxidation (MSO) is a thermal process being demonstrated on a pilot scale for treating low level mixed wastes and energetic materials as an alternative to incineration. The MSO process involves the oxidation and vaporization of organic liquid and solid waste feeds at high temperature in the presence of molten alkali to destroy organic compounds, which produces CO₂ and H₂O vapors while retaining inorganic components such as metals, radionuclides and acid gases in the salt.

The MSO reactor vessel is approximately 9 ft. tall, measuring 11.75" i.d. at the base and 15" i.d. at the top. The vessel is surrounded by an electric furnace and equipped with waste feed, air and carbonate makeup injection lines. Associated activities include operation of the waste feed system, off-gas system, spent salt freeze pan and salt recycle system.

The process begins with the introduction of salt to the reactor and slowly raising the temperature to 950 °C. The air and dispersing gas flows are started and raised to normal operating conditions. The waste feed flow is then started and brought to the desired level. The injector then sprays the liquid and gases into the molten salt where the organics are oxidized and retained in the salt matrix. The off-gasses and any particulate are passed to the gas solid separator filter (GSS), condenser, HEPA filter, and a NO_x/CO catalytic converter before being vented to atmosphere outside the building.

For more detail please refer to the process schematics located in the Process Data section of the Appendices.

4.0 Test Program: The source test methods and test locations used for each waste feed test series are summarized below:

RTS #1 WASTE FEED

| Test Location | Parameters | Test Method | Runs | Duration |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------|----------|
| GSS Filter Outlet | Filterable Particulate | EPA Method 17 | 3 | 60 min |
| | Radionuclides | EPA Method 114 | 3 | 60 min |
| | Volatiles (VOST) | EPA Method 0030 | 9 | 20 min |
| | HCl | EPA Method 0050 | 3 | 60 min |
| | DSCFM | EPA Methods 1-4 | 3 | 60 min |
| GSS Filter Inlet | Dioxins/Furans | EPA Method 23 | 3 | 180 min |
| | Semi-Volatiles (MM5) | EPA Method 0010 | 3 | 180 min |
| Catalyst Outlet | Dioxins/Furans | EPA Method 23 | 1 | 180 min |
| | Semi-Volatiles (MM5) | EPA Method 0010 | 1 | 180 min |
| | Volatiles (VOST) | EPA Method 0030 | 3 | 20 min |
| | Radionuclides | EPA Method 114 | 1 | 60 min |

RTS #2 WASTE FEED

| Test Location | Parameters | Test Method | Runs | Duration |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------|----------|
| GSS Filter Outlet | Filterable Particulate | EPA Method 17 | 3 | 60 min |
| | Radionuclides | EPA Method 114 | 3 | 60 min |
| | Volatiles (VOST) | EPA Method 0030 | 9 | 20 min |
| | HCl | EPA Method 0050 | 3 | 60 min |
| | DSCFM | EPA Methods 1-4 | 3 | 60 min |
| GSS Filter Inlet | Dioxins/Furans, PCB | EPA Method 23 | 3 | 180 min |
| | Semi-Volatiles (MM5) | EPA Method 0010 | 3 | 180 min |
| Catalyst Outlet | Dioxins/Furans, PCB | EPA Method 23 | 1 | 180 min |
| | Semi-Volatiles (MM5) | EPA Method 0010 | 1 | 180 min |
| | Volatiles (VOST) | EPA Method 0030 | 3 | 20 min |
| | Radionuclides | EPA Method 114 | 1 | 60 min |

5.0 Test Methods: This section is intended to provide an overview of the sampling methods and does not attempt to summarize the sampling procedures that are described in detail in the reference methods.

Volumetric flow rate and moisture determination (EPA Methods 1-4) were performed in conjunction with Methods 5 & 23 to determine mass emissions. Analysis for dioxins/furans, PCB's and semi-volatile compounds were performed on a single combined sample train.

Method 1 is used to determine the duct or stack area and appropriate traverse points that represent equal areas of the duct for sampling and velocity measurements. Sampling at the GSS

inlet and outlet locations were performed at a single point, because of the small duct diameter and the use of a relatively large diameter nozzle.

Method 2 is used to determine stack gas velocity using a standard or S-type pitot tube and inclined manometer. Temperature is monitored using a K-type thermocouple and calibrated Omega temperature meter. Leak checks are performed before and after each traverse to validate the results.

Method 2 QA/QC: Pitot leak checks are performed by pressurizing each leg of the pitot separately to a pressure greater than 3" H₂O. The leak check is passed when no movement in the manometer fluid occurs over 15 seconds. Thermometer calibrations are performed using an Omega Model CL-300 calibrator. Geometric calibrations of S-type pitots are performed every 6-months or following any repairs or modifications, according to guidelines in the CARB QA/QC Volume VI, Table 3. The pitot is visually inspected for damage before each test.

Method 3A is used to determine the molecular weight of the stack gas. Measurements of gas constituents %O₂ and %CO₂ were continuously monitored by facility emission analyzers.

Method 4 is used to determine the moisture content in the gas stream by extracting a sample and condensing the moisture in impingers containing reagents solutions followed by an impinger containing silica gel desiccant. The set-up may vary depending on the primary method it is combined with. e.g., EPA Method 23, 0010, 17. The impingers are immersed in an ice-bath controlled to maintain an outlet sample temperature of less than 68°F. The moisture gained is determined volumetrically and gravimetrically. QA/QC: Results are recorded on the field data sheet. A minimum of 21 cubic feet of sample is pulled using a leak tight pump. Gas sample volume is measured with a calibrated dry gas meter. Sampling system leak checks are performed by capping the nozzle and pulling a vacuum greater than 15 inches of mercury, and observing the meter rate. The leak check is ok if the leak rate is less than 0.02CFM or 4% of the average sample rate, whichever is less. The final leak check is performed at a vacuum at least as high as the highest vacuum pulled during the run. If the final leak check exceeds the criteria, then the volume will be corrected based on the leak rate at the average rate (or vacuum), or the test will be voided, according to the method.

EPA Method 23 is used to determine emissions of Dioxin and Furans. The sampling equipment consists of a heated glass-lined probe with pitot and thermocouples, heated filter box, umbilical connected to a XAD-2 resin trap, a series of four Greenburg-Smith impingers and a meter control module. Front and back half fractions will be analyzed separately using high resolution GC/MS following soxhlet extraction. All resin traps are pre-spiked as part of the method QA/QC. Toluene is used in place of methylene chloride as a recovery solvent. The XAD-2 trap inlet gas temperature will be monitored and recorded every ten minutes by sampling personnel. QA/QC: consists of performing sampling system leak checks before and after each test run. Field blanks and reagent blanks are collected. All the sampling equipment is calibrated. (See method 5). Analytical QA/QC consists of field train blanks, reagent blank, and laboratory blanks, duplicates and spikes.

EPA Method 0010 (Modified Method 5) is used to determine the emissions of semi-volatile (b.p. >100 °C) compounds. The sampling equipment consists of a heat-controlled probe, filter box, an XAD adsorbing resin trap, four Greenburg-Smith impingers, and a meter control module. Isokinetics are maintained by using a programmed HP calculator. All mandatory data is recorded on a BEI data sheet. Organic emissions that pass through the heated filter are adsorbed in the XAD resin. The sample train components are recovered and extracted. The extracts are combined and analyzed using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) according to EPA Method 8270 procedures (SW-846). Sampling QA/QC: Same as Method 5. Analytical QA/QC consisted of a field train blank, laboratory blanks, duplicates and spikes.

EPA Method 0030 (VOST) is used to determine the emissions of volatile (b.p. <100 °C) compounds. The sampling equipment consists of heat-controlled glass probe, water cooled condenser, a pair of resin traps (tenax & tenax/charcoal), an impinger between the traps to collect liquid condensate. The sampling is non-isokinetic and collects a 20 liter sample at sampling rates of 0.5 to 1 liter per minute depending on the boiling points of the compounds of interest. Three pairs of traps constitute one test run. All mandatory data is recorded on a BEI data sheet. The resin traps are thermally desorbed and analyzed using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) according to EPA Method 8240 procedures (SW-846). Sampling QA/QC: Same as Method 5. Analytical QA/QC consisted of a field train blank, laboratory blanks, duplicates and spikes.

EPA Method 0050 (~ equivalent to CARB Method 421) is used to determine the emissions of Hydrogen Chloride that pass through the probe/filter assembly maintained at 248±25°F. The sampling equipment consists of heat-controlled probe, filter box (if required), umbilical, four Greenburg-Smith impingers, and a meter control module. Isokinetics (if required) are maintained by using a programmed HP calculator. All mandatory data is recorded on a BEI data sheet. Condensable gaseous emissions that pass through the filter (rated at 99.95% efficient for 0.3µm particles) are collected and recovered from the sample line and back-half of the filter holder. The two impingers (containing a solution of 0.1N sodium hydroxide), the third impinger that is left empty and the fourth impinger containing silica gel desiccant. The impinger solution is analyzed using ion chromatography for any of the following; HCl, HNO₃, HBr, HF, H₃PO₄, or H₂SO₄. The impingers are immersed in an ice-bath controlled to maintain an outlet sample temperature of less than 68°F. EPA Method 0050 QA/QC: Same as Method 5.

EPA Method 114 is used to measure radionuclides. Radionuclides differ in the chemical and physical forms, half-lives and type of radiation emitted. No single method for sample collection and analysis is applicable to all types of facilities. For this test program the sample train would be in the Method 5 configuration with the filter analyzed for alpha and beta radionuclides and the condensed water analyzed for tritium. The precise analytical procedure is determined by the laboratory after examination of the sample matrix.

EPA Method 17 is used to determine the filterable particulate emissions. The sampling equipment consists of a stainless steel nozzle, an in-stack 47mm Gelman filter holder with glass fiber filter, followed by a stainless steel, teflon lined probe. Teflon® transfer line and umbilical to four Greenburg-Smith impingers, a pump and a meter control module. The first two impingers each contain 100ml of de-ionized water. A third impinger is left empty and the fourth impinger contains silica gel desiccant to dry the gas before the pump and gas meter.

Filterable particulate is determined gravimetrically from the acetone rinse of the nozzle and the filter housing and the filter. The acetone is evaporated and desiccated in a tared beaker or tin, then it is weighed to 0.01mg. The filter is desiccated and weighed to 0.01mg. The volume gain in the impinger contents and the weight gain in the silica gel are measured and used to determine the moisture content of the gas stream.

Isokinetic sampling is maintained by using a programmed HP calculator and the numbers are calculated and checked following each run. All mandatory data is recorded on a BEST ENVIRONMENTAL, INC (BEI) data sheet. The dry gas meter, pitot, thermocouples, gauges and nozzles are all calibrated every 6 to 12 months as specified in CARB QA/QC Volume VI, Table 3. Nozzles are calibrated to within 0.001" diameter and are inspected for damage prior to each test. Pitot leak checks are performed by pressurizing each leg of the pitot separately to a pressure greater than 3" H₂O. The leak check is passed when no movement in the manometer fluid occurs over 15 seconds. Sampling system leak checks are performed before and after each test run. The sampling system is leak checked by sealing the nozzle, pulling a vacuum greater than 15 inches of mercury, and observing the meter rate. If the leak rate is less than 0.02 CFM or 4% of the average sample rate, whichever is less, the leak check passes. The final leak check is performed at a vacuum at least as high as the highest vacuum pulled during the run.

For sampling system configurations please refer to the diagrams in the Sampling System Diagram section of the appendix of this report.

6.0 Test Results: Tables 1 through 11 on the following pages present the emission results for the MSO process at the GSS inlet, GSS outlet and catalytic converter outlet locations. Results are shown in micrograms or picograms per dry standard cubic meter concentration and ppt, ppb or ppm concentration. Emission rates are shown in picograms or micrograms per minute for ease of comparison with the waste feed rates. Emission rates were calculated from flow rates measured during the Method 23 or Method 17 test periods. Specific compound removal efficiencies were calculated using the waste feed rates as reported by LLNL personnel.

TABULATED DATA

| <u>PARAMETER</u> | <u>RTS #1 WASTE FEED</u> | <u>RTS #2 WASTE FEED</u> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Dioxin/Furan | Table 1 | Table 2 |
| PCB | N.A. | Table 3 |
| Semi-Volatiles | Table 4 | Table 5 |
| Volatiles | Table 6 | Table 7 |
| Particulate/Radionuclide | Table 8 | Table 9 |
| HCl | Table 10 | Table 11 |

Calculations, laboratory reports, field data sheets, equipment calibrations, process data, stack diagrams and sampling system diagrams are appended to this report. The contents of each appendix section are arranged in the same order as the tabulated data (i.e. laboratory section begins with dioxin/furan lab results and ends with HCl results).

7.0 Comments: The GSS inlet location contained high levels of particulate which clogged the sample filter and made it difficult to maintain perfect isokinetic sampling rates. A single run from each test series was outside the 90%-110% range. This would theoretically bias test results somewhat higher, although results from these runs do not appear to differ from the others.

Acetone and methylene chloride blanks for Method 23 were mistakenly shipped to the analytical laboratory in the same coolers as the VOST tube samples. In the opinion of the laboratory this almost certainly resulted in contamination of the sample tubes with these compounds as the trip blanks are comparable with the sample blanks. The results are shown in the tables but were not included in the summaries.

Results from the triplicate sets of VOST tube pairs for each run were combined to calculate a single result for each compound.

Reporting of average values for triplicate test run series when test results for individual compounds showed both non-detects (<) and detects was decided by majority. That is if two of three test results were non-detect than the average was reported as a non-detect.


With the exception of radionuclides and particulate, test results were not corrected for blank values. California Air Resources Board procedures for toxic emissions calculations generally does not allow blank correction unless the detected compounds are five times greater than the blank values.

The flow measurements at the catalyst outlet were generally higher than at the GSS filter outlet. However these measurements are suspect due to the inherent difficulty in measuring such a low flow in a relatively large duct area.

The details and results contained within this report are to the best of BEI's knowledge an authentic and accurate representation of the test program. If this report is submitted for Compliance purposes it should only be reproduced in its entirety.


If you have any questions concerning this report, or if Best Environmental, Inc. can be of any further assistance, please contact Regan Best, Guy Worthington or Craig Thiry at (510) 278-4011.

Submitted by,



Regan Best
Source Test Manager

Reviewed by,



Guy Worthington
Sr. Project Manager

TABLE 1
RTS #1 Waste Feed
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Inlet & Catalytic Converter Outlet
EPA Method 23 Dioxin / Furan Emission Results

| TEST# | 1-GSS Inlet | 2-GSS Inlet | 3-GSS Inlet | AVERAGE | 1-Cat. Out |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| TEST DATE | 8/18/98 | 8/18/98 | 8/19/98 | | 8/18/98 |
| TEST TIME | 1016-1326 | 1413-1707 | 0918-1308 | | 1428-1726 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCF) | 104.476 | 116.462 | 113.493 | 111.477 | 151.684 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCM) | 2.959 | 3.298 | 3.214 | 3.157 | 4.296 |
| FLOW RATE (DSCFM) | 13.67 | 13.34 | 13.32 | 13.45 | 16.48 |
| STACK GAS TEMP. °F | 293 | 351 | 318 | 320 | |
| O ₂ , % vol. dry | 12.4 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 10.6 | |
| H ₂ O, % vol | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.9 | |

Total C14-Dibenzofurans (TCDF)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|
| total pg | 34 | 35 | 31 | 33.3 | 49 |
| (pg/dscm) | 11.491 | 10.612 | 9.645 | 10.583 | 11.407 |
| (pg/min) | 4.449 | 4.010 | 3.639 | 4.033 | 5.325 |
| ppt | MW= 304 | 0.0009 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 |

Total C15-Dibenzofurans (PeCDF)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|
| total pg | 58 | 68 | 56 | 60.7 | 94 |
| (pg/dscm) | 19.603 | 20.617 | 17.423 | 19.214 | 21.882 |
| (pg/min) | 7.590 | 7.791 | 6.573 | 7.318 | 10.216 |
| ppt | MW= 340 | 0.0014 | 0.0015 | 0.0014 | 0.0015 |

Total C16-Dibenzofurans (HxCDF)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|
| total pg | 16 | 23 | 20 | 19.7 | 22 |
| (pg/dscm) | 5.408 | 6.974 | 6.223 | 6.201 | 5.121 |
| (pg/min) | 2.094 | 2.635 | 2.348 | 2.359 | 2.391 |
| ppt | MW= 374 | 0.0003 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0003 |

Total C17-Dibenzofurans (HpCDF)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| total pg | <4.2 | <6.0 | 9.2 | <6.5 | <11.0 |
| (pg/dscm) | <1.420 | <1.819 | 2.862 | <2.0337 | <2.561 |
| (pg/min) | <0.550 | <0.687 | 1.080 | <0.772 | <1.195 |
| ppt | MW= 408 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0002 |

C18-Dibenzofurans (OCDF)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|---------|
| total pg | 7.6 | 9.0 | 22 | 12.9 | <8.9 |
| (pg/dscm) | 2.569 | 2.729 | 6.845 | 4.047 | <2.072 |
| (pg/min) | 0.995 | 1.031 | 2.582 | 1.536 | <0.967 |
| ppt | MW= 442 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | <0.0001 |

Total C14-Dibenzo-p-dioxins (TCDD)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|
| total pg | 39 | 50 | 34 | 41.0 | 47 |
| (pg/dscm) | 13.181 | 15.160 | 10.578 | 12.973 | 10.941 |
| (pg/min) | 5.104 | 5.728 | 3.991 | 4.941 | 5.108 |
| ppt | MW= 320 | 0.0010 | 0.0011 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |

TABLE 1 Continued...
 (page 2 of 2)
 LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
 GSS Inlet & Catalytic Converter Outlet

Total C15-Dibenzo-p-dioxins (PeCDD)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total pg | 14 | 21 | 13 | 16.0 | 27 |
| (pg/dscm) | 4.732 | 6.367 | 4.045 | 5.048 | 6.285 |
| (pg/min) | 1.832 | 2.406 | 1.526 | 1.921 | 2.934 |
| ppt | MW= 356 | 0.0003 | 0.0004 | 0.0003 | 0.0004 |

Total C16-Dibenzo-p-dioxins (HxCDD)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| total pg | <4.1 | 11 | <7.5 | <7.5 | <8.1 |
| (pg/dscm) | <1.386 | 3.335 | <2.333 | <2.351 | <1.886 |
| (pg/min) | <0.537 | 1.260 | <0.880 | <0.892 | <0.880 |
| ppt | MW= 390 | <0.0001 | 0.0002 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |

Total C17-Dibenzo-p-dioxins (HpCDD)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total pg | 8.2 | 18 | 30 | 18.7 | 10 |
| (pg/dscm) | 2.771 | 5.458 | 9.334 | 5.854 | 2.328 |
| (pg/min) | 1.073 | 2.062 | 3.521 | 2.219 | 1.087 |
| ppt | MW= 424 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0005 | 0.0001 |

C18-Dibenzo-p-dioxins (OCDD)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| total pg | 22 | 250 | 500 | 257.3 | 97 |
| (pg/dscm) | 7.436 | 75.799 | 155.564 | 79.599 | 22.581 |
| (pg/min) | 2.879 | 28.642 | 58.689 | 30.070 | 10.542 |
| ppt | MW= 458 | 0.0004 | 0.0040 | 0.0082 | 0.0012 |

Where,

- DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute
- pg/dscm = picograms per dry standard cubic meter
- pg/min = Emission rate, picograms per min
- ppt = part per trillion
- Tstd = Standard Temperature, °F =

Calculations,

$$\text{pg/min} = 0.02832 * \text{DSCFM} * (\text{pg/dscm})$$

$$\text{pg/dscm} = \text{total pg} / \text{sample vol, dscm}$$

$$\text{ppt} = \text{pg/dscm} * 0.0224 * (\text{Tstd} + 460) / 492 / \text{MW}$$

TABLE 2
RTS #2 Waste Feed
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Inlet & Catalytic Converter Outlet
EPA Method 23 Dioxin / Furan Emission Results

| TEST# | 1-GSS Inlet | 2-GSS Inlet | 3-GSS Inlet | AVERAGE | 1-Cat. Out |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| TEST DATE | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | | 8/26/98 |
| TEST TIME | 0903-1216 | 1309-1616 | 1636-2002 | | 1210-1510 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCF) | 158.170 | 126.098 | 112.188 | 132.152 | 132.838 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCM) | 4.479 | 3.571 | 3.177 | 3.743 | 3.762 |
| FLOW RATE (DSCFM) | 14.45 | 12.99 | 13.96 | 13.80 | 16.27 |
| STACK GAS TEMP. °F | 321 | 341 | 292 | 318 | 390 |
| O ₂ , % vol. dry | 10.4 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.1 | |
| H ₂ O, % vol | 3.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.7 | |

Total C14-Dibenzofurans (TCDF)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|
| total pg | 390 | 220 | 510 | 373.3 | 14 |
| (pg/dscm) | 87.066 | 61.606 | 160.521 | 103.064 | 3.721 |
| (pg/min) | 35.629 | 22.663 | 63.461 | 40.585 | 1.715 |
| ppt | MW= 304 | 0.0069 | 0.0049 | 0.0081 | 0.0003 |

Total C15-Dibenzofurans (PeCDF)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|---------|---------------|--------|
| total pg | 66 | 120 | 360 | 182.0 | 22 |
| (pg/dscm) | 14.734 | 33.603 | 113.309 | 53.882 | 5.848 |
| (pg/min) | 6.030 | 12.362 | 44.796 | 21.063 | 2.695 |
| ppt | MW= 340 | 0.0010 | 0.0024 | 0.0038 | 0.0004 |

Total C16-Dibenzofurans (HxCDF)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|
| total pg | 18 | 31 | 79 | 42.7 | 6 |
| (pg/dscm) | 4.018 | 8.681 | 24.865 | 12.521 | 1.515 |
| (pg/min) | 1.644 | 3.193 | 9.830 | 4.889 | 0.698 |
| ppt | MW= 374 | 0.0003 | 0.0006 | 0.0008 | 0.0001 |

Total C17-Dibenzofurans (HpCDF)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|---------|
| total pg | 6.5 | 14.0 | 23.0 | 14.5 | <4.2 |
| (pg/dscm) | 1.451 | 3.920 | 7.239 | 4.204 | <1.116 |
| (pg/min) | 0.594 | 1.442 | 2.862 | 1.633 | <0.514 |
| ppt | MW= 408 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 | <0.0001 |

C18-Dibenzofurans (OCDF)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|---------|
| total pg | 13.0 | 8.9 | 9 | 10.3 | <7.1 |
| (pg/dscm) | 2.902 | 2.492 | 2.833 | 2.742 | <1.887 |
| (pg/min) | 1.188 | 0.917 | 1.120 | 1.075 | <0.870 |
| ppt | MW= 442 | 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | <0.0001 |

Total C14-Dibenzo-p-dioxins (TCDD)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|
| total pg | 100 | 94 | 58 | 84.0 | 30 |
| (pg/dscm) | 22.325 | 26.322 | 18.255 | 22.301 | 7.975 |
| (pg/min) | 9.136 | 9.683 | 7.217 | 8.679 | 3.674 |
| ppt | MW= 320 | 0.0017 | 0.0020 | 0.0014 | 0.0006 |

TABLE 2 Continued...
 (page 2 of 2)
 RTS #2 Waste Feed
 LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
 GSS Inlet & Catalytic Converter Outlet
 EPA Method 23 Dioxin / Furan Emission Results

Total C15-Dibenzo-p-dioxins (PeCDD)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total pg | | 24 | 34 | 15 | 24.3 | 8 |
| (pg/dscm) | | 5.358 | 9.521 | 4.721 | 6.533 | 1.994 |
| (pg/min) | | 2.193 | 3.503 | 1.867 | 2.521 | 0.919 |
| ppt | MW= 356 | 0.0004 | 0.0006 | 0.0003 | 0.0004 | 0.0001 |

Total C16-Dibenzo-p-dioxins (HxCDD)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| total pg | | 9 | 20 | 10 | 13 | <4.0 |
| (pg/dscm) | | 2.032 | 5.601 | 3.147 | 3.593 | <1.063 |
| (pg/min) | | 0.831 | 2.060 | 1.244 | 1.379 | <0.490 |
| ppt | MW= 390 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | <0.0001 |

Total C17-Dibenzo-p-dioxins (HpCDD)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| total pg | | 12 | 26 | 13 | 17.0 | <4.4 |
| (pg/dscm) | | 2.679 | 7.281 | 4.092 | 4.684 | <1.170 |
| (pg/min) | | 1.096 | 2.678 | 1.618 | 1.797 | <0.539 |
| ppt | MW= 424 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | <0.0001 |

C18-Dibenzo-p-dioxins (OCDD)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total pg | | 290 | 200 | 230 | 240.0 | 9 |
| (pg/dscm) | | 64.741 | 56.005 | 72.392 | 64.379 | 2.392 |
| (pg/min) | | 26.494 | 20.603 | 28.620 | 25.239 | 1.102 |
| ppt | MW= 458 | 0.0034 | 0.0029 | 0.0038 | 0.0034 | 0.0001 |

Where,

DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute
 pg/dscm = picograms per dry standard cubic meter
 pg/min = Emission rate, picograms per min
 ppt = part per trillion
 Tstd = Standard Temperature, °F =

Calculations,

$pg/min = 0.02832 * DSCFM * (pg/dscm)$
 $pg/dscm = total\ pg / sample\ vol,\ dscm$
 $ppt = pg/dscm * 0.0224 * (Tstd + 460) / 492 / MW$

68

TABLE 3
RTS #2 Waste Feed
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Inlet & Catalytic Converter Outlet
EPA Method 23 PCB Emission Results

| TEST# | 1-GSS Inlet | 2-GSS Inlet | 3-GSS Inlet | AVERAGE | 1-Cat. Out |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|------------|
| TEST DATE | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | | 8/26/98 |
| TEST TIME | 0903-1216 | 1309-1616 | 1636-2002 | | 1210-1510 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCF) | 158.170 | 126.098 | 112.188 | 132.152 | 132.838 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCM) | 4.479 | 3.571 | 3.177 | 3.743 | 3.762 |
| FLOW RATE (DSCFM) | 14.45 | 12.99 | 13.96 | 13.80 | 16.27 |
| STACK GAS TEMP. °F | 321 | 341 | 292 | 318 | 390 |
| O ₂ , % vol. dry | 10.4 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.1 | |
| H ₂ O, % vol | 3.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.7 | |

Chlorobiphenyls (C₁₂H₉Cl)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | <0.073 | <0.040 | <0.021 | <0.045 | <0.017 |
| (µg/dscm) | <0.0163 | <0.0112 | <0.0066 | <0.0114 | <0.0045 |
| (µg/min) | <0.0067 | <0.0041 | <0.0026 | <0.0045 | <0.0021 |
| ppb | MW= 188.7 | <0.0021 | <0.0014 | <0.0008 | <0.0006 |

Dichlorobiphenyls (C₁₂H₈Cl₂)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | <0.130 | <0.088 | <0.019 | <0.079 | <0.015 |
| (µg/dscm) | <0.0290 | <0.0246 | <0.0060 | <0.0199 | <0.0040 |
| (µg/min) | <0.0119 | <0.0091 | <0.0024 | <0.0078 | <0.0018 |
| ppb | MW= 223.1 | <0.0031 | <0.0027 | <0.0006 | <0.0004 |

Trichlorobiphenyls (C₁₂H₇Cl₃)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | 0.230 | <0.016 | <0.030 | <0.092 | <0.018 |
| (µg/dscm) | 0.0513 | <0.0045 | <0.0094 | <0.0218 | <0.0048 |
| (µg/min) | 0.0210 | <0.0016 | <0.0037 | <0.0088 | <0.0022 |
| ppb | MW= 257.6 | 0.0048 | <0.0004 | <0.0009 | <0.0004 |

Tetrachlorobiphenyls (C₁₂H₆Cl₄)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | 0.760 | <0.053 | <0.035 | <0.283 | <0.054 |
| (µg/dscm) | 0.1697 | <0.0148 | <0.0110 | <0.0652 | <0.0144 |
| (µg/min) | 0.0694 | <0.0055 | <0.0044 | <0.0264 | <0.0066 |
| ppb | MW= 292.0 | 0.0140 | <0.0012 | <0.0009 | <0.0012 |

Pentachlorobiphenyls (C₁₂H₅Cl₅)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | 0.230 | <0.034 | <0.031 | <0.098 | <0.028 |
| (µg/dscm) | 0.0513 | <0.0095 | <0.0098 | <0.0235 | <0.0074 |
| (µg/min) | 0.0210 | <0.0035 | <0.0039 | <0.0095 | <0.0034 |
| ppb | MW= 326.4 | 0.0038 | <0.0007 | <0.0007 | <0.0017 |

Hexachlorobiphenyls (C₁₂H₄Cl₆)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | <0.038 | 0.030 | <0.031 | <0.033 | <0.021 |
| (µg/dscm) | <0.0085 | 0.0084 | <0.0098 | <0.0089 | <0.0056 |
| (µg/min) | <0.0035 | 0.0031 | <0.0039 | <0.0035 | <0.0026 |
| ppb | MW= 360.9 | <0.0006 | 0.0006 | <0.0006 | <0.0004 |

TABLE 3 Continued... (page 2 of 2)
RTS #2 Waste Feed
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Inlet & Catalytic Converter Outlet
EPA Method 23 PCB Emission Results

Heptachlorobiphenyls (C₁₂H₃Cl₇)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
| total ug | <0.034 | <0.017 | <0.035 | <0.029 | <0.018 |
| (µg/dscm) | <0.0076 | <0.0048 | <0.0110 | <0.0078 | <0.0048 |
| (µg/min) | <0.0031 | <0.0018 | <0.0044 | <0.0031 | <0.0022 |
| ppb | MW= 395.3 | <0.0005 | <0.0003 | <0.0007 | <0.0005 |

Octachlorobiphenyls (C₁₂H₂Cl₈)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
| total ug | <0.048 | <0.110 | <0.043 | <0.067 | <0.066 |
| (µg/dscm) | <0.0107 | <0.0308 | <0.0135 | <0.0184 | <0.0175 |
| (µg/min) | <0.0044 | <0.0113 | <0.0054 | <0.0070 | <0.0081 |
| ppb | MW= 429.8 | <0.0006 | <0.0017 | <0.0008 | <0.0010 |

Nonachlorobiphenyls (C₁₂HCl₉)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
| total ug | <0.018 | <0.038 | <0.021 | <0.026 | <0.027 |
| (µg/dscm) | <0.0040 | <0.0106 | <0.0066 | <0.0071 | <0.0072 |
| (µg/min) | <0.0016 | <0.0039 | <0.0026 | <0.0027 | <0.0033 |
| ppb | MW= 464.2 | <0.0002 | <0.0006 | <0.0003 | <0.0004 |

Decachlorobiphenyls (C₁₂Cl₁₀)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
| total ug | <0.022 | <0.022 | <0.021 | <0.022 | <0.023 |
| (µg/dscm) | <0.0049 | <0.0062 | <0.0066 | <0.0059 | <0.0061 |
| (µg/min) | <0.0020 | <0.0023 | <0.0026 | <0.0023 | <0.0028 |
| ppb | MW= 498.7 | <0.0002 | <0.0003 | <0.0003 | <0.0003 |

Total PCB's

| | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|--------|---------|---------------|---------|
| total ug | 1.20 | 0.12 | <0.019 | 0.446 | <0.015 |
| (µg/dscm) | 0.2679 | 0.0336 | <0.0060 | 0.1025 | <0.0040 |
| (µg/min) | 0.1096 | 0.0124 | <0.0024 | 0.0414 | <0.0018 |

Where,

- DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute
- µg/dscm = micrograms per dry standard cubic meter
- µg/min = Emission rate, micrograms per minute
- ppb = part per billion
- Tstd = Standard Temperature, °F 68

Calculations,

- µg/min = 0.02832 * DSCFM * (µg/dscm)
- µg/dscm = total µg/ sample vol, dscm
- ppb = µg/dscm * 22.4 * (Tstd + 460) / 492 / MW

TABLE 4
RTS #1 WASTE FEED
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Inlet & Catalytic Converter Outlet
EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

| TEST# | 1-GSS Inlet | 2-GSS Inlet | 3-GSS Inlet | AVERAGE | 1-Cat. Out |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|------------|
| TEST DATE | 8/18/98 | 8/18/98 | 8/19/98 | | 8/18/98 |
| TEST TIME | 1016-1326 | 1413-1707 | 0918-1308 | | 1428-1726 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCF) | 104.476 | 116.462 | 113.493 | 111.477 | 151.684 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCM) | 2.959 | 3.298 | 3.214 | 3.157 | 4.296 |
| FLOW RATE (DSCFM) | 13.67 | 13.34 | 13.32 | 13.45 | 16.48 |
| STACK GAS TEMP. °F | 292.5 | 351.0 | 317.9 | 320.5 | |
| O ₂ , % vol. dry | 12.4 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 10.6 | |
| H ₂ O, % vol | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.9 | |

Aniline

| | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <9.311 |
| (ug / min) | <5.234 | <4.583 | <4.695 | <4.837 | <4.346 |
| ppb | MW 93.0 | <3.494 | <3.135 | <3.217 | <3.282 |
| | | | | | <2.407 |

Phenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.5 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <2.3 | <1.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.521 | <0.364 | <0.373 | <0.753 | <0.279 |
| (ug / min) | <0.589 | <0.137 | <0.141 | <0.289 | <0.130 |
| ppb | MW 94.0 | <0.389 | <0.093 | <0.095 | <0.192 |
| | | | | | <0.071 |

Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.082 | <0.970 | <0.996 | <1.016 | <0.745 |
| (ug / min) | <0.419 | <0.367 | <0.376 | <0.387 | <0.348 |
| ppb | MW 143.0 | <0.182 | <0.163 | <0.167 | <0.171 |
| | | | | | <0.125 |

2-Chlorophenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.676 | <0.606 | <0.622 | <0.635 | <0.466 |
| (ug / min) | <0.262 | <0.229 | <0.235 | <0.242 | <0.217 |
| ppb | MW 128.6 | <0.126 | <0.113 | <0.116 | <0.119 |
| | | | | | <0.087 |

1,3-Dichlorobenzene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.028 | <1.819 | <1.867 | <1.905 | <1.397 |
| (ug / min) | <0.785 | <0.687 | <0.704 | <0.726 | <0.652 |
| ppb | MW 147.0 | <0.332 | <0.297 | <0.305 | <0.311 |
| | | | | | <0.228 |

TABLE 4 Continued...

(page 2 of 9)

RTS #1 WASTE FEED

EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

1,4-Dichlorobenzene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.028 | <1.819 | <1.867 | <1.905 | <1.397 |
| (ug / min) | <0.785 | <0.687 | <0.704 | <0.726 | <0.652 |
| ppb | MW 147.0 | <0.332 | <0.297 | <0.305 | <0.311 |
| | | <0.228 | | | |

1,2-Dichlorobenzene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.298 | <2.062 | <2.116 | <2.159 | <1.583 |
| (ug / min) | <0.890 | <0.779 | <0.798 | <0.822 | <0.739 |
| ppb | MW 147.0 | <0.376 | <0.337 | <0.346 | <0.353 |
| | | <0.259 | | | |

2-Methylphenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | <1.117 |
| (ug / min) | <0.628 | <0.550 | <0.563 | <0.580 | <0.521 |
| ppb | MW 104.1 | <0.375 | <0.336 | <0.345 | <0.352 |
| | | <0.258 | | | |

Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.487 | <1.334 | <1.369 | <1.397 | <1.024 |
| (ug / min) | <0.576 | <0.504 | <0.516 | <0.532 | <0.478 |
| ppb | MW 132.1 | <0.271 | <0.243 | <0.249 | <0.254 |
| | | <0.186 | | | |

4-Methylphenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.163 | <1.940 | <1.991 | <2.032 | <1.490 |
| (ug / min) | <0.838 | <0.733 | <0.751 | <0.774 | <0.695 |
| ppb | MW 104.1 | <0.500 | <0.448 | <0.460 | <0.469 |
| | | <0.344 | | | |

N-Nitroso-di-N-Propylamine

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.487 | <1.334 | <1.369 | <1.397 | <1.024 |
| (ug / min) | <0.576 | <0.504 | <0.516 | <0.532 | <0.478 |
| ppb | MW 130.0 | <0.275 | <0.247 | <0.253 | <0.258 |
| | | <0.189 | | | |

Hexachloroethane

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.487 | <1.334 | <1.369 | <1.397 | <1.024 |
| (ug / min) | <0.576 | <0.504 | <0.516 | <0.532 | <0.478 |
| ppb | MW 236.7 | <0.151 | <0.135 | <0.139 | <0.142 |
| | | <0.104 | | | |

Nitrobenzene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.082 | <0.970 | <0.996 | <1.016 | <0.745 |
| (ug / min) | <0.419 | <0.367 | <0.376 | <0.387 | <0.348 |
| ppb | MW 123.1 | <0.211 | <0.189 | <0.194 | <0.198 |
| | | <0.145 | | | |

TABLE 4 Continued...

(page 3 of 9)

RTS #1 WASTE FEED

EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

Isophorone

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <0.931 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.523 | <0.458 | <0.470 | <0.484 | <0.435 |
| ppb | MW 138.2 | <0.235 | <0.211 | <0.216 | <0.221 | <0.162 |

2-Nitrophenol

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | 9.7 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | 2.258 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.628 | <0.550 | <0.563 | <0.580 | <1.054 |
| ppb | MW 139.1 | <0.280 | <0.252 | <0.258 | <0.263 | 0.390 |

2,4-Dimethylphenol

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <9.311 |
| (ug / min) | | <5.234 | <4.583 | <4.695 | <4.837 | <4.346 |
| ppb | MW 122.2 | <2.659 | <2.386 | <2.448 | <2.498 | <1.832 |

Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | <1.117 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.628 | <0.550 | <0.563 | <0.580 | <0.521 |
| ppb | MW 173.0 | <0.225 | <0.202 | <0.208 | <0.212 | <0.155 |

2,4-Dichlorophenol

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | <1.117 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.628 | <0.550 | <0.563 | <0.580 | <0.521 |
| ppb | MW 163.0 | <0.239 | <0.215 | <0.220 | <0.225 | <0.165 |

1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <2.974 | <2.668 | <2.738 | <2.793 | <2.048 |
| (ug / min) | | <1.152 | <1.008 | <1.033 | <1.064 | <0.956 |
| ppb | MW 181.5 | <0.394 | <0.353 | <0.363 | <0.370 | <0.271 |

Napthalene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <2.569 | <2.304 | <2.365 | <2.413 | <1.769 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.995 | <0.871 | <0.892 | <0.919 | <0.826 |
| ppb | MW 128.0 | <0.482 | <0.433 | <0.444 | <0.453 | <0.332 |

4-Chloroaniline

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <9.311 |
| (ug / min) | | <5.234 | <4.583 | <4.695 | <4.837 | <4.346 |
| ppb | MW 127.6 | <2.547 | <2.285 | <2.345 | <2.392 | <1.754 |

TABLE 4 Continued...

(page 4 of 9)

RTS #1 WASTE FEED

EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

Hexachlorobutadiene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <0.931 |
| (ug / min) | <0.523 | <0.458 | <0.470 | <0.484 | <0.435 |
| ppb | MW 260.8 | <0.125 | <0.112 | <0.115 | <0.117 |
| | | <0.086 | | | |

4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.893 | <1.698 | <1.742 | <1.778 | <1.304 |
| (ug / min) | <0.733 | <0.642 | <0.657 | <0.677 | <0.608 |
| ppb | MW 142.6 | <0.319 | <0.286 | <0.294 | <0.300 |
| | | <0.220 | | | |

2-Methylnaphthalene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.433 | <2.183 | <2.240 | <2.286 | <1.676 |
| (ug / min) | <0.942 | <0.825 | <0.845 | <0.871 | <0.782 |
| ppb | MW 142.0 | <0.412 | <0.370 | <0.379 | <0.387 |
| | | <0.284 | | | |

Hexachlorocyclopentadiene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <9.311 |
| (ug / min) | <5.234 | <4.583 | <4.695 | <4.837 | <4.346 |
| ppb | MW 272.8 | <1.191 | <1.069 | <1.097 | <1.119 |
| | | <0.820 | | | |

2,4,6-Trichlorophenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | <1.117 |
| (ug / min) | <0.628 | <0.550 | <0.563 | <0.580 | <0.521 |
| ppb | MW 197.5 | <0.197 | <0.177 | <0.182 | <0.185 |
| | | <0.136 | | | |

2,4,5-Trichlorophenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.298 | <2.062 | <2.116 | <2.159 | <1.583 |
| (ug / min) | <0.890 | <0.779 | <0.798 | <0.822 | <0.739 |
| ppb | MW 197.5 | <0.280 | <0.251 | <0.258 | <0.263 |
| | | <0.193 | | | |

2-Chloronaphthalene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.974 | <2.668 | <2.738 | <2.793 | <2.048 |
| (ug / min) | <1.152 | <1.008 | <1.033 | <1.064 | <0.956 |
| ppb | MW 162.6 | <0.440 | <0.394 | <0.405 | <0.413 |
| | | <0.303 | | | |

2-Nitroaniline

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <9.311 |
| (ug / min) | <5.234 | <4.583 | <4.695 | <4.837 | <4.346 |
| ppb | MW 138.1 | <2.353 | <2.111 | <2.166 | <2.210 |
| | | <1.621 | | | |

TABLE 4 Continued...
(page 5 of 9)
RTS #1 WASTE FEED
EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

Dimethylphthalate

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.946 | <0.849 | <0.871 | <0.889 | <0.652 |
| (ug / min) | <0.366 | <0.321 | <0.329 | <0.339 | <0.304 |
| ppb | MW 194.2 | <0.117 | <0.105 | <0.108 | <0.110 |

Acenaphthylene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.163 | <1.940 | <1.991 | <2.032 | <1.490 |
| (ug / min) | <0.838 | <0.733 | <0.751 | <0.774 | <0.695 |
| ppb | MW 152.0 | <0.342 | <0.307 | <0.315 | <0.321 |

2,6-Dinitrotoluene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <0.931 |
| (ug / min) | <0.523 | <0.458 | <0.470 | <0.484 | <0.435 |
| ppb | MW 182.1 | <0.178 | <0.160 | <0.164 | <0.168 |

3-Nitroaniline

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <9.311 |
| (ug / min) | <5.234 | <4.583 | <4.695 | <4.837 | <4.346 |
| ppb | MW 138.1 | <2.353 | <2.111 | <2.166 | <2.210 |

Acenaphthene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.704 | <2.426 | <2.489 | <2.539 | <1.862 |
| (ug / min) | <1.047 | <0.917 | <0.939 | <0.967 | <0.869 |
| ppb | MW 154.0 | <0.422 | <0.379 | <0.389 | <0.396 |

2,4-Dinitrophenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <9.311 |
| (ug / min) | <5.234 | <4.583 | <4.695 | <4.837 | <4.346 |
| ppb | MW 184.1 | <1.765 | <1.584 | <1.625 | <1.658 |

4-Nitrophenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <9.311 |
| (ug / min) | <5.234 | <4.583 | <4.695 | <4.837 | <4.346 |
| ppb | MW 139.1 | <2.336 | <2.096 | <2.151 | <2.194 |

Dibenzofuran

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <9.311 |
| (ug / min) | <5.234 | <4.583 | <4.695 | <4.837 | <4.346 |
| ppb | MW 168.2 | <1.932 | <1.733 | <1.779 | <1.815 |

TABLE 4 Continued...

