

00004407780
Presented at the First JIM International
Symposium on New Aspects of Martensitic
Transformation (JIMIS-I), Kyoto, Japan,
May 10 - 12, 1976

LBL-4557
c1

USE OF THE REVERSE MARTENSITIC TRANSFORMATION AND
PRECIPITATION TO ENHANCE THE STRENGTH AND
STABILITY OF AUSTENITE

S. Jin, D. Huang, J. W. Morris, Jr., and G. Thomas

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

December 1975


AUG 18 1976

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Prepared for the U. S. Energy Research and
Development Administration under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room



LBL-4557
c1

USE OF THE REVERSE MARTENSITIC TRANSFORMATION AND PRECIPITATION
TO ENHANCE THE STRENGTH AND STABILITY OF AUSTENITE

by

S. Jin, D. Huang, J. W. Morris, Jr. and G. Thomas

Department of Materials Science and Engineering and
Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory;
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT

The addition of martensitic and reverse martensitic phase transformations to precipitation hardening results in significant strengthening of Fe-Ni-Ti austenitic alloys due to the transformation-induced defects. Multiple cycles of γ - α' - γ transformations led to a further strengthening. The stability of ausaged austenite as well as ausaged and transformation strengthened austenite is improved significantly through a final isothermal treatment at 500°C. This stabilization results in an increase of both the strength and the ductility in less stable (mechanically) austenites while only the increase of ductility was observed in relatively stable austenites.

USE OF THE REVERSE MARTENSITIC TRANSFORMATION AND PRECIPITATION TO IMPROVE THE STRENGTH AND STABILITY OF AUSTENITE

by

S. J. D. HARRIS, J. W. MORRIS, JR. and C. THOMAS

Department of Materials Science and Engineering and
Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory,
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT

The addition of carbonitic and reverse martensitic phase transfor-
mations to precipitation hardening results in significant strengthening
of Fe-Ni-Ti austenitic alloys due to the transformation-induced defects.
Multiple cycles of 10³-yr transformations led to a further strengthening.
The stability of aged austenite as well as aged and transformation
strengthened austenite is improved significantly through a final low-
temperature treatment at 500°C. This stabilization results in an increase
of both the strength and the ductility in less stable (metastably)
austenites while only the increase of ductility was observed in
relatively stable austenites.

I. INTRODUCTION

The need for a high strength nonmagnetic steel for use in the retaining rings of a large electrical generator rotor instigated this research on the strengthening of austenitic alloys through the combination of precipitation and phase transformations. Previous research¹⁻⁶ showed that a metastable austenite could be strengthened considerably by introducing a high defect density and a fine substructure through martensitic ($\gamma \rightarrow \alpha'$) and reverse martensitic ($\alpha' \rightarrow \gamma$) phase transformations. The effect of such phase transformations on the properties of austenite containing precipitate particles, however, has not been studied in detail. The purposes of this investigation are: (1) to study the martensitic and reverse martensitic phase transformations in the presence of ausaged precipitates, and their effects on the properties of high strength austenite, and (2) to find out if the stabilization effect of fine precipitates could be incorporated to the development of high strength austenite.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Twenty-pound ingots of Fe-Ni-Ti alloys were prepared by induction melting under inert gas atmosphere. The chemical compositions are given in Table I. The ingots were homogenized at 1200°C for 24 hours under vacuum, forged to 13 mm thick plate, solution annealed at 1100°C for 2 hours under argon gas atmosphere, and water quenched. The M_s temperatures were measured in a dilatometer which allows the measurement of M_s down to liquid nitrogen temperature (-196°C). The tensile properties were measured in an Instron machine with subsize flat

tensile specimens. A Philips 301 electron microscope was operated at 100 kV for the transmission electron microscopy.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The microstructures, phase transformations, and the stabilization behavior are quite similar in the three alloys studied here, and will be described only for the Fe-29Ni-4.3Ti alloy. The mechanical properties will be discussed for all three alloys.

(1) Fe-29Ni-4.3Ti

The annealed Fe-29Ni-4.3Ti austenite has an M_s temperature of -89°C , and is extremely soft. After ausaging at 750°C for 30 minutes, fine spherical γ' (Ni_3Ti) precipitates of $40\sim 60\text{\AA}$ in diameter are uniformly distributed throughout the austenite matrix as shown in Fig. 1. The specimen ausaged at 750°C for 30 minutes ($M_s = -5^{\circ}\text{C}$) was cooled to liquid nitrogen temperature (-196°C) in order to induce a martensitic phase transformation. Approximately 70 pct of the matrix transformed to martensite as measured by X-ray diffractometry. The hardness of the alloy increased from R_C 35.3 in the ausaged condition to R_C 49.2 due to the transformation substructure. The γ' precipitates are apparently retained in the product martensite as well as in the untransformed austenite region. The substructure of martensite appeared to be a mixture of dislocated and twinned martensite.

