

Conf-8210125
UC66, UC66a through
UC66j

CONF-8210125-Suppl.-15

CONF-8210125--Suppl.

DE83 009736

GEOHERMAL PROGRESS MONITOR

Special Supplement

Proceedings of the Geothermal Program Review
October 25-26, 1982
Washington, D.C.

NOTICE
PORTIONS OF THIS REPORT ARE ILLEGIBLE.
It has been reproduced from the best
available copy to permit the broadest
possible availability.

U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Renewable Energy
Division of Geothermal and Hydropower Technologies
Washington, D.C. 20585

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

leg ✓

APPENDIX N - Presentation 15

Topic: Environmental Control Technology

Speaker: Jerry Katz (DOE/SAN)

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.



ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL TECHNOLOGY (ECT)
FOR GEOTHERMAL PROCESSES

Gerald Katz
DOE/SAN
October 25, 1982

The objectives of the ECT program are to develop research priorities, research new and alternative technologies and to improve economics and performance of ECT systems. The Interagency Geothermal Coordinating Council, Environmental Control Panel developed priorities and obtained industry input during 1980. H₂S controls, injection monitoring, solid waste characterization and control and subsidence were reviewed as high priority while noise controls were considered low priority. Since geothermal technology is still developing there is a need to continue researching new and alternative ECT. Often ECT systems must be designed for site specific applications and need modification for use of other sites. Most of the US geothermal experience is found at the Geysers, California where H₂S abatement is required. Various systems have been tested with mixed results. The bottom line is that the economics and performance of H₂S abatement systems are less than desirable.

There are numerous technical issues the ECT program must deal with. These issues fall into the categories of liquid discharges and withdrawal, air emissions, solid wastes, subsidence, seismicity and noise. Geothermal liquid discharges can impact either surface or subsurface waters. EPA and most state/local water regulatory agencies have water standards and require permits for such discharges. Withdrawal of geothermal fluids can cause hydrologic alteration such as drawdown of surface features (e.g. geysers). Geothermal processes release a variety of gases. CO₂, H₂S, Hg, Rn, B, SO₂, and other gases are often associated with geothermal resources. Solid wastes are generated by geothermal drilling, energy conversion processes and the H₂S abatement systems. Some of the solids generated can be toxic and require costly disposal techniques. Disposal sites are often limited and a distance from the geothermal operations. Highly saline geothermal brines such as those found in the Salton Sea, California area will generate significant quantities of solid waste requiring costly disposal. Both subsidence and seismicity may occur as a result of geothermal operations. In both cases it may be difficult to differentiate geothermal induced events from natural occurrences. Noise is not a significant problem from geothermal operations except when wells are open vented to the atmosphere.

The ECT program is presently in a close-out mode due to funding limitations. Three projects are being completed this fiscal year. LLNL is in the process of doing field experiments to develop techniques to track fluid migration from geothermal injection wells to protect underground sources of drinking water. Two geophysical techniques appear to offer promise. Geotomography is a technique which enhances images of borehole to borehole electric measurements. Tidal response is a technique which determines flow paths by measuring pressure fluctuations in a non-producing well from earth tides. Two H₂S abatement

experiments will also be completed. These are the Sheinbaum direct chlorination process being tested at HGP-A in Hawaii and the Research Cottrell/Ion Physics E-beam laboratory experiment. Both process produce elemental sulfur as a by-product. Other work completed in past years include the EIC copper sulfate upstream scrubber, UOP catalytic oxidation process, LBL partitioning model, ETEC H₂S and solid waste state-of-the-art studies, Accurex solid waste characterization and the LBL subsidence program. Some work on seismicity has been coordinated with the USGS activities.

There have been four significant accomplishments of the ECT program. These are the UOP catalyst research, ENEL project coordination, LLNL injection and monitoring project and LBL H₂S partitioning model. UOP was selected through an RFP which contained selection criteria designed to obtain a source who would commercialize a process without further DOE funding. UOP did just that. After successful completion of DOE funded research MCR Geothermal sponsored a field test at the Geysers which appears to have been quite successful. Coordination with ENEL, Italy has added much perspective to the ECT program. Project 5, Environmental Research, has been approved providing a mechanism for information exchange. LLNL is developing two new geophysical techniques to aid reservoir engineers understand injected geothermal fluid migration. LBL H₂S partitioning computer model has been transferred to PG&E and is being used for abatement system design.