(page 6 of 9)

RTS #1 WASTE FEED

EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

2,4-Dinitrotoluene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.487 | <1.334 | <1.369 | <1.397 | <1.024 |
| (ug / min) | <0.576 | <0.504 | <0.516 | <0.532 | <0.478 |
| ppb | MW 182.1 | <0.196 | <0.176 | <0.181 | <0.184 |
| | | <0.135 | | | |

Diethyl phthalate

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| total ug | 9.9 | 13 | 3.1 | 8.667 | <1.6 |
| (ug / dscm) | 3.346 | 3.942 | 0.964 | 2.751 | <0.372 |
| (ug / min) | 1.296 | 1.489 | 0.364 | 1.050 | 0.174 |
| ppb | MW 222.2 | 0.362 | 0.426 | 0.104 | 0.298 |
| | | <0.040 | | | |

4-Chlorophenylphenylether

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.433 | <2.183 | <2.240 | <2.286 | <1.676 |
| (ug / min) | <0.942 | <0.825 | <0.845 | <0.871 | <0.782 |
| ppb | MW 204.7 | <0.286 | <0.256 | <0.263 | <0.268 |
| | | <0.197 | | | |

Fluorene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.757 | <1.577 | <1.618 | <1.651 | <1.210 |
| (ug / min) | <0.680 | <0.596 | <0.610 | <0.629 | <0.565 |
| ppb | MW 166.0 | <0.255 | <0.228 | <0.234 | <0.239 |
| | | <0.175 | | | |

4-Nitroaniline

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <9.311 |
| (ug / min) | <5.234 | <4.583 | <4.695 | <4.837 | <4.346 |
| ppb | MW 138.1 | <2.353 | <2.111 | <2.166 | <2.210 |
| | | <1.621 | | | |

4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | <1.117 |
| (ug / min) | <0.628 | <0.550 | <0.563 | <0.580 | <0.521 |
| ppb | MW 198.1 | <0.197 | <0.177 | <0.181 | <0.185 |
| | | <0.136 | | | |

N-Nitrosodiphenylamine

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <14.0 | <14.0 | <14.0 | <14.0 | <14.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <4.732 | <4.245 | <4.356 | <4.444 | <3.259 |
| (ug / min) | <1.832 | <1.604 | <1.643 | <1.693 | <1.521 |
| ppb | MW 198.2 | <0.574 | <0.515 | <0.528 | <0.539 |
| | | <0.395 | | | |

4-Bromophenylphenylether

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.163 | <1.940 | <1.991 | <2.032 | <1.490 |
| (ug / min) | <0.838 | <0.733 | <0.751 | <0.774 | <0.695 |
| ppb | MW 221.5 | <0.235 | <0.211 | <0.216 | <0.220 |
| | | <0.162 | | | |

TABLE 4 Continued...
(page 7 of 9)
RTS #1 WASTE FEED
EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

Hexachlorobenzene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <0.931 |
| (ug / min) | <0.523 | <0.458 | <0.470 | <0.484 | <0.435 |
| ppb | MW 284.8 | <0.114 | <0.102 | <0.105 | <0.107 |

Pentachlorophenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.028 | <1.819 | <1.867 | <1.905 | <1.397 |
| (ug / min) | <0.785 | <0.687 | <0.704 | <0.726 | <0.652 |
| ppb | MW 266.3 | <0.183 | <0.164 | <0.169 | <0.172 |

Phenanthrene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| total ug | 19 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 8.9 | <2.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | 6.422 | 1.122 | 1.245 | 2.929 | <0.559 |
| (ug / min) | 2.486 | 0.424 | 0.470 | 1.127 | 0.261 |
| ppb | MW 176.0 | 0.877 | 0.153 | 0.170 | 0.400 |

Anthracene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.487 | <1.334 | <1.369 | <1.397 | <1.024 |
| (ug / min) | <0.576 | <0.504 | <0.516 | <0.532 | <0.478 |
| ppb | MW 176.0 | <0.203 | <0.182 | <0.187 | <0.191 |

Carbazole

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.406 | <0.364 | <0.373 | <0.381 | <0.279 |
| (ug / min) | <0.157 | <0.137 | <0.141 | <0.145 | <0.130 |
| ppb | MW 167.0 | <0.058 | <0.052 | <0.054 | <0.055 |

Di-n-butyl phthalate

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| total ug | 31 | 21 | 9.8 | 20.6 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | 10.477 | 6.367 | 3.049 | 6.631 | <0.931 |
| (ug / min) | 4.057 | 2.406 | 1.150 | 2.538 | 0.435 |
| ppb | MW 278.4 | 0.905 | 0.550 | 0.263 | 0.573 |

Fluoranthene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | 16 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <8.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | 5.408 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <2.622 | <0.931 |
| (ug / min) | 2.094 | <0.458 | <0.470 | <1.007 | <0.435 |
| ppb | MW 202.0 | 0.644 | <0.144 | <0.148 | <0.312 |

Pyrene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | 9.3 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <4.4 | <2.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | 3.143 | <0.606 | <0.622 | <1.457 | <0.466 |
| (ug / min) | 1.217 | <0.229 | <0.235 | <0.560 | <0.217 |
| ppb | MW 202.0 | 0.374 | <0.072 | <0.074 | <0.173 |

TABLE 5
RTS #2 WASTE FEED
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Inlet & Catalytic Converter Outlet
EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

| TEST# | 1GSS -Inlet | 2-GSS Inlet | 3-GSS Inlet | AVERAGE | 1-Cat. Out |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| TEST DATE | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | | 8/26/98 |
| TEST TIME | 0903-1216 | 1309-1616 | 1636-2002 | | 1210-1510 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCF) | 158.170 | 126.098 | 112.188 | 111.477 | 132.838 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCM) | 2.959 | 3.298 | 3.214 | 3.157 | 3.762 |
| FLOW RATE (DSCFM) | 14.45 | 12.99 | 13.96 | 13.45 | 16.27 |
| STACK GAS TEMP. °F | 320.6 | 340.5 | 292.1 | 320.5 | 390 |
| O ₂ , % vol. dry | 10.4 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 10.6 | |
| H ₂ O, % vol | 3.2 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4.9 | |

Aniline

| | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <10.633 |
| (ug / min) | <5.532 | <4.462 | <4.920 | <4.971 | <4.899 |
| ppb | MW 93.0 | <3.494 | <3.135 | <3.217 | <3.282 |
| | | <2.748 | | | |

Phenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.406 | <0.364 | <0.373 | <0.381 | <0.319 |
| (ug / min) | <0.166 | <0.134 | <0.148 | <0.149 | <0.147 |
| ppb | MW 94.0 | <0.104 | <0.093 | <0.095 | <0.097 |
| | | <0.082 | | | |

Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.082 | <0.970 | <0.996 | <1.016 | <0.851 |
| (ug / min) | <0.443 | <0.357 | <0.394 | <0.398 | <0.392 |
| ppb | MW 143.0 | <0.182 | <0.163 | <0.167 | <0.171 |
| | | <0.143 | | | |

2-Chlorophenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.676 | <0.606 | <0.622 | <0.635 | <0.532 |
| (ug / min) | <0.277 | <0.223 | <0.246 | <0.249 | <0.245 |
| ppb | MW 128.6 | <0.126 | <0.113 | <0.116 | <0.119 |
| | | <0.099 | | | |

1,3-Dichlorobenzene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.028 | <1.819 | <1.867 | <1.905 | <1.595 |
| (ug / min) | <0.830 | <0.669 | <0.738 | <0.746 | <0.735 |
| ppb | MW 147.0 | <0.332 | <0.297 | <0.305 | <0.311 |
| | | <0.261 | | | |

TABLE 5 Continued...

(page 2 of 9)

RTS #2 WASTE FEED

EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

1-4-Dichlorobenzene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <2.028 | <1.819 | <1.867 | <1.905 | <1.595 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.830 | <0.669 | <0.738 | <0.746 | <0.735 |
| ppb | MW 147.0 | <0.332 | <0.297 | <0.305 | <0.311 | <0.261 |

1,2-Dichlorobenzene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <2.298 | <2.062 | <2.116 | <2.159 | <1.808 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.941 | <0.758 | <0.836 | <0.845 | <0.833 |
| ppb | MW 147.0 | <0.376 | <0.337 | <0.346 | <0.353 | <0.296 |

2-Methylphenol

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | <1.276 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.664 | <0.535 | <0.590 | <0.597 | <0.588 |
| ppb | MW 104.1 | <0.375 | <0.336 | <0.345 | <0.352 | <0.295 |

Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.487 | <1.334 | <1.369 | <1.397 | <1.170 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.609 | <0.491 | <0.541 | <0.547 | <0.539 |
| ppb | MW 132.1 | <0.271 | <0.243 | <0.249 | <0.254 | <0.213 |

4-Methylphenol

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <2.163 | <1.940 | <1.991 | <2.032 | <1.701 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.885 | <0.714 | <0.787 | <0.795 | <0.784 |
| ppb | MW 104.1 | <0.500 | <0.448 | <0.460 | <0.469 | <0.393 |

N-Nitroso-di-N-Propylamine

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.487 | <1.334 | <1.369 | <1.397 | <1.170 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.609 | <0.491 | <0.541 | <0.547 | <0.539 |
| ppb | MW 130.0 | <0.275 | <0.247 | <0.253 | <0.258 | <0.216 |

Hexachloroethane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.487 | <1.334 | <1.369 | <1.397 | <1.170 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.609 | <0.491 | <0.541 | <0.547 | <0.539 |
| ppb | MW 236.7 | <0.151 | <0.135 | <0.139 | <0.142 | <0.119 |

Nitrobenzene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.082 | <0.970 | <0.996 | <1.016 | <0.851 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.443 | <0.357 | <0.394 | <0.398 | <0.392 |
| ppb | MW 123.1 | <0.211 | <0.189 | <0.194 | <0.198 | <0.166 |

TABLE 5 Continued...

(page 3 of 9)

RTS #2 WASTE FEED

EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

Isophorone

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <1.063 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.553 | <0.446 | <0.492 | <0.497 | <0.490 |
| ppb | MW 138.2 | <0.235 | <0.211 | <0.216 | <0.221 | <0.185 |

2-Nitrophenol

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | 9.7 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | 2.578 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.664 | <0.535 | <0.590 | <0.597 | <1.188 |
| ppb | MW 139.1 | <0.280 | <0.252 | <0.258 | <0.263 | 0.446 |

2,4-Dimethylphenol

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <10.633 |
| (ug / min) | | <5.532 | <4.462 | <4.920 | <4.971 | <4.899 |
| ppb | MW 122.2 | <2.659 | <2.386 | <2.448 | <2.498 | <2.092 |

Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | <1.276 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.664 | <0.535 | <0.590 | <0.597 | <0.588 |
| ppb | MW 173.0 | <0.225 | <0.202 | <0.208 | <0.212 | <0.177 |

2,4-Dichlorophenol

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | <1.276 |
| (ug / min) | | <0.664 | <0.535 | <0.590 | <0.597 | <0.588 |
| ppb | MW 163.0 | <0.239 | <0.215 | <0.220 | <0.225 | <0.188 |

1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <2.974 | <2.668 | <2.738 | <2.793 | <2.339 |
| (ug / min) | | <1.217 | <0.982 | <1.082 | <1.094 | <1.078 |
| ppb | MW 181.5 | <0.394 | <0.353 | <0.363 | <0.370 | <0.310 |

Naphthalene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <2.569 | <2.304 | <2.365 | <2.413 | <2.020 |
| (ug / min) | | <1.051 | <0.848 | <0.935 | <0.945 | <0.931 |
| ppb | MW 128.0 | <0.482 | <0.433 | <0.444 | <0.453 | <0.379 |

4-Chloroaniline

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <10.633 |
| (ug / min) | | <5.532 | <4.462 | <4.920 | <4.971 | <4.899 |
| ppb | MW 127.6 | <2.547 | <2.285 | <2.345 | <2.392 | <2.003 |

TABLE 5 Continued...
(page 4 of 9)
RTS #2 WASTE FEED
EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

Hexachlorobutadiene

| | | | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <1.063 |
| (ug / min) | <0.553 | <0.446 | <0.492 | <0.497 | <0.490 |
| ppb MW 260.8 | <0.125 | <0.112 | <0.115 | <0.117 | <0.098 |

4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol

| | | | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.893 | <1.698 | <1.742 | <1.778 | <1.489 |
| (ug / min) | <0.775 | <0.625 | <0.689 | <0.696 | <0.686 |
| ppb MW 142.6 | <0.319 | <0.286 | <0.294 | <0.300 | <0.251 |

2-Methylnaphthalene

| | | | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.433 | <2.183 | <2.240 | <2.286 | <1.914 |
| (ug / min) | <0.996 | <0.803 | <0.886 | <0.895 | <0.882 |
| ppb MW 142.0 | <0.412 | <0.370 | <0.379 | <0.387 | <0.324 |

Hexachlorocyclopentadiene

| | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <10.633 |
| (ug / min) | <5.532 | <4.462 | <4.920 | <4.971 | <4.899 |
| ppb MW 272.8 | <1.191 | <1.069 | <1.097 | <1.119 | <0.937 |

2,4,6-Trichlorophenol

| | | | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.622 | <1.455 | <1.493 | <1.524 | <1.276 |
| (ug / min) | <0.664 | <0.535 | <0.590 | <0.597 | <0.588 |
| ppb MW 197.5 | <0.197 | <0.177 | <0.182 | <0.185 | <0.155 |

2,4,5-Trichlorophenol

| | | | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.298 | <2.062 | <2.116 | <2.159 | <1.808 |
| (ug / min) | <0.941 | <0.758 | <0.836 | <0.845 | <0.833 |
| ppb MW 197.5 | <0.280 | <0.251 | <0.258 | <0.263 | <0.220 |

2-Chloronaphthalene

| | | | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.974 | <2.668 | <2.738 | <2.793 | <2.339 |
| (ug / min) | <1.217 | <0.982 | <1.082 | <1.094 | <1.078 |
| ppb MW 162.6 | <0.440 | <0.394 | <0.405 | <0.413 | <0.346 |

2-Nitroaniline

| | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <10.633 |
| (ug / min) | <5.532 | <4.462 | <4.920 | <4.971 | <4.899 |
| ppb MW 138.1 | <2.353 | <2.111 | <2.166 | <2.210 | <1.851 |

TABLE 5 Continued...
(page 5 of 9)
RTS #2 WASTE FEED
EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

Dimethylphthalate

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.946 | <0.849 | <0.871 | <0.889 | <0.744 |
| (ug / min) | <0.387 | <0.312 | <0.344 | <0.348 | <0.343 |
| ppb | MW 194.2 | <0.117 | <0.105 | <0.108 | <0.110 |
| | | <0.092 | | | |

Acenaphthylene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.163 | <1.940 | <1.991 | <2.032 | <1.701 |
| (ug / min) | <0.885 | <0.714 | <0.787 | <0.795 | <0.784 |
| ppb | MW 152.0 | <0.342 | <0.307 | <0.315 | <0.321 |
| | | <0.269 | | | |

2,6-Dinitrotoluene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <1.063 |
| (ug / min) | <0.553 | <0.446 | <0.492 | <0.497 | <0.490 |
| ppb | MW 182.1 | <0.178 | <0.160 | <0.164 | <0.168 |
| | | <0.140 | | | |

3-Nitroaniline

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <10.633 |
| (ug / min) | <5.532 | <4.462 | <4.920 | <4.971 | <4.899 |
| ppb | MW 138.1 | <2.353 | <2.111 | <2.166 | <2.210 |
| | | <1.851 | | | |

Acenaphthene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.704 | <2.426 | <2.489 | <2.539 | <2.127 |
| (ug / min) | <1.106 | <0.892 | <0.984 | <0.994 | <0.980 |
| ppb | MW 154.0 | <0.422 | <0.379 | <0.389 | <0.396 |
| | | <0.332 | | | |

2,4-Dinitrophenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <10.633 |
| (ug / min) | <5.532 | <4.462 | <4.920 | <4.971 | <4.899 |
| ppb | MW 184.1 | <1.765 | <1.584 | <1.625 | <1.658 |
| | | <1.388 | | | |

4-Nitrophenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <10.633 |
| (ug / min) | <5.532 | <4.462 | <4.920 | <4.971 | <4.899 |
| ppb | MW 139.1 | <2.336 | <2.096 | <2.151 | <2.194 |
| | | <1.838 | | | |

Dibenzofuran

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <10.633 |
| (ug / min) | <5.532 | <4.462 | <4.920 | <4.971 | <4.899 |
| ppb | MW 168.2 | <1.932 | <1.733 | <1.779 | <1.815 |
| | | <1.520 | | | |

TABLE 5 Continued...
 (page 7 of 9)
RTS #2 WASTE FEED
EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

Hexachlorobenzene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <1.063 |
| (ug / min) | <0.553 | <0.446 | <0.492 | <0.497 | <0.490 |
| ppb | MW 284.8 | <0.114 | <0.102 | <0.105 | <0.107 |
| | | <0.090 | | | |

Pentachlorophenol

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <2.028 | <1.819 | <1.867 | <1.905 | <1.595 |
| (ug / min) | <0.830 | <0.669 | <0.738 | <0.746 | <0.735 |
| ppb | MW 266.3 | <0.183 | <0.164 | <0.169 | <0.172 |
| | | <0.144 | | | |

Phenanthrene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | 4.50 | 2.50 | <2.40 | 3.1 | <2.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | 1.521 | 0.758 | <0.747 | 1.009 | <0.638 |
| (ug / min) | 0.622 | 0.279 | <0.295 | 0.399 | 0.294 |
| ppb | MW 176.0 | 0.208 | 0.104 | <0.102 | 0.138 |
| | | <0.087 | | | |

Anthracene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.487 | <1.334 | <1.369 | <1.397 | <1.170 |
| (ug / min) | <0.609 | <0.491 | <0.541 | <0.547 | <0.539 |
| ppb | MW 176.0 | <0.203 | <0.182 | <0.187 | <0.191 |
| | | <0.160 | | | |

Carbazole

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.406 | <0.364 | <0.373 | <0.381 | <0.319 |
| (ug / min) | <0.166 | <0.134 | <0.148 | <0.149 | <0.147 |
| ppb | MW 167.0 | <0.058 | <0.052 | <0.054 | <0.055 |
| | | <0.046 | | | |

Di-n-butyl phthalate

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | 18 | 4 | <4.0 | 8.7 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | 6.084 | 1.213 | <1.245 | 2.847 | <1.063 |
| (ug / min) | 2.490 | 0.446 | <0.492 | 1.143 | 0.490 |
| ppb | MW 278.4 | 0.525 | 0.105 | <0.107 | 0.246 |
| | | <0.092 | | | |

Fluoranthene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <1.063 |
| (ug / min) | <0.553 | <0.446 | <0.492 | <0.497 | <0.490 |
| ppb | MW 202.0 | <0.161 | <0.144 | <0.148 | <0.151 |
| | | <0.127 | | | |

Pyrene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.676 | <0.606 | <0.622 | <0.635 | <0.532 |
| (ug / min) | <0.277 | <0.223 | <0.246 | <0.249 | <0.245 |
| ppb | MW 202.0 | <0.080 | <0.072 | <0.074 | <0.076 |
| | | <0.063 | | | |

TABLE 5 Continued...

(page 8 of 9)

RTS #2 WASTE FEED

EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

Benzyl butyl phthalate

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.757 | <1.577 | <1.618 | <1.651 | <1.382 | |
| (ug / min) | <0.719 | <0.580 | <0.640 | <0.646 | <0.637 | |
| ppb | MW 312.4 | <0.135 | <0.121 | <0.124 | <0.127 | <0.106 |

3,3-Dichlorobenzidine

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| total ug | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | <40.0 | |
| (ug / dscm) | <13.519 | <12.128 | <12.445 | <12.697 | <10.633 | |
| (ug / min) | <5.532 | <4.462 | <4.920 | <4.971 | <4.899 | |
| ppb | MW 253.1 | <1.284 | <1.152 | <1.182 | <1.206 | <1.010 |

Benzo(a)anthracene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.082 | <0.970 | <0.996 | <1.016 | <0.851 | |
| (ug / min) | <0.443 | <0.357 | <0.394 | <0.398 | <0.392 | |
| ppb | MW 228.0 | <0.114 | <0.102 | <0.105 | <0.107 | <0.090 |

Chrysene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.676 | <0.606 | <0.622 | <0.635 | <0.532 | |
| (ug / min) | <0.277 | <0.223 | <0.246 | <0.249 | <0.245 | |
| ppb | MW 228.0 | <0.071 | <0.064 | <0.066 | <0.067 | <0.056 |

Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| total ug | 15.0 | 23.0 | 11.0 | 16.3 | <8.0 | |
| (ug / dscm) | 5.070 | 6.974 | 3.422 | 5.155 | <2.127 | |
| (ug / min) | 2.075 | 2.565 | 1.353 | 1.998 | <0.980 | |
| ppb | MW 390.6 | 0.312 | 0.429 | 0.211 | 0.317 | <0.131 |

Di-n-octyl phthalate

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.757 | <1.577 | <1.618 | <1.651 | <1.382 | |
| (ug / min) | <0.719 | <0.580 | <0.640 | <0.646 | <0.637 | |
| ppb | MW 390.6 | <0.108 | <0.097 | <0.100 | <0.102 | <0.085 |

Benzo(b)fluoranthene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <1.063 | |
| (ug / min) | <0.553 | <0.446 | <0.492 | <0.497 | <0.490 | |
| ppb | MW 252.0 | <0.129 | <0.116 | <0.119 | <0.121 | <0.101 |

Benzo(k)fluoranthene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.487 | <1.334 | <1.369 | <1.397 | <1.170 | |
| (ug / min) | <0.609 | <0.491 | <0.541 | <0.547 | <0.539 | |
| ppb | MW 252.0 | <0.142 | <0.127 | <0.131 | <0.133 | <0.112 |

TABLE 5 Continued...
(page 9 of 9)
RTS #2 WASTE FEED
EPA Test Method 0010 - Modified Method 5

Benzo(a)pyrene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.082 | <0.970 | <0.996 | <1.016 | <0.851 |
| (ug / min) | <0.443 | <0.357 | <0.394 | <0.398 | <0.392 |
| ppb | MW 252.0 | <0.103 | <0.093 | <0.095 | <0.097 |

Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <2.4 | <2.4 | <2.4 | <2.4 | <2.4 |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.811 | <0.728 | <0.747 | <0.762 | <0.638 |
| (ug / min) | <0.332 | <0.268 | <0.295 | <0.298 | <0.294 |
| ppb | MW 276.0 | <0.071 | <0.063 | <0.065 | <0.066 |

Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| (ug / dscm) | <1.352 | <1.213 | <1.245 | <1.270 | <1.063 |
| (ug / min) | <0.553 | <0.446 | <0.492 | <0.497 | <0.490 |
| ppb | MW 278.0 | <0.117 | <0.105 | <0.108 | <0.092 |

Benzo(ghi)perylene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total ug | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 |
| (ug / dscm) | <0.946 | <0.849 | <0.871 | <0.889 | <0.744 |
| (ug / min) | <0.387 | <0.312 | <0.344 | <0.348 | <0.343 |
| ppb | MW 276.0 | <0.082 | <0.074 | <0.076 | <0.077 |

Definitions:

DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute
 ug / dscm = microgram per dry standard cubic meter
 ug / sec = emission rate, micrograms per second
 ppb = parts per billion
 T std. = Standard Temperature, °F = 68

Calculations:

DSCM = DSCF * 0.02832
 ug / min = 0.02832 * DSCFM * (ug / dscm)
 ug / dscm = total ug / sample vol., dscm
 ppb = ug / dscm * 22.4 * (T std. + 460) / 492 / M.W.

TABLE 6
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Filter& Catalytic Converter Outlets - RTS #1 Waste Feed Volatile Emissions
EPA Test Method 0030 - VOST

| TEST # | 1-GSS Out | 2-GSS Out | 3-GSS Out | AVERAGE | Cat. Out |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| TEST DATE | 8/18/98 | 8/18/98 | 8/18/98 | | 8/18/98 |
| TEST TIME | 1059-1215 | 1234-1350 | 1424-1546 | | 1614-1740 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (Std. liters) | 57.020 | 53.690 | 54.309 | 55.006 | 58.688 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCM) | 0.057 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.055 | 0.059 |
| FLOW RATE (DSCFM) | 14.66 | 14.27 | 13.52 | 14.15 | 16.48 |

Chloromethane

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|
| total µg | 1.120 | 0.330 | 3.940 | 1.797 | 0.178 |
| (µg / dscm) | 19.642 | 6.146 | 72.548 | 32.779 | 3.033 |
| (µg / min) | 8.155 | 2.484 | 27.778 | 12.805 | 1.416 |
| ppb MW = 50.5 | 9.350 | 2.926 | 34.534 | 15.603 | 1.444 |

Bromomethane

| | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|
| total µg | 0.191 | 0.029 | 0.096 | 0.105 | 0.172 |
| (µg / dscm) | 3.350 | 0.540 | 1.768 | 1.886 | 2.931 |
| (µg / min) | 1.391 | 0.218 | 0.677 | 0.762 | 1.368 |
| ppb MW = 95.0 | 0.848 | 0.137 | 0.447 | 0.477 | 0.742 |

Vinyl Chloride

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.039 | <0.039 | <0.039 | <0.039 | <0.039 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.684 | <0.726 | <0.718 | <0.709 | <0.665 |
| (µg / min) | <0.284 | <0.294 | <0.275 | <0.284 | <0.310 |
| ppb MW = 62.5 | <0.263 | <0.279 | <0.276 | <0.273 | <0.256 |

Chloroethane

| | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| total µg | <0.0210 | <0.0210 | <0.0210 | <0.0210 | <0.0210 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.3683 | <0.3911 | <0.3867 | <0.3820 | <0.3578 |
| (µg / min) | <0.1529 | <0.1581 | <0.1481 | <0.1530 | <0.1670 |
| ppb MW = 64.5 | <0.1372 | <0.1457 | <0.1441 | <0.1423 | <0.1333 |

Methylene Chloride

| | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|--------|----------------|--------|
| total µg | 9.900 | 6.600 | 4.210 | 6.903 | 3.700 |
| (µg / dscm) | 173.624 | 122.927 | 77.519 | 124.690 | 63.045 |
| (µg / min) | 72.084 | 49.678 | 29.681 | 50.481 | 29.424 |
| ppb MW = 84.9 | 49.161 | 34.806 | 21.949 | 35.305 | 17.851 |

Acetone

| | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|--------|----------------|--------|
| total µg | 7.400 | 11.800 | 1.550 | 6.917 | 0.830 |
| (µg / dscm) | 129.780 | 219.779 | 28.540 | 126.033 | 14.143 |
| (µg / min) | 53.881 | 88.819 | 10.928 | 51.209 | 6.601 |
| ppb MW = 58.1 | 53.715 | 90.966 | 11.813 | 52.165 | 5.854 |

Carbon Disulfide

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.054 | <0.054 | <0.054 | <0.054 | <0.054 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.947 | <1.006 | <0.994 | <0.982 | <0.920 |
| (µg / min) | <0.393 | <0.406 | <0.381 | <0.393 | <0.429 |
| ppb MW = 76.1 | <0.299 | <0.318 | <0.314 | <0.310 | <0.291 |

18

TABLE 6 - cont. (page 2 of 4)
 GSS Filter Outlet - RTS #1 Waste Feed Volatile Emissions
 EPA Test Method 0030 - VOST

1,1-Dichloroethene

| | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|
| total µg | 0.268 | 0.106 | 0.064 | 0.146 | 0.142 |
| (µg / dscm) | 4.700 | 1.974 | 1.178 | 2.618 | 2.420 |
| (µg / min) | 1.951 | 0.798 | 0.451 | 1.067 | 1.129 |
| ppb MW = 97.0 | 1.165 | 0.489 | 0.292 | 0.649 | 0.600 |

1,1-Dichloroethane

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.012 | <0.012 | <0.012 | <0.012 | <0.012 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.210 | <0.224 | <0.221 | <0.218 | <0.204 |
| (µg / min) | <0.087 | <0.090 | <0.085 | <0.087 | <0.095 |
| ppb MW = 99.0 | <0.051 | <0.054 | <0.054 | <0.053 | <0.050 |

1,2-Dichloroethene

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.368 | <0.391 | <0.387 | <0.382 | <0.358 |
| (µg / min) | <0.153 | <0.158 | <0.148 | <0.153 | <0.167 |
| ppb MW = 97.0 | <0.091 | <0.097 | <0.096 | <0.095 | <0.089 |

Chloroform

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.421 | <0.447 | <0.442 | <0.437 | <0.409 |
| (µg / min) | <0.175 | <0.181 | <0.169 | <0.175 | <0.191 |
| ppb MW = 119.4 | <0.085 | <0.090 | <0.089 | <0.088 | <0.082 |

1,2-Dichloroethane

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.018 | <0.018 | <0.018 | <0.018 | <0.018 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.316 | <0.335 | <0.331 | <0.327 | <0.307 |
| (µg / min) | <0.131 | <0.135 | <0.127 | <0.131 | <0.143 |
| ppb MW = 99.0 | <0.077 | <0.081 | <0.080 | <0.080 | <0.074 |

2-Butanone

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|-------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.108 | 0.190 | <0.108 | <0.135 | <0.108 |
| (µg / dscm) | <1.894 | 3.539 | <1.989 | <2.474 | <1.840 |
| (µg / min) | <0.786 | 1.430 | <0.761 | <0.993 | <0.859 |
| ppb MW = 72.1 | <0.631 | 1.180 | <0.663 | <0.825 | <0.613 |

1,1,1-Trichloroethane

| | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|--------|--------|------------------|-------|
| total µg | 0.137 | <0.044 | <0.042 | <0.074 | 0.250 |
| (µg / dscm) | 2.403 | <0.820 | <0.773 | <1.332 | 4.260 |
| (µg / min) | 0.998 | <0.331 | <0.296 | <0.542 | 1.988 |
| ppb MW = 133.4 | 0.433 | <0.148 | <0.139 | <0.240 | 0.768 |

Carbon Tetrachloride

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.842 | <0.894 | <0.884 | <0.873 | <0.818 |
| (µg / min) | <0.349 | <0.361 | <0.338 | <0.350 | <0.382 |
| ppb MW = 153.8 | <0.132 | <0.140 | <0.138 | <0.136 | <0.128 |

Bromodichloromethane

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.033 | <0.033 | <0.033 | <0.033 | <0.033 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.579 | <0.615 | <0.608 | <0.600 | <0.562 |
| (µg / min) | <0.240 | <0.248 | <0.233 | <0.240 | <0.262 |
| ppb MW = 163.8 | <0.085 | <0.090 | <0.089 | <0.088 | <0.083 |

TABLE 6 - cont. (page 3 of 4)
 GSS Filter Outlet - RTS #1 Waste Feed Volatile Emissions
 EPA Test Method 0030 - VOST

1,2-Dichloropropane

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.024 | 0.150 | <0.024 | <0.066 | <0.024 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.421 | 2.794 | <0.442 | <1.219 | <0.409 |
| (µg / min) | <0.175 | 1.129 | <0.169 | <0.491 | <0.191 |
| ppb MW = 113.0 | <0.090 | 0.594 | <0.094 | <0.259 | <0.087 |

cis-1,3-Dichloropropene

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.368 | <0.391 | <0.387 | <0.382 | <0.358 |
| (µg / min) | <0.153 | <0.158 | <0.148 | <0.153 | <0.167 |
| ppb MW = 111.0 | <0.080 | <0.085 | <0.084 | <0.083 | <0.077 |

Trichloroethene

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.027 | <0.027 | <0.027 | <0.027 | <0.027 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.474 | <0.503 | <0.497 | <0.491 | <0.460 |
| (µg / min) | <0.197 | <0.203 | <0.190 | <0.197 | <0.215 |
| ppb MW = 131.4 | <0.087 | <0.092 | <0.091 | <0.090 | <0.084 |

Dibromochloromethane

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.421 | <0.447 | <0.442 | <0.437 | <0.409 |
| (µg / min) | <0.175 | <0.181 | <0.169 | <0.175 | <0.191 |
| ppb MW = 208.3 | <0.049 | <0.052 | <0.051 | <0.050 | <0.047 |

1,1,2-Trichloroethane

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.842 | <0.894 | <0.884 | <0.873 | <0.818 |
| (µg / min) | <0.349 | <0.361 | <0.338 | <0.350 | <0.382 |
| ppb MW = 133.4 | <0.152 | <0.161 | <0.159 | <0.157 | <0.147 |

Benzene

| | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| total µg | 0.124 | 0.107 | 0.092 | 0.108 | 0.320 |
| (µg / dscm) | 2.175 | 1.993 | 1.694 | 1.954 | 5.453 |
| (µg / min) | 0.903 | 0.805 | 0.649 | 0.786 | 2.545 |
| ppb MW = 78.1 | 0.669 | 0.613 | 0.521 | 0.601 | 1.678 |

trans-1,3-Dichloropropene

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.368 | <0.391 | <0.387 | <0.382 | <0.358 |
| (µg / min) | <0.153 | <0.158 | <0.148 | <0.153 | <0.167 |
| ppb MW = 111.0 | <0.080 | <0.085 | <0.084 | <0.083 | <0.077 |

Bromoform

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.036 | <0.036 | <0.036 | <0.036 | <0.036 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.631 | <0.671 | <0.663 | <0.655 | <0.613 |
| (µg / min) | <0.262 | <0.271 | <0.254 | <0.262 | <0.286 |
| ppb MW = 252.8 | <0.060 | <0.064 | <0.063 | <0.062 | <0.058 |

4-Methyl-2-Pentanone

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.057 | <0.057 | <0.057 | <0.057 | <0.057 |
| (µg / dscm) | <1.000 | <1.062 | <1.050 | <1.037 | <0.971 |
| (µg / min) | <0.415 | <0.429 | <0.402 | <0.415 | <0.453 |
| ppb MW = 100.2 | <0.240 | <0.255 | <0.252 | <0.249 | <0.233 |

TABLE 6 - cont. (page 4 of 4)
 GSS Filter Outlet - RTS #1 Waste Feed Volatile Emissions
 EPA Test Method 0030 - VOST

2-Hexanone

| | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.093 | <0.093 | <0.093 | <0.093 | <0.093 |
| (µg / dscm) | <1.631 | <1.732 | <1.712 | <1.692 | <1.585 |
| (µg / min) | <0.677 | <0.700 | <0.656 | <0.678 | <0.740 |
| ppb | MW = 100.2 | <0.391 | <0.416 | <0.411 | <0.406 |

Tetrachloroethene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.421 | <0.447 | <0.442 | <0.437 | <0.409 |
| (µg / min) | <0.175 | <0.181 | <0.169 | <0.175 | <0.191 |
| ppb | MW = 165.8 | <0.061 | <0.065 | <0.064 | <0.063 |

1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane

| | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.042 | <0.042 | <0.042 | <0.042 | <0.042 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.737 | <0.782 | <0.773 | <0.764 | <0.716 |
| (µg / min) | <0.306 | <0.316 | <0.296 | <0.306 | <0.334 |
| ppb | MW = 167.9 | <0.105 | <0.112 | <0.111 | <0.109 |

Toluene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| total µg | 0.139 | 0.630 | 0.790 | 0.520 | 0.318 |
| (µg / dscm) | 2.438 | 11.734 | 14.546 | 9.573 | 5.418 |
| (µg / min) | 1.012 | 4.742 | 5.570 | 3.775 | 2.529 |
| ppb | MW = 92.1 | 0.636 | 3.063 | 3.797 | 2.499 |

Chlorobenzene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.027 | <0.027 | <0.027 | <0.027 | <0.027 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.474 | <0.503 | <0.497 | <0.491 | <0.460 |
| (µg / min) | <0.197 | <0.203 | <0.190 | <0.197 | <0.215 |
| ppb | MW = 112.6 | <0.101 | <0.107 | <0.106 | <0.105 |

Ethylbenzene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | 0.023 | <0.018 | <0.018 | <0.020 | <0.018 |
| (µg / dscm) | 0.403 | <0.335 | <0.331 | <0.357 | <0.307 |
| (µg / min) | 0.167 | <0.135 | <0.127 | <0.143 | <0.143 |
| ppb | MW = 106.2 | 0.091 | <0.076 | <0.075 | <0.081 |

Stryrene

| | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | 0.025 | <0.022 | <0.021 | <0.023 | <0.021 |
| (µg / dscm) | 0.438 | <0.410 | <0.387 | <0.412 | <0.358 |
| (µg / min) | 0.182 | <0.166 | <0.148 | <0.165 | <0.167 |
| ppb | MW = 104.1 | 0.101 | <0.095 | <0.089 | <0.095 |

Xylene (Total)

| | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| total µg | 0.126 | 0.077 | 0.061 | 0.088 | 0.037 |
| (µg / dscm) | 2.210 | 1.434 | 1.123 | 1.589 | 0.630 |
| (µg / min) | 0.917 | 0.580 | 0.430 | 0.642 | 0.294 |
| ppb | MW = 106.2 | 0.500 | 0.325 | 0.254 | 0.360 |

1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane

| | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| total µg | <0.600 | <0.600 | <0.600 | <0.600 | <0.600 |
| (µg / dscm) | <10.523 | <11.175 | <11.048 | <10.915 | <10.224 |
| (µg / min) | <4.369 | <4.516 | <4.230 | <4.372 | <4.771 |
| ppb | MW = 187.4 | <1.350 | <1.434 | <1.417 | <1.400 |

Definitions:

DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute
 µg / dscm = microgram per dry standard cubic meter
 µg / min = emission rate, micrograms per minute
 ppb = parts per billion

Calculations:

µg / min = 0.02832 * DSCFM * (µg / dscm)
 µg / dscm = total µg / sample vol., dscm
 ppb = µg / dscm * 22.4 * (T std. + 460) / 492 / M.W.
 T std. = Standard Temperature, °F = 68

TABLE 7
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Filter & Catalytic Converter Outlet - RTS #2 Waste Feed Volatile Emissions
EPA Test Method 0030 - VOST

| TEST # | 1-GSS Out | 2-GSS Out | 3-GSS Out | AVERAGE | Cat. Out |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| TEST DATE | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | | 8/26/98 |
| TEST TIME | 0903-1024 | 1048-1210 | 1229-1348 | | 1410-1530 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (Std. liters) | 54.288 | 57.824 | 58.668 | 56.927 | 57.301 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCM) | 0.054 | 0.058 | 0.059 | 0.057 | 0.057 |
| FLOW RATE (DSCFM) | 13.31 | 14.90 | 13.50 | 13.90 | 16.27 |

Chloromethane

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|---------|
| total µg | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | 7.89 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.387 | <0.363 | <0.358 | <0.369 | 137.694 |
| (µg / min) | <0.146 | <0.153 | <0.137 | <0.145 | 63.445 |
| ppb MW = 50.5 | <0.184 | <0.173 | <0.170 | <0.176 | 65.545 |

Bromomethane

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|
| total µg | 0.855 | 0.103 | 0.242 | 0.400 | 0.175 |
| (µg / dscm) | 15.749 | 1.781 | 4.125 | 7.219 | 3.054 |
| (µg / min) | 5.937 | 0.752 | 1.577 | 2.755 | 1.407 |
| ppb MW = 95.0 | 3.985 | 0.451 | 1.044 | 1.827 | 0.773 |

Vinyl Chloride

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.039 | <0.039 | <0.039 | <0.039 | <0.039 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.718 | <0.674 | <0.665 | <0.686 | <0.681 |
| (µg / min) | <0.271 | <0.285 | <0.254 | <0.270 | <0.314 |
| ppb MW = 62.5 | <0.276 | <0.259 | <0.256 | <0.264 | <0.262 |

Chloroethane

| | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| total µg | <0.0210 | <0.0210 | <0.0210 | <0.0210 | <0.0210 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.3868 | <0.3632 | <0.3579 | <0.3693 | <0.3665 |
| (µg / min) | <0.1458 | <0.1532 | <0.1368 | <0.1453 | <0.1689 |
| ppb MW = 64.5 | <0.1441 | <0.1353 | <0.1334 | <0.1376 | <0.1365 |

Methylene Chloride

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|----------------|---------|
| total µg | 3.930 | 4.700 | 9.000 | 5.877 | 8.400 |
| (µg / dscm) | 72.392 | 81.281 | 153.405 | 102.360 | 146.595 |
| (µg / min) | 27.287 | 34.298 | 58.650 | 40.078 | 67.546 |
| ppb MW = 84.9 | 20.497 | 23.014 | 43.436 | 28.983 | 41.508 |

Acetone

| | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|--------|
| total µg | 6.860 | 9.700 | 8.900 | 8.487 | 2.210 |
| (µg / dscm) | 126.364 | 167.751 | 151.701 | 148.605 | 38.568 |
| (µg / min) | 47.631 | 70.785 | 57.998 | 58.805 | 17.771 |
| ppb MW = 58.1 | 52.301 | 69.431 | 62.788 | 61.507 | 15.963 |

Carbon Disulfide

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.054 | <0.054 | <0.054 | <0.054 | <0.054 |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.995 | <0.934 | <0.920 | <0.950 | <0.942 |
| (µg / min) | <0.375 | <0.394 | <0.352 | <0.374 | <0.434 |
| ppb MW = 76.1 | <0.314 | <0.295 | <0.291 | <0.300 | <0.298 |

TABLE 7 - cont. (page 2 of 4)

**GSS Filter & Catalytic Converter Outlet - RTS #2 Waste Feed Volatile Emissions
EPA Test Method 0030 - VOST**

1,1-Dichloroethene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|
| total µg | | 0.020 | 0.106 | 0.354 | 0.160 | 0.142 |
| (µg / dscm) | | 0.368 | 1.833 | 6.034 | 2.745 | 2.478 |
| (µg / min) | | 0.139 | 0.774 | 2.307 | 1.073 | 1.142 |
| ppb | MW = 97.0 | 0.091 | 0.454 | 1.495 | 0.680 | 0.614 |

1,1-Dichloroethane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | | <0.012 | <0.012 | <0.012 | <0.012 | <0.012 |
| (µg / dscm) | | <0.221 | <0.208 | <0.205 | <0.211 | <0.209 |
| (µg / min) | | <0.083 | <0.088 | <0.078 | <0.083 | <0.096 |
| ppb | MW = 99.0 | <0.054 | <0.050 | <0.050 | <0.051 | <0.051 |

1,2-Dichloroethene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 |
| (µg / dscm) | | <0.387 | <0.363 | <0.358 | <0.369 | <0.366 |
| (µg / min) | | <0.146 | <0.153 | <0.137 | <0.145 | <0.169 |
| ppb | MW = 97.0 | <0.096 | <0.090 | <0.089 | <0.092 | <0.091 |

Chloroform

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 |
| (µg / dscm) | | <0.442 | <0.415 | <0.409 | <0.422 | <0.419 |
| (µg / min) | | <0.167 | <0.175 | <0.156 | <0.166 | <0.193 |
| ppb | MW = 119.4 | <0.089 | <0.084 | <0.082 | <0.085 | <0.084 |

1,2-Dichloroethane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | | <0.018 | <0.018 | <0.018 | <0.018 | <0.018 |
| (µg / dscm) | | <0.332 | <0.311 | <0.307 | <0.317 | <0.314 |
| (µg / min) | | <0.125 | <0.131 | <0.117 | <0.125 | <0.145 |
| ppb | MW = 99.0 | <0.081 | <0.076 | <0.074 | <0.077 | <0.076 |

2-Butanone

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | | <0.108 | <0.108 | <0.108 | <0.108 | <0.108 |
| (µg / dscm) | | <1.989 | <1.868 | <1.841 | <1.899 | <1.885 |
| (µg / min) | | <0.750 | <0.788 | <0.704 | <0.747 | <0.868 |
| ppb | MW = 72.1 | <0.663 | <0.623 | <0.614 | <0.633 | <0.628 |

1,1,1-Trichloroethane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | | <0.042 | <0.042 | <0.042 | <0.042 | <0.042 |
| (µg / dscm) | | <0.774 | <0.726 | <0.716 | <0.739 | <0.733 |
| (µg / min) | | <0.292 | <0.306 | <0.274 | <0.291 | <0.338 |
| ppb | MW = 133.4 | <0.139 | <0.131 | <0.129 | <0.133 | <0.132 |

Carbon Tetrachloride

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 |
| (µg / dscm) | | <0.884 | <0.830 | <0.818 | <0.844 | <0.838 |
| (µg / min) | | <0.333 | <0.350 | <0.313 | <0.332 | <0.386 |
| ppb | MW = 153.8 | <0.138 | <0.130 | <0.128 | <0.132 | <0.131 |

Bromodichloromethane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | | <0.033 | <0.033 | <0.033 | <0.033 | <0.033 |
| (µg / dscm) | | <0.608 | <0.571 | <0.562 | <0.580 | <0.576 |
| (µg / min) | | <0.229 | <0.241 | <0.215 | <0.228 | <0.265 |
| ppb | MW = 163.8 | <0.089 | <0.084 | <0.083 | <0.085 | <0.085 |

TABLE 7 - cont. (page 3 of 4)

