

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

BURNUP CREDIT VALIDATION OF SCALE-4 USING LIGHT WATER REACTOR CRITICALS*

S. M. Bowman and O. W. Hermann
Oak Ridge National Laboratory**
P.O. Box 2008
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6370

M. C. Brady
Sandia National Laboratories***
Albuquerque, New Mexico

Submitted to the
Annual Meeting of the American Nuclear Society
June 20-24, 1993
San Diego, California

The submitted manuscript has been authored
by a contractor of the U.S. Government
under contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400.
Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a
nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish
or reproduce the published form of this
contribution, or allow others to do so, for
U.S. Government purposes.

*Research sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, and directed by Sandia National Laboratories under subcontract AD-4072 with Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

**Managed by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 for the U.S. Department of Energy.

***A U.S. Department of Energy facility supported under contract no. DE-AC04-76DP00789.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED 2

INTRODUCTION

The ANSI/ANS 8.1 criticality safety standard¹ recommends validation and benchmarking of the calculational methods used in evaluating criticality safety limits for away-from-reactor applications. The lack of critical experiments with burned light-water-reactor (LWR) fuel in racks or in casks necessitates the validation of burnup credit methods by comparison to LWR core criticals. These are relevant benchmarks because they test a methodology's ability to predict spent fuel isotopics and to evaluate the reactivity effects of heterogeneities and strong absorbers. Data are available to perform analyses at precise state points.

The U.S. Department of Energy Burnup Credit Program has sponsored analysis of selected reactor core critical configurations from commercial pressurized-water-reactors (PWRs) in order to validate an appropriate analysis methodology. The initial methodology used the SCALE-4 code system² to analyze a set of reactor critical configurations from Virginia Power's Surry and North Anna reactors.^{3,4} The methodology has since been revised to simplify both the data requirements and the calculational procedure for the criticality analyst. This revised methodology is validated here by comparison to three reactor critical configurations from Tennessee Valley Authority's Sequoyah Unit 2 Cycle 3 and two from Virginia Power's Surry Unit 1 Cycle 2.

Sequoyah Unit 2 Cycle 3 was chosen because the unit had a significantly long downtime of 2.7 y during the middle-of-cycle (MOC) 3, and no fresh fuel was loaded into the reactor prior to restart. The first benchmark critical calculation was the MOC restart at hot full power (HFP) critical conditions. The other two benchmark critical calculations were the beginning-of-cycle (BOC) startup at both hot zero power (HZP) and HFP critical conditions. These BOC conditions were used to check for consistency in the calculated results for different burnup, downtime, temperature, and xenon conditions.

The Surry cases were originally selected^{3,4} because of the availability of the operating data and the three-dimensional (3-D) calculated nuclide density distributions from the utility's reactor physics calculations. Two critical calculations at BOC startup at HZP and end-of-cycle (EOC) operation at HFP are reanalyzed here to provide additional validation for the revised SCALE-4 methodology.

SAS2H ISOTOPIC CALCULATIONS

The SAS2H/ORIGEN-S depletion sequence⁵ within SCALE-4 was used to predict isotopic concentrations for each fuel enrichment and assembly type [i.e., fresh burnable poison rods (BPR), depleted BPR, or no BPR], referred to as a fuel batch. A total of seven SAS2H batches each were required for Sequoyah and Surry. It was assumed that each assembly in a batch had the same operating power. The average operating power history and the average burnup of each batch were computed from individual assembly burnup data. The uptimes and downtimes were determined directly from the burnup intervals, the average powers, and the operating history data. Isotopic inventories were computed by SAS2H at burnup intervals of 3 to 5 MWd/kgU and extended to 1.2 times the maximum assembly burnup, thus allowing interpolation of isotopic data on assembly burnup.

KENO V.a MODEL SETUP

Sequoyah Unit 2 contains 193 Westinghouse 17 x 17 fuel assemblies and Surry Unit 1 contains 157 Westinghouse 15 x 15 fuel assemblies. These fuel assemblies are generally loaded in an eighth-core symmetric pattern. In order to simplify and reduce the volume of input in the KENO V.a model, eighth-core symmetry was assumed in the isotopic input. This reduces the number of unique fuel assemblies to 31 for Sequoyah and 26 for Surry. No axial dependence of the isotopics or cross sections is modeled. A detailed description of the models are given in ref. 6.