Industry perceived ECT for geothermal as a problem. H₂S abatement costs are very high, often results in other problem such as solid waste and in some cases damage to power plant materials. Injection monitoring is felt to be important but more from a reservoir engineering/performance standpoint. OSHA concerns are becoming significant. Arsenic and safety issues are presently concerns at the Geysers. Solid waste costs are projected to impact some Imperial Valley, California developments and industry by-product recovery research is on-going. Subsidence concerns have caused local resistance to some geothermal projects in the Imperial Valley.

Overall there are ECT problems remaining. First is the "Puna Speaks vs DOE, et al" litigation which shows that in the environmental area you may never do enough. The HGP-A project employs state-of-the-art H₂S abatement systems yet DOE is being sued for H₂S emissions. The present cost of ECT systems is very high and the performance of many existing systems is marginal. Last without funding even the long term ECT research needs will not be accomplished.

If ECT funding is available the following program strategy is recommended. Continue research in brine injection environmental controls. Three somewhat related research areas are recommended. Brine injection treatment technology is needed to protect drinking water aquifers (not to mention keeping injectivity high in the injection wells). The GLEF clarifier system is an example already

developed by DOE. Other technologies should now be explored. Assuming no treatment technology will ever be 100% efficient there may be a strong future need for injection monitoring. While injection monitoring can be accomplished through witness wells this can be quite expensive. Completing the LLNL

Injection Monitoring Project and developing a technology base for industry would be useful. Last in brine injection research I recommend a project to develop economic solid waste treatment technology. The largest geothermal solid waste load will be from brine injection treatment systems. Techniques will be required to either reduce the waste load or utilize it for economic recovery. Both by-product recovery and detoxification research is needed. Continued H_2S research would be highly desirable but is not essential since industry is presently performing a modest research program. If H_2S research does continue two projects are recommended. First an economic H_2S control program is proposed for geothermal flash power plants HGP-would make an ideal test bed. Also as the litigation in Hawaii developed I discovered the need for an H_2S in-stack and ambient monitoring state-of-the-art report. ETEC could perform this analysis.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

(ECT)

OBJECTIVES

- o DEVELOP ECT RESEARCH PRIORITIES
- o RESEARCH NEW AND ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGIES
- o IMPROVE ECONOMICS AND PERFORMANCE

TECHNICAL ISSUES

- o LIQUID DISCHARGES AND WITHDRAWAL
- o AIR EMISSIONS
- o SOLID WASTES
- o SUBSIDENCE
- o SEISMICITY
- o NOISE

PROGRAM STATUS

- o GEOTHERMAL INJECTION MONITORING PROJECT (LLNL)
- o H₂S ABATEMENT
 - COPPER SULFATE UPSTREAM SCRUBBER (EIC)
 - CATALYTIC OXIDATION (UOP)
 - E-BEAM (RC/IP)
 - DIRECT CHLORINATION (SHEINBAUM)
 - PARTITIONING MODEL (LBL)
 - STATE OF THE ART (ETEC)
- o SOLID WASTE
 - CHARACTERIZATION (ACCUREX)
 - STATE OF THE ART (ETEC)
- o SUBSIDENCE RESEARCH PROGRAM (LBL)
- o SEISMICITY PROGRAM

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- o UOP CATALYST RESEARCH
- o ENEL PROJECT COORDINATION
- o LLNL INJECTION MONITORING
- o LBL H₂S PARTITIONING MODEL

INDUSTRY PERCEPTION

- o H₂S ABATEMENT COST AND PERFORMANCE
- o INJECTION MONITORING RESERVIOR ENGINEERING USE
- o OSHA CONCERNS (ARSENIC, SAFETY)
- o SOLID WASTE
- o SUBSIDENCE

PROBLEMS

- o HGP-A LITIGATION
- o OVERALL ECT COST AND PERFORMANCE
- o FUNDING

FUTURE PROGRAM STRATEGY

- o BRINE INJECTION TREATMENT
- o GEOTHERMAL INJECTION MONITORING
- o ECONOMIC SOLID WASTE TREATMENT
- o ECONOMIC H₂S CONTROLS FOR GEOTHERMAL FLASH PLANTS
- o H₂S IN-STOCK AND AMBIENT MONITORING STATE OF THE ART