**GSS Filter & Catalytic Converter Outlet - RTS #2 Waste Feed Volatile Emissions
EPA Test Method 0030 - VOST**

1,2-Dichloropropane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.024 | <0.024 | 0.205 | <0.084 | <0.024 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.442 | <0.415 | 3.494 | <1.450 | <0.419 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.167 | <0.175 | 1.336 | <0.559 | <0.193 | |
| ppb | MW = 113.0 | <0.094 | <0.088 | 0.743 | <0.309 | <0.089 |

cis-1,3-Dichloropropene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.387 | <0.363 | <0.358 | <0.369 | <0.366 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.146 | <0.153 | <0.137 | <0.145 | <0.169 | |
| ppb | MW = 111.0 | <0.084 | <0.079 | <0.078 | <0.080 | <0.079 |

Trichloroethene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.027 | <0.027 | <0.027 | <0.027 | <0.027 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.497 | <0.467 | <0.460 | <0.475 | <0.471 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.187 | <0.197 | <0.176 | <0.187 | <0.217 | |
| ppb | MW = 131.4 | <0.091 | <0.085 | <0.084 | <0.087 | <0.086 |

Dibromochloromethane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.442 | <0.415 | <0.409 | <0.422 | <0.419 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.167 | <0.175 | <0.156 | <0.166 | <0.193 | |
| ppb | MW = 208.3 | <0.051 | <0.048 | <0.047 | <0.049 | <0.048 |

1,1,2-Trichloroethane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 | <0.048 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.884 | <0.830 | <0.818 | <0.844 | <0.838 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.333 | <0.350 | <0.313 | <0.332 | <0.386 | |
| ppb | MW = 133.4 | <0.159 | <0.150 | <0.147 | <0.152 | <0.151 |

Benzene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| total µg | 0.640 | 0.226 | 1.845 | 0.904 | 0.269 | |
| (µg / dscm) | 11.789 | 3.908 | 31.448 | 15.715 | 4.695 | |
| (µg / min) | 4.444 | 1.649 | 12.023 | 6.039 | 2.163 | |
| ppb | MW = 78.1 | 3.629 | 1.203 | 9.680 | 4.837 | 1.445 |

trans-1,3-Dichloropropene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | <0.021 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.387 | <0.363 | <0.358 | <0.369 | <0.366 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.146 | <0.153 | <0.137 | <0.145 | <0.169 | |
| ppb | MW = 111.0 | <0.084 | <0.079 | <0.078 | <0.080 | <0.079 |

Bromoform

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.036 | <0.036 | <0.036 | <0.036 | <0.036 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.663 | <0.623 | <0.614 | <0.633 | <0.628 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.250 | <0.263 | <0.235 | <0.249 | <0.289 | |
| ppb | MW = 252.8 | <0.063 | <0.059 | <0.058 | <0.060 | <0.060 |

4-Methyl-2-Pentanone

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| total µg | <0.057 | <0.057 | <0.057 | <0.057 | <0.057 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <1.050 | <0.986 | <0.972 | <1.002 | <0.995 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.396 | <0.416 | <0.371 | <0.394 | <0.458 | |
| ppb | MW = 100.2 | <0.252 | <0.236 | <0.233 | <0.240 | <0.239 |

TABLE 7 - cont. (page 4 of 4)
GSS Filter & Catalytic Converter Outlet - RTS #2 Waste Feed Volatile Emissions
EPA Test Method 0030 - VOST

2-Hexanone

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-------|--------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| total µg | 0.223 | 0.041 | <0.093 | 0.119 | <0.093 | |
| (µg / dscm) | 4.108 | 0.709 | <1.585 | 2.134 | <1.623 | |
| (µg / min) | 1.548 | 0.299 | <0.606 | 0.818 | <0.748 | |
| ppb | MW = 100.2 | 0.985 | 0.170 | <0.380 | 0.512 | <0.389 |

Tetrachloroethene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | <0.024 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.442 | <0.415 | <0.409 | <0.422 | <0.419 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.167 | <0.175 | <0.156 | <0.166 | <0.193 | |
| ppb | MW = 165.8 | <0.064 | <0.060 | <0.059 | <0.061 | <0.061 |

1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.042 | <0.042 | <0.042 | <0.042 | <0.042 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.774 | <0.726 | <0.716 | <0.739 | <0.733 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.292 | <0.306 | <0.274 | <0.291 | <0.338 | |
| ppb | MW = 167.9 | <0.111 | <0.104 | <0.102 | <0.106 | <0.105 |

Toluene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| total µg | 0.208 | 0.103 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.44 | |
| (µg / dscm) | 3.831 | 1.781 | 2.642 | 2.752 | 7.679 | |
| (µg / min) | 1.444 | 0.752 | 1.010 | 1.069 | 3.538 | |
| ppb | MW = 92.1 | 1.000 | 0.465 | 0.690 | 0.718 | 2.004 |

Chlorobenzene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.027 | <0.027 | 0.083 | <0.046 | <0.027 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.497 | <0.467 | 1.415 | <0.793 | <0.471 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.187 | <0.197 | 0.541 | <0.308 | <0.217 | |
| ppb | MW = 112.6 | <0.106 | <0.100 | 0.302 | <0.169 | <0.101 |

Ethylbenzene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|------------------|------------------|-------|
| total µg | <0.018 | <0.018 | <0.018 | <0.018 | 0.028 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <0.332 | <0.311 | <0.307 | <0.317 | 0.489 | |
| (µg / min) | <0.125 | <0.131 | <0.117 | <0.125 | 0.225 | |
| ppb | MW = 106.2 | <0.075 | <0.070 | <0.069 | <0.072 | 0.111 |

Styrene

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | 0.032 | <0.025 | <0.026 | <0.028 | <0.024 | |
| (µg / dscm) | 0.589 | <0.432 | <0.443 | <0.488 | <0.419 | |
| (µg / min) | 0.222 | <0.182 | <0.169 | <0.191 | <0.193 | |
| ppb | MW = 104.1 | 0.136 | <0.100 | <0.102 | <0.113 | <0.097 |

Xylene (Total)

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| total µg | 0.061 | 0.031 | 0.050 | 0.047 | 0.168 | |
| (µg / dscm) | 1.124 | 0.536 | 0.852 | 0.837 | 2.932 | |
| (µg / min) | 0.424 | 0.226 | 0.326 | 0.325 | 1.351 | |
| ppb | MW = 106.2 | 0.254 | 0.121 | 0.193 | 0.190 | 0.664 |

1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|---------|---------|-------------------|------------------|--------|
| total µg | <0.600 | <0.600 | <0.600 | <0.600 | <0.600 | |
| (µg / dscm) | <11.052 | <10.376 | <10.227 | <10.552 | <10.471 | |
| (µg / min) | <4.166 | <4.378 | <3.910 | <4.151 | <4.825 | |
| ppb | MW = 187.4 | <1.418 | <1.331 | <1.312 | <1.354 | <1.343 |

Definitions:

DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute
 µg / dscm = microgram per dry standard cubic meter
 µg / min = emission rate, micrograms per minute
 ppb = parts per billion

Calculations:

µg / min = 0.02832 * DSCFM * (µg / dscm)
 µg / dscm = total µg / sample vol., dscm
 ppb = µg / dscm * 22.4 * (T std. + 460) / 492 / M.W.
 T std. = Standard Temperature, °F = 68

TABLE 8
RTS #1 Waste Feed
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Filter & Catalytic Converter Outlets
PARTICULATE & RADIONUCLIDE EMISSIONS TEST RESULTS

| RUN # | 1 | 2 | 3 | AVERAGE | Catalyst 1 |
|--|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| TEST DATE | 8/18/98 | 8/18/98 | 8/18/98 | | 08/19/98 |
| TEST TIME | 1027-1127 | 1245-1345 | 1500-1600 | | 0933-1033 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCF) | 63.313 | 64.459 | 62.461 | | 36.296 |
| ISOKINETIC (%) | 92.4 | 96.6 | 98.8 | | 102.7 |
| DUCT TEMP., (°F) | 199.4 | 236.6 | 262.8 | 232.9 | 401.5 |
| VELOCITY (ft/sec) | 32.52 | 33.61 | 33.00 | 33.04 | 5.28 |
| FLOW RATE (ACFM) | 19.51 | 20.17 | 19.80 | 19.83 | 27.54 |
| FLOW RATE (DSCFM) | 14.66 | 14.27 | 13.52 | 14.15 | 16.61 |
| H ₂ O (volume %) | 3.38 | 3.89 | 3.73 | 3.67 | 0.90 |
| Gross Alpha (pCi/sample) | 5.30 | 0.24 | 0.68 | 2.07 | 0.55 |
| Gross Beta (pCi/sample) | 1.52 | 1.70 | 0.50 | 1.24 | 0.66 |
| Tritium (pCi/sample) | 660.80 | 540.00 | 516.60 | 572.47 | 75.20 |
| F.H. Particulate Conc. (gr/DSCF) | 0.00003 | 0.0022 | 0.0004 | 0.0009 | 0.0003 |
| F.H. Particulate Emissions (Lbs/hr) | 0.000003 | 0.00027 | 0.00004 | 0.00011 | 0.00004 |
| Gross Alpha (pCi/DSCF) | 0.084 | 0.004 | 0.011 | 0.03 | 0.015 |
| Gross Beta (pCi/DSCF) | 0.024 | 0.026 | 0.008 | 0.02 | 0.018 |
| Tritium (pCi/DSCF) | 10.44 | 8.38 | 8.27 | 9.03 | 2.07 |
| Tot. Alpha Emissions (pCi/hr) | 73.63 | 3.19 | 8.83 | 28.549 | 15.11 |
| Tot. Beta Emissions (pCi/hr) | 21.12 | 22.58 | 6.49 | 16.728 | 18.13 |
| Tot. Tritium Emissions (pCi/hr) | 9179.8 | 7171.2 | 6709.1 | 7686.7 | 2065.4 |

WHERE

DSCF = Sample Volume in Dry Standard Cubic Feet

ACFM = Actual Cubic Feet per Minute

DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute

H₂O, volume % = Stack gas percent water vapor

gr/DSCF = Particulate concentration in grains per DSCF

lbs/hr = Particulate emission rate

pCi/hr = Pico Curies per hour emission rate

CALCULATIONS

gr/DSCF = 0.01543 * Sample Wt. / Sample Volume

lbs/hr Emission Rate = 0.00857 * gr/DSCF * DSCFM

pCi/hr = pCi/DSCF * DSCFM * 60

TABLE 9
RTS #2 Waste Feed
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Filter Outlet & Catalytic Converter Outlet
PARTICULATE & RADIONUCLIDE EMISSIONS TEST RESULTS

| RUN # | 1 | 2 | 3 | AVERAGE | Catalyst 1 |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| TEST DATE | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | | 08/26/98 |
| TEST TIME | 0910-1010 | 1100-1200 | 1245-1345 | | 1040-1140 |
| SAMPLE VOLUME (DSCF) | 42.872 | 46.501 | 42.664 | | 33.371 |
| ISOKINETIC (%) | 103.1 | 99.9 | 101.2 | | 93.4 |
| DUCT TEMP., (°F) | 308.4 | 327.4 | 335.3 | 323.7 | 390.8 |
| VELOCITY (ft/sec) | 35.12 | 39.34 | 36.31 | 36.92 | 5.27 |
| FLOW RATE (ACFM) | 21.1 | 23.6 | 21.8 | 22.2 | 27.5 |
| FLOW RATE (DSCFM) | 13.3 | 14.9 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 16.8 |
| H ₂ O (volume %) | 5.66 | 3.42 | 4.26 | 4.44 | 0.98 |
| Gross Alpha (pCi/sample) | 0.00 | 0.33 | 2.12 | 0.82 | 0.20 |
| Gross Beta (pCi/sample) | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| Tritium (pCi/sample) | 198,764 | 177,266 | 188,680 | 188,237 | 11,260 |
| F.H. Particulate Conc. (gr/DSCF) | 0.00015 | 0.00017 | 0.00054 | 0.00029 | 0.00007 |
| F.H. Particulate Emissions (Lbs/hr) | 0.000017 | 0.000022 | 0.000063 | 0.000034 | 0.00001 |
| Gross Alpha (pCi/DSCF) | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.050 | 0.02 | 0.006 |
| Gross Beta (pCi/DSCF) | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| Tritium (pCi/DSCF) | 4636.17 | 3812.06 | 4422.41 | 4290.22 | 337.42 |
| Tot. Alpha Emissions (pCi/hr) | 0.000 | 6.345 | 40.242 | 15.529 | 6.04 |
| Tot. Beta Emissions (pCi/hr) | 0.000 | 2.884 | 0.000 | 0.961 | 0.000 |
| Tot. Tritium Emissions (pCi/hr) | 3,703,759 | 3,408,472 | 3,581,515 | 3,564,582 | 340,124 |

WHERE

DSCF = Sample Volume in Dry Standard Cubic Feet

ACFM = Actual Cubic Feet per Minute

DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute

H₂O, volume % = Stack gas percent water vapor

gr/DSCF = Particulate concentration in grains per DSCF

lbs/hr = Particulate emission rate

pCi/hr = Pico Curies per hour emission rate

CALCULATIONS

gr/DSCF = 0.01543 * Sample Wt. / Sample Volume

lbs/hr Emission Rate = 0.00857 * gr/DSCF * DSCFM

pCi/hr = pCi/DSCF * DSCFM * 60

TABLE 10

**RTS #1 Waste Feed
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Filter Outlet
Method 0051 HCl Test Results**

| Test | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | AVERAGE |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Test Date | 8/19/98 | 8/19/98 | 8/19/98 | |
| Test Time | 0915-1015 | 1025-1125 | 1131-1231 | |
| Flow Rate (DSCFM) | 13.32 | 13.32 | 13.32 | 13.32 |
| Sample Volume (DSCF) | 4.024 | 3.981 | 3.966 | 3.990 |
| Sample Volume (DSCM) | 0.1139 | 0.1127 | 0.1123 | 0.1130 |
| HCl, (total μg) | <8.00 | <8.00 | <8.00 | <8.00 |
| HCl, (ppm) | <0.046 | <0.047 | <0.047 | <0.047 |
| HCl, (gm/hr) | <0.0016 | <0.0016 | <0.0016 | <0.0016 |

WHERE:

HCl = Hydrogen Chloride MW = 36.5

DSCM = Dry Standard Cubic Meter

DSCF = Dry Standard Cubic Foot

ppm = Parts Per Million

μg = microgram, = .001 mg (milligram)

gm/hr = Grams per Hour Emission Rate

< = Less Than the Reporting Limit

Std. Temperature, Tstd $^{\circ}\text{F}$ = 68

CALCULATIONS:

$$\text{ppm} = \mu\text{g}/\text{sample} * 1.61 * (\text{Tstd} + 460) / (\text{MW} * \text{DSCF} * 1000)$$

$$\text{gm/hr} = 453.6 * \text{ppm} * \text{M.W.} * \text{DSCFM} * 8.223\text{E-}5 / (\text{Tstd} + 460)$$

TABLE 11

RTS #2 Waste Feed
LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO
GSS Filter Outlet
Method 0051 HCl Test Results

| Test | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | AVERAGE |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Test Date | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | |
| Test Time | 1519-1619 | 1637-1747 | 1808-1908 | |
| Flow Rate (DSCFM) | 12.99 | 13.96 | 13.96 | 13.64 |
| Sample Volume (DSCF) | 4.225 | 4.896 | 4.066 | 4.396 |
| Sample Volume (DSCM) | 0.1196 | 0.1386 | 0.1151 | 0.1245 |
| HCl, (total µg) | <8.00 | <8.00 | <8.00 | <8.00 |
| HCl, (ppm) | <0.044 | <0.038 | <0.046 | <0.043 |
| HCl, (gm/hr) | <0.0015 | <0.0014 | <0.0016 | <0.0015 |

WHERE:

HCl = Hydrogen Chloride MW = 36.5

DSCM = Dry Standard Cubic Meter

DSCF = Dry Standard Cubic Foot

ppm = Parts Per Million

µg = microgram, = .001 mg (milligram)

gm/hr = Grams per Hour Emission Rate

< = Less Than the Reporting Limit

Standard Temperature, Tstd °F 68

CALCULATIONS:

$$\text{ppm} = \text{ug/sample} * 1.61 * (\text{Tstd} + 460) / (\text{MW} * \text{DSCF} * 1000)$$

$$\text{gm/hr} = 453.6 * \text{ppm} * \text{M.W.} * \text{DSCFM} * 8.223\text{E-}5 / (\text{Tstd} + 460)$$

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – CALCULATIONS & NOMENCLATURE

APPENDIX B - LABORATORY REPORTS

APPENDIX C - FIELD DATA SHEETS

APPENDIX D- EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORDS

APPENDIX E - PROCESS DATA

APPENDIX F – STACK DIAGRAMS

APPENDIX G – SAMPLING SYSTEM DIAGRAMS

APPENDIX A
CALCULATIONS & NOMENCLATURE

Standard Abbreviations for Reports

| Unit | Abbreviation | Unit | Abbreviation |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| billion | G | microgram | µg |
| Brake horsepower | bhp | milligram | mg |
| Brake horsepower hour | bhp-hr | milliliter | ml |
| British Thermal Unit | Btu | million | MM |
| capture efficiency | CE | minute | min |
| destruction efficiency | DE | Molecular Weight | MW |
| Dry Standard Cubic Feet | DSCF | nanogram | ng |
| Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute | DSCFM | Parts per Billion | ppb |
| Dry Standard Cubic Meter | DSCM | Parts per Million | ppm |
| Dry Standard Cubic Meter per Minute | DSCMM | pennyweight per firkin | pw/fkn |
| grains per dry standard cubic foot | gr/DSCF | pound | lb |
| gram | g | pounds per hour | lbs/hr |
| grams per Brake horsepower hour | g/bhp-hr | pounds per million Btu | lbs/MMBtu |
| kilowatt | kw | second | sec |
| liter | l | thousand | k |
| Megawatts | mw | watt | w |
| meter | m | | |

Common Conversions / Calculations / Constants

1 gram = 15.432 grains

1 pound = 7000 grains

grams per pound = 453.6

bhp = kw * 1.34

2.59E-9 = Conversion factor for ppm to lbs/scf; EPA 40CFR60.45

dscf / MMBTU = 8710 for Natural gas; EPA Method 19

Btu/ft³ = 1040 for Naural Gas; EPA Method 19

lb/hr Part. Emission Rate = 0.00857 * gr/dscf * dscfm; EPA Method 5

g/bhp-hr = lbs/hr * 453.6 / bhp

lbs/hr = ppm / 385 E⁶ x dscfm x MW * 60; CARB Method 100

Correction to 12% CO₂ = gr/dscf * 12% / stack CO₂%; EPA Method 5

Correction to 3% O₂ = ppm * 17.9 / (20.9 - stack O₂ %); CARB Method 100

dscfm = Gas Fd * MMBtu/min * 20.9 / (20.9 - stack O₂ %); EPA Method 19

lb/MMBtu = Fd * MW * ppm * 2.59E-9 * 20.9 / (20.9 - stack O₂ %); EPA Method 19

Standard Temperatures by District

| | | | |
|------------------------|-------|----------------------------|--------------|
| EPA | 68 °F | NSAPCD - Northern Sonoma | 68 °F |
| CARB | 68 °F | PCAPCD - Placer | 68 °F |
| BAAQMD - Bay Area | 70 °F | SLOCAPCD - San Luis Obispo | 60 °F |
| SJVUAPCD - San Joaquin | 60 °F | SMAPCD - Sacramento | 59 °F → 68°F |
| SCAQMD - South Coast | 60 °F | SCAQMD - Shasta County | 68 °F |
| MBUAPCD - Monterey Bay | 60 °F | YSAPCD - Yolo-Solano | 68 °F |

EPA 23 EMISSION CALCULATIONS

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| FACILITY: | LLNL Bldg. 292 | DATE: | 8/18/98 | METER BOX NO.: | LSI-2 |
| UNIT: | GSS Filter Inlet | TIME: | 1016-1326 | PROBE NO.: | N.A. |
| CONDITION: | RTS #1 Waste Feed | TEST NO.: | 1 | NOZZLE NO.: | 5E |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temperature, | T_m | 85.6 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 119.0 |
| Barometric Pressure, | P_b | 30.00 | Meter Pressure, | ΔH | 1.70 | | | |
| Static Pressure, | P_{stat} | -11.50 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.441 | | | |
| Stack Pressure, | P_s | 29.15 | Stack Area, | A_s | 0.010 | | | |
| Stack Temperature, | T_s | 292.5 | Nozzle Diameter, | D_n | 0.309 | Stack Gas O ₂ | % | 12.4 |
| Sample Time, | Θ | 180.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 1.0089 | Stack Gas CO ₂ | % | 7.90 |
| Std. Temperature, | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, | V_m | 106.278 | Stack Gas N ₂ | % | 79.7 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m, std}$) = $(T_{std}+460) \cdot V_m \cdot Y_d \cdot (P_b + \Delta H/13.6) / ((T_m+460) \cdot 29.92) =$ 104.476 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std}$) = $3.9148E-5 \cdot (T_{std}+460) \cdot V_w =$ 5.601 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{U, std}$) = $(V_{m, std}) + (V_{w, std}) =$ 110.077 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 \cdot (V_{w, std}) / (V_{U, std}) =$ 5.089 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity (V_s) = $85.49 C_p \sqrt{(\Delta P) (T_s + 460/MW_s P_s)} =$ 35.114 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2\% \cdot 0.44 + O_2\% \cdot 0.32 + N_2\% \cdot 0.28)(1 - H_2O\%/100)) + 18(H_2O\%/100) =$ 29.162 g/g-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88(V_s)(T_s+460) / (D_n^2)(\Theta)(P_s)(V_s)(T_{std}+460) =$ 81.52 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s)(A_s) \times 60 =$ 21.07 ACFM
- D) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s)(A_s)((T_{std}+460)/(T_s+460))(P_s)(1 - \%H_2O/100) \cdot 2.005 =$ 13.67 DSCFM

EPA 23 EMISSION CALCULATIONS

FACILITY: LLNL Bldg. 292 DATE: 8/18/98 METER BOX NO.: LSI-2
 UNIT: GSS Filter Inlet TIME: 1413-1707 PROBE NO.: N.A.
 CONDITION: RTS #1 Waste Feed TEST NO.: 2 NOZZLE NO.: 5E

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temperature, | T_m | 88.7 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 132.0 |
| Barometric Pressure, | P_b | 30.00 | Meter Pressure, | ΔH | 1.62 | | | |
| Static Pressure, | P_{stat} | -11.50 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.448 | | | |
| Stack Pressure, | P_s | 29.15 | Stack Area, | A_s | 0.010 | | | |
| Stack Temperature, | T_s | 351.0 | Nozzle Diameter, | D_n | 0.309 | Stack Gas O ₂ , | % | 10.0 |
| Sample Time, | Θ | 180.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 1.0089 | Stack Gas CO ₂ , | % | 9.60 |
| Std. Temperature, | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, | V_m | 119.167 | Stack Gas N ₂ , | % | 80.4 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m, std} = (T_{std} + 460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) * 29.92) =$ 116.462 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 6.213 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{d, std} = (V_{m, std} + V_{w, std}) =$ 122.675 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_{w, std} / V_{d, std}) =$ 5.065 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{\Delta P} (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s) =$ 36.925 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28)(1 - H_2O \% / 100) + 18(H_2O \% / 100)) =$ 29.331 g/g-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88(V_s)(T_s + 460) / ((D_n^2)(\Theta)(P_s)(V_s)(T_{std} + 460)) =$ 93.11 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s)(A_s) \times 60 =$ 22.15 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s)(A_s)((T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460))(P_s)(1 - \%H_2O / 100) * 2.005 =$ 13.34 DSCFM

EPA 23 EMISSION CALCULATIONS

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| FACILITY: | LLNL Bldg. 292 | DATE: | 8/19/98 | METER BOX NO.: | LSI-2 |
| UNIT: | GSS Filter Inlet | TIME: | 0918-1308 | PROBE NO.: | N.A. |
| CONDITION: | RTS #1 Waste Feed | TEST NO.: | 3 | NOZZLE NO.: | 5E |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temperature, | T_m | 81.4 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 112.5 |
| Barometric Pressure, | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Pressure, | ΔH | 1.86 | | | |
| Static Pressure, | P_{stat} | -11.50 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.437 | | | |
| Stack Pressure, | P_s | 29.05 | Stack Area, | A_s | 0.010 | | | |
| Stack Temperature, | T_s | 317.9 | Nozzle Diameter, | D_n | 0.309 | Stack Gas O ₂ | % | 9.34 |
| Sample Time, | Θ | 180.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 1.0089 | Stack Gas CO ₂ | % | 10.15 |
| Std. Temperature, | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, | V_m | 114.898 | Stack Gas N ₂ | % | 80.5 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m, std} = (T_{std} + 460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) * 29.92) =$ 113.493 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 5.295 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{d, std} = (V_{m, std} + (V_{w, std} =$ 118.788 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_{w, std} / (V_{d, std} =$ 4.458 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{(\Delta P) (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s)} =$ 35.257 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28)(1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18(H_2O \% / 100) =$ 29.463 g/g-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88(V_d)(T_s + 460) / ((D_n^2)(\Theta)(P_s)(V_s)(T_{std} + 460)) =$ 90.89 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s)(A_s) \times 60 =$ 21.15 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s)(A_s)((T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460))(P_s)(1 - \%H_2O / 100) * 2.005 =$ 13.32 DSCFM

EPA 23 EMISSION CALCULATIONS

FACILITY: LLNL Bldg. 292 DATE: 8/18/98 METER BOX NO.: LSI-1
 UNIT: Catalyst Outlet TIME: 1428-1726 PROBE NO.: N.A.
 CONDITION: RTS #1 Waste Feed TEST NO.: 1 NOZZLE NO.: 1

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temperature, | T_m | 104.4 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 80.0 |
| Barometric Pressure, | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Pressure, | ΔH | 3.00 | | | |
| Static Pressure, | P_{stat} | -2.50 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.063 | | | |
| Stack Pressure, | P_s | 29.72 | Stack Area, | A_s | 0.087 | | | |
| Stack Temperature, | T_s | 390.0 | Nozzle Diameter, | D_n | 0.971 | Stack Gas O ₂ , | % | 8.8 |
| Sample Time, | Θ | 180.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 1.0039 | Stack Gas CO ₂ , | % | 10.50 |
| Std. Temperature, | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, | V_m | 160.435 | Stack Gas N ₂ , | % | 80.7 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m, std} = (T_{std} + 460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) * 29.92) =$ 151.684 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 3.766 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{t, std} = (V_m)_{std} + (V_w)_{std} =$ 155.449 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_{w, std} / (V_{t, std}) =$ 2.422 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{(\Delta P) (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s)} =$ 5.246 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28) (1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18 (H_2O \% / 100) =$ 29.741 g/g-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88 (V_t (T_s + 460) / (D_n^2 (\Theta) P_s V_s (T_{std} + 460))) =$ 86.49 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s (A_s) \times 60 =$ 27.38 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s (A_s) (T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460)) (P_s / (1 - \%H_2O / 100)) * 2.005 =$ 16.48 DSCFM

EPA 23 EMISSION CALCULATIONS

FACILITY: LLNL Bldg. 292 DATE: 8/26/98 METER BOX NO.: LSI-2
 UNIT: GSS Filter Inlet TIME: 0903-1216 PROBE NO.: N.A.
 CONDITION: RTS#2 Waste Feed TEST NO.: 1 NOZZLE NO.: Q5A

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temperature, | T_m | 84.4 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 112.5 |
| Barometric Pressure, | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Pressure, | ΔH | 2.77 | | | |
| Static Pressure, | P_{stat} | -10.00 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.466 | | | |
| Stack Pressure, | P_s | 29.16 | Stack Area, | A_s | 0.010 | | | |
| Stack Temperature, | T_s | 320.6 | Nozzle Diameter, | D_n | 0.326 | Stack Gas O ₂ | % | 10.36 |
| Sample Time, | Θ | 180.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 1.0089 | Stack Gas CO ₂ | % | 7.46 |
| Std. Temperature, | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, | V_m | 160.670 | Stack Gas N ₂ | % | 82.2 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m, std}$) = $(T_{std}+460) \cdot V_m \cdot Y_d \cdot (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) \cdot 29.92) =$ 158.170 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std}$) = $8.9148E-5 \cdot (T_{std} + 460) \cdot V_w =$ 5.295 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{t, std}$) = $(V_{m, std}) + (V_{w, std}) =$ 163.466 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 \cdot (V_{w, std}) / (V_{t, std}) =$ 3.239 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity (V_s) = $85.49 \cdot C_p \cdot \sqrt{(\Delta P) / (T_s + 460 / MW_s \cdot P_s)} =$ 37.739 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2\% \cdot 0.44 + O_2\% \cdot 0.32 + N_2\% \cdot 0.28) \cdot (1 - H_2O\% / 100)) + 18 \cdot (H_2O\% / 100) =$ 29.232 g/g-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88 \cdot (V_s) / ((D_n^2) \cdot (\Theta) \cdot (P_s) \cdot (V_s) \cdot (T_{std} + 460)) =$ 104.94 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s) \cdot (A_s) \cdot 60 =$ 22.64 ACFM
- D) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s) \cdot (A_s) \cdot (T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460) \cdot (P_s) \cdot (1 - \%H_2O / 100) \cdot 2.005 =$ 14.45 DSCFM

000054

EPA 23 EMISSION CALCULATIONS

FACILITY: LLNL Bldg. 292 DATE: 8/26/98 METER BOX NO.: LSI-2
 UNIT: GSS Filter Inlet TIME: 1309-1616 PROBE NO.: N.A.
 CONDITION: RTS#2 Waste Feed TEST NO.: 2 NOZZLE NO.: 5B

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temperature, | T_m | 86.4 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 154.0 |
| Barometric Pressure, | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Pressure, | ΔH | 1.97 | | | |
| Static Pressure, | P_{stat} | -10.00 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.432 | | | |
| Stack Pressure, | P_s | 29.16 | Stack Area, | A_s | 0.010 | | | |
| Stack Temperature, | T_s | 340.5 | Nozzle Diameter, | D_n | 0.304 | Stack Gas O ₂ , | % | 10.0 |
| Sample Time, | Θ | 180.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 1.0089 | Stack Gas CO ₂ , | % | 7.30 |
| Std. Temperature, | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, | V_m | 128.801 | Stack Gas N ₂ , | % | 82.7 |

- A) Gas Volume $(V_m)_{std} = (T_{std}+460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) * 29.92) =$ 126.098 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected $(V_w)_{std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 7.249 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume $(V)_{std} = (V_m)_{std} + (V_w)_{std} =$ 133.346 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_w)_{std} / (V)_{std} =$ 5.436 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity $(V_s) = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{\Delta P} (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s) =$ 35.608 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28) (1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18 (H_2O \% / 100) =$ 28.939 g/g-mole
- G) % Isokinetic $(I) = 9142.88 (V_s) (T_s + 460) / ((D_n^2) (\Theta) (P_s) (V_s) (T_{std} + 460)) =$ 107.00 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s) (A_s) \times 60 =$ 21.36 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s) (A_s) ((T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460)) (P_s) (1 - \%H_2O / 100) * 2.005 =$ 12.99 DSCFM

ON

EPA 23 EMISSION CALCULATIONS

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| FACILITY: | LLNL Bldg. 292 | DATE: | 8/26/98 | METER BOX NO.: | LSI-2 |
| UNIT: | GSS Filter Inlet | TIME: | 1636-2002 | PROBE NO.: | N.A. |
| CONDITION: | RTS#2 Waste Feed | TEST NO.: | 3 | NOZZLE NO.: | 5B |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temperature, | T_m | 84.1 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 136.0 |
| Barometric Pressure, | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Pressure, | ΔH | 1.93 | | | |
| Static Pressure, | P_{stat} | -10.00 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.450 | | | |
| Stack Pressure, | P_s | 29.16 | Stack Area, | A_s | 0.010 | | | |
| Stack Temperature, | T_s | 292.1 | Nozzle Diameter, | D_n | 0.304 | Stack Gas O ₂ , | % | 10.01 |
| Sample Time, | Θ | 180.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 1.0089 | Stack Gas CO ₂ , | % | 7.29 |
| Std. Temperature, | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, | V_m | 114.124 | Stack Gas N ₂ , | % | 82.7 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m, std} = (T_{std} + 460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) * 29.92) =$ 112.188 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 6.402 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{l, std} = (V_{m, std} + V_{w, std}) =$ 118.589 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_{w, std} / V_{l, std}) =$ 5.398 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{\Delta P} (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s) =$ 35.950 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28) (1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18 (H_2O \% / 100) =$ 28.942 g/g-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88 (V_s) (T_s + 460) / ((D_n^2) (\Theta) (P_s) (V_s) (T_{std} + 460)) =$ 88.55 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s) (A_s) \times 60 =$ 21.57 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s) (A_s) (T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460) (P_s) (1 - \%H_2O / 100) * 2.005 =$ 13.96 DSCFM

EPA 23 EMISSION CALCULATIONS

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| FACILITY: | LLNL Bldg. 292 | DATE: | 8/26/98 | METER BOX NO.: | LSI-1 |
| UNIT: | Catalyst Outlet | TIME: | 1210-1510 | PROBE NO.: | N.A. |
| CONDITION: | RTS #2 Waste Feed | TEST NO.: | 1 | NOZZLE NO.: | 1 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temperature, | T_m | 108.6 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 123.0 |
| Barometric Pressure, | P_b | 29.99 | Meter Pressure, | ΔH | 3.00 | | | |
| Static Pressure, | P_{stat} | -2.50 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.063 | | | |
| Stack Pressure, | P_s | 29.81 | Stack Area, | A_s | 0.087 | | | |
| Stack Temperature, | T_s | 390.0 | Nozzle Diameter, | D_n | 0.971 | Stack Gas O ₂ , | % | 8.8 |
| Sample Time, | Θ | 180.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 1.0039 | Stack Gas CO ₂ , | % | 10.50 |
| Std. Temperature, | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, | V_m | 141.126 | Stack Gas N ₂ , | % | 80.7 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m, std} = (T_{std} + 460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) * 29.92) =$ 132.838 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 5.790 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{t, std} = (V_{m, std} + (V_{w, std}) =$ 138.628 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_{w, std} / (V_{t, std}) =$ 4.176 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{(\Delta P) (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s)} =$ 5.256 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28) (1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18 (H_2O \% / 100) =$ 29.529 g/g-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88 (V_t (T_s + 460) / ((D_n^2) (\Theta) P_s (V_s (T_{std} + 460))) =$ 76.74 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s) (A_s) \times 60 =$ 27.44 ACFM
- D) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s) (A_s) (T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460) (P_s) (1 - \%H_2O / 100) * 2.005 =$ 16.27 DSCFM

Volume Correction Calculations
EPA Method 0030-VOST

Meter # : 2400
Meter factor 0.9818

RTS #1 Waste Feed

| Run # | #1-GSS Out | #2-GSS Out | #3-GSS Out | #1-Cat. Out |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Date | 8/18/98 | 8/18/98 | 8/18/98 | 8/18/98 |
| Time | 1059-1215 | 1234-1350 | 1424-1546 | 1614-1740 |
| Barometric Pressure | 29.9 | 29.9 | 29.9 | 29.9 |
| Raw Sample Volume, liters | 59.0 | 55.7 | 56.3 | 61.1 |
| Meter Temp, °F (avg) | 76.30 | 77.70 | 77.30 | 79.60 |
| Corrected Volume, liters | 57.02 | 53.69 | 54.31 | 58.69 |
| Corrected Volume, meters | 0.057 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.059 |

000058

Volume Correction Calculations
EPA Method 0030-VOST

Meter # : 2400
 Meter factor 0.9818

RTS #2 Waste Feed

| Run # | #1-GSS Out | #2-GSS Out | #3-GSS Out | #1-Cat. Out |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Date | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 | 8/26/98 |
| Time | 0903-1024 | 1048-1210 | 1229-1348 | 1410-1530 |
| Barometric Pressure | 29.9 | 29.9 | 29.9 | 29.9 |
| Raw Sample Volume, liters | 56.1 | 60.1 | 61 | 59.7 |
| Meter Temp, °F (avg) | 75.60 | 78.70 | 78.90 | 80.00 |
| Corrected Volume, liters | 54.29 | 57.82 | 58.67 | 57.30 |
| Corrected Volume, meters | 0.054 | 0.058 | 0.059 | 0.057 |

000059

31

EPA 17/114 PARTICULATE & RADIONUCLIDE EMISSION CALCULATIONS

| | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| FACILITY: | LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO | DATE: | 8/18/98 | METER BOX NO.: | ASI 8 |
| UNIT: | GSS Filter Outlet | TIME: | 1027-1127 | PROBE NO.: | N.A. |
| CONDITION: | RTS #1 Waste Feed | TEST NO.: | 1 | NOZZLE NO.: | 6A |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| Pitot Factor, | C _p | 0.990 | Meter Temp., °F | T _m | 81.1 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V _w | 47.1 |
| Barometric Press., "Hg | P _b | 29.90 | Meter Press., "H ₂ O | ΔH | 3.660 | Total F.H. Particulate, | mg | 0.11 |
| Static Pressure, "H ₂ O | P _{stat} | -11.50 | Average √ΔP., "H ₂ O | √ΔP | 0.437 | B.H. Organics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Pressure, "Hg | P _s | 29.05 | Stack Area, Ft ² | A _s | 0.010 | B.H. Inorganics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Temp., °F | T _s | 199.4 | Nozzle Dia., Inches | D _n | 0.378 | Stack Gas O ₂ , | O ₂ % | 11.8 |
| Sample Time, mins | ⊙ | 60 | Meter Factor, | Y _d | 0.9593 | Stack Gas CO ₂ , | CO ₂ % | 8.00 |
| Std. Temp., °F | T _{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, Ft ³ | V _m | 67.078 | Stack Gas N ₂ , | N ₂ % | 80.2 |

- A) Sample Volume (V_m)_{std} = (T_{std}+460)*V_m*Y_d*(P_b+ΔH/13.6)/((T_m+460)*29.92) = 63.313 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected (V_w)_{std} = 8.9148E-5*(T_{std}+460)*V_w = 2.217 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume (V_d)_{std} = (V_m)_{std} + (V_w)_{std} = 65.530 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = 100 * (V_w)_{std} / (V_d)_{std} = 3.4 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity (V_s) = 85.49 C_p √(ΔP) (T_s + 460/MW_s P_s) = 32.52 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = ((CO₂%*0.44+O₂%*0.32+N₂%*0.28)(1-H₂O%/100))+18(H₂O%/100) = 29.35 g/gm-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = 9142.88(V_d)(T_s+460)/((D_n²)(⊙)(P_s)(V_s)(T_{std}+460)) = 92.37 %
- H) ACFM = (V_s)(A_s)60 = 19.51 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = (V_s)(A_s)(T_{std}+460)/(T_s+460)(P_s)(1-%H₂O/100)*2.005 = 14.66 DSCFM
- J) F.H. Particulate Concentration (gr/DSCF) = mg/V_mstd * 0.01543 = 0.00003 gr/DSCF
- K) F.H. Particulate Emission Rate = 0.00857 * gr/DSCF * DSCFM = 0.000003 lbs/hr

000000

EPA 17/114 PARTICULATE & RADIONUCLIDE EMISSION CALCULATIONS

| | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| FACILITY: | LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO | DATE: | 8/18/98 | METER BOX NO.: | ASI 8 |
| UNIT: | GSS Filter Outlet | TIME: | 1245-1345 | PROBE NO.: | N.A. |
| CONDITION: | RTS #1 Waste Feed | TEST NO.: | 2 | NOZZLE NO.: | 6A |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------|---|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.99 | Meter Temp., °F | T_m | 85.5 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 55.4 |
| Barometric Press., "Hg | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Press., "H ₂ O | ΔH | 3.820 | Total F.H. Particulate, | mg | 9.20 |
| Static Pressure, "H ₂ O | P_{stat} | -11.50 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, "H ₂ O | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.439 | B.H. Organics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Pressure, "Hg | P_s | 29.05 | Stack Area, Ft ² | A_s | 0.010 | B.H. Inorganics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Temp., °F | T_s | 236.6 | Nozzle Dia., Inches | D_n | 0.378 | Stack Gas O ₂ | O ₂ % | 11.8 |
| Sample Time, mins | Θ | 60.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 0.9593 | Stack Gas CO ₂ | CO ₂ % | 8.00 |
| Std. Temp., °F | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, Ft ³ | V_m | 68.821 | Stack Gas N ₂ | N ₂ % | 80.2 |

- A) Sample Volume ($V_{m, std} = (T_{std} + 460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / (T_m + 460) * 29.92 =$ 64.459 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 2.608 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{t, std} = (V_{m, std} + (V_{w, std})) =$ 67.067 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_{w, std} / (V_{t, std})) =$ 3.9 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{(\Delta P) (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s)} =$ 33.61 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28) (1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18 (H_2O \% / 100) =$ 29.30 g/gm-mole
- G) % Isokinetic ($I = 9142.88 (V_t) (T_s + 460) / ((D_n)^2 (\Theta) (P_s) (V_s) (T_{std} + 460)) =$ 96.63 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s) (A_s) 60 =$ 20.17 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s) (A_s) (T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460) (P_s) (1 - \% H_2O / 100) * 2.005 =$ 14.27 DSCFM
- J) F.H. Particulate Concentration (gr/DSCF) = $mg / V_{m, std} * 0.01543 =$ 0.0022 gr/DSCF
- K) F.H. Particulate Emission Rate = $0.00857 * gr/DSCF * DSCFM =$ 0.000269 Lbs/hr

000081

32

EPA 17/114 PARTICULATE & RADIONUCLIDE EMISSION CALCULATIONS

| | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| FACILITY: | LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO | DATE: | 8/18/98 | METER BOX NO.: | ASI 8 |
| UNIT: | GSS Filter Outlet | TIME: | 1500-1600 | PROBE NO.: | N.A. |
| CONDITION: | RTS #1 Waste Feed | TEST NO.: | 3 | NOZZLE NO.: | 6A |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|--------|---|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temp., °F | T_m | 85.6 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 51.4 |
| Barometric Press., "Hg | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Press., "H ₂ O | ΔH | 3.500 | Total F.H. Particulate, | mg | 1.50 |
| Static Pressure, "H ₂ O | P_{std} | -11.50 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, "H ₂ O | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.423 | B.H. Organics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Pressure, "Hg | P_s | 29.05 | Stack Area, Ft ² | A_s | 0.010 | B.H. Inorganics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Temp., °F | T_s | 262.8 | Nozzle Dia., Inches | D_n | 0.378 | Stack Gas O ₂ | O ₂ % | 11.9 |
| Sample Time, mins | Θ | 60.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 0.9593 | Stack Gas CO ₂ | CO ₂ % | 7.80 |
| Std. Temp., °F | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, Ft ³ | V_m | 66.752 | Stack Gas N ₂ | N ₂ % | 80.3 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m, std} = (T_{std} + 460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) * 29.92) =$ 62.461 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 2.419 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{t, std} = (V_{m, std} + (V_{w, std}) =$ 64.881 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_{w, std} / (V_{t, std}) =$ 3.7 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{\Delta P} (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s) =$ 33.00 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28) (1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18 (H_2O \% / 100) =$ 29.29 g/gm-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88 (V_d) (T_s + 460) / ((D_n^2) (\Theta) (P_s) (V_s) (T_{std} + 460)) =$ 98.80 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s) (A_s) 60 =$ 19.80 ACFM
- D) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s) (A_s) ((T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460)) (P_s) (1 - \%H_2O / 100) * 2.005 =$ 13.52 DSCFM
- J) F.H. Particulate Concentration (gr/DSCF) = $mg / V_{m, std} * 0.01543 =$ 0.0004 gr/DSCF
- K) F.H. Particulate Emission Rate = $0.00857 * gr / DSCF * DSCFM =$ 0.000043 Lbs/hr

EPA 17/114 PARTICULATE & RADIONUCLIDE EMISSION CALCULATIONS

FACILITY: LLNL Bldg. 292 MSO DATE: 8/19/98 METER BOX NO.: LSI 1
 UNIT: GSS Filter Outlet TIME: 0933-1033 PROBE NO.: N.A.
 CONDITION: Normal TEST NO.: Catalyst Outlet NOZZLE NO.: 41