The spent fuel isotopics were derived from the SAS2H calculations described previously. Isotopics were calculated for each of the eighth-core fuel assembly locations using a newly developed module called SNIKR to interpolate on burnup. ORIGEN-S was then used to decay the interpolated nuclide concentrations for the appropriate downtime.

The eighth-core fuel assembly locations were grouped into cross-section sets for calculation of the microscopic cross sections. The cross-section sets were created by dividing the fuel assemblies in each fuel batch into subgroups based on their burnups. Cross sections were calculated for each set of fuel assemblies with similar burnups. Average nuclide concentrations for each cross-section set were input to the CSASN analytical sequence that contains BONAMI and NITAWL-II in order to perform resonance processing of the microscopic cross sections. The 27BURNUPLIB cross-section library in SCALE was used. This library contains predominantly ENDF/B-IV data but has pre-released ENDF/B-V data for the fission products and higher-order actinides.

KENO V.a RESULTS

KENO V.a was used to model each reactor in full core geometry. The criticality calculations were performed with 1000 neutrons per generation for a minimum of 303 generations. The calculated k_{eff} values in Table I are consistent and are in good agreement with the measured critical condition ($k_{\text{eff}} = 1.0$). These results also agree well with calculations that analyzed 12 critical experiments consisting of mixed oxide fuel rods in square lattice geometries.⁷ The mean k_{eff} of these calculations was 1.0029. Therefore, we conclude that the revised SCALE-4 methodology can accurately calculate reactivity for spent PWR fuel assemblies. It should be noted that the result for the Surry BOC case is approximately 2% $\Delta k/k$ greater than that reported for the original Surry reactor critical calculation performed with the initial SCALE methodology.⁴ Work is underway to identify and understand possible causes for the differences in results between the present analysis and

the earlier analysis. Differences in the earlier analysis that have been identified include: use of a lumped fission product to account for all nuclides not explicitly modeled; fewer fuel batches and cross-section sets; fewer neutrons per generation and fewer total neutron histories; and use of an earlier version of SAS2H. Future work planned for this validation project includes the BOC critical for Three Mile Island Unit 1 Cycle 5 that followed a 6.5-y shutdown.

Table I. KENO V.a calculated results

Reactor	Burnup	Power	Boron (ppm)	k_{eff}	Neutron histories	k_{eff} from ref. 4
Sequoyah	BOC	HZP	1685	1.0006 ± 0.0009	286,000	---
Sequoyah	BOC	HFP	1150	1.0026 ± 0.0009	336,000	---
Sequoyah	MOC	HFP	475	1.0001 ± 0.0010	298,000	---
Surry	BOC	HZP	1030	0.9939 ± 0.0008	381,000	0.9757 ± 0.0022
Surry	EOC	HFP	123	1.0034 ± 0.0007	594,000	0.9960 ± 0.0020

REFERENCES

1. *American National Standard for Nuclear Criticality Safety in Operations with Fissionable Materials Outside Reactors*, ANSI/ANS-8.1-1983, American Nuclear Society.
2. *SCALE: A Modular Code System for Performing Standardized Computer Analyses for Licensing Evaluation*, NUREG/CR-0200, Rev. 4 (ORNL/NUREG/CSD-2/R4), Vols. I, II, and III (draft February 1990). Available from Radiation Shielding Information Center as CCC-545.
3. J.-P. Renier, C. V. Parks, *Executive Summary: Reactor Critical Benchmark Calculations for Burnup Credit Applications*, ORNL/M-1073, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., April 1990.
4. J.-P. Renier, C. V. Parks, "Reactor Critical Calculations for Validation of Burnup Credit Analysis Methods," *Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc.*, **62**, 308, (1990).
5. C. V. Parks, O. W. Hermann, and B. L. Broadhead, "The SCALE Analysis Sequence for LWR Fuel Depletion," in *Proceedings of ANS/ENS International Topical Meeting*, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, April 28 - May 1, 1991.
6. S. M. Bowman et al., *Validation of SCALE-4 for Burnup Credit Applications Using Light-Water Reactor Criticals*, ORNL/TM-12294, Vols. 1 and 2, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., to be published.
7. S. M. Bowman et al., *Validation of a Computational Methodology for Burnup Credit Applications Using SCALE-4*, ORNL/TM-12295, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., to be published.

END

**DATE
FILMED**

9/3/93