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-------|---|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temp., °F | T_m | 96.4 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 7.0 |
| Barometric Press., "Hg | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Press., "H ₂ O | ΔH | 1.500 | Total F.H. Particulate, | mg | 0.59 |
| Static Pressure, "H ₂ O | P_{std} | -2.50 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, "H ₂ O | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.063 | B.H. Organics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Pressure, "Hg | P_s | 29.72 | Stack Area, Ft ² | A_s | 0.087 | B.H. Inorganics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Temp., °F | T_s | 401.5 | Nozzle Dia., Inches | D_n | 0.752 | Stack Gas O ₂ | O ₂ % | 11.5 |
| Sample Time, mins | Θ | 60.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 1.0039 | Stack Gas CO ₂ | CO ₂ % | 9.00 |
| Std. Temp., °F | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, Ft ³ | V_m | 37.985 | Stack Gas N ₂ | N ₂ % | 79.5 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m/std}$) = $(T_{std}+460) \cdot V_m \cdot Y_d \cdot (P_b + \Delta H/13.6) / ((T_m+460) \cdot 29.92) =$ 36.296 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w/std}$) = $8.9148E-5 \cdot (T_{std}+460) \cdot V_w =$ 0.329 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{l/std}$) = $(V_{m/std} + V_{w/std}) =$ 36.625 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 \cdot (V_{w/std}) / (V_{l/std}) =$ 0.9 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity (V_s) = $85.49 C_p \sqrt{(\Delta P)} (T_s + 460/MW_s P_s) =$ 5.28 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2\% \cdot 0.44 + O_2\% \cdot 0.32 + N_2\% \cdot 0.28)(1 - H_2O\%/100)) + 18(H_2O\%/100) =$ 29.79 g/gm-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88(V_s)(T_s+460) / ((D_n^2)(\Theta)(P_s)(V_s)(T_{std}+460)) =$ 102.70 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s)(A_s)60 =$ 27.54 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s)(A_s)((T_{std}+460)/(T_s+460))(P_s)(1 - \%H_2O/100) \cdot 2.005 =$ 16.61 DSCFM
- J) F.H. Particulate Concentration (gr/DSCF) = $mg/V_{m/std} \cdot 0.01543 =$ 0.0003 gr/DSCF
- K) F.H. Particulate Emission Rate = $0.00857 \cdot gr/DSCF \cdot DSCFM =$ 0.000036 Lbs/hr

EPA 17/114 PARTICULATE & RADIONUCLIDE EMISSION CALCULATIONS

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| FACILITY: | LLNL Bldg. 292 | DATE: | 8/26/98 | METER BOX NO.: | ASI 8 |
| UNIT: | GSS Filter Outlet | TIME: | 0910-1010 | PROBE NO.: | N.A. |
| CONDITION: | RTS #2 Waste Feed | TEST NO.: | 1 | NOZZLE NO.: | 5E |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------|---|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temp., °F | T_m | 79.5 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 54.6 |
| Barometric Press., "Hg | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Press., "H ₂ O | ΔH | 1.530 | Total F.H. Particulate, | mg | 0.42 |
| Static Pressure, "H ₂ O | P_{stat} | -10.00 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, "H ₂ O | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.436 | B.H. Organics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Pressure, "Hg | P_s | 29.16 | Stack Area, Ft ² | A_s | 0.010 | B.H. Inorganics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Temp., °F | T_s | 308.4 | Nozzle Dia., Inches | D_n | 0.309 | Stack Gas O ₂ | O ₂ % | 9.9 |
| Sample Time, mins | Θ | 60 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 0.9593 | Stack Gas CO ₂ | CO ₂ % | 8.50 |
| Std. Temp., °F | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, Ft ³ | V_m | 45.524 | Stack Gas N ₂ | N ₂ % | 81.6 |

- A) Sample Volume ($V_{m, std} = (T_{std} + 460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) * 29.92) =$ 42.872 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 2.570 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{d, std} = (V_{m, std} + (V_{w, std})) =$ 45.442 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_{w, std} / (V_{d, std})) =$ 5.7 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{(\Delta P) (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s)} =$ 35.12 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28) (1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18 (H_2O \% / 100) =$ 29.09 g/gm-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88 (V_s) (T_s + 460) / ((D_n^2) (\Theta) (P_s) (V_m) (T_{std} + 460)) =$ 103.05 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s) (A_s) 60 =$ 21.07 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s) (A_s) ((T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460)) (P_s) (1 - \%H_2O / 100) * 2.005 =$ 13.31 DSCFM
- J) F.H. Particulate Concentration (gr/DSCF) = $mg / V_{m, std} * 0.01543 =$ 0.0002 gr/DSCF
- K) F.H. Particulate Emission Rate = $0.00857 * gr / DSCF * DSCFM =$ 0.000 lbs/hr

000034

EPA 17/114 PARTICULATE & RADIONUCLIDE EMISSION CALCULATIONS

FACILITY: LLNL Bldg. 292 DATE: 8/26/98 METER BOX NO.: ASI 8
 UNIT: GSS Filter Outlet TIME: 1100-1200 PROBE NO.: N.A.
 CONDITION: RTS #2 Waste Feed TEST NO.: 2 NOZZLE NO.: 5E

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------|---|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.99 | Meter Temp., °F | T_m | 80.6 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 35.0 |
| Barometric Press., "Hg | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Press., "H ₂ O | ΔH | 1.820 | Total F.H. Particulate, | mg | 0.52 |
| Static Pressure, "H ₂ O | P_{stat} | -10.00 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, "H ₂ O | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.483 | B.H. Organics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Pressure, "Hg | P_s | 29.16 | Stack Area, Ft ² | A_s | 0.010 | B.H. Inorganics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Temp., °F | T_s | 327.4 | Nozzle Dia., Inches | D_n | 0.309 | Stack Gas O ₂ | O ₂ % | 10.5 |
| Sample Time, mins | Θ | 60.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 0.9593 | Stack Gas CO ₂ | CO ₂ % | 7.00 |
| Std. Temp., °F | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, Ft ³ | V_m | 49.443 | Stack Gas N ₂ | N ₂ % | 82.5 |

- A) Sample Volume ($V_{m,std} = (T_{std}+460) \cdot V_m \cdot Y_d \cdot (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) \cdot 29.92) =$ 46.501 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w,std} = 8.9148E-5 \cdot (T_{std} + 460) \cdot V_w =$ 1.647 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{d,std} = (V_{m,std}) + (V_{w,std}) =$ 48.149 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 \cdot (V_{w,std}) / (V_{d,std}) =$ 3.4 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 \cdot C_p \cdot \sqrt{(\Delta P)} / (T_s + 460 / MW_s \cdot P_s) =$ 39.34 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% \cdot 0.44 + O_2 \% \cdot 0.32 + N_2 \% \cdot 0.28) \cdot (1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18 \cdot (H_2O \% / 100) =$ 29.15 g/gm-mole
- G) % Isokinetic ($I = 9142.88 \cdot (V_d) \cdot (T_s + 460) / ((D_n^2) \cdot (\Theta) \cdot (P_s) \cdot (V_s) \cdot (T_{std} + 460)) =$ 99.87 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s) \cdot (A_s) \cdot 60 =$ 23.61 ACFM
- D) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s) \cdot (A_s) \cdot (T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460) \cdot (P_s) \cdot (1 - \%H_2O / 100) \cdot 2.005 =$ 14.90 DSCFM
- J) F.H. Particulate Concentration (gr/DSCF) = $mg / V_{mstd} \cdot 0.01543 =$ 0.0002 gr/DSCF
- K) F.H. Particulate Emission Rate = $0.00857 \cdot gr / DSCF \cdot DSCFM =$ 0.000 Lbs/hr

EPA 17/114 PARTICULATE & RADIONUCLIDE EMISSION CALCULATIONS

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| FACILITY: | LLNL Bldg. 292 | DATE: | 8/26/98 | METER BOX NO.: | ASI 8 |
| UNIT: | GSS Filter Outlet | TIME: | 1245-1345 | PROBE NO.: | N.A. |
| CONDITION: | RTS #2 Waste Feed | TEST NO.: | 3 | NOZZLE NO.: | 5E |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------|---|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temp., °F | T_m | 81.6 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 40.3 |
| Barometric Press., "Hg | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Press., "H ₂ O | ΔH | 1.490 | Total F.H. Particulate, | mg | 1.50 |
| Static Pressure, "H ₂ O | P_{stat} | -10.00 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, "H ₂ O | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.443 | B.H. Organics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Pressure, "Hg | P_s | 29.16 | Stack Area, Ft ² | A_s | 0.010 | B.H. Inorganics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Temp., °F | T_s | 335.3 | Nozzle Dia., Inches | D_n | 0.309 | Stack Gas O ₂ | O ₂ % | 10.1 |
| Sample Time, mins | Θ | 60.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 0.9593 | Stack Gas CO ₂ | CO ₂ % | 7.30 |
| Std. Temp., °F | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, Ft ³ | V_m | 45.484 | Stack Gas N ₂ | N ₂ % | 82.6 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m, std} = (T_{std} + 460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) * 29.92) =$ 42.664 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 1.897 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{std} = (V_{m, std} + (V_{w, std})) =$ 44.561 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_{w, std} / V_{std}) =$ 4.3 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{(\Delta P) (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s)} =$ 36.31 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28) (1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18 (H_2O \% / 100) =$ 29.08 g/gm-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88 (V_s) (T_s + 460) / ((D_n^2) (\Theta) (P_s) (V_s) (T_{std} + 460)) =$ 101.16 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s) (A_s) 60 =$ 21.78 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s) (A_s) ((T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460)) (P_s) (1 - \%H_2O / 100) * 2.005 =$ 13.50 DSCFM
- J) F.H. Particulate Concentration (gr/DSCF) = $mg / V_{m, std} * 0.01543 =$ 0.0005 gr/DSCF
- K) F.H. Particulate Emission Rate = $0.00857 * gr / DSCF * DSCFM =$ 0.000 Lbs/hr

EPA 17/114 PARTICULATE & RADIONUCLIDE EMISSION CALCULATIONS

FACILITY: LLNL Bldg. 292 DATE: 8/26/98 METER BOX NO.: LSI 1
 UNIT: Catalyst Outlet TIME: 1040-1140 PROBE NO.: N.A.
 CONDITION: Normal TEST NO.: 1 NOZZLE NO.: 41

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-------|---|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| Pitot Factor, | C_p | 0.990 | Meter Temp., °F | T_m | 94.2 | Total H ₂ O Condensed, | V_w | 7.0 |
| Barometric Press., "Hg | P_b | 29.90 | Meter Press., "H ₂ O | ΔH | 1.300 | Total F.H. Particulate, | mg | 0.16 |
| Static Pressure, "H ₂ O | P_{std} | -2.50 | Average $\sqrt{\Delta P}$, "H ₂ O | $\sqrt{\Delta P}$ | 0.0632 | B.H. Organics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Pressure, "Hg | P_s | 29.72 | Stack Area, Ft ² | A_s | 0.087 | B.H. Inorganics, | mg | N.A. |
| Stack Temp., °F | T_s | 390.8 | Nozzle Dia., Inches | D_n | 0.752 | Stack Gas O ₂ | O ₂ % | 10.5 |
| Sample Time, mins | Θ | 60.0 | Meter Factor, | Y_d | 1.0039 | Stack Gas CO ₂ | CO ₂ % | 7.20 |
| Std. Temp., °F | T_{std} | 68 | Sample Volume, Ft ³ | V_m | 34.803 | Stack Gas N ₂ | N ₂ % | 82.3 |

- A) Gas Volume ($V_{m, std} = (T_{std} + 460) * V_m * Y_d * (P_b + \Delta H / 13.6) / ((T_m + 460) * 29.92) =$ 33.371 DSCF
- B) Volume H₂O collected ($V_{w, std} = 8.9148E-5 * (T_{std} + 460) * V_w =$ 0.329 SCF
- C) Total Sample Volume ($V_{t, std} = (V_{m, std}) + (V_{w, std}) =$ 33.701 SCF
- D) Moisture Content (%H₂O) = $100 * (V_{w, std}) / (V_{t, std}) =$ 1.0 %
- E) Stack Gas Velocity ($V_s = 85.49 C_p \sqrt{\Delta P} (T_s + 460 / MW_s P_s) =$ 5.27 ft/sec
- F) Stack Gas Molecular Wt. = $((CO_2 \% * 0.44 + O_2 \% * 0.32 + N_2 \% * 0.28) (1 - H_2O \% / 100)) + 18 (H_2O \% / 100) =$ 29.46 g/gm-mole
- G) % Isokinetic (I) = $9142.88 (V_t) (T_s + 460) / ((D_n^2) (\Theta) (P_s) (V_s) (T_{std} + 460)) =$ 93.38 %
- H) ACFM = $(V_s) (A_s) 60 =$ 27.53 ACFM
- I) Stack Gas Vol. Flow Rate, DSCFM = $(V_s) (A_s) (T_{std} + 460) / (T_s + 460) (P_s) (1 - H_2O / 100) * 2.005 =$ 16.80 DSCFM
- J) F.H. Particulate Concentration (gr/DSCF) = $mg / V_{m, std} * 0.01543 =$ 0.0001 gr/DSCF
- K) F.H. Particulate Emission Rate = $0.00857 * gr / DSCF * DSCFM =$ 0.000 Lbs/hr

HCl Sample Train Meter Volume

Facility: LLNL Bldg. 292
 Unit: GSS Filter Outlet
 Condition: RTS #1 Waste Feed
 Date: 8/19/98
 Time:

| | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| 1a. Uncorrected Meter Volume (Vm) | 0.1185 | 0.1183 | 0.1181 | m ³ |
| 1b. Uncorrected Meter Volume (Vm) | 4.185 | 4.178 | 4.171 | ft ³ |
| 2. Meter Factor (Yd) | 0.9818 | 0.9818 | 0.9818 | |
| 3. Barometric Pressure (Pb) | 29.90 | 29.90 | 29.90 | "Hg |
| 4. Meter Pressure (ΔH) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | "H ₂ O |
| 5. Meter Temperature (Tm) | 78.8 | 83.6 | 84.8 | °F |
| 6. Std. Temperature (Tstd) | 68 | 68 | 68 | °F |
| 7. Impinger H ₂ O Gain (Vw imp) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | g |
| 8. Silica Gel Wt. Gain (Vw sg) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | g |
| 9. Total H ₂ O Gain (Vw) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | g |
| 10. Moisture Vapor (Vw std) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | % |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Std. Meter Volume (Vm std) | 4.024 | 3.981 | 3.966 | DSCF |
| Std. Meter Volume (Vm std) | 0.1139 | 0.1127 | 0.1123 | DSCM |

WHERE:

ft³ = Cubic Feet
 H₂O = Water
 Hg = Mercury
 °F = Fahrenheit
 ml = milliliters
 g = grams
 % = Percent

DSCF = Dry Standard Cubic Foot
 DSCM = Dry Standard Cubic Meter

CALCULATIONS:

$$Vw \text{ std} = 0.00267 * Vw * (Tstd + 460) / 29.92$$

$$Vm \text{ std} = Vm * Yd * (Tstd + 460) * (Pb + (\Delta H/13.6)) / (Tm + 460) / 29.92$$

$$\text{Stack Moisture H}_2\text{O \%} = 100 * Vw \text{ std} / (Vw \text{ std} + Vm \text{ std})$$

000067

HCl Sample Train Meter Volume

Facility: LLNL Bldg. 292
 Unit: GSS Filter Outlet
 Condition: RTS #2 Waste Feed
 Date: 8/26/98
 Time:

| | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| 1a. Uncorrected Meter Volume (Vm) | 0.1247 | 0.1450 | 0.1208 | m ³ |
| 1b. Uncorrected Meter Volume (Vm) | 4.404 | 5.121 | 4.266 | ft ³ |
| 2. Meter Factor (Yd) | 0.9818 | 0.9818 | 0.9818 | |
| 3. Barometric Pressure (Pb) | 29.90 | 29.90 | 29.90 | "Hg |
| 4. Meter Pressure (ΔH) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | "H ₂ O |
| 5. Meter Temperature (Tm) | 80.0 | 81.8 | 83.6 | °F |
| 6. Std. Temperature (Tstd) | 68 | 68 | 68 | °F |
| 7. Impinger H ₂ O Gain (Vw imp) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | g |
| 8. Silica Gel Wt. Gain (Vw sg) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | g |
| 9. Total H ₂ O Gain (Vw) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | g |
| 10. Moisture Vapor (Vw std) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | % |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Std. Meter Volume (Vm std) | 4.225 | 4.896 | 4.066 | DSCF |
| Std. Meter Volume (Vm std) | 0.1196 | 0.1386 | 0.1151 | DSCM |

WHERE:

ft³ = Cubic Feet
 H₂O = Water
 Hg = Mercury
 °F = Fahrenheit
 ml = milliliters
 g = grams
 % = Percent

DSCF = Dry Standard Cubic Foot
 DSCM = Dry Standard Cubic Meter

CALCULATIONS:

$$Vw \text{ std} = 0.00267 * Vw * (Tstd + 460) / 29.92$$

$$Vm \text{ std} = Vm * Yd * (Tstd + 460) * (Pb + (\Delta H/13.6)) / (Tm + 460) / 29.92$$

$$\text{Stack Moisture H}_2\text{O \%} = 100 * Vw \text{ std} / (Vw \text{ std} + Vm \text{ std})$$

000068

36

**APPENDIX B
LAB REPORTS**



ORGANIC DATA PACKAGE
FOR
BEST ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
Project: Livermore

Philip Analytical Services Corporation
5555 North Service Road
Burlington, Ontario L7L 5H7

Submission #8I0098

Prepared by: Ancy Sebastian - CSR
Approved by: Dr. Ron McLeod - Principal Scientist

Initial : AS
Initial : RVM

000070

37

PROJECT NARRATIVE

PHILIP Analytical Services (Burlington ON)

Philip Project: AN980845

Philip Submission #:8I0098

Client: Best Environmental Inc.

Client Project: Livermore

I. SAMPLE RECEIPT/ANALYSIS

a) Sample Listing

| Philip ID | Client Sample ID | Date Sampled | Date Received | Date Prepped | Run Date |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| <i>Polychlorinated Biphenyls via CARB 428 Method</i> | | | | | |
| 043156 98 | Method Blank | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043157 98 | R4M23-TB | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043158 98 | R1M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043159 98 | R2M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043160 98 | R3M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043161 98 | R1M23 Cot Outlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| <i>Semi-Volatiles via SW846 Method 8270</i> | | | | | |
| 043156 98 | Method Blank | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043157 98 | R4M23-TB | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043158 98 | R1M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043159 98 | R2M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043160 98 | R3M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043161 98 | R1M23 Cot Outlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043163 98 | R1M429 BaghouseInlet | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043164 98 | R2M429 BaghouseInlet | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043165 98 | R3M429 BaghouseInlet | 98/08/19 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043166 98 | R1M429 Cat Outlet | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| <i>VOST via SW846 Method 5041A/8260B</i> | | | | | |
| 043187 98 | LLNL Field Blank | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043188 98 | LLNL Trip Blank | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043189 98 | RTS#1/R1/0030 15a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043190 98 | RTS#1/R1/0030 2a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043191 98 | RTS#1/R1/0030 3a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043192 98 | RTS#1/R2/0030 4a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043193 98 | RTS#1/R2/0030 5a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043194 98 | RTS#1/R2/0030 6a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043195 98 | RTS#1/R3/0030 8a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043196 98 | RTS#1/R3/0030 9a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043197 98 | RTS#1/R3/0030 10a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/04 | 98/09/04 |
| 043198 98 | RTS#1/R1CAT 11a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/09 | 98/09/09 |
| 043199 98 | RTS#1/R1CAT 13a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/09 | 98/09/09 |

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 043200 98 | RTS#1/R1CAT 14a/b | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/09 | 98/09/09 |
| 043201 98 | LLNL Field Blank | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/09 | 98/09/09 |
| 043202 98 | RTS#2/R1/0030 23a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/08 | 98/09/08 |
| 043203 98 | RTS#2/R1/0030 22a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/08 | 98/09/08 |
| 043204 98 | RTS#2/R1/0030 21a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/08 | 98/09/08 |
| 043205 98 | RTS#2/R2/0030 20a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/08 | 98/09/08 |
| 043206 98 | RTS#2/R2/0030 19a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/08 | 98/09/08 |
| 043207 98 | RTS#2/R2/0030 18a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/08 | 98/09/08 |
| 043208 98 | RTS#2/R3/0030 17a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/08 | 98/09/08 |
| 043209 98 | RTS#2/R3/0030 16a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/09 | 98/09/09 |
| 043210 98 | RTS#2/R3/0030 1a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/09 | 98/09/09 |
| 043211 98 | RTS#2/R1CAT 2a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/09 | 98/09/09 |
| 043212 98 | RTS#2/R1CAT 3a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/09 | 98/09/09 |
| 043213 98 | RTS#2/R1CAT 4a/b | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/09 | 98/09/09 |

PCDD/F(DB5) via EPA Method 23-Primary Column Analysis

| | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 043156 98 | Method Blank | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/05 |
| 043157 98 | R4M23-TB | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/02 |
| 043158 98 | R1M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/04 |
| 043159 98 | R2M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/04 |
| 043160 98 | R3M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/04 |
| 043161 98 | R1M23 Cot Outlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/07 |
| 043163 98 | R1M429 BaghouseInlet | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/04 |
| 043164 98 | R2M429 BaghouseInlet | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/04 |
| 043165 98 | R3M429 BaghouseInlet | 98/08/19 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/04 |
| 043166 98 | R1M429 Cat Outlet | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/04 |

PCDD/F(DB225) via EPA Method 23-Confirmational Analysis

| | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 043156 98 | Method Blank | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/20 |
| 043157 98 | R4M23-TB | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/20 |
| 043158 98 | R1M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/20 |
| 043159 98 | R2M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/20 |
| 043160 98 | R3M23 Baghouse Inlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/20 |
| 043161 98 | R1M23 Cot Outlet | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/20 |
| 043163 98 | R1M429 BaghouseInlet | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/20 |
| 043164 98 | R2M429 BaghouseInlet | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/20 |
| 043165 98 | R3M429 BaghouseInlet | 98/08/19 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/20 |
| 043166 98 | R1M429 Cat Outlet | 98/08/18 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/27 | 98/10/20 |

Run Date is defined as the date of injection of the last calibration standard (12 hour or less) prior to the samples analyzed within that run sequence. Therefore the time of calibration injection that defines the run date is always within 12 hours of the time of sample injection.

b) Shipping Problems: none encountered

√OST Samples were shipped in the same container as the SV solvents. Therefore significant background of recovery solvents were observed in the VOST samples during analysis.

c) Documentation Problems: none encountered



M23

Certificate of Analysis

CLIENT INFORMATION

Attention: Dan Cartner
Client Name: Best Environmental Inc.
Project: Livermore
Project Desc: Stack Emissions

Address: 15890 Foothill Blvd
 San Leandro, CA
 CA 94578
Fax Number: 510 278 4018
Phone Number: 510 278 4011

LABORATORY INFORMATION

Contact: Ron McLeod
Project: AN980845
Date Received: 98/08/28
Date Reported: 98/10/09

Submission No.: 8I0098
Sample No.: 043156

NOTES: '-' = not analysed '<' = less than Method Detection Limit (MDL) 'NA' = no data available
 LOQ can be determined for all analytes by multiplying the appropriate MDL X 3.33
 Solids data is based on dry weight except for biota analyses.
 Organic analyses are not corrected for extraction recovery standards except for isotope
 dilution methods. (i.e. CARB 429 PAH, all PCDD/F and DBD/DBF analyses)

Methods used by PASC are based upon those found in 'Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater', Nineteenth Edition. Other methods are based on the principles of MISA or EPA methodologies. New York State: ELAP Identification Number 10756.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with normal professional standards using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures except where otherwise agreed to by the client and testing company in writing. Any and all use of these test results shall be limited to the actual cost of the pertinent analysis done. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Your samples will be retained at PASC for a period of three weeks from receipt of data or as per contract.

COMMENTS: Revision 98/10/23: Confirmation Data

Certified by: _____

R. A. [Signature]

Page 1

| Component | Units | Method | Blank | Blank | Blank | Blank |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| | | Blank | Spike #1 | Spike #1 | Spike #2 | Spike #2 |
| <i>Client ID:</i> | | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 |
| <i>Lab No.:</i> | | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| <i>Date Sampled:</i> | | | | | | |
| | | | | % Recoveries | | % Recoveries |
| Total Cl4-Dibenzofurans | pg | 19 | 5300 | 107 | 4900 | 99 |
| Total Cl5-Dibenzofurans | " | <24 | 11000 | 110 | 11000 | 113 |
| Total Cl6-Dibenzofurans | " | <22 | 23000 | 112 | 23000 | 118 |
| Total Cl7-Dibenzofurans | " | <6.3 | 11000 | 110 | 11000 | 105 |
| Cl8-Dibenzofuran | " | <7.1 | 11000 | 110 | 12000 | 117 |
| Total Cl4-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | <19 | 6400 | 127 | 6500 | 130 |
| Total Cl5-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | 62 | 6200 | 124 | 6200 | 124 |
| Total Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | <8.9 | 19000 | 125 | 19000 | 122 |
| Total Cl7-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | <9.8 | 6000 | 120 | 6300 | 125 |
| Cl8-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | 9.6 | 11000 | 110 | 12000 | 116 |
| Internal Recoveries | % | | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-T4CDF-13C-12 | | 68 | 72 | 72 | 70 | 70 |
| 2,3,7,8-T4CDD-13C-12 | | 70 | 71 | 71 | 69 | 69 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-P5CDF-13C-12 | | 81 | 88 | 88 | 74 | 74 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-P5CDD-13C-12 | | 89 | 95 | 95 | 79 | 79 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDF-13C-12 | | 87 | 92 | 92 | 89 | 89 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDD-13C-12 | | 95 | 96 | 96 | 94 | 94 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDF-13C-12 | | 88 | 89 | 89 | 82 | 82 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDD-13C-12 | | 93 | 93 | 93 | 87 | 87 |
| OCDD-13C-12 | | 87 | 89 | 89 | 78 | 78 |
| Surrogate Recoveries | % | | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-T4CDD-37Cl4 | | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-P5CDF-13C-12 | | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDF-13C-12 | | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDD-13C-12 | | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H7CDF-13C-12 | | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Alternate | % | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-H6CDF-13C-12 | | 105 | 102 | 102 | 106 | 106 |
| 2,3,7,8-Cl4-Dibenzofuran (DB5) | pg | 19 | 5300 | 107 | 4900 | 98 |
| 2,3,7,8-Cl4-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <19 | 6400 | 127 | 6500 | 130 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-Cl5-Dibenzofuran | " | <6.9 | 5500 | 109 | 5300 | 106 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-Cl5-Dibenzofuran | " | <25 | 5500 | 111 | 5900 | 119 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-Cl5-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <6.5 | 6200 | 124 | 6200 | 124 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <8.1 | 5100 | 102 | 5600 | 112 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <7.2 | 6200 | 125 | 5900 | 119 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <9.2 | 5700 | 115 | 6500 | 129 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <9.6 | 5300 | 107 | 5500 | 110 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <9.2 | 6000 | 120 | 5500 | 110 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <8.6 | 6400 | 129 | 6400 | 129 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <8.9 | 6200 | 125 | 6400 | 128 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Cl7-Dibenzofuran | " | <5.9 | 6000 | 120 | 5400 | 108 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-Cl7-Dibenzofuran | " | <6.6 | 5300 | 106 | 5100 | 102 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Cl7-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <9.8 | 6000 | 120 | 6300 | 125 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-Cl8-Dibenzofuran | " | <7.1 | 11000 | 110 | 12000 | 117 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-Cl8-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | 9.6 | 11000 | 110 | 12000 | 116 |

| | Method | Blank | Blank | Blank | Blank |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| <i>Client ID:</i> | Blank | Spike #1 | Spike #1 | Spike #2 | Spike #2 |
| <i>Lab No.:</i> | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 |
| <i>Date Sampled:</i> | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| Component | Units | % Recoveries | | % Recoveries | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF (DB225) | pg | 19 | - | - | - |
| Internal Recoveries | % | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C12 | | 56 | - | - | - |

| | | R1M23 Baghouse | R2M23 Baghouse | R3M23 Baghouse | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| <i>Client ID:</i> | R4M23-TB | Inlet | Inlet | Inlet | |
| <i>Lab No.:</i> | 043157 98 | 043158 98 | 043159 98 | 043160 98 | |
| <i>Date Sampled:</i> | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | |
| Component | Units | | | | |
| Total Cl4-Dibenzofurans | pg | 31 | 390 | 220 | 510 |
| Total Cl5-Dibenzofurans | " | 34 | 66 | 120 | 360 |
| Total Cl6-Dibenzofurans | " | <14 | 18 | 31 | 79 |
| Total Cl7-Dibenzofurans | " | <14 | 6.5 | 14 | 23 |
| Cl8-Dibenzofuran | " | <36 | 13 | 8.9 | 9.0 |
| Total Cl4-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | 31 | 100 | 94 | 58 |
| Total Cl5-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | 40 | 24 | 34 | 15 |
| Total Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | <44 | 9.1 | 20 | 10 |
| Total Cl7-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | <23 | 12 | 26 | 13 |
| Cl8-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <52 | 290 | 200 | 230 |
| Internal Recoveries | % | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-T4CDF-13C-12 | | 85 | 86 | 84 | 87 |
| 2,3,7,8-T4CDD-13C-12 | | 74 | 82 | 79 | 77 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-P5CDF-13C-12 | | 80 | 76 | 82 | 76 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-P5CDD-13C-12 | | 85 | 76 | 84 | 74 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDF-13C-12 | | 129 | 114 | 125 | 130 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDD-13C-12 | | 129 | 110 | 104 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDF-13C-12 | | 98 | 88 | 98 | 95 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDD-13C-12 | | 86 | 79 | 90 | 86 |
| OCDD-13C-12 | | 87 | 76 | 87 | 83 |
| Surrogate Recoveries | % | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-T4CDD-37Cl4 | | 95 | 102 | 100 | 98 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-P5CDF-13C-12 | | 108 | 107 | 106 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDF-13C-12 | | 77 | 85 | 82 | 82 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDD-13C-12 | | 73 | 85 | 104 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H7CDF-13C-12 | | 88 | 90 | 91 | 88 |
| Alternate | % | | | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-H6CDF-13C-12 | | 99 | 100 | 101 | 106 |
| 2,3,7,8-Cl4-Dibenzofuran (DB5) | pg | 31 | 60 | 65 | 81 |
| 2,3,7,8-Cl4-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | 31 | 44 | 54 | 45 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-Cl5-Dibenzofuran | " | <22 | 12 | 19 | 52 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-Cl5-Dibenzofuran | " | 35 | 36 | 51 | 52 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-Cl5-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <33 | 15 | 23 | 15 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <13 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 14 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <12 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 12 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <15 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 12 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <15 | <5.1 | 1.4 | 6.7 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <45 | <4.2 | 4.5 | <4.6 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <42 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 5.7 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <44 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.5 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Cl7-Dibenzofuran | " | <14 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 9.9 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-Cl7-Dibenzofuran | " | <15 | <5.0 | <5.1 | 6.2 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Cl7-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <23 | <14 | 15 | 13 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-Cl8-Dibenzofuran | " | <36 | 13 | 8.9 | 9.0 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-Cl8-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <52 | 290 | 200 | 230 |

| | | R1M23 Baghouse | R2M23 Baghouse | R3M23 Baghouse |
|----------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Client ID: | R4M23-TB | Inlet | Inlet | Inlet |
| Lab No.: | 043157 98 | 043158 98 | 043159 98 | 043160 98 |
| Date Sampled: | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| Component | Units | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF (DB225) | pg | 20 | <20 | 30 |
| Internal Recoveries | % | | | 33 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C12 | | 77 | 77 | 74 |
| | | | | 83 |

| | | R1M23 Cot | R1M429 | R2M429 | R3M429 | R1M429 Cat |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| <i>Client ID:</i> | | Outlet | BaghouseInlet | BaghouseInlet | BaghouseInlet | Outlet |
| <i>Lab No.:</i> | | 043161 98 | 043163 98 | 043164 98 | 043165 98 | 043166 98 |
| <i>Date Sampled:</i> | | 98/08/26 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/18 |
| Component | Units | | | | | |
| Total Cl4-Dibenzofurans | pg | 14 | 34 | 35 | 31 | 49 |
| Total Cl5-Dibenzofurans | " | 22 | 58 | 68 | 56 | 94 |
| Total Cl6-Dibenzofurans | " | 5.7 | 16 | 23 | 20 | 22 |
| Total Cl7-Dibenzofurans | " | <4.2 | <4.2 | <6.0 | 9.2 | <11 |
| Cl8-Dibenzofuran | " | <7.1 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 22 | <8.9 |
| Total Cl4-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | 30 | 39 | 50 | 34 | 47 |
| Total Cl5-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | 7.5 | 14 | 21 | 13 | 27 |
| Total Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | <4.0 | <4.1 | 11 | <7.5 | <8.1 |
| Total Cl7-Dibenzo-p-dioxins | " | <4.4 | 8.2 | 18 | 30 | 10 |
| Cl8-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | 9.4 | 22 | 250 | 500 | 97 |
| Internal Recoveries | % | | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-T4CDF-13C-12 | | 93 | 82 | 84 | 80 | 68 |
| 2,3,7,8-T4CDD-13C-12 | | 80 | 74 | 76 | 71 | 60 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-P5CDF-13C-12 | | 84 | 82 | 81 | 80 | 70 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-P5CDD-13C-12 | | 87 | 84 | 82 | 81 | 72 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDF-13C-12 | | 109 | 113 | 107 | 100 | 101 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDD-13C-12 | | 98 | 105 | 102 | 104 | 99 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDF-13C-12 | | 99 | 98 | 95 | 96 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDD-13C-12 | | 93 | 90 | 86 | 89 | 86 |
| OCDD-13C-12 | | 86 | 88 | 85 | 88 | 82 |
| Surrogate Recoveries | % | | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-T4CDD-37Cl4 | | 98 | 97 | 99 | 96 | 97 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-P5CDF-13C-12 | | 105 | 105 | 105 | 106 | 106 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDF-13C-12 | | 95 | 95 | 93 | 98 | 93 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDD-13C-12 | | 107 | 102 | 102 | 101 | 95 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H7CDF-13C-12 | | 93 | 93 | 94 | 98 | 93 |
| Alternate | % | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-H6CDF-13C-12 | | 102 | 103 | 100 | 99 | 97 |
| 2,3,7,8-Cl4-Dibenzofuran (DB5) | pg | 14 | 34 | 35 | 31 | 49 |
| 2,3,7,8-Cl4-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | 30 | 39 | 50 | 34 | 47 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-Cl5-Dibenzofuran | " | 5.0 | 12 | 18 | 13 | 22 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-Cl5-Dibenzofuran | " | 18 | 41 | 51 | 37 | 58 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-Cl5-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | 7.5 | 14 | 21 | 13 | 27 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | 5.5 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.3 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <4.0 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 5.9 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <5.0 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 7.5 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-Cl6-Dibenzofuran | " | <5.2 | <4.5 | <4.6 | <4.9 | <4.2 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <4.2 | <4.2 | 6.3 | <7.7 | <8.4 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <3.9 | <4.0 | 5.0 | <7.2 | <7.8 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-Cl6-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <4.0 | <4.1 | <3.6 | <7.5 | <8.1 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Cl7-Dibenzofuran | " | <3.9 | <4.0 | <5.6 | 8.7 | <10 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-Cl7-Dibenzofuran | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <6.3 | <5.4 | <12 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Cl7-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | <4.4 | 8.2 | 11 | 17 | 10 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-Cl8-Dibenzofuran | " | <7.1 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 22 | <8.9 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-Cl8-Dibenzo-p-dioxin | " | 9.4 | 22 | 250 | 500 | 97 |

| | R1M23 Cot | R1M429 | R2M429 | R3M429 | R1M429 Cat | |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----|
| Client ID: | Outlet | BaghouseInlet | BaghouseInlet | BaghouseInlet | Outlet | |
| Lab No.: | 043161 98 | 043163 98 | 043164 98 | 043165 98 | 043166 98 | |
| Date Sampled: | 98/08/26 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/18 | |
| Component | Units | | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF (DB225) | pg | 13 | 17 | 29 | 21 | 36 |
| Internal Recoveries | % | | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C12 | | 83 | 84 | 84 | 83 | 69 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Batch Code: | 0927FB01 | 0927FB01 | 0927FB01 | 0927FB01 |
| PCDD/F (DB5) | 043156 98 | 043157 98 | 043158 98 | 043161 98 |
| | | | 043159 98 | |
| | | | 043160 98 | |
| | | | 043163 98 | |
| | | | 043164 98 | |
| | | | 043165 98 | |
| | | | 043166 98 | |
| Run Date | 98/10/05 | 98/10/02 | 98/10/04 | 98/10/07 |
| Date of Sample Prep | 98/09/27 | 98/09/27 | 98/09/27 | 98/09/27 |

| | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Batch Code: | 0927FB01 | 0927FB01 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF (DB225) | 043156 98 | 043158 98 |
| | 043157 98 | 043159 98 |
| | | 043160 98 |
| | | 043163 98 |
| | | 043164 98 |
| | | 043165 98 |
| | | 043166 98 |
| | | 043161 98 |
| Run Date | 98/10/20 | 98/10/20 |
| Date of Sample Prep | 98/09/27 | 98/09/27 |

PASC - Certificate of Analysis

| Component | Units | Method | Blank | Blank | Blank | Blank | RIM23 Baghouse | |
|--|-------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | Blank | Spike #1 | Spike #1 | Spike #2 | Spike #2 | R4M23-TB | Inlet |
| | | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043157 98 | 043158 98 |
| | | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| | | | % Recoveries | | | % Recoveries | | |
| Chlorobiphenyls | ug | <0.0090 | 0.83 | 100 | 0.89 | 110 | <0.018 | <0.073 |
| Dichlorobiphenyls | " | <0.016 | 0.95 | 120 | 0.94 | 120 | <0.016 | <0.13 |
| Trichlorobiphenyls | " | <0.013 | 0.78 | 98 | 0.84 | 100 | <0.013 | 0.23 |
| Tetrachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.021 | 0.74 | 92 | 0.74 | 93 | <0.028 | 0.76 |
| Pentachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.041 | 0.79 | 98 | 0.75 | 94 | <0.036 | 0.23 |
| Hexachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.023 | 0.75 | 94 | 0.75 | 94 | <0.025 | <0.038 |
| Heptachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.021 | 0.74 | 92 | 0.75 | 93 | <0.037 | <0.034 |
| Octachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.078 | 0.80 | 100 | 0.90 | 110 | <0.053 | <0.048 |
| Nonachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.028 | 1.00 | 120 | 0.77 | 96 | <0.019 | <0.018 |
| Decachlorobiphenyl | " | <0.028 | 0.82 | 100 | 0.84 | 100 | <0.024 | <0.022 |
| PCB (total) | " | <0.0090 | 8.2 | 100 | 8.2 | 100 | <0.013 | 1.2 |
| Internal Recoveries | % | | | | | | | |
| 4-Chlorobiphenyl-13C6 | | 87 | 94 | 94 | 86 | 86 | 87 | 102 |
| 3,3,5,5-Tetrachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 97 | 110 | 110 | 105 | 105 | 112 | 116 |
| 2,2,3,3,5,5,6,6-Octachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 75 | 85 | 85 | 103 | 103 | 110 | 115 |
| Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 92 | 104 | 104 | 104 | 96 | 109 | 111 |
| Surrogate Recoveries | % | | | | | | | |
| 2,2,3,4,5,5,6-Heptachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | NS | NS | - | NS | - | 3.0 | 100 |

10/15/98 08:57

TX/RX NO. 5080

P. 008

000092

PCB
DATE 42 9

DCT 15 1998 11:52 FR PHILIP ANALYTICAL 505 332 9169 TO 15102784018 P.08/10

PASC - Certificate of Analysis

| | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| | R2M23 Baghouse | R3M23 Baghouse | R1M23 Cot |
| <i>Client ID:</i> | Inlet | Inlet | Outlet |
| <i>Lab No.:</i> | 043159 98 | 043160 98 | 043161 98 |
| <i>Date Sampled:</i> | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |

| Component | Units | | | |
|--|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Chlorobiphenyls | ug | <0.040 | <0.021 | <0.017 |
| Dichlorobiphenyls | " | 0.088 | <0.019 | <0.015 |
| Trichlorobiphenyls | " | <0.016 | <0.030 | <0.018 |
| Tetrachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.053 | <0.035 | <0.054 |
| Pentachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.034 | <0.031 | <0.028 |
| Hexachlorobiphenyls | " | 0.030 | <0.031 | <0.021 |
| Heptachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.017 | <0.035 | <0.018 |
| Octachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.11 | <0.043 | <0.066 |
| Nonachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.038 | <0.021 | <0.027 |
| Decachlorobiphenyl | " | <0.022 | <0.021 | <0.023 |
| PCB (total) | " | 0.12 | <0.019 | <0.015 |
| Internal Recoveries | % | | | |
| 4-Chlorobiphenyl-13C6 | | 97 | 91 | 99 |
| 3,3,5,5-Tetrachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 117 | 115 | 123 |
| 2,2,3,3,5,5,6,6-Octachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 84 | 100 | 85 |
| Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 115 | 119 | 118 |
| Surrogate Recoveries | % | | | |
| 2,2,3,4,5,5,6-Heptachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 101 | 103 | 5.0 |

10/13/98 08:57

TRK DR NO. 5960

2.009

000095

43

Oct 15 1998 11:52 FR PHILIP ANALYTICAL 505 532 5159 70 15182754415 7.05/10

0010
MM5

Certificate of Analysis

CLIENT INFORMATION

Attention: Dan Cartner
Client Name: Best Environmental Inc.
Project: Livermore
Project Desc: Stack Emissions

Address: 15890 Foothill Blvd
 San Leandro, CA
 CA 94578

Fax Number: 510 278 4018

Phone Number: 510 278 4011

LABORATORY INFORMATION

Contact: Ron McLeod
Project: AN980845
Date Received: 98/08/28
Date Reported: 98/10/14

Submission No.: 8I0098
Sample No.: 043156-043161

NOTES: " " = not analysed ' < ' = less than Method Detection Limit (MDL) ' NA ' = no data available
 LOQ can be determined for all analytes by multiplying the appropriate MDL X 3.33
 Solids data is based on dry weight except for biota analyses.
 Organic analyses are not corrected for extraction recovery standards except for isotope
 dilution methods, (i.e. CARB #29 PAH, all PCDD/F and DBD/DBF analyses)

Methods used by PASC are based upon those found in 'Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater', Nineteenth Edition. Other methods are based on the principles of MISA or EPA methodologies. New York State: ELAP Identification Number 10756.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with normal professional standards using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures except where otherwise agreed to by the client and testing company in writing. Any and all use of these test results shall be limited to the actual cost of the pertinent analysis done. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Your samples will be retained at PASC for a period of three weeks from receipt of data or as per contract.

COMMENTS:

NS=Not Spiked

Certified by: 

Page 1

000084



| Component | MDL | Units | Method | Blank | Blank | Blank | Blank | |
|-----------------------------|------|-------|---------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| | | | Blank | Spike #1 | Spike #1 | Spike #2 | Spike #2 | |
| | | | Client ID: | Blank | Spike #1 | Spike #1 | Spike #2 | Spike #2 |
| | | | Lab No.: | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 |
| | | | Date Sampled: | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| | | | | | % Recoveries | | % Recoveries | |
| Aniline | 10.0 | ug | <40 | <40 | NS | <40 | NS | |
| Phenol | 0.3 | " | <1.2 | 120 | 60 | 130 | 64 | |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | NS | <3.2 | NS | |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | 150 | 74 | 160 | 79 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | <6.0 | NS | <6.0 | NS | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | 75 | 75 | 76 | 76 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 1.7 | " | <6.8 | <6.8 | NS | <6.8 | NS | |
| 2-Methylphenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | NS | <4.8 | NS | |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | NS | <4.4 | NS | |
| 4-Methylphenol | 1.6 | " | <6.4 | <6.4 | NS | <6.4 | NS | |
| N-Nitroso-di-N-Propylamine | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | 51 | 51 | 55 | 55 | |
| Hexachloroethane | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | NS | <4.4 | NS | |
| Nitrobenzene | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | NS | <3.2 | NS | |
| Isophorone | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | NS | <4.0 | NS | |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | NS | <4.8 | NS | |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | NS | <40 | NS | |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | NS | <4.8 | NS | |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | NS | <4.8 | NS | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 2.2 | " | <8.8 | 82 | 82 | 82 | NS | |
| 1-Naphthalene | 1.9 | " | <7.6 | <7.6 | NS | <7.6 | NS | |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | NS | <40 | NS | |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | NS | <4.0 | NS | |
| 4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol | 1.4 | " | <5.6 | 150 | 76 | 160 | 78 | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 1.8 | " | <7.2 | <7.2 | NS | <7.2 | NS | |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 10 | " | <40 | <40 | NS | <40 | NS | |
| 1,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | NS | <4.8 | NS | |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 1.7 | " | <6.8 | <6.8 | NS | <6.8 | NS | |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 2.2 | " | <8.8 | <8.8 | NS | <8.8 | NS | |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | NS | <40 | NS | |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 0.7 | " | <2.8 | <2.8 | NS | <2.8 | NS | |
| Acenaphthylene | 1.6 | " | <6.4 | <6.4 | NS | <6.4 | NS | |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | NS | <4.0 | NS | |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 10 | " | <40 | <40 | NS | <40 | NS | |
| Acenaphthene | 2.0 | " | <8.0 | 86 | 86 | 89 | 89 | |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | NS | <40 | NS | |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 10.0 | " | <40 | 140 | 72 | 150 | 75 | |
| Dibenzofuran | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | NS | <40 | NS | |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | 76 | 76 | 31 | 81 | |
| Diethyl phthalate | 0.4 | " | <1.6 | <1.6 | NS | <1.6 | NS | |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | 1.3 | " | <7.2 | <7.2 | NS | <7.2 | NS | |
| Fluorene | 1.3 | " | <5.2 | <5.2 | NS | <5.2 | NS | |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | NS | <40 | NS | |
| 2,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | NS | <4.8 | NS | |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 3.5 | " | <14 | <14 | NS | <14 | NS | |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | <6.0 | NS | <6.0 | NS | |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | NS | <4.0 | NS | |
| Pentachlorophenol | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | |
| Phenanthrene | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | <2.0 | NS | <2.0 | NS | |

| Component | MDL | Units | Method | Blank | Blank | Blank | Blank |
|----------------------------|------|-------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| | | | Blank | Spike #1 | Spike #1 | Spike #2 | Spike #2 |
| <i>Client ID:</i> | | | Blank | Spike #1 | Spike #1 | Spike #2 | Spike #2 |
| <i>Lab No.:</i> | | | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 |
| <i>Date Sampled:</i> | | | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| | | | | | % Recoveries | | % Recoveries |
| Anthracene | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | NS | <4.4 | NS |
| Carbazole | 0.3 | " | <1.2 | <1.2 | NS | <1.2 | NS |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | NS | <4.0 | NS |
| Fluoranthene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | NS | <4.0 | NS |
| Pyrene | 0.5 | " | 1.4 | 87 | 87 | 90 | 90 |
| Benzyl butyl phthalate | 1.3 | " | <5.2 | <5.2 | NS | <5.2 | NS |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | NS | <40 | NS |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | NS | <3.2 | NS |
| Chrysene | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | <2.0 | NS | <2.0 | NS |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 2.0 | " | <8.0 | <8.0 | NS | <8.0 | NS |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 1.3 | " | <5.2 | <5.2 | NS | <5.2 | NS |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | NS | <4.0 | NS |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | NS | <4.4 | NS |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | NS | <3.2 | NS |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 0.6 | " | <2.4 | <2.4 | NS | <2.4 | NS |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | NS | <4.0 | NS |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | 0.7 | " | <2.8 | <2.8 | NS | <2.8 | NS |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorophenol | | | 55 | - | 55 | - | 63 |
| d5-Phenol | | | 64 | - | 68 | - | 75 |
| d5-Nitrobenzene | | | 72 | - | 76 | - | 82 |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | | | 93 | - | 92 | - | 100 |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | | | 81 | - | 83 | - | 92 |
| d-14-p-Terphenyl | | | 89 | - | 91 | - | 95 |
| Field Spike | | % | | | | | |
| 2,6-Dibromo-4-fluorophenol | | | NS | NS | - | NS | - |
| d10-Pyrene | | | NS | NS | - | NS | - |

| Component | MDL | Units | R1M23 Baghouse | | | | R2M23 Baghouse | | R3M23 Baghouse | |
|-----------------------------|------|-------|----------------|------|-----------|------|----------------|------|----------------|--|
| | | | R4M23-TB | | Inlet | | Inlet | | Inlet | |
| | | | Lab No.: | | 043158 98 | | 043159 98 | | 043160 98 | |
| | | | Date Sampled: | | 98/08/26 | | 98/08/26 | | 98/08/26 | |
| Aniline | 10.0 | ug | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | | |
| Phenol | 0.3 | " | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | | |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | | |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 1.7 | " | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | | |
| 2-Methylphenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | | |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | | |
| 4-Methylphenol | 1.6 | " | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | | |
| N-Nitroso-di-N-Propylamine | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | | |
| Hexachloroethane | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | | |
| Nitrobenzene | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | | |
| Isophorone | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | | |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | | |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | | |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | | |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 2.2 | " | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | | |
| 1-Naphthalene | 1.9 | " | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 | | |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | | |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | | |
| 4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol | 1.4 | " | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 1.8 | " | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | | |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 10 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | | |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | | |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 1.7 | " | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | | |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 2.2 | " | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | | |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | | |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 0.7 | " | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | | |
| Acenaphthylene | 1.6 | " | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | | |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | | |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 10 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | | |
| Acenaphthene | 2.0 | " | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 | | |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | | |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | | |
| Dibenzofuran | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | | |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | | |
| Diethyl phthalate | 0.4 | " | <1.6 | 1.0 | 1.9 | <1.6 | <1.6 | <1.6 | | |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | 1.8 | " | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | | |
| Fluorene | 1.3 | " | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | | |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | | |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | | |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 3.5 | " | <14 | <14 | <14 | <14 | <14 | <14 | | |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | | |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | | |
| Pentachlorophenol | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | | |
| Benanthrene | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | | |

| Component | MDL | Units | R1M23 Baghouse | R2M23 Baghouse | R3M23 Baghouse | |
|----------------------------|------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | | Client ID: | Inlet | Inlet | Inlet |
| | | | Lab No.: | 043158 98 | 043159 98 | 043160 98 |
| | | | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | |
| | | | R4M23-TB | | | |
| | | | 043157 98 | | | |
| | | | 98/08/26 | | | |
| Anthracene | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | |
| Carbazole | 0.3 | " | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | 18 | 4.0 | |
| Fluoranthene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | |
| Pyrene | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | |
| Benzyl butyl phthalate | 1.3 | " | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | |
| Chrysene | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 2.0 | " | <8.0 | 15 | 23 | |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 1.3 | " | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 0.6 | " | <2.4 | <2.4 | <2.4 | |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | 0.7 | " | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | | |
| 2-Fluorophenol | | | 79 | 81 | 85 | |
| d5-Phenol | | | 88 | 94 | 86 | |
| d5-Nitrobenzene | | | 77 | 75 | 73 | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | | | 94 | 93 | 91 | |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | | | 67 | 66 | 83 | |
| d-14-p-Terphenyl | | | 91 | 94 | 92 | |
| Field Spike | | % | | | | |
| 2,6-Dibromo-4-fluorophenol | | | 40 | 52 | 92 | |
| d10-Pyrene | | | 83 | 116 | 108 | |
| | | | | | 111 | |

| Component | MDL | Units | R1M23 Cot | R1M429 | R2M429 | R3M429 | R1M429 Cat |
|-----------------------------|------|-------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | | | Outlet | BaghouseInlet | BaghouseInlet | BaghouseInlet | Outlet |
| | | | 043161 98 | 043163 98 | 043164 98 | 043165 98 | 043166 98 |
| | | | Date Sampled: 98/08/26 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/18 |
| Aniline | 10.0 | ug | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| Phenol | 0.3 | " | 8.7 | <4.5 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 1.7 | " | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 |
| 2-Methylphenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| 4-Methylphenol | 1.6 | " | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 |
| N-Nitroso-di-N-Propylamine | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| Hexachloroethane | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| Nitrobenzene | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 |
| Isophorone | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | 9.7 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 2.2 | " | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 |
| Naphthalene | 1.9 | " | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 | <7.6 |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| 4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol | 1.4 | " | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 | <5.6 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 1.8 | " | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 10 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 1.7 | " | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 | <6.8 |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 2.2 | " | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 | <8.8 |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 0.7 | " | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 |
| Acenaphthylene | 1.6 | " | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 10 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| Acenaphthene | 2.0 | " | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 | <8.0 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| 1-benzofuran | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| Diethyl phthalate | 0.4 | " | <1.6 | 9.9 | 15 | 3.1 | <1.6 |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | 1.8 | " | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 | <7.2 |
| Fluorene | 1.3 | " | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 1.2 | " | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 | <4.8 |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 3.5 | " | <14 | <14 | <14 | <14 | <14 |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | 1.6 | " | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 | <6.4 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| 1,2,3,4,5-Pentachlorophenol | 1.5 | " | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 | <6.0 |
| Phenanthrene | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | 19 | 3.7 | 4.0 | <2.0 |

| Component | MDL | Units | R1M23 Cot | R1M429 | R2M429 | R3M429 | R1M429 Cat |
|----------------------------|------|-------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | | | Outlet | BaghouseInlet | BaghouseInlet | BaghouseInlet | Outlet |
| | | | 043161 98 | 043163 98 | 043164 98 | 043165 98 | 043166 98 |
| | | | Date Sampled: | 98/08/26 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/19 |
| Anthracene | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| Carbazole | 0.3 | " | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | 31 | 21 | 9.8 | <4.0 |
| Fluoranthene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | 16 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| Pyrene | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | 9.3 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 |
| Penzyl butyl phthalate | 1.3 | " | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | 10.0 | " | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 | <40 |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 |
| Chrysene | 0.5 | " | <2.0 | 2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 2.0 | " | <8.0 | 21 | 19 | 25 | 11 |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 1.3 | " | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 | <5.2 |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 1.1 | " | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 | <4.4 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 0.8 | " | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 | <3.2 |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 0.6 | " | <2.4 | <2.4 | <2.4 | <2.4 | <2.4 |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | 1.0 | " | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 | <4.0 |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | 0.7 | " | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 | <2.8 |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorophenol | | | 51 | 88 | 84 | 89 | 69 |
| o5-Phenol | | | 66 | 90 | 88 | 90 | 92 |
| m5-Nitrobenzene | | | 70 | 80 | 77 | 82 | 78 |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | | | 87 | 95 | 94 | 94 | 88 |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | | | 88 | 90 | 91 | 91 | 83 |
| 2-14-p-Terphenyl | | | 91 | 89 | 93 | 92 | 84 |
| Field Spike | | % | | | | | |
| 2,6-Dibromo-4-fluorophenol | | | 41 | 71 | 75 | 69 | 32 |
| 110-Pyrene | | | 81 | 83 | 86 | 85 | 73 |

PASC - Certificate of Analysis

| Component | Units | Method | Blank | Blank | Blank | Blank | R1M23 Baghouse | |
|--|-------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| | | Blank | Spike #1 | Spike #1 | Spike #2 | Spike #2 | R4M23-TB | Inlet |
| | | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043156 98 | 043157 98 | 043158 98 |
| | | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| | | | % Recoveries | | % Recoveries | | | |
| Chlorobiphenyls | ug | <0.0090 | 0.83 | 100 | 0.89 | 110 | <0.018 | <0.073 |
| Dichlorobiphenyls | " | <0.016 | 0.95 | 120 | 0.94 | 120 | <0.016 | <0.13 |
| Trichlorobiphenyls | " | <0.013 | 0.78 | 98 | 0.84 | 100 | <0.013 | 0.23 |
| Tetrachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.021 | 0.74 | 92 | 0.74 | 93 | <0.028 | 0.76 |
| Pentachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.041 | 0.79 | 98 | 0.75 | 94 | <0.036 | 0.23 |
| Hexachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.023 | 0.75 | 94 | 0.75 | 94 | <0.025 | <0.038 |
| Heptachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.021 | 0.74 | 92 | 0.75 | 93 | <0.037 | <0.034 |
| Octachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.078 | 0.80 | 100 | 0.90 | 110 | <0.053 | <0.048 |
| Nonachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.028 | 1.00 | 120 | 0.77 | 96 | <0.019 | <0.018 |
| Decachlorobiphenyl | " | <0.028 | 0.82 | 100 | 0.84 | 100 | <0.024 | <0.022 |
| PCB (total) | " | <0.0090 | 8.2 | 100 | 8.2 | 100 | <0.013 | 1.2 |
| Internal Recoveries | % | | | | | | | |
| 4-Chlorobiphenyl-13C6 | | 87 | 94 | 94 | 86 | 86 | 87 | 102 |
| 3,3,5,5-Tetrachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 97 | 110 | 110 | 105 | 105 | 112 | 116 |
| 2,2,3,3,5,5,6,6-Octachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 75 | 85 | 85 | 103 | 103 | 110 | 115 |
| Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 92 | 104 | 104 | 104 | 96 | 109 | 111 |
| Surrogate Recoveries | % | | | | | | | |
| 2,2,3,4,5,5,6-Heptachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | NS | NS | - | NS | - | 3.0 | 100 |

00736

000001
2

PASC - Certificate of Analysis

| Component | Units | R2M23 Baghouse | R3M23 Baghouse | R1M23 Cot |
|--|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | Inlet | Inlet | Outlet |
| <i>Client ID:</i> | | | | |
| <i>Lab No.:</i> | | 043159 98 | 043160 98 | 043161 98 |
| <i>Date Sampled:</i> | | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| Chlorobiphenyls | ug | <0.040 | <0.021 | <0.017 |
| Dichlorobiphenyls | " | 0.088 | <0.019 | <0.015 |
| Trichlorobiphenyls | " | <0.016 | <0.030 | <0.018 |
| Tetrachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.053 | <0.035 | <0.054 |
| Pentachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.034 | <0.031 | <0.028 |
| Hexachlorobiphenyls | " | 0.030 | <0.031 | <0.021 |
| Heptachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.017 | <0.035 | <0.018 |
| Octachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.11 | <0.043 | <0.066 |
| Nonachlorobiphenyls | " | <0.038 | <0.021 | <0.027 |
| Decachlorobiphenyl | " | <0.022 | <0.021 | <0.023 |
| PCB (total) | " | 0.12 | <0.019 | <0.015 |
| Internal Recoveries | % | | | |
| 4-Chlorobiphenyl-13C6 | | 97 | 91 | 99 |
| 3,3,5,5-Tetrachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 117 | 115 | 123 |
| 2,2,3,3,5,5,6,6-Octachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 84 | 100 | 85 |
| Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 115 | 119 | 118 |
| Surrogate Recoveries | % | | | |
| 2,2,3,4,5,5,6-Heptachlorobiphenyl-13C12 | | 101 | 103 | 5.0 |

00737

10/13/98

PASC - Summary of Analysis Pre. Dates

| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Batch Code: | 0927FB01 |
| SVOC | 043156 98 |
| | 043157 98 |
| | 043158 98 |
| | 043159 98 |
| | 043160 98 |
| | 043161 98 |
| | 043163 98 |
| | 043164 98 |
| | 043165 98 |
| | 043166 98 |
| Run Date | 98/01/05 |
| Date of Sample Prep | 98/09/27 |

| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Batch Code: | 0927FB01 |
| PCB | 043156 98 |
| | 043157 98 |
| | 043158 98 |
| | 043159 98 |
| | 043160 98 |
| | 043161 98 |
| Run Date | 98/10/05 |
| Date of Sample Prep | 98/09/27 |

470



VOST
0030

Certificate of Analysis

CLIENT INFORMATION

Attention: Dan Cartner
Client Name: Best Environmental Inc.
Project: Livermore
Project Desc: Stack Emissions

Address: 15890 Foothill Blvd
 San Leandro, CA
 CA 94578

Fax Number: 510 278 4018

Phone Number: 510 278 4011

LABORATORY INFORMATION

Contact: Ron McLeod
Project: AN980845
Date Received: 98/08/28
Date Reported: 98/09/16

Submission No.: 8I0098
Sample No.: 043187-043213

NOTES:

"-" = not analysed "<" = less than Method Detection Limit (MDL) 'NA' = no data available
 LOQ can be determined for all analytes by multiplying the appropriate MDL X 3.33
 Solids data is based on dry weight except for biota analyses.
 Organic analyses are not corrected for extraction recovery standards except for isotope dilution methods. (i.e. CARB 429 PAH, all PCDD/F and DBD/DBF analyses)

Methods used by PASC are based upon those found in 'Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater', Nineteenth Edition. Other methods are based on the principles of MISA or EPA methodologies. New York State: ELAP Identification Number 10756.


All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with normal professional standards using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures except where otherwise agreed to by the client and testing company in writing. Any and all use of these test results shall be limited to the actual cost of the pertinent analysis done. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Your samples will be retained at PASC for a period of three weeks from receipt of data or as per contract.

COMMENTS: Revised Report: 981001

*Estimated value, data above the instrument calibration range. Sample contamination with dichloromethane and acetone is almost certainly related to cross-contamination of the traps with SVOC train solvents shipped in the same container as the VOST samples. Most of the response from these analytes is likely not from the stack source.

**Data uncorrected for recovery of the first internal standard (bromochloromethane, BCM) because of interference from a cyclic C-5 hydrocarbon (likely methylcyclopentane) the BCM peak being suppressed from interference from this peak.

***Data uncorrected for recovery of the first internal standard because of poor recovery of this internal standard.

Certified by: 

Page 1

| | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| | LLNL Field | LLNL Trip | RTS#1/R1/0030 | RTS#1/R1/0030 |
| <i>Client ID:</i> | Blank | Blank | 15a/b | 2a/b |
| <i>Lab No.:</i> | 043187 98 | 043188 98 | 043189 98 | 043190 98 |
| <i>Date Sampled:</i> | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 |

| Component | MDL | Units | LLNL Field | LLNL Trip | RTS#1/R1/0030 | RTS#1/R1/0030 |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| Chloromethane | 0.007 | ug | 0.053 | < | < | 0.23 |
| Bromomethane | 0.005 | " | 0.042 | < | 0.039 | 0.10 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.013 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Chloroethane | 0.007 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.019 | " | 3.2* | 0.54 | 3.5* | 3.3* |
| Acetone | 0.045 | " | 0.41 | < | 1.5* | 2.4* |
| Carbon Disulfide | 0.018 | " | < | < | < | < |
| i,1-Dichloroethene | 0.007 | " | 0.036 | < | 0.14 | 0.082 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.004 | " | < | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene(total) | 0.007 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Chloroform | 0.008 | " | < | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.006 | " | < | < | < | < |
| 2-Butanone | 0.036 | " | < | < | < | < |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | 0.083 | 0.035 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.016 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.011 | " | < | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < | < |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Trichloroethene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.016 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Benzene | 0.009 | " | 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.034 | 0.057 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Bromoform | 0.012 | " | < | < | < | < |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 0.019 | " | < | < | < | < |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.031 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.008 | " | < | < | 0.008 | < |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Toluene | 0.009 | " | 0.019 | < | 0.17 | 0.088 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.006 | " | < | < | 0.010 | 0.007 |
| Styrene | 0.007 | " | < | < | 0.018 | 0.007 |
| Xylene(total) | 0.015 | " | < | < | 0.049 | 0.044 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 0.020 | " | < | < | < | < |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | | |
| 1,1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 107 | 95 | 105 | 109 |
| m3-Toluene | | | 99 | 100 | 98 | 98 |
| Bromofluorobenzene | | | 109 | 113 | 114 | 105 |
| Field Spike | | % | | | | |
| m10-Ethylbenzene | | | 99 | 92 | 98 | 99 |

| Component | MDL | Units | RTS#1/R1/0030 | RTS#1/R2/0030 | RTS#1/R2/0030 |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | | 3a/b | 4a/b | 5a/b |
| Client ID: | | | 3a/b | 4a/b | 5a/b |
| Lab No.: | | | 043191 98 | 043192 98 | 043193 98 |
| Date Sampled: | | | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 |
| Chloromethane | 0.007 | ug | 0.089 | < | 0.33 |
| Bromomethane | 0.005 | " | 0.052 | 0.029 | < |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.013 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroethane | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.019 | " | 3.1* | 2.9* | 1.9* |
| Acetone | 0.045 | " | 3.5* | 4.3* | 4.1* |
| Carbon Disulfide | 0.018 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.007 | " | 0.046 | 0.055 | 0.019 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.004 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene(total) | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroform | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Butanone | 0.036 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.014 | " | 0.019 | < | < |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.011 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.008 | " | < | < | 0.15 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Trichloroethene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Benzene | 0.009 | " | 0.033 | 0.039 | 0.035 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromoform | 0.012 | " | < | < | < |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 0.019 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.031 | " | < | < | < |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Toluene | 0.009 | " | 0.034 | 0.25 | 0.21 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| p-ethylbenzene | 0.006 | " | 0.006 | 0.006 | < |
| Styrene | 0.007 | " | < | 0.008 | < |
| Xylene(total) | 0.015 | " | 0.033 | 0.034 | 0.022 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 0.020 | " | < | < | < |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | |
| d4-1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 108 | 106 | 102 |
| d8-Toluene | | | 98 | 101 | 96 |
| Bromofluorobenzene | | | 114 | 112 | 106 |
| Field Spike | | % | | | |
| 110-Ethylbenzene | | | 99 | 100 | 97 |

| | RTS#1/R2/0030 | RTS#1/R3/0030 | RTS#1/R3/0030 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Client ID: | 6a/b | 8a/b | 9a/b |
| Lab No.: | 043194 98 | 043195 98 | 043196 98 |
| Date Sampled: | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 |

| Component | MDL | Units | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Chloromethane | 0.007 | ug | < | 2.9 | 0.48 |
| Bromomethane | 0.005 | " | < | 0.054 | < |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.013 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroethane | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.019 | " | 1.8* | 2.0* | 0.21 |
| Acetone | 0.045 | " | 3.4* | 0.20 | 0.74 |
| Carbon Disulfide | 0.018 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.007 | " | 0.032 | 0.028 | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.004 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene(total) | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroform | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Butanone | 0.036 | " | 0.19 | < | < |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.014 | " | 0.016 | < | < |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.011 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Trichloroethene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Benzene | 0.009 | " | 0.033 | 0.035 | 0.030 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromoform | 0.012 | " | < | < | < |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 0.019 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.031 | " | < | < | < |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Toluene | 0.009 | " | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.40 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Methylbenzene | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| Styrene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Xylene(total) | 0.015 | " | 0.021 | 0.019 | 0.021 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 0.020 | " | < | < | < |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | |
| o-1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 103 | 109 | 108 |
| o-8-Toluene | | | 98 | 95 | 99 |
| Bromofluorobenzene | | | 112 | 100 | 86 |
| Field Spike | | % | | | |
| d10-Ethylbenzene | | | 98 | 99 | 96 |

| Component | MDL | Units | RTS#1/R3/0030 | RTS#1/R1CAT | RTS#1/R1CAT |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | Client ID: Lab No.: Date Sampled: | 10a/b 043197 98 98/08/18 | 11a/b 043198 98 98/08/18 |
| Chloromethane | 0.007 | ug | 0.56 | 0.074 | 0.052 |
| Bromomethane | 0.005 | " | 0.042 | 0.022 | 0.092 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.013 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroethane | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.019 | " | 2.0* | 1.4* | 1.1* |
| Acetone | 0.045 | " | 0.61 | < | 0.41 |
| Carbon Disulfide | 0.018 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.007 | " | 0.036 | 0.13 | 0.012 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.004 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene(total) | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroform | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Butanone | 0.036 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | 0.25 | < |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.011 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Trichloroethene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Benzene | 0.009 | " | 0.027 | 0.080 | 0.14 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromoform | 0.012 | " | < | < | < |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 0.019 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.031 | " | < | < | < |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.008 | " | < | 0.041 | < |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Toluene | 0.009 | " | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.028 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| Styrene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Xylene(total) | 0.015 | " | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.016 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 0.020 | " | < | < | < |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | |
| 14-1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 113 | 89 | 89 |
| 18-Toluene | | | 102 | 103 | 101 |
| Bromofluorobenzene | | | 111 | 103 | 58 |
| Field Spike | | % | | | |
| 110-Ethylbenzene | | | 90 | 100 | 97 |

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|
| | RTS#1/RICAT | LLNL Field | RTS#2/R1/0030 |
| Client ID: | 14a/b | Blank | 23a/b |
| Lab No.: | 043200 98 | 043201 98 | 043202 98 |
| Date Sampled: | 98/08/18 | 98/08/18 | 98/08/26 |

| Component | MDL | Units | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Chloromethane | 0.007 | ug | 0.052 | < | < |
| Bromomethane | 0.005 | " | 0.058 | 0.009 | 0.035** |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.013 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroethane | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.019 | " | 1.2* | 0.21 | 1.0** |
| Acetone | 0.045 | " | 0.42 | < | 0.56** |
| Carbon Disulfide | 0.018 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.004 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene(total) | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroform | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Butanone | 0.036 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.011 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Benzene | 0.009 | " | 0.10 | 0.015 | 0.29 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromoform | 0.012 | " | < | < | < |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 0.019 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.031 | " | < | < | 0.076 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Toluene | 0.009 | " | 0.060 | < | 0.11 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| Styrene | 0.007 | " | < | < | 0.007 |
| Xylene(total) | 0.015 | " | < | < | 0.027 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 0.020 | " | < | < | < |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | |
| d4-1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 93 | 92 | 74 |
| d3-Toluene | | | 106 | 104 | 93 |
| Bromofluorobenzene | | | 97 | 108 | 107 |
| Field Spike | | % | | | |
| d10-Ethylbenzene | | | 79 | 104 | 95 |

| Component | MDL | Units | RTS#2/R1/0030 | RTS#2/R1/0030 | RTS#2/R2/0030 | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | | Client ID: | 22a/b | 21a/b | 20a/b |
| | | | Lab No.: | 043203 98 | 043204 98 | 043205 98 |
| | | | Date Sampled: | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| Chloromethane | 0.007 | ug | < | < | < | |
| Bromomethane | 0.005 | " | 0.13** | 0.69** | 0.014** | |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.013 | " | < | < | < | |
| Chloroethane | 0.007 | " | < | < | < | |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.019 | " | 2.5**,** | 0.43** | 1.3**,** | |
| Acetone | 0.045 | " | 3.5**,** | 2.8**,** | 3.6**,** | |
| Carbon Disulfide | 0.018 | " | < | < | < | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.007 | " | 0.020** | < | < | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.004 | " | < | < | < | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene(total) | 0.007 | " | < | < | < | |
| Chloroform | 0.008 | " | < | < | < | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.006 | " | < | < | < | |
| 2-Butanone | 0.036 | " | < | < | < | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.016 | " | < | < | < | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.011 | " | < | < | < | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < | |
| Trichloroethene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.016 | " | < | < | < | |
| Benzene | 0.009 | " | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.10 | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < | |
| Bromoform | 0.012 | " | < | < | < | |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 0.019 | " | < | < | < | |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.031 | " | 0.093 | 0.054 | < | |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.008 | " | < | < | < | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < | |
| Toluene | 0.009 | " | 0.062 | 0.036 | 0.039 | |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < | |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.006 | " | 0.006 | < | < | |
| Styrene | 0.007 | " | 0.015 | 0.010 | 0.016 | |
| Xylene(total) | 0.015 | " | 0.034 | < | 0.016 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 0.020 | " | < | < | < | |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | | |
| 14-1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 135** | 127** | 104** | |
| α3-Toluene | | | 98 | 99 | 116 | |
| Bromofluorobenzene | | | 93 | 61 | 101 | |
| Field Spike | | % | | | | |
| d10-Ethylbenzene | | | 95 | 104 | 111 | |

| Component | MDL | Units | RTS#2/R2/0030 | RTS#2/R2/0030 | RTS#2/R3/0030 |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | | Client ID: 19a/b | 18a/b | 17a/b |
| | | | Lab No.: 043206 98 | 043207 98 | 043208 98 |
| | | | Date Sampled: 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| Chloromethane | 0.007 | ug | < | < | < |
| Bromomethane | 0.005 | " | 0.022** | 0.067** | 0.22*** |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.013 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroethane | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.019 | " | 1.8**,** | 1.6**,** | 3.2**,*** |
| Acetone | 0.045 | " | 3.1**,** | 3.0**,** | 4.4**,*** |
| Carbon Disulfide | 0.018 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.007 | " | < | < | 0.25*** |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.004 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene(total) | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroform | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Butanone | 0.036 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.011 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Trichloroethene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromochloromethane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Benzene | 0.009 | " | 0.067 | 0.059 | 0.045 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromoform | 0.012 | " | < | < | < |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 0.019 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.031 | " | < | 0.041 | < |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Toluene | 0.009 | " | 0.037 | 0.027 | 0.022 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| Styrene | 0.007 | " | 0.009 | < | < |
| Xylene(total) | 0.015 | " | 0.015 | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 0.020 | " | < | < | < |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | |
| 1,4-1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 144** | 129** | 142*** |
| 1,3-Toluene | | | 101 | 100 | 102 |
| Bromofluorobenzene | | | 67 | 79 | 107 |
| Field Spike | | % | | | |
| 1,4-Ethylbenzene | | | 105 | 100 | 100 |

| Component | MDL | Units | RTS#2/R3/0030 | RTS#2/R3/0030 | RTS#2/RICAT |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| | | | 16a/b | 1a/b | 2a/b |
| Client ID: | | | 16a/b | 1a/b | 2a/b |
| Lab No.: | | | 043209 98 | 043210 98 | 043211 98 |
| Date Sampled: | | | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| Chloromethane | 0.007 | ug | < | < | 0.15 |
| Bromomethane | 0.005 | " | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.074 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.013 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroethane | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.019 | " | 3.7* | 2.1* | 2.7* |
| Acetone | 0.045 | " | 1.9* | 2.6 | 0.98 |
| Carbon Disulfide | 0.018 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.007 | " | 0.073 | 0.031 | 0.025 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.004 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene(total) | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroform | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Butanone | 0.036 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.011 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.008 | " | 0.035 | 0.17 | < |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Trichloroethene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Benzene | 0.009 | " | 0.80 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromoform | 0.012 | " | < | < | < |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 0.019 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.031 | " | < | < | < |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Toluene | 0.009 | " | 0.081 | 0.052 | 0.17 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.009 | " | 0.024 | 0.059 | < |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.006 | " | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.009 |
| Styrene | 0.007 | " | < | 0.012 | < |
| Xylene(total) | 0.015 | " | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.060 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 0.020 | " | < | < | < |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | |
| d4-1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 153 | 159 | 101 |
| d8-Toluene | | | 98 | 93 | 102 |
| Bromofluorobenzene | | | 105 | 105 | 102 |
| Field Spike | | % | | | |
| d10-Ethylbenzene | | | 94 | 97 | 98 |

| Component | MDL | Units | RTS#2/RICAT | RTS#2/RICAT |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | 3a/b | 4a/b |
| Client ID: | | | 043212 98 | 043213 98 |
| Lab No.: | | | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| Date Sampled: | | | | |
| Chloromethane | 0.007 | ug | 0.14 | 7.6 |
| Bromomethane | 0.005 | " | 0.026 | 0.075 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.013 | " | < | < |
| Chloroethane | 0.007 | " | < | < |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.019 | " | 3.3* | 2.4* |
| Acetone | 0.045 | " | 1.1* | 0.13 |
| Carbon Disulfide | 0.018 | " | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.007 | " | 0.050 | 0.030 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.004 | " | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene(total) | 0.007 | " | < | < |
| Chloroform | 0.008 | " | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.006 | " | < | < |
| 2-Butanone | 0.036 | " | < | < |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.016 | " | < | < |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.011 | " | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.008 | " | < | < |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < |
| Trichloroethene | 0.009 | " | 0.014 | < |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.008 | " | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.016 | " | < | < |
| Benzene | 0.009 | " | 0.092 | 0.077 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < |
| Bromoform | 0.012 | " | < | < |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 0.019 | " | < | < |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.031 | " | < | < |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.008 | " | < | < |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < |
| Toluene | 0.009 | " | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.009 | " | < | < |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.006 | " | 0.012 | 0.007 |
| Styrene | 0.007 | " | 0.010 | < |
| Xylene(total) | 0.015 | " | 0.069 | 0.039 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 0.020 | " | < | < |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | |
| 1,1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 108 | 103 |
| 1,3-Toluene | | | 99 | 101 |
| Bromofluorobenzene | | | 98 | 105 |
| Field Spike | | % | | |
| 1,1,2-Ethylbenzene | | | 95 | 101 |

| Component | MDL | Units | 0904EG01 | 0909EG01 | 0908EG01 |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|
| Batch Code: | | | | | |
| Chloromethane | 0.007 | ug | < | < | < |
| Bromomethane | 0.005 | " | 0.014 | < | 0.006 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.013 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroethane | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.019 | " | < | 0.059 | 0.027 |
| Acetone | 0.045 | " | 0.072 | < | < |
| Carbon Disulfide | 0.018 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.004 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene(total) | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Chloroform | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Butanone | 0.036 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.011 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Trichloroethene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.016 | " | < | < | < |
| Benzene | 0.009 | " | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.012 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Bromoform | 0.012 | " | < | < | < |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 0.019 | " | < | < | < |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.031 | " | < | < | < |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.008 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.014 | " | < | < | < |
| Toluene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.009 | " | < | < | < |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.006 | " | < | < | < |
| Styrene | 0.007 | " | < | < | < |
| Xylene(total) | 0.015 | " | < | < | < |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 0.020 | " | < | < | < |
| Surrogate Recoveries | | % | | | |
| d4-1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 105 | 90 | 86 |
| d8-Toluene | | | 98 | 103 | 104 |
| Bromofluorobenzene | | | 107 | 107 | 110 |
| Field Spike | | % | | | |
| d10-Ethylbenzene | | | 103 | 104 | 97 |

Analytical report

Job Name: LLNL
 Sample Date: 8/18/98
 Request by: R. Best
 Analytical Method: Method # EPA
 Date of Analysis: 8/31/98 to 9/17/98
 Source: ~~Barhouse outlet~~
 235

Analyst: Michael J. Wiley

Signature: 

| Lab ID Number | Sample (ml) | Aliquot (ml) | Parameter | Net Weight gain, (mg) | Result Blank Corrected |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| R1M5 (Probe/Nozzle rinse) | 56.0ml | 56.0ml | Particulate | 0.71mg | 0.11mg |
| R1M5 (Filter) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | -1.18mg | <0.05mg |
| R2M5 (Probe/Nozzle rinse) | 69.0ml | 69.0ml | Particulate | 9.95mg | 9.20mg |
| R2M5 (Filter) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | -0.76mg | <0.05mg |
| R3M5 (Probe/Nozzle rinse) | 67.0ml | 67.0ml | Particulate | 1.70mg | 0.98mg |
| R3M5 (Filter) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | 0.52mg | 0.52mg |
| R4M5 (Acetone blank) | 50.0ml | 50.0ml | Particulate | 0.54mg | |
| R4M5 (Filter blank) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | 0.00mg | |

Comments: < 0.05 mg = Not detected

% Acetone Residue = 0.0014%

Calculations:

Probe/Nozzle rinse = Net weight - ((acetone blank wt. vol. acetone blank) * vol. acetone catch)

% Acetone residue = (New weight gain * 0.1) / (density of acetone * total sample volume)

Tolerance Limits:

% Acetone residue = 0.001% wt.

Particulate weight = 1% of net wt., ±0.5 mg or ±0.25 mg depending upon precision

Analytical report

Job Name: LLNL
Sample Date: 8/19/98
Request by: R. Best
Analytical Method: Method 5 EPA
Date of Analysis: 8/31/98 to 9/17/98
Source: Catalyst outlet *RTS #1*

Analyst: Michael J. Wiley
Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

| Lab ID Number | Sample (ml) | Aliquot (ml) | Parameter | Net Weight gain, (mg) | Result Blank Corrected |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| R1M5 (Probe/Nozzle rinse) | 32.0ml | 32.0ml | Particulate | 0.33mg | 0.50mg |
| R1M5 (Filter) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | 0.09mg | 0.09mg |
| R4M5 (Acetone blank) | 50.0ml | 50.0ml | Particulate | -0.27mg | |
| R4M5 (Filter blank) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | 0.00mg | |

Comments: < 0.05 mg = Not detected

% Acetone Residue = -0.0007%

Calculations:

Probe/Nozzle rinse = Net weight - ((acetone blank wt./vol. acetone blank)*vol. acetone catch)

% Acetone residue = (New weight gain * 0.1) / (density of acetone * total sample volume)

Tolerance Limits: % Acetone residue = 0.001% wt.

Particulate weight = 1% of net wt., ±0.5 mg or ±0.25 mg depending upon precision

000107

GRAVIMETRIC SAMPLE WORKSHEET

Project/Client LLNL
 Test Date(s) 8/18/98

Source Outlet Baghouse
 Analysis Date(s) 8/21/98

Probe/Nozzle Weights

| Run # | Tin # | Sample Residue Wt. (mg) | Sample Vol. (ml) V _{aw} | Acetone Blank Wt. (mg) M _a | Acetone Blank Vol. (ml) V _a | Acetone Blank Correction Wt. (mg) W _a | Net Wt. gain (mg) |
|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|
| R1 Baghouse outlet | 271 | 56 | 0.71 | 50 | 0.54 | 0.60 | 0.11 |
| R2 | 272 | 69 | 0.95 | ↓ | 0.54 | 0.75 | 9.20 |
| R3 | 276 | 67 | 1.70 | ↓ | 0.54 | 0.75 | 0.95 |
| cutout | 277 | 50 | 0.54 | | | | |

Acetone Blank Correction Wt. $W_a = M_a \cdot V_{aw} / V_a$
 Net Wt. gain (mg) = Sample Residue Weight - Blank Correction

Filter Weights

| Run # | Filter # | Tare Wt. (mg) | Gross Wt. (mg) | Net Wt. gain (mg) |
|-------|----------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
| | box 881 | | | -1.18 |
| | box 882 | | | -0.75 |
| | box 9245 | | | 0.92 |

Back-Half (H₂O) Condensable Fraction

| Run # | Container Type/# | Total Sample Volume (ml) V _t | Aliquot Volume (ml) V _a | Aliquot Dry Wt. (mg) M _{al} | Calculated Total Sample Dry Wt. (mg) M _s | Blank Correction Wt. (mg) M _b | Net Wt. gain (mg) |
|-------|------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Total Sample Dry Weight (M_s) = (V_a/V_t) * M_{al}
 Blank Correction Wt. (M_b) = M_s(blank) * (V_t/V_t blank)
 Net Weight = M_s - M_b

Back-Half (Organic) Condensable Fraction

| Run # | Container Type/# | Total Sample Volume (ml) V _t | Aliquot Volume (ml) V _a | Aliquot Dry Wt. (mg) M _{al} | Calculated Total Sample Dry Wt. (mg) M _s | Blank Wt. (mg) M _b | Net Wt. gain (mg) |
|-------|------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Total Sample Dry Weight (M_s) = (V_a/V_t) * M_{al}
 Blank Correction Wt. (M_b) = M_s(blank) * (V_t/V_t blank)
 Net Weight = M_s - M_b

000100
 65

GRAVIMETRIC SAMPLE RECORD

Project/Client LCNL
 Test Date(s) 8/28/98

Source Bdg house outlet
 Method _____

| Project Sample ID | Lab Container Type# | Tare Wt. (g) from Tare Sheet | Gross Wt. (g) | Date | Time | Net Wt. gain (mg) | Initial |
|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| R1MS-F/H | 881 T14 271 | | 2.32124 | 9/1/98 | 13:07 | | |
| | | Date | 2.32122 | 9/4/98 | 16:57 | | |
| | | Wt. | 2.32051 (2.32123) | | | 0.71 | |
| R2MS-F/H | T14 272 | | 2.32454 | 9/1/98 | 13:10 | | |
| | | Date | 2.32451 | 9/4/98 | 16:57 | | |
| | | Wt. | 2.31456 (2.32453) | | | 9.25 | |
| R3MS-F/H | T14 276 | | 2.31226 | 9/1/98 | 13:12 | | |
| | | Date | 2.31226 | 9/4/98 | 16:55 | | |
| | | Wt. | 2.31056 (2.31226) | | | 1.70 | |
| R4MS-F/H | T14 277 | | 2.35942 | 9/1/98 | 13:14 | | |
| | | Date | 2.35937 | 9/4/98 | 16:53 | | |
| | | Wt. | 2.35986 (2.35940) | | | 0.54 | |
| R1MS-F/ter | box 881 | | 0.38248 | 9/1/98 | 13:16 | | |
| | | Date | 0.38245 | 9/4/98 | 17:19 | | |
| | | Wt. | 0.38363 (0.38246) | | | -1.18 | |
| R2MS-F/ter | box 882 | | 0.38556 | 9/1/98 | 13:18 | | |
| | | Date | 0.38512 | 9/4/98 | 17:16 | | |
| | | Wt. | 0.38588 (0.38512) | | | -0.76 | |
| R3MS-F/ter | box 9245 | | 0.36148 | 9/1/98 | 13:20 | | |
| | | Date | 0.36166 | 9/4/98 | 17:15 | | |
| | | Wt. | 0.36116 (0.36162) | 9/8/98 | 11:19 | | |
| | | | 0.36105 | 9/9/98 | 2:40 | | |
| R4MS-F/ter | | | 0.36146 | 9/9/98 | 10:21 | | |
| | | Date | 0.36057 | 9/17/98 | 10:46 | | |
| | | Wt. | 0.36129 (0.36129) | | | 0.52 | |

TARE to 0.0001 ± 5Δ

Final Wt. - either ± 0.0005Δ or 0.005Δ or ± 4% Δ of Net Wt.

GRAVIMETRIC SAMPLE RECORD

Project/Client LLNL
 Test Date(s) 8/19/98

Source cat out
~~Boys~~
 Method

| Project Sample ID | Lab Container Type/# | Tare Wt. (g) from Tare Sheet | Gross Wt. (g) | Date | Time | Net Wt. gain (mg) | Initial |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------|-------|-------------------|--------------------|
| RIMS-BH- | Ten 245 | Date | 2.33524 | 9/23/98 | 12:47 | | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| | | 2.33491 | | | | 0.33 | |
| RIMS-BPH | inl 822 | Date | 0.11014 | 9/23/98 | 12:49 | | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| | | 0.110057 | | | | 0.09 | |
| RIMS-BPH | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| RIMS-BPH | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |

TARE to 0.0001 ± 5Δ
 Final Wt. - either ± 0.0005Δ or 0.005Δ or ± 4% Δ of Net Wt.
 g/reports/mw/97/wtsheet



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-1

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-F/H-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Solid

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 13, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798101- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.1 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.3 | ± 1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Plastic

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-5

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-FILTER-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Air Filter

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 19, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798105- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.00 | ± 0.38 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.96 | ± 1.3 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 2.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000112



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 21, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-9

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-IMP-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Non Potable Water

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 20, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798109- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/L | 20 | ± 4 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/L | 1 | ± 7 |
| Tritium | 906.0 | pCi/L | 3000 | ±300 |

*Results
x 0.260 H
5.2
0.26
780.*

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-2

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R2MS/114-F/H-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Solid

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 13, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798102- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.6 | ± 1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 31 | ± 2 |

Handwritten notes:
RESULTS
X.C. 2/1/10
7.5

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Plastic

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

000111

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated.

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805.659-0910
FAX: 805.626-4172

Office & Laboratory
2530 Stagedoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209.942-0181
FAX: 209.942-0403

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209.734-9478
FAX: 209.734-8408
Modular: 209.737-2339

58



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-6

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R2MS/114-FILTER-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Air Filter

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 19, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798106- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.00 | ± 0.39 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.62 | ± 1.3 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 1.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

000115

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0403

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-8435
Mobile: 209/737-2399



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 21, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-10

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R2MS/114-IMP-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Non Potable Water

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 20, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798110- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/L | 1 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/L | 2 | ± 2 |
| Tritium | 906.0 | pCi/L | 2600 | ±300 |

Results
x 0.112 L/g

0.24
0.48
629.2

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000116

59



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-3

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R3MS/114-F/H-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Solid

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 13, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798103- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.3 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.5 | ± 1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Plastic

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

000117

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA EPLAP Certification No. 1573

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0131
FAX: 209/942-0423
CA EPLAP Certification No. 1553

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-8435
Mobile: 209/737-2399



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-7

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R3MS/114-FILTER-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Air Filter

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 19, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798107- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.12 | ± 0.43 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1.2 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.6 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000116

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 353 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA ELAP (Certificate No. 1557)

Office & Laboratory
2550 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/940-3131
FAX: 209/940-3403
CA ELAP (Certificate No. 1550)

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-9473
Mobile: 209/737-2333

00



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 21, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-11

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R3MS/114-IMP-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Non Potable Water

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 20, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798111- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/L | 1 ± 1 | |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.0 ± 2 | |
| Tritium | 906.0 | pCi/L | 2700 ± 300 | |

Results
X 0.236 liter
0.16
+
037.2

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

000110

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 953 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/625-4172
CA ELAP Certification No. 1577

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0403
CA ELAP Certification No. 1553

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9479
FAX: 209/734-6438
Mobile: 209/787-2399

GRAVIMETRIC SAMPLE WORKSHEET

Project/Client LLNL
 Test Date(s) 8/26/98

Source Bright out
 Analysis Date(s) 8/31/98 to

Probe/Nozzle Weights

| Run # | Tin # | Sample Residue Wt. (mg) | Sample Vol. (ml) V _{aw} | Acetone Blank Wt. (mg) M _a | Acetone Blank Vol. (ml) V _a | Acetone Blank Correction Wt. (mg) W _a | Net Wt. gain (mg) |
|-------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|
| | 295 | SC | 0.04 | -0.27 | SC | -0.27 | 0.31 |
| | 296 | ↓ | 0.25 | -0.27 | ↓ | ↓ | 0.52 |
| | 297 | ↓ | 3.21 | -0.27 | ↓ | ↓ | 3.48 |
| | 299 | | -0.27 | | | | |

Acetone Blank Correction Wt. $W_a = M_a * V_{aw} / V_a$
 Net Wt. gain (mg) = Sample Residue Weight - Blank Correction

Filter Weights

| Run # | Filter # | Tare Wt. (mg) | Gross Wt. (mg) | Net Wt. gain (mg) |
|-------|----------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
| | | | | 0.11 |
| | | | | -0.08 |
| | | | | -0.04 |

Back-Half (H₂O) Condensable Fraction

| Run # | Container Type/# | Total Sample Volume (ml) V _t | Aliquot Volume (ml) V _a | Aliquot Dry Wt. (mg) M _{ai} | Calculated Total Sample Dry Wt. (mg) M _s | Blank Correction Wt. (mg) M _b | Net Wt. gain (mg) |
|-------|------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Total Sample Dry Weight (M_s) = (V_a/V_t) * M_{ai}
 Blank Correction Wt. (M_b) = M_s(blank) * (V_a/V_t blank)
 Net Weight = M_s - M_b

Back-Half (Organic) Condensable Fraction

| Run # | Container Type/# | Total Sample Volume (ml) V _t | Aliquot Volume (ml) V _a | Aliquot Dry Wt. (mg) M _{ai} | Calculated Total Sample Dry Wt. (mg) M _s | Blank Wt. (mg) M _b | Net Wt. gain (mg) |
|-------|------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Total Sample Dry Weight (M_s) = (V_a/V_t) * M_{ai}
 Blank Correction Wt. (M_b) = M_s(blank) * (V_a/V_t blank)
 Net Weight = M_s - M_b

000108

GRAVIMETRIC SAMPLE RECORD

Project/Client LCNL
 Test Date(s) 8/26/98

Source Boothouse OUT
 Method _____

| Project Sample ID | Lab Container Type# | Tare Wt. (g) from Tare Sheet | Gross Wt. (g) | Date | Time | Net Wt. gain (mg) | Initial |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| R1M17-FIH | T.M 295 | | 2.29998 | 9/1/98 | 11:48 | | KJ |
| | | Date | 2.28002 | 9/4/98 | 17:02 | | |
| | | Wt. | 2.29956 <2.30000 | | | 0.04 | |
| R2M17-FIH | T.M 296 | | 2.30513 | 9/1/98 | 11:50 | | KJ |
| | | Date | 2.30517 | 9/4/98 | 17:04 | | |
| | | Wt. | 2.30490 <2.30515 | | | 0.25 | |
| R3M17-FIH | T.M 297 | | 2.32647 | 9/1/98 | 11:52 | | KJ |
| | | Date | 2.32644 | 9/4/98 | 17:06 | | |
| | | Wt. | 2.32625 <2.32646 | | | 0.21 | |
| R4M17-FIH | T.M 299 | | 2.31240 | 9/1/98 | 11:56 | | KJ |
| | | Date | 2.31245 | 9/4/98 | 17:08 | | |
| | | Wt. | 2.31270 <2.31243 | | | -0.27 | |
| R1M17-F.I.H | Cul 829 | | 0.10952 | 9/1/98 | 11:59 | | KJ |
| | | Date | 0.10947 | 9/4/98 | 17:22 | | |
| | | Wt. | 0.10939 <0.10950 | | | 0.11 | |
| R2M17 F.I.H | Cul 830 | | 0.10181 | 9/1/98 | 12:01 | | KJ |
| | | Date | 0.10180 | 9/4/98 | 17:20 | | |
| | | Wt. | 0.10189 <0.10181 | | | -0.08 | |
| R3M17 F.I.H | Cul 833 | | 0.10206 | 9/1/98 | 12:04 | | KJ |
| | | Date | 0.10209 | 9/4/98 | 17:18 | | |
| | | Wt. | 0.10212 <0.10208 | | | -0.04 | |
| | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |

TARE to 0.0001 ± 5Δ

Final Wt. - either ± 0.0005Δ or 0.005Δ or = 4% Δ of Net Wt.

GRAVIMETRIC SAMPLE RECORD

Project/Client LLNL
 Test Date(s) 8/26/98

Source rat outlet
 Method MIT

| Project Sample ID | Lab Container Type/# | Tare Wt. (g) from Tare Sheet | Gross Wt. (g) | Date | Time | Net Wt. gain (mg) | Initial |
|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| R1M17-F1H | T.4 298 | | 2.33148 | 9/11/98 | 11:54 | | JMS |
| | | Date | 2.33149 | 9/4/98 | 17:09 | | |
| | | Wt. | 2.33160 2.33149 | | | -0.11 | |
| R1M17-F1H | W1 828 | | 0.11095 | 9/11/98 | 11:57 | | JMS |
| | | Date | 0.11093 | | | | |
| | | Wt. | 0.11084 0.11094 | | | 0.10 | |
| | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |
| | | Date | | | | | |
| | | Wt. | | | | | |

TARE to 0.0001 = 5Δ

Final Wt. - either = 0.0005Δ or 0.005Δ or = 4% Δ of Net Wt.

Project ID: LLNL
 Analytical Lab: BET

SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY

| # | DATE | TIME | SAMPLE ID Source/Run#/Method/Fraction | CONTAINER size / type | Volums | Storage Temp °F | SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | ANALYSIS | TAT |
|----|---------|------|--|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----|
| 1 | 8/26/98 | | R1M17 - F114 - Catoutlet | 120d | 2d | | catoutlet Run Acetone | | |
| 2 | | | R4M17 - F14 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | R1M17 - Filter | col 828 | - | | catoutlet Run TM 298 | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | R1M17 - Filter | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | R2 " | col 829 | | | Background duffle | | |
| 8 | | | R3 " | col 831 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | col 832 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | R1-3 F114* | 120d | 30d | 68°F | T.M 295 | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | T.Y 296 | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | T.M 297 | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | R4 F114 - Acetone blank | 120d | 8d | | T.M 299 | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | |

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Record & Report all liquid sample volumes.

split into 3 parts

Submit Results to: Attn:

Best Environmental Inc, 15800 Foothill Blvd., San Leandro, CA 94578

Relinquished by: _____ Received by: [Signature] Date: 8/30/98 Time: _____
 Relinquished by: _____ Received by: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____
 Relinquished by: _____ Received by: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____

SAMPLE CONDITION AS RECEIVED: OK or not OK

000
000
000
000
000



ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-16

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-F/H-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Solid

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 13, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798116- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

000132

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1573

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0423
CA ELAP Certification No: 1563

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-8435
Mobile: 209/737-2399



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-20

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-FILTER-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Air Filter

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 19, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798120- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.00 | ± 0.37 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1.2 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.2 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000133

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/625-4170
CA ELAP Certification No: 1570

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0161
FAX: 209/942-0423
CA ELAP Certification No: 1583

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9470
FAX: 209/734-6435
Mobile: 209/737-2339

64



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 21, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-24

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-IMP-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Non Potable Water

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 20, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798124- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.0 | ± 2 |
| Tritium | 906.0 | pCi/L | 732000 | ±3300 |

*Results
x0.072 liter*

199, 104

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-17

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R2MS/114-F/H-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Solid

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 13, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798117- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.4 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.1 | ± 1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Plastic

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000135

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1570

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0403
CA ELAP Certification No: 1583

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9470
FAX: 209/734-2405
Mobile: 209/737-2399

65



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-21

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R2MS/114-FILTER-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Air Filter

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 19, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798121- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.00 | ± 0.34 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1.1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 2 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

000136

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/625-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1573

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0423
CA ELAP Certification No: 1563

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-8435
Mobile: 209/737-2099



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 21, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-25

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R2MS/114-IMP-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Non Potable Water

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 20, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798125-A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.1 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/L | 1 | ± 2 |
| Tritium | 906.0 | pCi/L | 702000 | ±3200 |

*Results
X 0.25 SS H₂O
0.03
0.25
177,606*

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000137

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93361-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/625-4170
CA ELAP Certification No: 1570

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95216
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-3423
CA ELAP Certification No: 1560

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-8470
FAX: 209/734-8405
Mobile: 209/737-0099

lol



ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-18

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R3MS/114-F/H-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Solid

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 13, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798118- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.4 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Plastic

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

000138

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1573

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0423
CA ELAP Certification No: 1563

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-6473
FAX: 209/734-6435
Mobile: 209/737-2339



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-22

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R3MS/114-FILTER-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Air Filter

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 19, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798122- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.00 | ± 0.34 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1.2 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 2 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000199

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/625-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1570

Office & Laboratory
2530 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0423
CA ELAP Certification No: 1568

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-8473
FAX: 209/734-8435
Mobile: 209/737-2399

67



ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 21, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-26

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R3MS/114-IMP-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Non Potable Water

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 20, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798126- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/L | 7 ± 1 | |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.6 ± 2 | |
| Tritium | 906.0 | pCi/L | 727000 ± 3300 | |

Results
X 0.260 liter
1.82
0.16
189,070

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-28

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-F/H-CAT-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Solid

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 13, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798128- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.3 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Plastic

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated.

000141

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1573

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0403
CA ELAP Certification No: 1583

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-2435
Mobile: 209/737-2399

68



ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-29

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-Filter-CAT-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Air Filter

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 19, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798129- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.00 | ± 0.34 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1.1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 1.2 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1573

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0423
CA ELAP Certification No: 1550

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-8408
Mobile: 209/737-2399

000142



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 21, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-30

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-IMP-CAT-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Non Potable Water

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 20, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798130- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.3 | ± 3 |
| Tritium | 906.0 | pCi/L | 46400 | ±900 |

Results
X

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

80798130

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1573

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-7403
CA ELAP Certification No: 1663

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-8438
Mobile: 209/737-3399

69



ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-19

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R4MS/114-F/H-B-OUT-BLK
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Solid

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 13, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798119- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.1 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.2 | ± 1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Plastic

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

000144

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1573

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0423
CA ELAP Certification No: 1563

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-8435
Mobile: 209/737-2389



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-23

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R4MS/114-FILTER-B-OUT-BLK
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Air Filter

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 19, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798123- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.00 | ± 0.37 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1.2 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 1.6 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000140

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/859-0910
FAX: 805/825-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1573

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0400
CA ELAP Certification No: 1668

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-8405
Mobile: 209/737-2398

70



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 21, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-27

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R4MS/114-IMP-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Non Potable Water

Sampled : August 26, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 20, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798127- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.0 | ± 3 |
| Tritium | 906.0 | pCi/L | 1700 | ±300 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

→ x 0.200 liter = 340

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000146

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1573

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0423
CA ELAP Certification No: 1583

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-8405
Mobile: 209/737-2399



ENVIRONMENTAL

Analytical Chemists

October 21, 1998

Radiochemistry Quality Assurance Report for sample: 807981

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578


Actual sample results are contained in the accompanying analytical report(s).

| Constituent | BATCH ID | EPA Method | Units | BLANK QA/QC | | | CALIBRATION QA/QC | | | | | METHOD QA/QC | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|-------------|--------|------|-------------------|-------|-------|--------|------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|
| | | | | DLR | Result | NOTE | Type | Conc. | % REC | AR | NOTE | Type | Conc. | % REC | % REC | AR | % DIF | MAV |
| Gross Alpha | 0A 2B | 900.0 | pCi/cm2 | 0.03 | ND | | LCS | 25.0 | 81.2 | 75-125 | | BS | 25.0 | 100 | 95.2 | 75-125 | 4.9 | 25.0 |
| | 0A 2C | 900.0 | pCi/L | 1.0 | 0.3 | | LCS | 7.12 | 123 | 75-125 | | BS | 20.0 | 78.5 | 95.5 | 70-130 | 19.2 | 25.0 |
| Gross Beta | 0A 2B | 900.0 | pCi/cm2 | 0.05 | ND | | LCS | 86.0 | 98.5 | 75-125 | | BS | 86.0 | 98.8 | 98.0 | 75-125 | 0.8 | 20.0 |
| | 0A 2C | 900.0 | pCi/L | 1.0 | ND | | LCS | 106 | 82.4 | 75-125 | | BS | 22.0 | 95.5 | 85.9 | 75-125 | 10.5 | 25.0 |
| Tritium | 0A 2B | 906.0 | pCi/L | 200 | ND | | LCS | 367 | 98.9 | 75-125 | | BS | 367 | 98.6 | 100 | 75-125 | 1.6 | 20.0 |
| | 0A 2C | 906.0 | pCi/L | 200 | ND | | LCS | 367 | 100 | 75-125 | | BS | 367 | 102 | 101 | 75-125 | 0.8 | 20.0 |
| Gross Alpha | 0A 2A | 900.0 | pCi/L | 1.0 | 0.5 | | LCS | 35.6 | 83.7 | 75-125 | | MS | 400 | 105 | 99.2 | 60-140 | 5.6 | 30.0 |
| Gross Beta | 0A 2A | 900.0 | pCi/L | 1.0 | ND | | LCS | 535 | 103 | 75-125 | | MS | 457 | 102 | 93.4 | 60-140 | 9.1 | 30.0 |
| Tritium | 0A 2A1 | 906.0 | pCi/L | 200 | ND | | LCS | 4000 | 107 | 75-125 | | BS | 4000 | 97.5 | 100 | 75-125 | 2.5 | 20.0 |

FGL ID = 19981006 ND => Not Detected at or above DLR. DLR => Detection Limit for Reporting purposes. N/A => Not Applicable NOTE => See note indicated below.
An explanation of QA terms is provided on the reverse side of this page.

HHF:vl

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.


Kurt Wilkinson, B.S., QA Director

Project ID: L.L.N.L

15890 Foothill Blvd San Leandro, Ca 94578-2101

(510) 278-4011, (510) 278-4018

Analytical Lab: ~~PLF Environmental Services~~

SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY

FGL

FAX

| # | DATE | TIME | SAMPLE ID Source/Run#/Method/Fraction | CONTAINER size / type | Volume | Storage Temp °F | SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | ANALYSIS | TAT |
|----|---------|------|--|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----|
| 1 | 8/18/98 | S | R1MS/114-FIH-B-out | Aluminum can | 271 | 68°F | Acetic acid Probe Nozzle | | |
| 2 | 8/18/98 | S | R2MS/114-FIH-B-out | Aluminum can | 272 | | Acetic acid Probe Nozzle | | |
| 3 | " | S | R3MS/114-FIH-B-out | " | 276 | | " | | |
| 4 | " | S | R4MS/114-FIH-B-out-BLK | " | 277 | | Acetic acid blank | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 8/18/98 | AF | R1MS/114-Filter B-out | 8026 glass | | | Glass fiber filter | | |
| 7 | " | AF | R2MS/114-Filter B-out | " | | | " | | |
| 8 | " | AF | R3MS/114-Filter B-out | " | | | " | | |
| 9 | " | AF | R4MS/114-Filter B-out BLK | " | | | Glass fiber filter blank | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 8/18/98 | WW | R1MS/114-IMP-B-out | Soand Glass | | | Impinger DI water + rinse | | |
| 12 | " | WW | R2MS/114-IMP-B-out | " | | | " | | |
| 13 | " | WW | R3MS/114-IMP-B-out | " | | | " | | |
| 14 | " | WW | R4MS/114-IMP-B-out BLK | " | | | DI water blank | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 8/19/98 | S | R1MS/114-FIH-catout | | | | Acetic acid Probe Nozzle rinse | | |
| 17 | 8/19/98 | AF | R1MS/114-Filter-catout | | | | Glass fiber filter | | |
| 18 | 8/19/98 | WW | R1MS/114-IMP-catout | | | | DI water impinger + rinse | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | |

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Record & Report all liquid sample volumes.

Analysis using Method 9310 (EPA) & 906.0 (EPA)
 Alpha TRITIUM
 (BEA/WW) or other SLD+HD

* Send R1-4MS/114-IMP-B-out
 & R1MS/114 IMP-catout after
 completion of analysis.

Submit Results to: Attn: A. Best | Best Environmental Inc, 15890 Foothill Blvd., San Leandro, CA 94578

Relinquished by: [Signature] Received by: [Signature] Date: 9/23/98 Time: _____
 Relinquished by: UPS Received by: [Signature] Date: 9-25-98 Time: _____
 Relinquished by: _____ Received by: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____

SAMPLE CONDITION AS RECEIVED: OK or not OK

Project ID: LLNL

SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Analytical Lab: ~~Radgas Analytical Services~~ FGI

| # | DATE | TIME | SAMPLE ID Source/Run#/Method/Fraction | CONTAINER size / type | Volume | Storage Temp °F | SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | ANALYSIS | TAT |
|----|---------|------|--|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------|-----|
| 16 | 8/26/98 | S | R1MS/114 - F/H - B-out | Aluminum tm | 295 | | Acetone rinse Probe nozzle | | |
| 17 | 8/26/98 | S | R2MS/114 - F/H - B-out | " " | 296 | | Acetone rinse Probe nozzle | | |
| 18 | " " | S | R3MS/114 - F/H - B-out | " " | 297 | | " " | | |
| 19 | " " | S | R4MS/114 - F/H - B-out-BLK | " " | 299 | | Acetone blank | | |
| 20 | 8/26/98 | AF | R1MS/114 - Filter - B-out | 80± glass | | | Glass fiber filter | | |
| 21 | " " | AF | R2MS/114 - Filter - B-out | " " | | | " " | | |
| 22 | " " | AF | R3MS/114 - Filter - B-out | " " | | | " " | | |
| 23 | " " | AF | R4MS/114 - Filter - B-out-BLK | " " | | | Glass fiber filter blank | | |
| 24 | 8/26/98 | WW | R1MS/114 - IMP - B-out | 50± glass | | | Imp. DI water rinse | | |
| 25 | " " | WW | R2MS/114 - IMP - B-out | " " | | | " " | | |
| 26 | " " | WW | R3MS/114 - IMP - B-out | " " | | | " " | | |
| 27 | " " | WW | R4MS/114 - IMP - B-out - BLK | " " | | | DI water blank | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | 8-26 | AF | R1MS/114 - Filter - cat out | Aluminum tm | 298 | | Acetone Probe nozzle | | |
| 31 | 8-26 | WW | R1MS/114 - Imp - cat out | 80± glass | | | Glass fiber filter | | |
| 32 | 8-26 | WW | R1MS/114 - Imp - cat out | 25± glass | | | DI water imp. rinse | | |
| 33 | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | | | | | | | | | |

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Record & Report all liquid sample volumes.

Analysis using Method 9310 (EPA) & 906.0 EPA

* Send R1-4MS/114-IMP-B-out & R1MS/114-IMP-cat out after completion of analysis.

Submit Results to: Attn: R. B. [Signature]

Best Environmental Inc, 15890 Foothill Blvd., San Leandro, CA 94578

Relinquished by: [Signature] Received by: [Signature] Date: 9/23/98 Time: _____
 Relinquished by: UES Received by: [Signature] Date: 9/25/98 Time: _____
 Relinquished by: _____ Received by: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____

SAMPLE CONDITION AS RECEIVED: OK or not OK



HCL
0051

**INORGANIC DATA PACKAGE
FOR
BEST ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
*Project: Livermore***

**Philip Analytical Services Corporation
5555 North Service Road
Burlington, Ontario L7L 5H7**

Submission #8I0098

Prepared by: Ancy Sebastian - CSR
Approved by: Dr. Ron McLeod - Principal Scientist

Initial : AS
Initial : RM

PROJECT NARRATIVE

PHILIP Analytical Services (Burlington ON)

Philip Project: AN980845

Philip Submission #:8I0098

Client: Best Environmental Inc.

Client Project: Livermore

I. SAMPLE RECEIPT/ANALYSIS

a) Sample Listing

| Philip ID | Client Sample ID | Date Sampled | Date Received | Date Prepped | Run Date |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| <i>Hydrogen Chloride via SW846 Method 26A</i> | | | | | |
| 043167 98 | Method Blank | 98/08/19 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/11 | 98/09/11 |
| 043168 98 | R4M0051-HCl | 98/08/19 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/11 | 98/09/11 |
| 043169 98 | R1M0051-HCl | 98/08/19 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/11 | 98/09/11 |
| 043170 98 | R2M0051-HCl | 98/08/19 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/11 | 98/09/11 |
| 043171 98 | R3M0051-HCl | 98/08/19 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/11 | 98/09/11 |
| 043172 98 | R4M0051-H2SO4 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/11 | 98/09/11 |
| 043173 98 | R1M0051-H2SO4 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/11 | 98/09/11 |
| 043174 98 | R2M0051-H2SO4 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/11 | 98/09/11 |
| 043175 98 | R3M0051-H2SO4 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/11 | 98/09/11 |
| 043176 98 | R1CatOut-HCl/Cl2 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/28 | 98/09/11 | 98/09/11 |

Run Date is defined as the date of injection of the last calibration standard (12 hour or less) prior to the samples analyzed within that run sequence. Therefore the time of calibration injection that defines the run date is always within 12 hours of the time of sample injection.

b) Shipping Problems: none encountered

c) Documentation Problems: none encountered

II. SAMPLE PREP:

No problems encountered

III. SAMPLE ANALYSIS:

See also comments within the appropriate Certificate of Analysis.

a) Hold Times: all within recommended hold times


b) Instrument Calibration: all within control limits

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above.

In addition, I certify, that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the data as reported are true and accurate. Release of the data contained in this data package has been authorized by the cognizant laboratory official or his/her designee, as verified by this signature.



Ronald A. McLeod, Principal Sci., Ph.D, C.Chem



Date



Certificate of Analysis

CLIENT INFORMATION

Attention: Dan Cartner
Client Name: Best Environmental Inc.
Project: Livermore
Project Desc: Stack Emissions

Address: 15890 Foothill Blvd
 San Leandro, CA
 CA 94578

Fax Number: 510 278 4018

Phone Number: 510 278 4011

LABORATORY INFORMATION

Contact: Ron McLeod
Project: AN980845
Date Received: 98/08/28
Date Reported: 98/09/15

Submission No.: 8I0098

Sample No.: 043167-043176

NOTES:

'-' = not analysed '<' = less than Method Detection Limit (MDL) 'NA' = no data available
LOQ can be determined for all analytes by multiplying the appropriate MDL X 3.33
Solids data is based on dry weight except for biota analyses.
Organic analyses are not corrected for extraction recovery standards except for isotope dilution methods. (i.e. CARB 429 PAH, all PCDD/F and DBD/DBF analyses)

Methods used by PASC are based upon those found in 'Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater', Nineteenth Edition. Other methods are based on the principles of MISA or EPA methodologies. New York State: ELAP Identification Number 10756.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with normal professional standards using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures except where otherwise agreed to by the client and testing company in writing. Any and all use of these test results shall be limited to the actual cost of the pertinent analysis done. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Your samples will be retained at PASC for a period of three weeks from receipt of data or as per contract.

COMMENTS:

Certified by: _____

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ron McLeod', written over a horizontal line.

Page 1

000173

74

PASC - Certificate of Analysis

| Component | MDL | Units | Method | Blank | Blank | Blank | Blank | R4M0051 | R4M0051 | R1M0051 | R1M0051 | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | Blank | Spike #1 | Spike #1 | Spike #2 | Spike #2 | HCl | HCl | HCl | HCl | |
| | | | 043167 98 | 043167 98 | 043167 98 | 043167 98 | 043167 98 | 043168 98 | 043168 98 | 043169 98 | 043169 98 | |
| | | | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | |
| | | | % Recoveries | | | | % Recoveries | | Duplicate | | Duplicate | |
| Impinger volume measured | | ml | 100 | - | - | - | - | 21 | - | 46 | - | |
| Hydrogen Chloride | 0.008 | mg | < | 0.37 | 90 | 0.37 | 90 | < | < | < | < | |

191000

90000

PASC - Certificate of Analysis

| Component | MDL | Units | R1M0051 | R1M0051 | R1M0051 | R1M0051 | R2M0051 | R2M0051 | R3M0051 | R3M0051 | R4M0051 | R4M0051 |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | HCl | HCl | HCl | HCl | HCl | HCl | HCl | HCl | H2SO4 | H2SO4 |
| Client ID: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lab No.: | | | 043169 98 | 043169 98 | 043169 98 | 043169 98 | 043170 98 | 043170 98 | 043171 98 | 043171 98 | 043172 98 | 043172 98 |
| Date Sampled: | | | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/19 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| | | | M. Spike | MS % Rec. | MS Dup | MSD % Rec. | | Duplicate | | Duplicate | | Duplicate |
| Inpinger volume measured | | ml | - | - | - | - | 48 | - | 48 | - | 13 | - |
| Hydrogen Chloride | 0.008 | mg | 0.87 | 91 | 0.86 | 91 | < | < | < | < | < | < |

000151
9/14/98

000007

PASC - Certificate of Analysis

| Component | MDL | Units | R1M0051 | R1M0051 | R2M0051 | R2M0051 | R3M0051 | R3M0051 | R1CatOut | R1CatOut |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | H2SO4 | H2SO4 | H2SO4 | H2SO4 | H2SO4 | H2SO4 | HCl/Cl2 | HCl/Cl2 |
| | | | 043173 98 | 043173 98 | 043174 98 | 043174 98 | 043175 98 | 043175 98 | 043176 98 | 043176 98 |
| | | | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 | 98/08/26 |
| | | | Duplicate | | Duplicate | | Duplicate | | Duplicate | |
| Impinger volume measured | | ml | 40 | - | 34 | - | 30 | - | 30 | - |
| Hydrogen Chloride | 0.008 | mg | < | < | < | < | < | < | < | < |

000000

80000

APPENDIX C
FIELD DATA SHEETS

0000158

76

X9:5+32

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 429)

Facility: LLNL

Date: 8/18/98

Run #: 1

Personnel: S.R., MW, JM, RB, DC

| Facility Information | | | | Equipment Information | | | | Sampling Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: <u>FILTER INLET RTS #1</u> | | | | Meter #: <u>2651</u> | Pitot #: <u>-</u> | | | Pbar: <u>30.0</u> | | | |
| Port Dia.: _____ | | Depth: _____ | | Yd: <u>1.0089</u> | Cp: <u>-</u> | | | Pstatic: <u>-11.5</u> | | | |
| Fitting: _____ | | Length: _____ | | ΔH@: <u>1.869</u> | Noz #: <u>5E</u> | | | % O ₂ : _____ | | | |
| Stack Dia: <u>1.375</u> | | Area: <u>6.018</u> | | Filter Box #: <u>4</u> | D _a : <u>.309</u> | | | % CO ₂ : _____ | | | |
| Upstream from disturbance: <u>2</u> | | | | Filter #: <u>-</u> | Mag #: <u>-</u> | | | % H ₂ O: _____ | | | |
| Downstream from disturbance: <u>8</u> | | | | Probe #: <u>2'</u> | Umb #: <u>-</u> | | | Run Mins: <u>180</u> | | | |
| Pyrometer #: _____ | | | | Initial LC: <u>.002 CFM@ 25 Hg</u> | | | | Final LC: <u>.004 CFM@ 12 Hg</u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Pitot LC: <u>✓</u> | | | |

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. Ft ³ | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔP | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | Notes |
|-------|-------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|-------------------|-----|-------|---------------|------------|----------|--------|-----|-------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp | |
| | | 528.206 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10:16 | 528.206 | 76 | 78 | 288 | .19 | 1.904 | .794 | .436 | | | 42 | 8 |
| 2 | 10:26 | 535.3 | 81 | 78 | 286 | .17 | 1.648 | .705 | .436 | | | | |
| 3 | 10:36 | 541.8 | 88 | 80 | 284.4 | .19 | 1.662 | .711 | .436 | | | | |
| 4 | 10:46 | 547.2 | 89 | 80 | 286.2 | .19 | 1.663 | .712 | .436 | | | | |
| 5 | 10:56 | 552.5 | 90 | 80 | 290.3 | .24 | 2.091 | .798 | .490 | | | | |
| 6 | 11:06 | 559.0 | 88 | 80 | 292.1 | .20 | 1.735 | .727 | .447 | | | | |
| 7 | 11:16 | 562.7 | 84 | 80 | 290.0 | .20 | 1.733 | .725 | .447 | | | | |
| 8 | 11:26 | 567.8 | 92 | 82 | 294.4 | .18 | 1.565 | .692 | .424 | | | | |
| 9 | 11:36 | 573.1 | 96 | 82 | 292.1 | .18 | 1.576 | .696 | .424 | | | | |
| 10 | 11:46 | 578.5 | 98 | 84 | 293.2 | .18 | 1.579 | .698 | .424 | | | | |
| 11 | 12:02 | 583.5 | 98 | 84 | 294.4 | .18 | 1.577 | .697 | .424 | | | | |
| 12 | 12:12 | 588.2 | 97 | 86 | 293.7 | .18 | 1.58 | .698 | .424 | | | | |
| 13 | 12:22 | 594.7 | 92 | 84 | 295.7 | .20 | 1.739 | .730 | .447 | | | | |
| 14 | 12:32 | 601.1 | 88 | 84 | 298.0 | .20 | 1.728 | .727 | .447 | | | | |
| 15 | 12:42 | 607.5 | 88 | 84 | 295.5 | .20 | 1.733 | .728 | .447 | | | | |
| 16 | 12:52 | 613.6 | 86 | 82 | 298.0 | .20 | 1.721 | .724 | .447 | | | | |
| 17 | 13:02 | 619.6 | 88 | 84 | 297.3 | .20 | 1.729 | .727 | .447 | | | | |
| 18 | 13:12 | 625.9 | 88 | 82 | 298.4 | .20 | 1.724 | .725 | .447 | | | | |
| 19 | 13:26 | 634.484 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 106.278 | 85.58 | 292.54 | | | 1.705 | | .441 | | | | |

Down 2 1/2 min

Down 1 minute

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-----|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | 135 | | | |
| Impinger #2 | 100 | 186 | 86 | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | 200 | 239 | 39 | |
| Total Net / Rinse: | | (119) | | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | _____ |
| % H ₂ O: | _____ |
| MWs: | _____ |
| MWd: | _____ |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | _____ |
| Flow rate, acfm: | _____ |
| Flow rate, dscfm: | _____ |
| % Isokinetics: | _____ |

121 286
86 186
1010
528.206
811

Comments:

000150

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 429)

Facility: LAURENCE

Date: 8-19-98

Run #: 3

Personnel: JM-DC-RB-MW

| Facility Information | | | | Equipment Information | | | | Sampling Information | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: <u>BAUGHUSE INLET #1</u> | | | | Meter #: <u>25E#2</u> Pitot #: <u>MINI STD</u> | | | | Pbar: <u>29.9</u> | | | |
| Port Dia: _____ | | Depth: _____ | | Yd: <u>1.0089</u> | | Cp: <u>0.99</u> | | Pstatic: <u>~4.0</u> | | | |
| Fitting: _____ | | Length: _____ | | ΔH@: <u>1.869</u> | | Noz #: <u>5E</u> | | % O ₂ : <u>14</u> | | | |
| Stack Dia: <u>1.375</u> | | Area: <u>0.01</u> | | Filter Box #: _____ | | D _i : <u>.309</u> | | % CO ₂ : <u>8</u> | | | |
| Upstream from disturbance: _____ | | | | Filter #: <u>1,2,3</u> | | | | Mag #: _____ | | | |
| Downstream from disturbance: _____ | | | | Probe #: <u>2'</u> | | | | Umb #: _____ | | | |
| Pyrometer #: _____ | | | | Run Mins: <u>180 M</u> | | | | | | | |

Initial LC: .015 CFM@ 17 Hg Final LC: .010 CFM@ 20 Hg

Pitot LC: ✓

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. Ft ³ | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔP | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | V _{is} H _g | Notes |
|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------|---------------|------------|----------|--------|------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | | |
| 1 | 9:13 | 759.612 | 75 | 75 | 300 | .185 | 1.757 | .719 | .430 | 221 | 177 | 10 | | |
| 2 | 9:25:30 | | 82 | 75 | 307 | .175 | 1.658 | .701 | .413 | 219 | 132 | 10 | | |
| 3 | 9:33 | 769.1 | 90 | 78 | 311 | .175 | 1.666 | .706 | .413 | 220 | 132 | 13 | | |
| 4 | 9:40:30 | 774.4 | 90 | 73 | 318 | .175 | 1.651 | .703 | .413 | 222 | 132 | 15 | | |
| 5 | 9:48 | 779.2 | 90 | 73 | 318 | .175 | 1.651 | .703 | .413 | 223 | 132 | 16 | | |
| 6 | 9:55:30 | 783.8 | 88 | 80 | 321 | .175 | 1.645 | .701 | .413 | 223 | 132 | 20 | | |
| 7 | 10:03 | 783.142 | | | 327 | .22 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 10:19 | 788.142 | 80 | 80 | 327 | .22 | 2.037 | .777 | .469 | 219 | 179 | 9 | | |
| 9 | 10:26:30 | 793.6 | 90 | 80 | 329 | .22 | 2.050 | .783 | .469 | 224 | 132 | 11 | | |
| 10 | 10:34 | 798.6 | 96 | 80 | 330 | .22 | 2.059 | .787 | .469 | 226 | 132 | | | |
| 11 | 10:41:30 | 803.5 | 94 | 82 | 331 | .22 | 2.056 | .786 | .469 | 229 | 132 | 14 | | |
| 12 | 10:49 | 808.5 | 92 | 81 | 332 | .22 | 2.043 | .784 | .469 | 229 | 132 | 17 | | |
| 13 | 10:56:30 | 813.3 | 90 | 81 | 333 | .22 | 2.042 | .782 | .469 | 228 | 132 | 19 | | |
| 14 | 11:04 | 817.492 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 11:15 | 817.492 | 82 | 82 | 334 | .22 | 2.021 | .775 | .469 | 213 | 139 | 9 | | |
| 16 | 11:22:30 | 822.8 | 92 | 82 | 338 | .22 | 2.035 | .782 | .469 | 223 | 132 | 11 | | |
| 17 | 11:30 | 828.0 | 96 | 82 | 339 | .22 | 2.040 | .784 | .469 | 236 | 132 | 14 | | |
| 18 | 11:37:30 | 833.0 | 94 | 82 | 341 | .22 | 2.031 | .782 | .469 | 239 | 132 | 16 | | |
| 19 | 11:45 | 837.8 | 92 | 83 | 342 | .22 | 2.026 | .780 | .469 | 238 | 132 | 19 | | |
| 20 | 11:52:30 | 842.5 | 88 | 83 | 344 | .22 | 2.044 | .777 | .469 | 239 | 133 | 20 | | |
| 21 | 12:00 | 846.767 | 81 | 81 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | 12:20 | 852.0 | 81 | 81 | 344 | .22 | 1.997 | .770 | .469 | 221 | 175 | 7 | | |
| 23 | 12:27:30 | 852.0 | 92 | 81 | 344 | .22 | 2.013 | .778 | .469 | 237 | 139 | 12 | | |
| 24 | 12:35 | 857.2 | 96 | 82 | 345 | .22 | 2.024 | .781 | .469 | | | 13 | | |
| 25 | 12:42:30 | 861.8 | 95 | 82 | 347 | .22 | 2.018 | .779 | .469 | 240 | 133 | 14 | | |
| | 12:50 | 866.2 | 94 | 82 | 347 | .22 | 2.016 | .779 | .469 | 239 | 132 | 15 | | |
| | 12:00:30 | 870.2 | 89 | 83 | 348 | .22 | 2.006 | .775 | .469 | 223 | 132 | 16 | | |
| | 13:08 | 874.510 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

114.898
81.4
317.9
1.856
.437

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|------|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | 150 | 190 | | |
| Impinger #2 | 150 | 180 | 30 | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | 24 | 233.5 | 32.5 | |
| Total Net / Rinse: | | 112.5 | | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | 114.263 |
| % H ₂ O: | 4.4 |
| MWs: | 24.31 |
| MWd: | |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | 34.99 |
| Flow rate, acfm: | 21.65 |
| Flow rate, dscfm: | 14.0 |
| % Isokinetics: | 39.9 |

Comments: 10:03 STOPPED TRAIN FOR FILTER CHANGE ✓

000101

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method MMS)

Facility: LLNL

Date: 8/18/98

Run #: 1

Personnel: DC

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | | | Sampling Information | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|--|
| Location: <u>Cat. Out RTS #1</u> | | Meter #: <u>LS1-1</u> | Pitot #: <u>-</u> | | | Pbar: <u>29.9</u> | |
| Port Dia: <u>1/2"</u> | Depth: <u>-</u> | Yd: <u>1.0039</u> | Cp: <u>.97</u> | | | Pstatic: <u>-2.5"</u> | |
| Fitting: <u>-</u> | Length: <u>-</u> | ΔH@: <u>2.196</u> | Noz #: <u>3</u> | | | % O ₂ : <u>20</u> | |
| Stack Dia: <u>4.0</u> | Area: <u>.08</u> | Filter Box #: <u>3</u> | D _s : <u>3/8</u> | | | % CO ₂ : <u>-</u> | |
| Upstream from disturbance: <u>-</u> | | Filter #: <u>-</u> | Mag. #: <u>-</u> | | | % H ₂ O: <u>-</u> | |
| Downstream from disturbance: <u>-</u> | | Probe #: <u>3</u> | Umb. #: <u>-</u> | | | Run Mins: <u>-</u> | |
| | | Pyrometer #: <u>-</u> | | | | | |

Initial LC: .004 CFM@ 25" Hg

Final LC: .006 CFM@ 15" Hg

Pitot LC: -

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. Ft ³ | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔP | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | V _{sc} "Hg | Notes |
|-------|------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----|-------------------|----|----|---------------|------------|----------|--------|------|------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | In. | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | | |
| | 1428 | 106.053 | 80 | 75 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1438 | 114.9 | 95 | 78 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1448 | 123.9 | 104 | 84 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1458 | 132.8 | 110 | 90 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1508 | 141.8 | 111 | 91 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1518 | 150.8 | 114 | 94 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1528 | 159.9 | 116 | 96 | | | | | | | | | 14 | 15.0 |
| | 1538 | 168.8 | 118 | 97 | | | | | | | | | 14 | 13.7 SCFM |
| | 1548 | 177.8 | 120 | 100 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1558 | 186.9 | 120 | 100 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1608 | 197.0 | 120 | 100 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1618 | | 120 | 100 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1628 | 26 | 120 | 100 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1638 | | 120 | 100 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 48 | | 120 | 100 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 58 | | 120 | 100 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 08 | | 120 | 100 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 18 | | 120 | 100 | | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 1726 | 266.433 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

110.435 104.4

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | 100 | | 142 | |
| Impinger #2 | 100 | | 142 | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | 200 | 1238 | 38 | |
| Total Net / Rinse: | | | 38 | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | _____ |
| % H ₂ O: | _____ |
| MWs: | _____ |
| MWd: | _____ |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | _____ |
| Flow rate, acfm: | _____ |
| Flow rate, dscfm: | _____ |
| % Isokinetics: | _____ |

Comments:

000102

28


Facility: LLNL Date: 8-26-98 Method: #19 23 Run #: 1

| Facility Information | | Equipment | | Sampling Data | |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Location: <u>GSS Inlet R52</u> | | Meter #: <u>2</u> | Pitot #: <u>Std</u> | PBar: <u>29.9</u> | P Static: <u>-10.0</u> |
| Port Dia. | Depth: | Meter Yd: <u>1.0089</u> | Pitot Cp: <u>99</u> | %O ₂ Ass. | %O ₂ Actual: |
| Fitting Type: | Length: | ΔH@: <u>1.869</u> | Noz. #: <u>Q50</u> | %CO ₂ Ass. | %CO ₂ Actual: |
| Stack Dia. <u>1.375</u> | Area: | Filter #: | Noz. Dia. <u>3/4</u> | %H ₂ O Ass. | Pitot LC: |
| Upstream Dist. <u>2</u> | | Probe #: | | Test Mins. <u>180</u> | Personnel: <u>PR, DC, JR, RB</u> |
| Downstream Dist. <u>8</u> | | Initial LC: <u>.001 CFM @ 20 °Hg</u> | Final LC: <u>.001 CFM @ 20 °Hg</u> | | |

Down 13 min. *

| Point | Clock Time | Gas Meter Vol. Ft ³ | Meter °F | | Stack Temp °F | Cyclonic Angle | Cos | AP | Avg AP @ pts. | ΔH setting | Meter CFM | SQRT ΔP | Imp °F | Vac. °Hg | Dwell Mins. |
|-------|------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------|---------------|----------------|-----|--------|---------------|------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|-------------|
| | | | Inlet | Outlet | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 875 874.850 | | | | | | | | | | | 42 | | |
| 1 | 9:03 | 883.3 | 77 | 74 | 298.2 | | | .16 | 1.410 | .650 | .400 | | 20 | | |
| 2 | 9:13 | 889.9 | 78 | 74 | 309.9 | | | .17 | 1.474 | .669 | .412 | | 7 | | |
| 3 | 9:23 | 896.4 | 70 | 76 | 305.9 | | | .17 | 1.470 | .670 | .412 | | | | |
| 4 | 9:33 | 902.4 | 80 | 76 | 309.7 | | | .17 | 1.482 | .668 | .412 | | | | |
| 5 | 10:06 | 910.7 | 88 | 78 | 316.6 | | | .24 | 3.122 | .970 | .490 | | | | |
| 6 | 10:16 | 919.4 | 94 | 78 | 318.4 | | | .25 | 3.263 | .994 | .500 | | | | |
| 7 | 10:26 | 929.1 | 90 | 80 | 321.4 | | | .22 | 2.855 | .930 | .469 | | | | |
| 8 | 10:36 | 938.5 | 90 | 80 | 320.9 | | | .22 | 2.857 | .930 | .469 | | | | |
| 9 | 10:46 | 948.4 | 90 | 80 | 322.3 | | | .22 | 2.852 | .929 | .469 | | | | |
| 10 | 10:56 | 958.1 | 90 | 80 | 323.8 | | | .21 | 2.717 | .907 | .458 | | | | |
| 11 | 11:06 | 967.7 | 92 | 81 | 325.0 | | | .22 | 2.850 | .930 | .469 | | | | |
| 12 | 11:16 | 977.5 | 92 | 82 | 326.8 | | | .24 | 3.105 | .971 | .490 | | | | |
| 13 | 11:26 | 987.2 | 92 | 82 | 328.1 | | | .24 | 3.385 | 1.013 | .490 | | | | |
| 14 | 11:36 | 996.8 | 94 | 82 | 329.2 | | | .24 | 3.387 | 1.014 | .490 | | | | |
| 15 | 11:46 | 006.5 | 94 | 82 | 330.3 | | | .24 | 3.382 | 1.013 | .490 | | | | |
| 16 | 11:56 | 016.2 | 94 | 84 | 330.6 | | | .24 | 3.387 | 1.015 | .490 | | | | |
| 17 | 12:06 | 025.9 | 94 | 84 | 331.3 | | | .24 | 3.384 | 1.015 | .490 | | | | |
| 18 | 12:16 | 035.520 | 94 | 84 | 331.9 | | | .24 | 3.381 | 1.014 | .490 | | | | |
| | | (60.670) | (84.44) | (320.6) | | | | (2.77) | (.466) | | | | | | |

| MOISTURE DATA | | | |
|---------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net |
| Impinger #1 | 100 | 6 | 94 |
| Impinger #2 | 100 | 171 | 71 |
| Impinger #3 | | | |
| Impinger #4 | | | |
| Silica Gel | 200 | 20.2 | 179.8 |
| TOTAL | | | 172.5 |



| FIELD CALCULATIONS | |
|----------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol. dscf = | _____ |
| % H ₂ O = | _____ |
| MWs = | _____ |
| Stack Vel. (ft/s) = | _____ |
| Flowrate (acfm) = | _____ |
| Flowrate (dscfm) = | _____ |
| Isokinetics (%) = | _____ |

COMMENTS: Cyclonic Dwell = Test Duration * COS / SUM COS
 MS01 & Cyclonic Dwell = Test Duration * (COS / AVG COS) * SQRT ΔP / Tot SQRT ΔP @ pts. sampled

WPCB Spike

000153

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 423)

Facility: LLNL

Date: 8-26-88

Run #: 3

Personnel: DC, MW

| Facility Information | | | | Equipment Information | | | | Sampling Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Location: <u>FILTER INLET</u> | | | | Meter #: <u>LSI#2</u> Pitot #: | | | | Pbar: <u>27.9</u> | | | |
| Port Dia: _____ | | Depth: _____ | | Yd: <u>1.0089</u> | | Cp: _____ | | Pstatic: <u>-16</u> | | % O ₂ : _____ | |
| Fitting: _____ | | Length: _____ | | ΔH@: <u>1.869</u> | | Noz. #: <u>5B</u> | | % CO ₂ : _____ | | % H ₂ O: _____ | |
| Stack Dia: <u>1.375</u> | | Area: _____ | | Filter Box #: _____ | | D _n : <u>.304</u> | | Run Mins: _____ | | _____ | |
| Upstream from disturbance: <u>2</u> | | _____ | | Filter #: _____ | | Mag. #: _____ | | _____ | | _____ | |
| Downstream from disturbance: <u>8</u> | | _____ | | Probe #: _____ | | Umb. #: _____ | | _____ | | _____ | |
| Pyrometer #: _____ | | | | _____ | | | | _____ | | | |

Initial LC: .001 CFM@ 20 °Hg

Final LC: .004 CFM@ 20 °Hg

Pitot LC: _____

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. Ft ³ | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔP .205 | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | Vac. Hg | Notes |
|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------|-------------------|------------|-------|---------------|------------|----------|--------|------|------------|-------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | | |
| 1 | 16:36 | 168.408 | 81 | 82 | 284 | | 1.965 | .769 | .453 | | | | 17 | |
| 2 | 16:43:30 | 174.1 | 87 | 81 | 283 | .20 | 1.928 | .763 | .447 | | | | | |
| 3 | 16:50 | | 87 | 82 | 284 | .195 | 1.879 | .754 | .442 | | | | 17 | |
| 4 | 16:59:30 | 183.4 | 90 | 82 | 285 | .195 | 1.832 | .755 | .442 | | | | | |
| 5 | 17:06 | 188.8 | 83 | 82 | 285 | .19 | 1.830 | .744 | .436 | | | | 17 | |
| 6 | 17:13:30 | SHUT DOWN | 85 | 82 | 286 | .19 | 1.823 | .742 | .436 | | | | | |
| 7 | 17:28 | 189.343 | 84 | 81 | 287 | .19 | 1.817 | .740 | .436 | | | | 20 | |
| 8 | 17:25:30 | 198.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 17:33 | 201.902 | SHUT | DOWN | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 17:42 | 201.902 | 81 | 81 | 290 | .20 | 1.900 | .755 | .447 | | | | 13 | |
| 11 | 17:48:30 | 203.1 | 90 | 81 | 297 | .195 | 1.875 | .754 | .442 | | | | 16 | |
| 12 | 17:57 | 214.1 | 92 | 81 | 289 | .19 | 1.826 | .744 | .436 | | | | | |
| 13 | 18:04:30 | 219.9 | 92 | 82 | 290 | .20 | 1.921 | .764 | .447 | | | | | |
| 14 | 18:18 | 225.7 | 91 | 82 | 292 | .21 | 2.010 | .781 | .458 | | | | 17 | |
| 15 | 18:23:30 | 230.4 | 89 | 82 | 293 | .20 | 1.903 | .760 | .447 | | | | 17 | |
| 16 | 18:31 | 235.5 | 88 | 82 | 293 | .20 | 1.906 | .760 | .447 | | | | | |
| 17 | 18:38:30 | 239.7 | 87 | 82 | 294 | .20 | 1.902 | .758 | .447 | | | | 19 | |
| 18 | 18:46 | 243.7 | 86 | 82 | 295 | .20 | 1.898 | .757 | .447 | | | | | |
| 19 | 18:53:30 | 248.0 | 86 | 82 | 296 | .20 | 1.895 | .757 | .447 | | | | 20 | |
| 20 | 19:01 | 252.3 | 85 | 81 | 298 | .20 | 1.887 | .754 | .447 | | | | 20 | |
| 21 | 19:08:30 | 256.6 | 85 | 81 | 297 | .20 | 1.889 | .755 | .447 | | | | 20 | |
| 22 | 19:16 | 260.385 | 85 | 81 | 300 | .22 | 2.070 | .790 | .469 | | | | 20 | |
| 23 | 19:24:30 | 264.6 | 85 | 81 | 300 | .22 | 2.070 | .790 | .469 | | | | 20 | |
| 24 | 19:32 | 268.1 | 85 | 81 | 300 | .22 | 2.070 | .790 | .469 | | | | 20 | |
| 25 | 19:39:30 | 271.7 | 84 | 81 | 300 | .22 | 2.068 | .789 | .469 | | | | 20 | |
| 26 | 19:47 | 275.4 | 86 | 81 | 301 | .22 | 2.069 | .790 | .469 | | | | 20 | |
| 27 | 19:54:30 | 278.6 | 86 | 81 | 301 | .22 | 2.069 | .790 | .469 | | | | 20 | |
| STOP | 20:02 | 282.532 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 114.124 | 84.1 | | 292.1 | | 1.929 | | .450 | | | | | |

37:30

SD
SU
15:00

DOWN

4 MIN SHUT DOWN

SHUT DOWN
1 MIN

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-----|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | | | | |
| Impinger #2 | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | 100 | 196 | 96 | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | 200 | 240 | 40 | |
| Total Net/Rinse: | | | 136 | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

37:30
15
52:30
75
130

-1.5 @ 13:16

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | _____ |
| % H ₂ O: | _____ |
| MWs: | _____ |
| MWd: | _____ |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | _____ |
| Flow rate, acfm: | _____ |
| Flow rate, dscfm: | _____ |
| % Isokinetics: | _____ |

Comments:

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 0030)

Facility: LLNL MSD Date: 8-18-98 Run #: 1 Personnel: RB

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | Sampling Information | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| Location: <u>Boysen Outlet</u> | Meter #: <u>2900</u> | Pitot #: | Pbar: <u>29.9</u> | | |
| Port Dia: <u>1.375</u> Depth: | Yd: <u>9818</u> | Cp: | Pstatic: | | |
| Fitting: Length: | ΔH@: | Noz #: | % O ₂ : | | |
| Stack Dia: <u>1.375</u> Area: | Filter Box #: | D _a : | % CO ₂ : | | |
| Upstream from disturbance: <u>2</u> | Filter #: | Mag #: | % H ₂ O: | | |
| Downstream from disturbance: <u>78</u> | Probe #: | Umb. #: | Run Mins: | | |
| Pyrometer #: | | | | | |
| Initial LC: <u>CFM@</u> | Final LC: <u>CFM@</u> | *Hg: | Pitot LC: <u>N/A</u> | | |

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | V _{sc} Hg |
|-------|------|----------------|----------------|-----|----------------|----|------------|---------|----------|--------|------|--------------------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imm. | |
| 1058 | | 15.4 | 74 | 74 | 54 | | | | | | | 15a |
| 1104 | | 51.0 | 74 | 73 | 51 | | | | | | | 12b |
| 1109 | | 20.0 | 75 | 73 | 54 | | | | | | | |
| 1119 | | 31.2 | 76 | 73 | 55 | | | | | | | |
| Stop | 1119 | 35.2 | | | | | | | | | | LC |
| 1127 | | 55.6 | 76 | 75 | 51 | | | | | | | 2a 2b |
| 1132 | | 70.1 | 78 | 75 | 52 | | | | | | | |
| 1137 | | 45.0 | 78 | 75 | 53 | | | | | | | |
| 1172 | | 77.7 | 79 | 76 | 54 | | | | | | | |
| End | 1191 | 54.3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1155 | | 54.6 | 78 | 77 | 55 | | | | | | | 3a |
| 1200 | | 57.5 | 80 | 77 | 54 | | | | | | | 3b |
| 1205 | | 64.4 | 80 | 77 | 52 | | | | | | | |
| 1210 | | 69.3 | 80 | 77 | 52 | | | | | | | LC |
| End | 1215 | 75.1 | | | | | | | | | | OK |
| | | 59.0 | 76.3 | | | | | | | | | |

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-----|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | | | | |
| Impinger #2 | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | | | | |
| Total Net / Rinse: | | | | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Sample Vol., gscf: | std 1.07 = 58.8 |
| % H ₂ O: | |
| MWs: | |
| MWd: | |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | |
| Flow rate, acfm: | |
| Flow rate, dsicm: | |
| % Isokinetics: | |

Comments: Field Blank Traps 15b9/2a

000137

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 0030)

Facility: WUL MSD

Date: 8-18-99

Run #: 2

Personnel: RB

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | Sampling Information | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|
| Location: <u>Warehouse Building</u> | Meter #: <u>2411</u> | Pitot #: | Pbar: | | |
| Port Dia.: _____ Depth: _____ | Yd: <u>0.7878</u> | Cp: | Pstatic: | | |
| Fitting: _____ Length: _____ | ΔH@: | Noz. #: | % O ₂ : | | |
| Stack Dia.: _____ Area: _____ | Filter Box #: | D _n : | % CO ₂ : | | |
| Upstream from disturbance: <u>2</u> | Filter #: | Mag. #: | % H ₂ O: | | |
| Downstream from disturbance: <u>78</u> | Probe #: | Umb. #: | Run Mins: | | |
| Pyrometer #: | | | | | |

Initial LC: _____ CFM@ _____ °Hg _____ Final LC: _____ CFM@ _____ °Hg Pitot LC: _____

| Point | Time | V _{isokinetic} Vol. L | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | V _{ac} °Hg | Notes |
|-------|------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----|-------------------|----|---------------|------------|----------|--------|------|------------------------|------------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | | |
| | 1234 | 75.7 | 78 | 76 | | | | | | | | | 49 L.C. OK |
| | 1239 | 80.3 | 77 | 76 | | | | | | | | | 50 OK |
| | 1244 | 85.5 | 79 | 77 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1257 | 90.5 | 80 | 76 | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1284 | 95.0 | | | | | | | | | | | L.C. OK |
| | 1301 | 95.2 | 78 | 76 | | | | | | | | | 59 |
| | 1306 | 99.2 | 77 | 76 | | | | | | | | | 56 |
| | 1311 | 103.2 | 79 | 76 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1316 | 107.3 | 80 | 76 | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1321 | 111.6 | | | | | | | | | | | L.C. OK |
| | 1330 | 116.8 | 78 | 76 | | | | | | | | | L.C. OK |
| | 1335 | 116.0 | 80 | 77 | | | | | | | | | 64 |
| | 1340 | 121.2 | 80 | 77 | | | | | | | | | 60 |
| | 1345 | 126.2 | 80 | 77 | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1350 | 131.2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 55.7 | 77.7 | | | | | | | | | | |

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-----|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | | | | |
| Impinger #2 | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | | | | |
| Total Net / Rinse: | | | | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | _____ |
| % H ₂ O: | _____ |
| MWs: | _____ |
| MWd: | _____ |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | _____ |
| Flow rate, acfm: | _____ |
| Flow rate, dsfcm: | _____ |
| % Isokinetics: | _____ |

Comments: Now cond.

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 0030)

Facility: LLNL MSO Date: 8-18-88 Run #: 1 Personnel: RR

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | Sampling Information | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Location: <u>Catalyst Outlet</u> | Meter #: | Pitot #: | Pbar: | | |
| Port Dia: _____ Depth: _____ | Yd: _____ | Cp: _____ | Pstatic: _____ | | |
| Fitting: _____ Length: _____ | ΔH@: _____ | Noz. #: _____ | % O ₂ : _____ | | |
| Stack Dia: _____ Area: _____ | Filter Box #: _____ | D _n : _____ | % CO ₂ : _____ | | |
| Upstream from disturbance: _____ | Filter #: _____ | Mag. #: _____ | % H ₂ O: _____ | | |
| Downstream from disturbance: _____ | Probe #: _____ | Umb. #: _____ | Run Mins: _____ | | |
| Pyrometer #: _____ | | | | | |

Initial LC: CFM@ °Hg Final LC: CFM@ °Hg Pitot LC: _____

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. Ft ³ | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | V _{ac} °Hg | Notes |
|-------|------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----|----------------|----|------------|---------|----------|--------|------|---------------------|-------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | | |
| | 1619 | 188.4 | 79 | 78 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1619 | | 80 | 79 | | | | | | | | | 11a |
| 1633 | 1634 | 171.9 | 80 | 79 | | | | | | | | | 11b |
| 1640 | 1641 | 209.5 | 80 | 79 | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| 1654 | 1654 | 209.2 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | 1652 | 209.5 | 79 | 78 | | | | | | | | | 13a |
| | 1657 | 214.6 | 79 | 78 | | | | | | | | | 13b |
| | 1702 | 219.6 | 80 | 78 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1707 | 224.6 | 80 | 78 | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | 1712 | 227.7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1720 | 230.2 | 81 | 80 | | | | | | | | | 14a |
| | 1725 | 235.3 | 82 | 80 | | | | | | | | | 14b |
| | 1730 | 240.4 | 82 | 80 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1735 | 245.5 | 82 | 80 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1740 | 250.7 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | | 61.1 | 79.6 | | | | | | | | | | |

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-----|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | | | | |
| Impinger #2 | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | | | | |
| Total Net/Rinse: | | | | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol., dsct: | _____ |
| % H ₂ O: | _____ |
| MWs: | _____ |
| MWd: | _____ |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | _____ |
| Flow rate, acfm: | _____ |
| Flow rate, dsctm: | _____ |
| % Isokinetics: | _____ |

Comments: Problem with feed 1605-1630

000170

Facility: LLNL M50

Date: 8-26-98

Method: 0030


Run #: 2

| Facility Information | | Equipment | | Sampling Data | |
|--------------------------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Location: <u>Filter Outlet</u> | | Meter #: <u>3400</u> | Pitot #: <u>-</u> | PBar: | P Static: |
| Port Dia. | Depth: | Meter Yd: | Pitot Cp: <u>-</u> | %O ₂ Ass. | %O ₂ Actual: |
| Fitting Type: | Length: | AH@: | Noz. #: <u>-</u> | %CO ₂ Ass. | %CO ₂ Actual: |
| Stack Dia. <u>1.375</u> | Area: | Filter #: | Noz. Dia. <u>-</u> | %H ₂ O Ass. | Pitot LC: |
| Upstream Dist. | | Probe #: | | Test Mins. | Personnel: |
| Downstream Dist. | | Initial LC: _____ | CFM @ _____ | *Hg Final LC: _____ | CFM @ _____ |

| Point | Clock Time | Gas Meter Vol. ¹¹⁵⁰ | Meter *F | | Stack Temp *F | Cyclonic Angle | Cos | AP | Avg AP @ pts. | AH setting | Meter CFM | SQRT AP | Imp *F | Vac. *Hg | Dwell Mins. |
|-------|------------|--------------------------------|----------|------|---------------|----------------|-----|----|---------------|------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|-------------|
| | 1048 | 670.8 | 78 | 75 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | 1050 | 676.0 | 79 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1058 | 680.3 | 80 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1103 | 685.5 | 81 | 77 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | 1108 | 690.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1119 | 690.8 | 78 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | 1124 | 695.8 | 80 | 77 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1127 | 700.8 | 81 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1134 | 706.0 | 82 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | 1139 | 711.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1150 | 711.4 | 80 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | 1155 | 716.3 | 81 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1200 | 721.2 | 82 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1205 | 725.8 | 82 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | 1210 | 730.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 60.1 | | 78.7 | | | | | | | | | | | |

1995
2001
2006
1990
1996
189
186

| MOISTURE DATA | | | |
|---------------|---------|-------|-----|
| | Initial | Final | Net |
| Impinger #1 | | | |
| Impinger #2 | | | |
| Impinger #3 | | | |
| Impinger #4 | | | |
| Silica Gel | | | |
| TOTAL | | | |



| FIELD CALCULATIONS | |
|----------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol. dscf = | _____ |
| % H ₂ O = | _____ |
| MWs = | _____ |
| Stack Vel. (ft/s) = | _____ |
| Flowrate (acfm) = | _____ |
| Flowrate (dscfm) = | _____ |
| Isokinetics (%) = | _____ |

COMMENTS: Cyclonic Dwell = Test Duration * COS / SUM COS
 M501 & Cyclic Dwell = Test Duration * (COS / AVG COS) * SQRT dP / Tot. SQRT dP @ pts. sampled

00017

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 0030)

Facility: LLNH-MSO Date: 8-16-98 Run #: 3 Personnel: RS

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | Sampling Information | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Location: <u>Filter Vault</u> | | Meter #: <u>2400</u> | Pitot #: | Pbar: | |
| Port Dia.: _____ | Depth: _____ | Yd: _____ | Cp: _____ | Pstatic: _____ | |
| Fitting: _____ | Length: _____ | AH@: _____ | Noz. #: _____ | % O ₂ : _____ | |
| Stack Dia.: _____ | Area: _____ | Filter Box #: _____ | D _a : _____ | % CO ₂ : _____ | |
| Upstream from disturbance: _____ | | Filter #: _____ | Mag. #: _____ | % H ₂ O: _____ | |
| Downstream from disturbance: _____ | | Probe #: _____ | Umb. #: _____ | Run Mins: _____ | |
| Pyrometer #: _____ | | | | | |

Initial LC: _____ CFM@ _____ *Hg Final LC: _____ CFM@ _____ *Hg Pitot LC: _____

169

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. F ₃ | Meter Temp. F | | Stack Temp. F | AP | AH | Meter ACFM | SQRT AP | Temp. F | | | Notes |
|-------|------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|----|----|------------|---------|---------|--------|------|------------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | |
| | 1229 | 737.0 | 78 | 76 | | | | | | | | | LC OK 179 |
| | 1234 | 736.0 | 79 | 76 | | | | | | | | | 176 |
| | 1239 | 741.0 | 80 | 77 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1244 | 736.0 | 81 | 77 | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1249 | 751.0 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | 1259 | 751.2 | 79 | 77 | | | | | | | | | LC OK 169 |
| | 1304 | 751.2 | 80 | 77 | | | | | | | | | 166 |
| | 1309 | 761.2 | 82 | 78 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1314 | 761.2 | 82 | 78 | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1319 | 771.3 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK 8805 |
| | 1328 | 771.6 | 80 | 78 | | | | | | | | | LC OK 19 |
| | 1333 | 776.7 | 81 | 78 | | | | | | | | | 16 |
| | 1338 | 781.8 | 82 | 78 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1343 | 787.0 | 82 | 78 | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1348 | 792.5 | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | | 61.0 | | 176.7 | | | | | | | | | |

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|-------|-----|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | | | | |
| Impinger #2 | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | | | | |
| | Total Net / Rinse: | | | |
| | Total Sample Volume: | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | _____ |
| % H ₂ O: | _____ |
| MWs: | _____ |
| MWd: | _____ |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | _____ |
| Flow rate, acfm: | _____ |
| Flow rate, dscfm: | _____ |
| % Isokinetics: | _____ |

Comments:

000173

84

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 0030)

Facility: LLNL MSO Date: 8-6-99 Run #: 1 Personnel: PT3

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | | Sampling Information | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Location: <u>Catalyst Outlet</u> | Meter #: <u>2700</u> | Pitot #: | Pbar: | | | | |
| Port Dia: _____ Depth: _____ | Yd: _____ | Cp: _____ | Pstatic: _____ | | | | |
| Fitting: _____ Length: _____ | $\Delta H@$: _____ | Noz. #: _____ | % O ₂ : _____ | | | | |
| Stack Dia: _____ Area: _____ | Filter Box #: _____ | D _n : _____ | % CO ₂ : _____ | | | | |
| Upstream from disturbance: _____ | Filter #: _____ | Mag. #: _____ | % H ₂ O: _____ | | | | |
| Downstream from disturbance: _____ | Probe #: _____ | Umb. #: _____ | Run Mins: _____ | | | | |
| Pyrometer #: _____ | | | | | | | |
| Initial LC: _____ | CFM@ _____ | Hg _____ | Final LC: _____ | CFM@ _____ | Hg _____ | Pitot LC: _____ | |

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. F ³ | Meter Temp. F | | Stack Temp. F | AP | AH | Meter ACFM | SQRT AP | Temp. F | | | Vac. Hg | Notes |
|-------|------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----|---------------|----|----|------------|---------|---------|--------|------|---------|----------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | | |
| | 1410 | 791.6 | 78 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | LC OK 2a |
| | 1415 | 791.8 | 79 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | 2b |
| | 1420 | 803.2 | 80 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1425 | 808.8 | 80 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1430 | 813.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK 5a |
| | 1440 | 829.2 | 80 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | LC OK 5b |
| | 1445 | 831.0 | 82 | 79 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1450 | 833.6 | 82 | 80 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1455 | 838.4 | 82 | 80 | | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1500 | 833.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK 4a |
| | 1510 | 833.8 | 80 | 80 | | | | | | | | | | LC OK 4b |
| | 1515 | 838.8 | 82 | 80 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1520 | 843.6 | 82 | 80 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1525 | 848.5 | 83 | 81 | | | | | | | | | | |
| End | 1530 | 843.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | LC OK |
| | | 59.7 | 80.0 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|-------|-----|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | | | | |
| Impinger #2 | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | | | | |
| Total Net / Rinse: _____ | | | | |
| Total Sample Volume: _____ | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Sample Vol., dscf: _____ | |
| % H ₂ O: _____ | |
| MWs: _____ | |
| MWd: _____ | |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: _____ | |
| Flow rate, acfm: _____ | |
| Flow rate, dscfm: _____ | |
| % Isokinetics: _____ | |

Comments:

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 5/114)

Facility: LLNL

Date: 8/18/98

Run #: 1

Personnel: Jerry P.R., RB, MW, DC

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | Sampling Information | |
|---|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Location: <u>FILTER OUTLET</u> | | Meter #: <u>ASI #3</u> | Pitot #: _____ | Pbar: <u>29.9</u> | |
| Port Dia: _____ | Depth: _____ | Yd: <u>.9593</u> | Cp: <u>.91</u> | Pstatic: <u>-11.5</u> | |
| Fitting: _____ | Length: _____ | ΔH@: <u>1.684</u> | Noz #: <u>1.6A</u> | % O ₂ : <u>14</u> | |
| Stack Dia: <u>1.375</u> | Area: _____ | Filter Box #: <u># 2</u> | D _n : <u>.378</u> | % CO ₂ : <u>8</u> | |
| Upstream from disturbance: <u>X3<3</u> | | Filter #: <u>881</u> | Mag #: _____ | % H ₂ O: <u>10</u> | |
| Downstream from disturbance: <u><8</u> | | Probe #: _____ | Umb. #: _____ | Run Mins: <u>60</u> | |
| | | Pyrometer #: _____ | | | |

Initial LC: .002 CFM @ 25 °Hg Final LC: .004 CFM @ 22 °Hg Pitot LC: _____

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. Ft ³ | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔP | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | Notes |
|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----|-------------------|------|-------|---------------|------------|----------|--------|------|-------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | |
| 1 | 10:27 | 751.418 | 65 | 64 | 196 | .167 | 3.159 | 1.002 | .409 | | | | 10 |
| 2 | 10:34:30 | 759.0 | 75 | 65 | 194 | .195 | 3.681 | 1.035 | .442 | | | | 12 |
| 3 | 10:42 | 767.3 | 83 | 70 | 196 | .19 | 3.619 | 1.083 | .436 | | | | 12 |
| 4 | 10:49:30 | 776.6 | 89 | 75 | 197 | .19 | 3.651 | 1.093 | .436 | | | | 12 |
| 5 | 10:57 | 783.9 | 92 | 80 | 201 | .18 | 3.463 | 1.069 | .424 | | | | 11 |
| 6 | 11:04:30 | 792.4 | 93 | 83 | 203 | .185 | 3.561 | 1.086 | .430 | | | | 11 |
| 7 | 11:12 | 800.7 | 95 | 86 | 207 | .205 | 3.941 | 1.144 | .453 | | | | 12.5 |
| 8 | 11:19:30 | 809.4 | 96 | 87 | 210 | .22 | 4.213 | 1.194 | .469 | | | | 13 |
| SOP | 11:27 | 818.496 | | | | | | | | | | | |

67.078 81.1 199.4 3.66 .437

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------|-------|-----|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | 100 | 157 | | |
| Impinger #2 | 100 | 103 | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | 333 | 17.1 | | |
| Total Net / Rinse: | <u>77.1</u> | | | |
| Total Sample Volume: | <u>333</u> | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|---------------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | <u>62.946</u> |
| % H ₂ O: | <u>5.5</u> |
| MWs: | _____ |
| MWd: | _____ |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | _____ |
| Flow rate, acfm: | _____ |
| Flow rate, dscfm: | _____ |
| % Isokinetics: | _____ |

Comments: LINE RINSE 30 mL

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 5/114)

Facility: LLNL

Date: 8/19/98

Run #: 1

Personnel: DC

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | Sampling Information | |
|--|------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Location: <u>Cats Out</u> | | Meter #: <u>L31-1</u> | Pitot #: <u>572</u> | Pbar: <u>29.9</u> | |
| Port Dia.: <u>1"</u> | Depth: <u>0</u> | Yd: <u>1.0039</u> | Cp: <u>.99</u> | Pstatic: <u>-2.5</u> | |
| Fitting: <u>0</u> | Length: <u>0</u> | ΔH@: <u>2.196</u> | Noz #: <u>41</u> | % O ₂ : _____ | |
| Stack Dia: <u>4"</u> | Area: _____ | Filter Box #: <u>-</u> | D _a : <u>.752</u> | % CO ₂ : _____ | |
| Upstream from disturbance: _____ | | G Filter #: <u>822</u> | Mag #: _____ | % H ₂ O: _____ | |
| Downstream from disturbance: _____ | | Probe #: <u>-</u> | Umb #: _____ | Run Mins: <u>60</u> | |
| | | Pyrometer #: <u>-</u> | | Pifot LC: _____ | |
| Initial LC: <u>.001</u> CFM@ <u>10</u> °Hg | | Final LC: <u>.001</u> CFM@ <u>10</u> °Hg | | | |

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. Ft ³ | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔP | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | Notes |
|-------|------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----|-------------------|------|-----|---------------|------------|----------|--------|------|-------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | |
| | 933 | 267.077 | 88 | 74 | 385 | .005 | 1.8 | .69 | | | | | 6 |
| | | 270.5 | 96 | 78 | 385 | .004 | 1.5 | .62 | | | | | 5 |
| 10 | 943 | 273.6 | 98 | 79 | 420 | .004 | 1.4 | .61 | | | | | 5 |
| | | 276.7 | 100 | 80 | 420 | .004 | 1.4 | .61 | | | | | 5 |
| 20 | 953 | 279.8 | 104 | 84 | 401 | .004 | 1.5 | .62 | | | | | 5 |
| | | 282.9 | 109 | 86 | 401 | .004 | 1.5 | .63 | | | | | 5 |
| 30 | 1003 | 286.1 | 112 | 88 | 401 | .004 | 1.5 | .63 | | | | | 5 |
| | | 289.3 | 112 | 88 | 401 | .004 | 1.5 | .63 | | | | | 5 |
| 40 | 1013 | 292.4 | 114 | 90 | 401 | .004 | 1.5 | .63 | | | | | 5 |
| | | 295.6 | 116 | 92 | 401 | .004 | 1.5 | .63 | | | | | 5 |
| 50 | 1023 | 298.8 | 118 | 94 | 401 | .004 | 1.5 | .64 | | | | | 5 |
| | | 301.9 | 119 | 95 | 401 | .004 | 1.5 | .64 | | | | | 5 |
| Step | 1033 | 305.062 | | | | | | | | | | | |

27.925 96.4 401.5 1.5 .634

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-------------|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | 100 | 98 | -2 | 20 |
| Impinger #2 | 100 | 100 | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | 200 | 209 | 9 | |
| Total Net / Rinse: | | | <u>207</u> | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | <u>1219</u> | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | _____ |
| % H ₂ O: | _____ |
| MWs: | _____ |
| MWd: | _____ |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | _____ |
| Flow rate, acfm: | _____ |
| Flow rate, dsfcm: | _____ |
| % Isokinetics: | _____ |

Comments:

000178

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method ~~3/114~~ ^{17/114})

Facility: LAWRENCE LIVERMORE

Date: 8-26-98

Run #: 1

Personnel: JM-RB-DC-PR

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | Sampling Information | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Location: <u>FILTER OUTLET</u> | | Meter #: <u>ASI#8</u> | Pitot #: <u>M121 STD</u> | Pbar: <u>29.9</u> | |
| Port Dia: _____ | Depth: _____ | Yd: <u>.9593</u> | Cp: <u>.99</u> | Pstatic: <u>-10.0</u> | |
| Fitting: _____ | Length: _____ | AH@: <u>1.684</u> | Noz #: <u>5E</u> | % O ₂ : _____ | |
| Stack Dia: <u>1.375</u> | Area: <u>0.01</u> | Filter Box #: _____ | D _n : <u>.309</u> | % CO ₂ : _____ | |
| Upstream from disturbance: <u>3</u> | | Filter #: <u>929</u> | Mag. #: _____ | % H ₂ O: <u>5.0</u> | |
| Downstream from disturbance: <u>>8</u> | | Probe #: _____ | Umb. #: _____ | Run Mins: <u>60</u> | |
| | | Pyrometer #: _____ | | | |

Initial LC: .01 CFM@ 1.5 Hg Final LC: .008 CFM@ 11 Hg Pitot LC: _____

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. FC | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔP | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | Vac. °Hg | Notes |
|-------|----------|----------------------|----------------|-----|-------------------|------|-------|---------------|------------|----------|--------|------|-------------|-------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | | |
| 1 | 9:10 | 958.352 | 68 | 69 | 296 | .165 | 1.314 | .653 | .406 | | | | | |
| 2 | 9:17:30 | 963.6 | 76 | 69 | 302 | .17 | 1.354 | .665 | .412 | | | | | |
| 3 | 9:25 | 969.0 | 81 | 72 | 307 | .17 | 1.355 | .668 | .412 | | | | | |
| 4 | 9:32:30 | 974.3 | 84 | 75 | 309 | .175 | 1.389 | .680 | .418 | | | | | |
| 5 | 9:40 | 979.8 | 86 | 78 | 311 | .22 | 1.762 | .765 | .469 | | | | | |
| 6 | 9:47:30 | 985.8 | 88 | 81 | 312 | .19 | 1.527 | .714 | .436 | | | | | 4 |
| 7 | 9:55 | 991.7 | 89 | 83 | 314 | .20 | 1.608 | .733 | .447 | | | | | 4 |
| 8 | 10:02:30 | 997.6 | 89 | 84 | 316 | .24 | 1.926 | .803 | .490 | | | | | |
| 500 | 10:10 | 1003.976 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

45.524 79.5 308.4 1.531 .436

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|------|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | 100 | 169 | 69 | |
| Impinger #2 | 100 | 103 | 3 | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | 200.2 | 212.3 | 12.1 | |
| Total Net / Rinse: | | | 74.6 | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|--------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | 43.035 |
| % H ₂ O: | 8.5 |
| MWs: | 23.79 |
| MWd: | |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | 35.33 |
| Flow rate, acfm: | 21.86 |
| Flow rate, dscfm: | 13.4 |
| % Isokinetics: | 105.6 |

Comments: 4m 7

000170

87

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 17/114)

Facility: LAWRENCE LIVERMORE Date: 8-26-98 Run #: 2 Personnel: SM-RB-DC-PR

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | Sampling Information | |
|--|-------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Location: <u>FILTER OUTLET</u> | | Meter #: <u>ASI#8</u> | Pitot #: <u>MW1-STD</u> | Pbar: <u>29.9</u> | |
| Port Dia: _____ | Depth: _____ | Yd: <u>.9583</u> | Cp: <u>.99</u> | Pstatic: <u>-10</u> | |
| Fitting: _____ | Length: _____ | ΔH@: <u>1.634</u> | Noz #: <u>5E</u> | % O ₂ : _____ | |
| Stack Dia: <u>1.375</u> | Area: <u>0.01</u> | Filter Box #: _____ | D _a : <u>.309</u> | % CO ₂ : _____ | |
| Upstream from disturbance: <u>3</u> | | Filter #: <u>831</u> | Mag #: _____ | % H ₂ O: <u>5.0</u> | |
| Downstream from disturbance: <u>28</u> | | Probe #: _____ | Umb #: _____ | Run Mins: <u>60</u> | |
| | | Pyrometer #: _____ | | | |
| Initial LC: <u>.004</u> CFM@ <u>10</u> "Hg | | Final LC: <u>.003</u> CFM@ <u>11</u> "Hg | | Pitot LC: _____ | |

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. Ft ³ | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔP | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | V _{ac} Hg | Notes |
|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|---------------|------------|----------|--------|------|-----------------------|-------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | | |
| 1 | 11:00 | 004.312 | 69 | 70 | 323 | .215 | 1.657 | .733 | .464 | | | | 4 | |
| 2 | 11:07:30 | 010.1 | 77 | 71 | 325 | .225 | 1.744 | .755 | .474 | | | | 4 | |
| 3 | 11:15 | 016.0 | 81 | 73 | 326 | .24 | 1.848 | .784 | .490 | | | | 4 | |
| 4 | 11:22:30 | 022.2 | 85 | 76 | 327 | .24 | 1.878 | .788 | .490 | | | | 4 | |
| 5 | 11:30 | 029.5 | 87 | 80 | 329 | .235 | 1.847 | .784 | .485 | | | | | |
| 6 | 11:37:30 | 034.7 | 89 | 81 | 329 | .235 | 1.850 | .786 | .485 | | | | 4 | |
| 7 | 11:45 | 041.2 | 90 | 84 | 330 | .24 | 1.894 | .796 | .490 | | | | 4 | |
| 8 | 11:52:30 | 047.4 | 91 | 85 | 331 | .235 | 1.855 | .789 | .485 | | | | 4 | |
| STOP | 12:00 | 053.753 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u>49.443</u> | <u>80.6</u> | <u>327.4</u> | | <u>1.324</u> | | <u>.483</u> | | | | | | |

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | 100 | 150 | 50 | |
| Impinger #2 | 100 | 103 | 3 | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | 200 | 212 | 12 | |
| Total Net / Rinse: | | | <u>65</u> | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|---------------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | <u>46.691</u> |
| % H ₂ O: | <u>6.2</u> |
| MWs: | <u>29.07</u> |
| MWd: | |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | <u>39.38</u> |
| Flow rate, acfm: | <u>24.36</u> |
| Flow rate, dscfm: | <u>15.0</u> |
| % Isokinetics: | <u>102.7</u> |

Comments: 30 ml line riasy

000160

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method 26)

Facility: LLNL MSO Date: 8-19-98 Run #: 1 Personnel: RS

| Facility Information | | Equipment Information | | Sampling Information | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|
| Location: <u>Boqhaus e Outlet</u> | Meter #: <u>2900</u> | Pitot #: | Pbar: <u>27.7</u> | | |
| Port Dia: <u>1"</u> Depth: | Yd: <u>1.9878</u> | Cp: | Pstatic: | | |
| Fitting: Length: | $\Delta H@$: | Noz. #: | % O ₂ : | | |
| Stack Dia: <u>1.375</u> Area: <u>0.0103</u> | Filter Box #: | D _n : | % CO ₂ : | | |
| Upstream from disturbance: | Filter #: | Mag. #: | % H ₂ O: | | |
| Downstream from disturbance: | Probe #: | Umb. #: | Run Mins: <u>60</u> | | |
| Pyrometer #: | | | | | |
| Initial LC: <u>0</u> CFM@ | "Hg | Final LC: <u>0</u> CFM@ | "Hg | Pitot LC: _____ | |

| Point | Time | Gas Meter Vol. Ft ³ | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔP | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | V _w °Hg | Notes |
|-------|------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----|-------------------|------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|----------|--------|------|-----------------------|-------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | | |
| | 0918 | 251.8 | 75 | 74 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0920 | 261.1 | 76 | 74 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0925 | 271.2 | 76 | 74 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0930 | 281.3 | 77 | 74 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0935 | 290.8 | 77 | 75 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0940 | 300.4 | 81 | 77 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0945 | 310.5 | 82 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0950 | 320.4 | 83 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0955 | 330.3 | 84 | 79 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1000 | 340.2 | 84 | 80 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1005 | 350.1 | 84 | 81 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1010 | 360.0 | 84 | 81 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1015 | 370.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Final

liters 118.5 78.8

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-----|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | | | | |
| Impinger #2 | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | | | | |
| Total Net, Rinse: | | | | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | _____ |
| % H ₂ O: | _____ |
| MWs: | _____ |
| MWd: | _____ |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | _____ |
| Flow rate, acfm: | _____ |
| Flow rate, dsfm: | _____ |
| % Isokinetics: | _____ |

Comments: No condensation in line

000153
G. forms 2/94 210.215

80

Isokinetic Sampling Data Sheet (Method HCL)

Facility:

Date: 8/26/98

Run #: 1,2,3 Personnel: DC

| Facility Information | Equipment Information | Sampling Information |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Location: <u>Filter outlet</u> | Meter #: <u>8314</u> Pitot #: _____ | Pbar: _____ |
| Port Dia.: _____ Depth: _____ | Yd: _____ Cp: _____ | Pstatic: _____ |
| Fitting: _____ Length: _____ | ΔH@: _____ Noz. #: _____ | % O ₂ : _____ |
| Stack Dia.: _____ Area: _____ | Filter Box #: _____ D _a : _____ | % CO ₂ : _____ |
| Upstream from disturbance: <u>3</u> | Filter #: _____ Mag. #: _____ | % H ₂ O: _____ |
| Downstream from disturbance: <u>2</u> | Probe #: _____ Umb. #: _____ | Run Mins: _____ |
| | Pyrometer #: _____ | |

Initial LC: .001/002 CFM @ 15/15.5 Hg

Final LC: .001/001 CFM @ 15/15.5 Hg

Pitot LC: _____

| Point | Time | Gas Meter ACFM | Meter Temp. °F | | Stack Temp. °F | ΔP | ΔH | Meter ACFM | SQRT ΔP | Temp. °F | | | Vac. Hg | Notes |
|-------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|----|-------------|----------------|---------------|----------|--------|------|------------|-------|
| | | | In | Out | | | | | | Probe | Filter | Imp. | | |
| | <u>1519</u> | <u>2.496.0</u> | <u>78</u> | <u>78</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1529</u> | | <u>80</u> | <u>78</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1529</u> | | <u>80</u> | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1534</u> | | <u>80</u> | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1539</u> | | <u>81</u> | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1544</u> | | <u>81</u> | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1549</u> | | <u>81</u> | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1554</u> | | <u>81</u> | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1559</u> | | <u>81</u> | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1604</u> | <u>2582.0</u> | <u>81</u> | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1609</u> | | <u>81</u> | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1614</u> | | <u>81</u> | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1619</u> | <u>2620.7</u> | | <u>80.07</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u>(14.7)</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2620.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1637</u> | <u>2623.0</u> | <u>80</u> | <u>79</u> | | | <u>1808</u> | <u>277.00</u> | <u>83/83</u> | | | | | |
| | <u>1647</u> | | <u>80</u> | <u>79</u> | | | <u>1818</u> | | <u>83/83</u> | | | | | |
| | <u>57</u> | | <u>81</u> | <u>80</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>07</u> | | <u>82</u> | <u>82</u> | | | <u>1838</u> | <u>283.00</u> | <u>83/83</u> | | | | | |
| | <u>17</u> | | <u>82</u> | <u>82</u> | | | <u>1848</u> | | | | | | | |
| | <u>27</u> | | <u>83</u> | <u>83</u> | | | <u>1858</u> | | <u>84/84</u> | | | | | |
| | <u>1737</u> | | <u>84</u> | <u>84</u> | | | <u>1908</u> | | <u>84/84</u> | | | | | |
| | <u>1747</u> | <u>2768.0</u> | <u>84</u> | <u>84</u> | | | | <u>289.08</u> | | | | | | |
| | | <u>(145.0)</u> | | <u>(81.8)</u> | | | | <u>(100.8)</u> | <u>(83.6)</u> | | | | | |

| Moisture Data | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-----|-------|
| | Initial | Final | Net | Rinse |
| Impinger #1 | | | | |
| Impinger #2 | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Impinger(s) # | | | | |
| Silica Gel: | | | | |
| Total Net / Rinse: | | | | |
| Total Sample Volume: | | | | |

| Field Calculations | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Sample Vol., dscf: | _____ |
| % H ₂ O: | _____ |
| MWs: | _____ |
| MWd: | _____ |
| Stack Vel, ft/s: | _____ |
| Flow rate, acfm: | _____ |
| Flow rate, dsfm: | _____ |
| % Isokinetics: | _____ |

Comments:


009186

APPENDIX D
EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORDS

0000187

al

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nozzle Calibration



Diameter
Dimension
0.378
0.378
0.378
Average
0.378
Range
.000


Nozzle type: Glass: 6A MS
Quartz:
Stainless Steel:
Other:
Date: 1-16-98
Recorded By: P. Ball
Next Cal. due: 1-16-99

Reference Method: EPA 5 (section 5.1)

Acceptance Limit: < 0.004" range of 3 measurements

Calibration Frequency: 12 Months

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nozzle Calibration



Diameter
Dimension

| |
|-------|
| 0.324 |
| 0.327 |
| 0.326 |

Average

| |
|-------|
| 0.326 |
|-------|

Range

| |
|-------|
| 0.003 |
|-------|

Nozzle type:

| |
|--------------------|
| Glass: |
| Quartz: <u>GSB</u> |
| Stainless Steel: |
| Other: |

Date:

1-15-98

Recorded By:

Bob Gallagher

Next Cal. due:


1-15-99

Reference Method: EPA 5 (section 5.1)

Acceptance Limit: < 0.004* range of 2 measurements

Calibration Frequency: 12 Months

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nozzle Calibration



Diameter
Dimension
0.308
0.309
0.310
Average
0.309
Range
0.002

Nozzle type: Glass: 5E MS
Quartz:
Stainless Steel:
Other:

Date: 1-16-98

Recorded By: [Signature]


Next Cal. due: 1-16-99

Reference Method: EPA 5 (section 5.1)

Acceptance Limit: < 0.004" range of 5 measurements

Calibration Frequency: 12 Months

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nozzle Calibration



Diameter
Dimension

0.305
0.304
0.304

Average

0.304

Range

.001

Nozzle type:

| | |
|------------------|-------|
| Glass: | 5B MS |
| Quartz: | |
| Stainless Steel: | |
| Other: | |

Date:

1-16-98

Recorded By:

B. B. Callahan

Next Cal. due:


1-16-99

Reference Method: EPA 5 (section 5.1)

Acceptance Limit: < 0.004* range of 2 measurements

Calibration Frequency: 12 Months

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nozzle Calibration



Diameter
Dimension

| |
|------|
| .749 |
| .753 |
| .753 |

Average

| |
|------|
| .752 |
|------|

Range

| |
|------|
| .004 |
|------|

Nozzle type:

| |
|---------------------|
| Glass: |
| Quartz: |
| Stainless Steel: 4/ |
| Other: |

Date:

1/27/98

Recorded By:

M. Wiley

Next Cal. due:


1/27/99

Reference Method: EPA 5 (section 5.1)

Acceptance Limit: < 0.004" range of 3 measurements

Calibration Frequency: 12 Months

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nozzle Calibration



| |
|-----------|
| Diameter |
| Dimension |
| 0.970 |
| 0.971 |
| 0.972 |
| Average |
| 0.971 |
| Range |
| 0.002 |

Nozzle type:

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Glass: | |
| Quartz: | |
| Stainless Steel: | 1 |
| Other: | |

Date: 1/27/98

Recorded By: M. Wiley


Next Cal. due: 1/27/99

Reference Method: EPA 5 (section 5.1)

Acceptance Limit: < 0.004" range of 3 measurements

Calibration Frequency: 12 Months

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nozzle Calibration



Diameter
Dimension

| |
|-------|
| 0.751 |
| 0.752 |
| 0.750 |

Average

| |
|-------|
| 0.751 |
|-------|

Range

| |
|-------|
| 0.002 |
|-------|

Nozzle type:

| |
|---------------------|
| Glass: |
| Quartz: |
| Stainless Steel: 40 |
| Other: |

Date:

1/26/98

Recorded By:

M. Wiley

Next Cal. due:

1/26/99

Reference Method: EPA 5 (section 5.1)

Acceptance Limit: < 0.004* range of 3 measurements

Calibration Frequency: 12 Months

METER BOX FULL TEST CALIBRATION

Meter #: L.S.I. #1

Meter Orifice ID: fixed box orifice

Standard Meter: Wet Gas Meter

Test Vacuum "Hg: n/a

Bar. Pressure (Pb): 29.93

Leak Checked: Yes @ 18"Hg.

Date: 09-10-97.

Operator: Bob Gallagher

Signature: B.G. Gallagher

QA/QC Officer: C.T. Gallagher

| | | |
|---------|--------|-------|
| | Yd | ΔH@ |
| Current | 1.0039 | 2.196 |

| | | |
|----------|--------|-------|
| Previous | 0.9886 | 2.091 |
|----------|--------|-------|

| ΔH | ΔP | Yds | Standard Meter Gas Volume (ft³) | | | Meter Box Gas Volume (ft³) | | | Std. Meter Temp. (T _s) Avg. | Meter Box Temperature (T _a) | | | | Time (Min.) Ø | Q | Yd | ΔH@ | |
|------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | | Initial | Final | (V _{ds}) Net | Initial | Final | (V _d) Net | | (T _a) Inlet Avg. | (T _a) Outlet Avg. | (T _a) Inlet Avg. | (T _a) Outlet Avg. | | | | | |
| 0.50 | -0.090 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 9.200 | 9.200 | 997.738 | 1007.115 | 9.377 | 76.0 | 92 | 100 | 82 | 84 | 89.5 | 25.38 | 0.357 | 1.0044 | 2.132 |
| 0.50 | -0.100 | 1.000 | 9.200 | 15.000 | 5.800 | 7.115 | 13.044 | 5.929 | 76.0 | 95 | 98 | 83 | 84 | 90.0 | 16.05 | 0.356 | 1.0023 | 2.144 |
| 0.50 | -0.100 | 1.000 | 15.000 | 21.250 | 6.250 | 13.044 | 19.449 | 6.405 | 76.0 | 98 | 102 | 84 | 86 | 92.5 | 17.32 | 0.356 | 1.0044 | 2.144 |
| 1.50 | -0.125 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 10.000 | 10.000 | 19.792 | 29.982 | 10.190 | 77.0 | 99 | 104 | 84 | 85 | 93.0 | 15.98 | 0.615 | 1.0066 | 2.149 |
| 1.50 | -0.120 | 1.000 | 10.000 | 22.250 | 12.250 | 29.982 | 42.527 | 12.545 | 77.0 | 103 | 104 | 84 | 85 | 94.0 | 19.75 | 0.610 | 1.0034 | 2.187 |
| 1.50 | -0.125 | 1.000 | 22.250 | 32.250 | 10.000 | 42.527 | 52.815 | 10.288 | 77.0 | 103 | 106 | 85 | 87 | 95.3 | 16.18 | 0.608 | 1.0010 | 2.197 |
| 3.00 | -0.155 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 10.000 | 10.000 | 53.349 | 63.567 | 10.218 | 78.0 | 105 | 105 | 87 | 88 | 96.3 | 11.62 | 0.845 | 1.0041 | 2.268 |
| 3.00 | -0.150 | 1.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 10.000 | 63.567 | 73.806 | 10.239 | 78.0 | 104 | 108 | 88 | 89 | 97.3 | 11.62 | 0.845 | 1.0038 | 2.264 |
| 3.00 | -0.155 | 1.000 | 20.000 | 30.000 | 10.000 | 73.806 | 84.053 | 10.247 | 78.0 | 106 | 110 | 88 | 90 | 98.5 | 11.66 | 0.842 | 1.0053 | 2.278 |

Comments: This is the Calib. Cert.

Variables:

- Q = Flow rate (cfm)
- ΔH = Orifice pressure differential (in. H₂O)
- ΔP = Inlet pressure differential standard meter (in. H₂O)
- Y_{ds} = Standard meter correction factor (Unitless)
- Y_d = Meter box correction factor (Unitless)
- ΔH@ = Orifice pressure differential that gives 0.75 DSCFM of air at 68°F and 29.92 in. Hg (in. H₂O)

$$Y_d = (Y_{ds}) \left(\frac{V_{ds}}{V_d} \right) \left(\frac{T_d + 460}{T_{ds} + 460} \right) \left(\frac{P_b + \Delta P / 13.6}{P_b + \Delta H / 13.6} \right)$$

$$\Delta H@ = \frac{0.0317 \times \Delta H}{P_b (T_s + 460)} \left[\frac{(T_{ds} + 460) \theta^2}{V_{ds} \times Y_{ds}} \right]$$

$$Q = \frac{17.64 \times V_{ds} \times P_b}{(T_{ds} + 460) \theta}$$

METER BOX FULL TEST CALIBRATION

Meter #: L.S.I.#1
 Meter Orifice ID: boxes orifice
 Standard Meter: Wet Gas Meter
 Test Vacuum "Hg: 0"Hg
 Bar. Pressure (Pb): 29.79
 Leak Checked: Yes at 17"Hg.

Date: 09-11-98.
 Operator: Bob Gallagher
 Signature BG
 QAQC Officer: CT

| | | |
|----------|--------|-------|
| | Yd | ΔH@ |
| Current | 0.9894 | 2.212 |
| Previous | 1.0039 | 2.196 |

| ΔH | ΔP | Yds | Standard Meter Gas Volume (ft³) | | | Meter Box Gas Volume (ft³) | | | Std. Meter Temp. (T _{in}) Avg. | Meter Box Temperature | | | | Time (Min.) Ø | Q | Yd | ΔH@ | |
|------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----|---------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | | Initial | Final | (V _{ds}) Net | Initial | Final | (V _d) Net | | (T _i) Inlet | (T _o) Outlet | (T _d) Avg. | | | | | | |
| 0.50 | -0.085 | 1.000 | 10.000 | 16.650 | 6.650 | 532.406 | 539.353 | 6.947 | 82.0 | 110 | 110 | 91 | 94 | 101.3 | 18.03 | 0.358 | 0.9898 | 2.080 |
| 0.50 | -0.090 | 1.000 | 16.650 | 23.150 | 6.500 | 539.353 | 546.171 | 6.818 | 83.0 | 110 | 115 | 94 | 96 | 103.8 | 17.68 | 0.356 | 0.9884 | 2.091 |
| 0.50 | -0.085 | 1.000 | 23.150 | 31.000 | 7.850 | 546.171 | 554.413 | 8.242 | 83.0 | 112 | 116 | 94 | 96 | 104.5 | 21.30 | 0.357 | 0.9887 | 2.081 |
| 1.50 | -0.115 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 16.000 | 16.000 | 554.587 | 571.387 | 16.800 | 84.0 | 114 | 115 | 94 | 96 | 104.8 | 26.00 | 0.595 | 0.9848 | 2.248 |
| 1.50 | -0.120 | 1.000 | 16.000 | 29.773 | 13.773 | 571.387 | 585.791 | 14.404 | 84.0 | 115 | 115 | 96 | 96 | 105.5 | 22.22 | 0.599 | 0.9900 | 2.211 |
| 1.50 | -0.120 | 1.000 | 29.773 | 42.195 | 12.422 | 585.791 | 598.779 | 12.988 | 84.0 | 112 | 116 | 96 | 98 | 105.5 | 20.07 | 0.598 | 0.9903 | 2.213 |
| 3.00 | -0.140 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 19.450 | 19.450 | 599.047 | 619.283 | 20.236 | 84.0 | 111 | 116 | 98 | 99 | 106.0 | 22.60 | 0.832 | 0.9923 | 2.284 |
| 3.00 | -0.145 | 1.000 | 19.450 | 33.250 | 13.800 | 619.283 | 633.675 | 14.392 | 85.0 | 116 | 117 | 98 | 99 | 107.5 | 16.15 | 0.824 | 0.9908 | 2.325 |
| 3.00 | -0.140 | 1.000 | 33.250 | 47.070 | 13.820 | 633.675 | 648.102 | 14.427 | 85.0 | 115 | 117 | 98 | 99 | 107.3 | 16.36 | 0.815 | 0.9894 | 2.379 |

Comments: This is the Calib. Cert.

Variables:

- Q - Flow rate (cfm)
- ΔH - Orifice pressure differential (in. H₂O)
- ΔP - Inlet pressure differential standard meter (in. H₂O)
- Yds - Standard meter correction factor (Unitless)
- Yd - Meter box correction factor (Unitless)
- ΔH@ - Orifice pressure differential that gives 0.75 DSCFM of air at 68°F and 29.92 in. Hg (in. H₂O)

$$Yd = (Yds) \left(\frac{Vds}{Vd} \right) \left(\frac{Td + 460}{Tds + 460} \right) \left(\frac{Pb + \Delta H / 13.6}{Pb + \Delta H / 13.6} \right)$$

$$\Delta H@ = \frac{0.0317 \times \Delta H \left[(Tds + 460) \rho \right]^2}{Pb (T_o + 460) \left[Vds \times Yds \right]}$$

$$Q = \frac{17.64 \times Vds \times Pb}{(Tds + 460) \rho}$$

1. Yd Tolerance: (Yd_{avg} - Yd) ± 0.02 ref. Fig 3.6 in EPA method 5
 2. ΔH@ Tolerance: (ΔH@_{avg} - ΔH@) ± 0.10 ref. Fig 3.6 in EPA method 5.

Spring Type Thermometer Calibration

Calibrated By: B. B. Colby

Date Calibrated: 5-8-98

Calibration Due: 11-8-98

LSI #1

| Thermometer ID | Reference Thermometer Temp. (°F) | Test Thermocouple Temp (°F) | Temp. Difference | % Difference | Notes |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------|
| LSI #1 | | | | | |
| Meter in 13A | 33°F | 34°F | +1°F | | |
| 13A | 72°F | 73°F | +1°F | | PASS |
| 13A | 112°F | 112°F | 0 | | |
| Meter out 13B | 34°F | 34°F | 0 | | |
| 13B | 72°F | 72°F | 0 | | PASS |
| 13B | 111°F | 110°F | -1°F | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NIST Pyrometer: Omega HA22 T134449 NIST Cert 9-15-97

ASTM Thermometer: 61132-006

Comments: Thermocouple Pair # 91006

Reference Thermometer: ASTM mercury in glass.

Method Reference: EPA QA Handbook Vol. III: Stationary Source Specific Methods, sec. 3.5.2.2

Tolerance Limits: ±5.4 °F at ambient temperature and in hot water bath.

000197

Pressure & Vacuum Gauge Calibrations

Calibration Date: 3-10-98
 Calibrated By: Bob Gallogher
 Gauge Location: LSI #1

Gauge Number: LSI #1 ΔP
 ID Number: R8909056931
 Calibration Frequency: SEMI ANNUAL 9-10-98

Magnehelic: Vacuum: _____ Pressure: _____

| Gauge Range | Manometer or Slack Tube | Gauge Reading | Difference % | Notes Pass / Fail |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 0 TO 3" | | | | |
| | + .75" | + .75" | 0 | PASS |
| | + 1.81" | + 1.82" | + .552% | PASS |
| | + 2.65" | + 2.70" | + 1.887% | PASS |
| | | | | |
| | - .75" | - .75" | 0 | PASS |
| | - 1.68" | - 1.70" | 1.190% | PASS |
| | - 2.77" | - 2.80" | 1.083% | PASS |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Reference Equipment Used (ID#): | | | | |
| % Difference = (Reference - Gauge) / Gauge Range | | | | |

COMMENTS: USED Dwyer 0 TO 5" INCLINE MANOMETER AS STANDARD.

Vacuum Gauges & Pressure Gauges Acceptance Limits: = 3% of Range

Pressure & Vacuum Gauge Calibrations

Calibration Date: 3-10-98

Gauge Number: LSI#1 ΔH

Calibrated By: B. Balbach

ID Number: R95188165414

Gauge Location: LSI#1

Calibration Frequency: Semi Annual 9-10 98

Magnehelic:

Vacuum:

Pressure:

| Gauge Range | Manometer or Slack Tube | Gauge Reading | Difference % | Notes Pass / Fail |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 0 TO 5" | | | | |
| | + 1.3" | + 1.3" | 0 | Pass |
| | + 3.0" | + 3.05" | + 0.167% | Pass |
| | + 4.47" | + 4.50" | + 0.1671% | Pass |
| | | | | |
| | - 1.0" | - 1.0" | 0 | PASS |
| | - 2.6" | - 2.6" | 0 | PASS |
| | - 4.475" | - 4.55" | 1.676% | PASS |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Reference Equipment Used (ID#): | | | | |
| % Difference = (Reference - Gauge) / Gauge Range | | | | |

COMMENTS: USED Dwyer 0 to 5" Incline Manometer AS STD.

Vacuum Gauges & Pressure Gauges Acceptance Limits: = 3% of Range

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

METER BOX FULL TEST CALIBRATION

Meter #: L.S.I#2

Meter Orifice ID: boxes orifice

Standard Meter: Wet Gas Meter

Test Vacuum "Hg: 0"Hg

Bar. Pressure (Pb): 29.83

Leak Checked: Yes at 17"Hg

Date: 09-15-97.

Operator: Bob Gallagher

Signature BG

QAQC Officer: CT

Current

Previous

| ΔH | ΔP | Yds | Standard Meter Gas Volume (ft³) | | | Meter Box Gas Volume (ft³) | | | Std. Meter Temp. (T _{st}) Avg. | Meter Box Temperature | | | | | | Time (Min.) Ø |
|------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|-------|--------------------------|----|------------------------|-------|---------------|
| | | | Initial | Final | (V _{ds}) Net | Initial | Final | (V _d) Net | | (T _i) Inlet | | (T _o) Outlet | | (T _a) Avg. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Init. | End | Init. | End | | | | |
| 0.50 | -0.110 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 5.260 | 5.260 | 862.522 | 867.803 | 5.281 | 80.0 | 87 | 87 | 82 | 82 | 84.5 | 13.48 | |
| 0.50 | -0.110 | 1.000 | 5.260 | 10.520 | 5.260 | 867.803 | 873.087 | 5.284 | 80.0 | 87 | 87 | 82 | 82 | 84.5 | 13.50 | |
| 0.50 | -0.115 | 1.000 | 10.520 | 17.350 | 6.830 | 873.087 | 879.947 | 6.860 | 80.0 | 87 | 87 | 82 | 82 | 84.5 | 17.55 | |
| 1.50 | -0.140 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 10.100 | 10.100 | 880.074 | 890.102 | 10.028 | 80.0 | 90 | 90 | 83 | 83 | 86.5 | 14.96 | |
| 1.50 | -0.145 | 1.000 | 10.100 | 20.200 | 10.100 | 890.102 | 900.140 | 10.038 | 80.0 | 90 | 91 | 83 | 83 | 86.8 | 14.96 | |
| 1.50 | -0.140 | 1.000 | 20.200 | 30.250 | 10.050 | 900.140 | 910.208 | 10.068 | 81.0 | 91 | 94 | 83 | 84 | 88.0 | 14.90 | |
| 3.00 | -0.165 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 10.100 | 10.100 | 911.750 | 921.830 | 10.080 | 81.0 | 96 | 101 | 84 | 85 | 91.5 | 10.50 | |
| 3.00 | -0.160 | 1.000 | 10.100 | 20.250 | 10.150 | 921.830 | 931.995 | 10.165 | 81.0 | 101 | 102 | 85 | 85 | 93.3 | 10.52 | |
| 3.00 | -0.165 | 1.000 | 20.250 | 37.300 | 17.050 | 931.995 | 949.131 | 17.136 | 81.0 | 101 | 102 | 84 | 85 | 93.0 | 17.68 | |

Comments: This is the Calib. Cert.

Variables:

Q = Flow rate (cfm)

ΔH = Orifice pressure differential (in. H₂O)

ΔP = Inlet pressure differential standard meter (in. H₂O)

Y_{ds} = Standard meter correction factor (Unitless)

Y_d = Meter box correction factor (Unitless)

ΔH_{0.75} = Orifice pressure differential that gives 0.75 DSCFM of air at 68°F and 29.92 in. Hg (in. H₂O)

$$Y_d = (Y_{ds}) \left(\frac{V_{ds}}{V_d} \right) \left(\frac{T_d + 460}{T_{ds} + 460} \right) \left(\frac{P_b + \Delta P / 1.3}{P_b + \Delta H / 1.3} \right)$$

$$\Delta H_{0.75} = \frac{0.0317 \times \Delta H}{P_b (T_o + 460)} \left[\frac{(T_{ds} + 460) \theta}{Y_{ds} \times Y_{ds}} \right]^2$$

$$Q = \frac{17.64 \times V_{ds} \times P_b}{(T_{ds} + 460) \theta}$$

1. Y_d Tolerance = (Y_{d,exp} - Y_d) ± 0.02 ref. Fig 5.6 in EPA method 5

2. ΔH_{0.75} Tolerance = (ΔH_{0.75,exp} - ΔH_{0.75}) ± 0.20 ref. Fig 5.6 in EPA method 5.

000000

METER BOX FULL TEST CALIBRATION

Meter #: L.S.I.#2
 Meter Orifice ID: boxes orifice
 Standard Meter: Wet Gas Meter
 Test Vacuum "Hg: 0"Hg
 Bar. Pressure (Pb): 30.01
 Leak Checked: Yes at 17"Hg
 Date: 09-23-98.
 Operator: Bob Gallagher
 Signature: BG [Signature]
 QAQC Officer: CT [Signature]

| | | |
|----------|--------|-------|
| | Yd | ΔH@ |
| Current | 0.9840 | 1.805 |
| Previous | 1.0089 | 1.869 |

| ΔH | ΔP | Yds | Standard Meter Gas Volume (ft³) | | | Meter Box Gas Volume (ft³) | | | Std. Meter Temp. (T _a) Avg. | Meter Box Temperature (T _a) | | | Time (Min.) Ø | Q | Yd | ΔH@ | | |
|------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | | Initial | Final | (V _{ds}) Net | Initial | Final | (V _d) Net | | (T _i) Inlet Inlt. End | (T _o) Outlet Inlt. End | (T _a) Avg. | | | | | | |
| 0.50 | -0.085 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 7.000 | 7.000 | 393.248 | 400.400 | 7.152 | 70.0 | 78 | 78 | 72 | 72 | 75.0 | 16.95 | 0.413 | 0.9866 | 1.635 |
| 0.50 | -0.090 | 1.000 | 7.000 | 12.100 | 5.100 | 400.400 | 405.627 | 5.227 | 70.0 | 76 | 78 | 72 | 73 | 74.8 | 12.65 | 0.403 | 0.9830 | 1.714 |
| 0.50 | -0.090 | 1.000 | 12.100 | 19.062 | 6.962 | 405.627 | 412.776 | 7.149 | 71.0 | 76 | 78 | 72 | 74 | 75.0 | 17.33 | 0.401 | 0.9798 | 1.731 |
| 1.50 | -0.125 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 14.400 | 14.400 | 430.116 | 444.932 | 14.816 | 72.0 | 80 | 87 | 75 | 77 | 79.8 | 21.52 | 0.666 | 0.9822 | 1.869 |
| 1.50 | -0.115 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 17.530 | 17.530 | 461.758 | 479.769 | 18.011 | 75.0 | 78 | 90 | 78 | 80 | 81.5 | 26.30 | 0.660 | 0.9812 | 1.894 |
| 1.50 | -0.120 | 1.000 | 17.530 | 29.751 | 12.221 | 479.769 | 492.357 | 12.588 | 75.0 | 86 | 90 | 76 | 80 | 83.0 | 18.40 | 0.657 | 0.9815 | 1.911 |
| 3.00 | -0.145 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 16.655 | 16.655 | 492.590 | 509.640 | 17.050 | 75.0 | 90 | 93 | 79 | 80 | 85.5 | 17.40 | 0.947 | 0.9884 | 1.835 |
| 3.00 | -0.140 | 1.000 | 16.655 | 26.895 | 10.240 | 509.640 | 520.134 | 10.494 | 75.0 | 91 | 92 | 80 | 81 | 86.0 | 10.70 | 0.947 | 0.9883 | 1.832 |
| 3.00 | -0.140 | 1.000 | 26.895 | 37.497 | 10.602 | 520.134 | 531.035 | 10.901 | 75.0 | 91 | 92 | 81 | 81 | 86.3 | 11.07 | 0.948 | 0.9854 | 1.828 |

Comments: This is the Calib. Cert.

Variables:

- Q = Flow rate (cfm)
- ΔH = Orifice pressure differential (in. H₂O)
- ΔP = Inlet pressure differential standard meter (in. H₂O)
- Yds = Standard meter correction factor (Unitless)
- Yd = Meter box correction factor (Unitless)
- ΔH@ = Orifice pressure differential that gives 0.75 DSCFM of air at 68°F and 29.92 in. Hg (in. H₂O)

$$Yd = (Yds) \left(\frac{Vds}{Vd} \right) \left(\frac{Td + 460}{Tds + 460} \right) \left(\frac{Pb + \Delta P / 13.6}{Pb + \Delta H / 13.6} \right)$$

$$\Delta H@ = \frac{0.0317 \times \Delta H}{Pb(T_o + 460)} \left[\frac{(Tds + 460)\theta}{Vds \times Yds} \right]^2$$

$$Q = \frac{17.64 \times Vds \times Pb}{(Tds + 460)\theta}$$

1. Yd Tolerance = (Yd_{calc} - Yd) ± 0.02 ref Fig 5.6 in EPA method 5

2. ΔH@ Tolerance = (ΔH@_{calc} - ΔH@) ± 0.20 ref fig 5.6 in EPA method 5.

000501
NS

Type K Thermocouple Calibration

Pitot #: _____
 Probe #: _____
 Probe Type/Length: _____
 Meter # LSI #2
 Heater Box # _____
 Other: _____

| Calibration Date | Thermocouple ID | Reference Temp. (°F) | Test T/C Temp. (°F) | Temp. Difference | % Difference | Initials | Notes Pass/Fail |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------|
| 9-15-97 | | | | | | | |
| READOUT | #1 Probe | 400°F | 399.7°F | -0.3°F | -0.075% | BE | PASS |
| K Type | #2 OPEN | 200°F | 201.6°F | +1.6°F | +0.80% | BE | " |
| ✓ | #3 STACK | 300°F | 299.8°F | -0.2°F | -0.067% | BE | " |
| | #4 XTRA | 100°F | 99.2°F | -0.8°F | -0.800% | BE | " |
| | #5 XTRA | 500°F | 500.2°F | +0.2°F | +0.04% | BE | " |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

NIST Pyrometer: T134449

NIST Thermocouple: 910006

Comments: Also used Omega Thermocouple Simulator S/N 912
Model = CL300-1200C. K-type.

Reference Thermometer: ASTM Mercury in Glass.

Method Reference: Code of Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 2, Revised as of July 1, 1992.

Tolerance Limits: +/-4.0 °F For Temp <400°.

Tolerance Limits: +/-1.5% For Temp >400°.

000203

g:\forms\cal\ccoloss

Spring Type Thermometer Calibration

Calibrated By: Darren M. Lane

Date Calibrated: 4-14-97

Calibration Due: 10-14-97

LSI = 2

| Thermometer ID | Reference Thermometer Temp. (°F) | Test Thermocouple Temp (°F) | Temp. Difference | % Difference | Notes |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------|
| LSI = 2 | | | | | |
| METER IN #16B | 34°F | 35°F | +1°F | 2.9% | |
| | 71°F | 71°F | 0 | 0 | |
| | 104°F | 100°F | -4°F | 3.8% | |
| | | | | | |
| METER OUT #16A | 35°F | 36°F | +1°F | 2.9% | |
| | 72°F | 71°F | -1°F | 1.4% | |
| | 100°F | 98°F | -2°F | 2.0% | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NIST Pyrometer: T 134449

ASTM Thermometer: 6 1132-006

Comments: PASSED

Reference Thermometer: ASTM mercury in glass.

Method Reference: EPA QA Handbook Vol. III: Stationary Source Specific Methods, sect. 3.5.2.2

Tolerance Limits: ±5.4 °F at ambient temperature and in not water bath.

Type K Thermocouple Calibration

Pitot #: _____
 Probe #: _____
 Probe Type: _____

Meter # ASI[#] 8
 Heater Box # _____
 Other: T/Couple READOUT KType

| Calibration Date | Thermocouple ID | Reference Temp. (°F) | Test T/C Temp. (°F) | Temp. Difference < 400 °F | % Difference > 400 °F | Notes Pass / Fail |
|------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| <u>10-7-98</u> | | | | | | |
| | <u>STACK</u> | <u>600.0°F</u> | <u>602°F</u> | <u>+ 2°F</u> | <u>.333%</u> | <u>PASSES</u> |
| | <u>PROBE</u> | <u>300°F</u> | <u>300°F</u> | <u>0</u> | | <u>"</u> |
| | <u>OVEN</u> | <u>300°F</u> | <u>300°F</u> | <u>0</u> | | <u>PASSES</u> |
| | <u>AUX</u> | <u>200°F</u> | <u>201°F</u> | <u>+ 1°F</u> | | <u>"</u> |
| | <u>Meter in</u> | <u>63</u> | <u>63</u> | <u>0</u> | | <u>"</u> |
| | <u>Meter out</u> | <u>63</u> | <u>64</u> | <u>+ 1°F</u> | | <u>"</u> |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

NIST Pyrometer: T134449

Calibrated By: Bob Gallagher

NIST Thermocouple: 910006

Calibration Frequency: 6 Months 2-7-98

ASTM Mercury in Glass Thermometer #: _____

Comments: ALSO USED KType thermocouple SIMULATOR. OMEGA model 912
S/N CL 3002100F

Method Reference: Code of Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 2, Revised as of July 1, 1992.

Tolerance Limits: +/- 1.0 °F For Temp < 400 °F.

Tolerance Limits: +/- 1.5% For Temp > 400 °F.

METER BOX FULL TEST CALIBRATION

Meter #: 2400lf

Meter Orifice ID: Calib.#1 13/64

Standard Meter: Wet Gas Meter

Test Vacuum "Hg: n/a

Bar. Pressure (Pb): 30.04

Leak Checked: Yes

Date: 4/1/97

Operator: Michael J. Wiley

Signature: [Signature]

QA/QC Officer: [Signature]

Current $\frac{Yd}{\Delta H@}$ 0.9818

Previous 0.9622

| ΔH | ΔP | Yds | Standard Meter Gas Volume (ft³) | | | Meter Box Gas Volume (L) | | | Std. Meter Temp. (T _{std}) Avg. | Meter Box Temperature (T) | | | | Time (Min.) Ø | (L/Min.) Q | Yd | ΔH@ |
|--------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------|-------|--------|
| | | | Initial | Final | (Vds) Net | (L) Initial | (L) Final | (Vd) Net(ft³) | | (T) _{Inlet} Int. | (T) _{Inlet} End | (T) _{Outlet} Int. | (T) _{Outlet} End | | | | |
| 0.0001 | -0.06 | 1.000 | 72.320 | 72.640 | 0.320 | 613.200 | 622.400 | 0.325 | 62.0 | 66 | 69 | 63 | 66 | 66.0 | 25.8 | 0.357 | 0.9925 |
| 0.0001 | -0.06 | 1.000 | 72.640 | 72.960 | 0.320 | 622.400 | 631.600 | 0.325 | 62.0 | 70 | 70 | 66 | 66 | 68.0 | 25.8 | 0.357 | 0.9962 |
| 0.002 | -0.090 | 1.000 | 73.000 | 73.500 | 0.500 | 633.000 | 647.500 | 0.512 | 70.0 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 71.5 | 21.93 | 0.646 | 0.9791 |
| 0.002 | -0.090 | 1.000 | 73.500 | 74.000 | 0.500 | 647.500 | 662.000 | 0.512 | 70.0 | 71 | 74 | 78 | 72 | 72.0 | 21.93 | 0.646 | 0.9800 |
| 0.004 | -0.010 | 1.000 | 74.200 | 75.100 | 0.900 | 668.000 | 694.500 | 0.936 | 72.0 | 78 | 80 | 76 | 77 | 77.8 | 25.08 | 1.013 | 0.9722 |
| 0.004 | -0.010 | 1.000 | 75.100 | 76.000 | 0.900 | 694.500 | 721.100 | 0.939 | 72.0 | 80 | 81 | 77 | 78 | 79.0 | 25.10 | 1.012 | 0.9708 |

Comments: This is the Calib. Cert. sheet.

Variables:

- Q = Flow rate (cfm)
- ΔH = Orifice pressure differential (in. H₂O)
- ΔP = Inlet pressure differential standard meter (in. H₂O)
- Yds = Standard meter correction factor (Unitless)
- Yd = Meter box correction factor (Unitless)
- MHg = Orifice pressure differential that gives 0.75 DSCFM of air at 68°F and 29.92 in. Hg (in. H₂O)

$$Yd = (Yds) \left(\frac{Vds}{Vd} \right) \left(\frac{Td + 460}{Tds + 460} \right) \left(\frac{Pb + \Delta P / 13.6}{Pb + \Delta H / 13.6} \right)$$

$$\Delta H@ = \frac{0.0317 \times \Delta H}{Pb(Ts + 460)} \left[\frac{(Tds + 460)\theta}{Vds \times Yds} \right]^2$$

$$Q = \frac{17.64 \times Vds \times Pb}{(Tds + 460)\theta}$$

1. Yd Reference: (Yd)_{std} = Yd) ± 0.02 ref. fig 5.6 in EPA method 5

2. MHg Reference: (MHg)_{std} = MHg) ± 0.20 ref. fig 5.6 in EPA method 5

Spring Type Thermometer Calibration

Calibrated By: Darren M. Lane

Date Calibrated: 10-7-96

Calibration Due: 6 months 4-7-97

LF 2400

8383

| Thermometer ID | Reference Thermometer Temp. (°F) | Test Thermocouple Temp (°F) | Temp. Difference | % Difference | Notes |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------|
| 22A #8383 | 112 | 111 | -1 | | |
| 22A IN" | 78 | 78 | 0 | | |
| 22A " | 33 | 34 | +1 | | |
| 18A " | 111 | 112 | +1 | | |
| 18A OUT | 78 | 79 | +1 | | |
| 18A " | 33 | 34 | +1 | | |
| 20A LF2400 | 102 | 100 | -2 | | |
| 20A IN | 84 76 | 75 | -1 | | |
| 20A " | 41 | 40 | -1 | | |
| 20B " | 104 | 100 | -4 | | |
| 20B OUT | 84 | 83 | -1 | | |
| 20B " | 41 | 40 | -1 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NIST Pyrometer: T134449

ASTM Thermometer: 61132-006 76mm

Comments: PASSED

Reference Thermometer: ASTM mercury in glass.

Method Reference: EPA QA Handbook Vol. III: Stationary Source Specific Methods, sect. 3.5.2.2

Tolerance Limits: ±5.4 °F at ambient temperature and in hot water bath.

APPENDIX E
PROCESS DATA

0000209

Ken measured RTS #1 today and found that only 22.66 Kg RTS #1 in the container

1. Composition of ~~RTS~~ undiluted RTS #1 excluding particulate

| | wt. % | amount, g |
|---------------------------|-------|-----------|
| MCM | 92.6% | 20983 |
| 1,1-dichloroethene | 1.51% | 342 |
| Tetrachloroethane | 3.02% | 684 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.76% | 172 |
| Trichloro-trifluoroethane | 2.11% | 478 |
| | 100% | 22660 g |

Diluted with 12.34 Kg Toluene

2. Composition of diluted RTS #1

| | g | wt. % | Feed rate, g/min |
|---------------------------|---------|--------|------------------|
| MCM | 20983 | 59.95% | 24.98 |
| 1,1-dichloroethene | 342 | 0.98% | 0.41 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 684 | 1.95% | 0.81 |
| Trichloroethene | 172 | 0.49% | 0.20 |
| Trichloro-trifluoroethane | 478 | 1.37% | 0.57 |
| Toluene | 12340 | 35.26% | 14.69 |
| | 35000 g | 100% | 41.67 g/min |

100% POLYMER
 99% POLYMER
 98% POLYMER
 97% POLYMER
 96% POLYMER
 95% POLYMER
 94% POLYMER
 93% POLYMER
 92% POLYMER
 91% POLYMER
 90% POLYMER
 89% POLYMER
 88% POLYMER
 87% POLYMER
 86% POLYMER
 85% POLYMER
 84% POLYMER
 83% POLYMER
 82% POLYMER
 81% POLYMER
 80% POLYMER
 79% POLYMER
 78% POLYMER
 77% POLYMER
 76% POLYMER
 75% POLYMER
 74% POLYMER
 73% POLYMER
 72% POLYMER
 71% POLYMER
 70% POLYMER
 69% POLYMER
 68% POLYMER
 67% POLYMER
 66% POLYMER
 65% POLYMER
 64% POLYMER
 63% POLYMER
 62% POLYMER
 61% POLYMER
 60% POLYMER
 59% POLYMER
 58% POLYMER
 57% POLYMER
 56% POLYMER
 55% POLYMER
 54% POLYMER
 53% POLYMER
 52% POLYMER
 51% POLYMER
 50% POLYMER
 49% POLYMER
 48% POLYMER
 47% POLYMER
 46% POLYMER
 45% POLYMER
 44% POLYMER
 43% POLYMER
 42% POLYMER
 41% POLYMER
 40% POLYMER
 39% POLYMER
 38% POLYMER
 37% POLYMER
 36% POLYMER
 35% POLYMER
 34% POLYMER
 33% POLYMER
 32% POLYMER
 31% POLYMER
 30% POLYMER
 29% POLYMER
 28% POLYMER
 27% POLYMER
 26% POLYMER
 25% POLYMER
 24% POLYMER
 23% POLYMER
 22% POLYMER
 21% POLYMER
 20% POLYMER
 19% POLYMER
 18% POLYMER
 17% POLYMER
 16% POLYMER
 15% POLYMER
 14% POLYMER
 13% POLYMER
 12% POLYMER
 11% POLYMER
 10% POLYMER
 9% POLYMER
 8% POLYMER
 7% POLYMER
 6% POLYMER
 5% POLYMER
 4% POLYMER
 3% POLYMER
 2% POLYMER
 1% POLYMER
 0% POLYMER



Actual Feed Rate for PFS #1
Off-gas Flow & composition

PCN 8/24/98

① Average feed rate to the MSD vessel

was $38.5 \text{ g/min} \pm 1.5 \text{ g/min}$ (or 7.0%)

For individual components,

| Components | wt % | rate, g/min |
|--|-------|-------------------|
| <u>1,1-Trichloroethane</u> | | |
| McM | 59.95 | 23.08 |
| 1,1-dichloroethene | 0.98 | 0.38 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.95 | 0.75 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.49 | 0.19 |
| Trichloro- ^{REDN} trifluoroethene | 1.37 | 0.53 |
| Toluene | 35.26 | 13.58 |
| | | <u>38.5 g/min</u> |

② Off-gas Flowrate

per FI-01 (Flowmeter in the off-gas system),

the off-gas flowrate was $15 \pm 0.5 \text{ SCFM}$

(which was consistent with the pitot tube measurements)

③ CO_2 & O_2 in the off-gas

about 100% (as high as 12.0% , as low as 8.0% , average about 10.0%)

13 702 500015 FILLER 5 SQUARE
43 201 500015 FIVE TABS 5 SQUARE
39 500 500015 FIVE TABS 5 SQUARE
40 500 500015 FIVE TABS 5 SQUARE
42 500 500015 FIVE TABS 5 SQUARE
42 500 500015 FIVE TABS 5 SQUARE
42 500 500015 FIVE TABS 5 SQUARE

National Brand

000011

Feed rates:

①

CO₂ ≈ 10.0%

O₂ ≈ 8.0%

Moisture 11.0%

Gas flow will be ≈ 140 SCFH


② actual feed rate will be provided later

The preliminary information is:

| <u>Component</u> | <u>wt%</u> | <u>rate, g/min</u> |
|--------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| hydraulic oil | 82.18 | 14.93 |
| Toluene | 15.29 | 2.78 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 1.19 | 0.213 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.14 | 0.025 |
| MCM | 0.93 | 0.168 |
| PCB | 0.13 | 0.0233 |
| | | <u>18.1 g/min</u> |



Integrated MSO System




09/02/15
104

3 locations

114
023
0010
0030
single Run
ambient

Fig. 3 Off-gas System




(10-15 SCFM, operation)

000214

APPENDIX F
STACK DIAGRAMS

For the sample port between the Salt Trap and the GSS filter




Existing bellows temporarily replaced with rigid pipe.
1.25 pipe, Schedule 40

000216




For the four sampling ports after the GSS filter



000217

For the two sampling ports after the
Catalytic converter




000218




APPENDIX G
SAMPLING SYSTEM DIAGRAMS

0000219



CDD/CDF Sampling Train Configuration

000240



CONDENSER AND SORBENT TRAP FOR COLLECTION OF GASEOUS PCDDs AND PCDFs

000231

108







FIG. 1. Schematic of Volatile Organic Sampling Train (VOST).




Volatile Organic Sampling Train (VOST).

000223



Particulate-Sampling Train, Equipped with In-Stack Filter.

000000



- | | |
|---|----------------------|
| ⊕ | Sampling (Figure 1C) |
| ⊖ | Venting (Figure 1B) |
| ⊙ | Purging (Figure 1A) |

Method 26 80051
Sampling train.



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 21, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-15

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-IMP-CAT-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Non Potable Water

Sampled : August 19, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 20, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798115- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/L | 1 ± 1 | |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/L | 1 ± 2 | |
| Tritium | 906.0 | pCi/L | 900 ± 300 | |

*Results
x0.218
0.22
0.22
196.2*

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/669-0910
FAX: 805/625-4172

Office & Laboratory
1500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/940-0181
FAX: 209/940-0400
CA ELAP Certification No. 1583

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-8470
FAX: 209/734-8408
Mobile: 209/737-0089



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-13

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-F/H-CAT-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Solid

Sampled : August 19, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 13, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798113- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Plastic

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000101

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172

Office & Laboratory
1500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0161
FAX: 209/942-0420

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-8473
FAX: 209/734-8435
Mobile: 209/737-5335



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-14

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: RIMS/114-Filter-CAT-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Air Filter

Sampled : August 19, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 19, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798114- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.33 | ± 0.45 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.44 | ± 1.2 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.2 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 90061-0272
TEL: 805-669-0910
FAX: 805-508-4170

Office & Laboratory
1530 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95216
TEL: 209/942-7131
FAX: 209/942-7433

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/704-9471
FAX: 209/704-9465
Mobile: 209/707-2099

000100



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-4

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R4MS/114-F/H-B-OUT-BLK
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Solid

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 13, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798104- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Plastic

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000123

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-0910
FAX: 805/525-4172
CA ELAP Certification No: 1570

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-3181
FAX: 209/942-0423
CA ELAP Certification No: 1555

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-8470
FAX: 209/734-8435
Mobile: 209/737-2399



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 22, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-8

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R4MS/114-FILTER-B-OUT-BLK
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Air Filter

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 19, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798108- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.00 | ± 0.43 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/samp | 0.0 | ± 1.3 |
| Tritium | H-1 | pCi/samp | 1.2 | ± 2 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000104

Corporate Offices & Laboratory
PO Box 272 / 853 Corporation Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061-0272
TEL: 805/659-2910
FAX: 805/659-2911

Office & Laboratory
2500 Stagecoach Road
Stockton, CA 95215
TEL: 209/942-0181
FAX: 209/942-0100
CA ELAP Certification No. 1170

Field Office
Visalia, CA
TEL: 209/734-9473
FAX: 209/734-8435
TEL/FAX: 209/734-8435

113



ENVIRONMENTAL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

October 21, 1998

LAB No: SP 807981-12

Best Environmental, Inc.
15890 Foothill Blvd,
San Leandro, CA 94578

RE: Radiological Analysis

Sample Site: LLNL
Description: R4MS/114-IMP-B-OUT
Sampled by :
Type of Sample: Non Potable Water

Sampled : August 18, 1998
Received : September 25, 1998
Completed : October 20, 1998
QA/QC ID# : 80798112- A

Analytical Results

| CONSTITUENT | EPA METHOD | UNITS | RESULTS | ERROR |
|-------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.0 | ± 1 |
| Gross Beta | 9310 | pCi/L | 0.0 | ± 3 |
| Tritium | 906.0 | pCi/L | 600 | ±300 |

pCi/L = pico Curies per Liter pCi/ml = pico Curies per milliliter
Containers: (a) Glass

600
x 0.2 liter = 120 pCi

If you have any questions, please call.

FGL ENVIRONMENTAL

Michel M. Franco, B.A.
Radiochemistry Lab Manager

MMF:vt

This report package is not intended for use in the State of Utah unless bound or paginated

000105

Analytical report

Job Name: LLNL
 Sample Date: 8/26/98
 Request by: R. Best 17
 Analytical Method: Method 5 EPA
 Date of Analysis: 8/31/98 to 9/17/98
 Source: GSS outlet

Analyst: Michaci J. Wiley
 Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

| Lab ID Number | Sample (ml) | Aliquot (ml) | Parameter | Net Weight gain, (mg) | Result Blank Corrected |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| R1M5 (Probe/Nozzle rinse) | 50.0ml | 50.0ml | Particulate | 0.44mg | 0.71mg |
| R1M5 (Filter) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | 0.11mg | 0.11mg |
| R2M5 (Probe/Nozzle rinse) | 50.0ml | 50.0ml | Particulate | 0.25mg | 0.52mg |
| R2M5 (Filter) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | -0.08mg | <0.05mg |
| R3M5 (Probe/Nozzle rinse) | 50.0ml | 50.0ml | Particulate | 0.21mg | 0.48mg |
| R3M5 (Filter) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | -0.04mg | <0.05mg |
| R4M5 (Acetone blank) | 50.0ml | 50.0ml | Particulate | -0.27mg | |
| R4M5 (Filter blank) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | 0.00mg | |

Comments: < 0.05 mg = Not detected

% Acetone Residue = -0.0007%

Calculations:


Probe/Nozzle rinse = Net weight - ((acetone blank wt. vol. acetone blank) * vol. acetone catch)
 % Acetone residue = (New weight gain * 0.1) / (density of acetone * total sample volume)

Tolerance Limits:

% Acetone residue = 0.001% wt.
 Particulate weight = 1% of net wt., ±0.5 mg or ±0.05 mg depending upon precision

Analytical report

Job Name: LLNL
 Sample Date: 8/26/98
 Request by: R. Best
 Analytical Method: Method 5 EPA
 Date of Analysis: 8/31/98 to 9/17/98
 Source: Catalyst outlet

Analyst: Michael J. Wiley
 Signature: 

| Lab ID Number | Sample (ml) | Aliquot (ml) | Parameter | Net Weight gain, (mg) | Result Blank Corrected |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| R1M5 (Probe/Nozzle rinse) | 32.0ml | 32.0ml | Particulate | -0.11mg | 0.06mg |
| R1M5 (Filter) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | 0.10mg | 0.10mg |
| R4M5 (Acetone blank) | 50.0ml | 50.0ml | Particulate | -0.27mg | |
| R4M5 (Filter blank) | N/A | N/A | Particulate | 0.00mg | |

Comments: < 0.05 mg = Not detected

% Acetone Residue = -0.0007%

Calculations:

Probe/Nozzle rinse = Net weight - ((acetone blank wt. vol. acetone blank) * vol. acetone catch)
 % Acetone residue = (New weight gain * 0.1) / (density of acetone * total sample volume)

Tolerance Limits:

% Acetone residue = 0.001% wt.
 Particulate weight = 1% of net wt., ±0.5 mg or ±0.05 mg depending upon precision

000127