The reverse martensitic transformation of the ausaged and LN_2 -cooled specimen was achieved by rapid heating to 800°C in a salt bath and holding for 30 seconds. The reversion to austenite was complete.

The alloy remained fully austenitic on cooling to room temperature. The microstructures of reverted austenite are shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b). It is well known that the reverse martensitic transformation influences the properties of austenite. The reverted austenite shows, among other things, a complicated substructure with high defect density,⁴ a high strength,¹⁻³ and a higher self-diffusion rate⁷ than the annealed austenite. Similar results were obtained in the present investigation although the alloy subjected to reversion in this study contained γ' precipitate particles. In Fig. 2(a), the high density of dislocations (compare with Fig. 2(c)) and the lath-like substructure are illustrated. The γ' precipitate particles are retained during the reverse martensitic transformation, as shown in a high magnification micrograph, Fig. 2(b). The hardness of the reverted austenite increased to R_C 41 compared with that of the ausaged austenite, R_C 35.3.

The stabilization of annealed austenite through the formation of fine coherent precipitate has been studied by several investigators.^{8,9} The advantage of the marked decrease of M_s temperature obtainable at the earlier stage of precipitation hardening disappears when the austenite is further aged to obtain a high strength. From the view point of alloy development, it would be desirable to find a method of controlling the austenite stability after the high strength has been obtained, especially when the "transformation-strengthening" mechanism is utilized. In order to allow phase transformations, the austenite stability should be low to start with, but needs to be restored after transformation hardening. Initial success in enhancing the stability of strengthened austenite has been obtained in the present study. The

stabilization of high strength austenite was achieved by isothermal holding at 500°C up to 12 hrs. The hardness of the high strength austenite remained virtually unchanged during the stabilization treatment. The results are shown in Fig. 3. The details of the austenite stabilization and its interpretation are reported elsewhere.¹⁰ The suppression of M_s to -72°C (which is close to that of annealed austenite, M_s -89°C) while strengthening the austenite to R_C hardness 41 through precipitation and phase transformations is a significant restoration of austenite stability considering the solute depletion due to the formation of γ' precipitate. The decrease of M_s obtained by isothermal holding at 500°C in high strength austenite as well as in an annealed austenite is attributed to the formation of either G.P. zone or short-range order of smaller than $\sim 10\text{\AA}$ in diameter based on the isothermal resistivity measurements. The details of the analysis are described elsewhere.¹⁰

(2) Fe-31Ni-3Ti Austenite

The Fe-31Ni-3Ti alloy has an M_s temperature of -113°C in the annealed condition. The M_s temperature increases to -21°C after ausaging at 720°C for 4 hours. This deterioration of stability on aging again has the consequence that the mechanical properties of the alloy are strongly affected by deformation-induced transformation of the austenite. Typical mechanical properties of Fe-31Ni-3Ti austenite after various thermal treatments are listed in Table II. The yield strengths are low and are affected by the deformation-induced martensitic transformation. The yield strength of the sample ausaged for 4

hours is actually lower than that of the sample ausaged for 100 minutes, although the peak age hardening has not been reached. This is attributed to the lowered austenite stability caused by further decrease of matrix solute content on longer aging; the reverse trend is observed in a more stable alloy, Fe-33Ni-3Ti, as shown in Table II. A high work hardening coefficient and strong ferromagnetism are exhibited by the deformed specimens after testing. The γ - α' - γ transformation sequence does lead to an increase of ~ 20 ksi in the yield strength of these alloys as can be seen from Table II, and both the strength and ductility are slightly improved by an additional stabilizing anneal at 500°C for 12 hours.

(3) Fe-33Ni-3Ti Austenite

In the annealed condition the Fe-33Ni-3Ti alloy has an M_s temperature below liquid nitrogen temperature. However, after ausaging at 720°C for four hours the M_s temperature increases to -71°C . This value of the M_s temperature appears to be near the optimum for Fe-Ni-Ti alloys with respect to the objectives of this research. At room temperature the aged austenite has sufficient stability to retain high yield strength, though there is some degree of mechanically induced martensite on deformation. The M_s temperature is, however, high enough to obtain a significant transformation (50-60% α') on cooling to liquid nitrogen temperature, hence allowing γ - α' - γ transformation processing.

An ausaging treatment at 720°C for four hours (to R_C hardness ~ 36) was chosen for initial processing studies. Studies of martensite transformation and its reversion in the ausaged alloy showed that

heating to $650\sim 750^{\circ}\text{C}$ for thirty seconds in a salt bath sufficed to completely revert martensite formed on cooling to liquid nitrogen. The tensile properties resulting from ausaging, phase transformations, and stabilization processes are given in Table II. The alloy as ausaged at 720°C for 4 hours has a yield strength ~ 135 ksi which increases to ~ 150 ksi after cooling in liquid nitrogen and reversion at 750°C . A subsequent stabilization anneal at 500°C for 12 hours yields only a slight change in strength but improves the ductility as measured by tensile elongation. Longer final anneals cause a deterioration in both strength and toughness. The evolution of microstructure during these processes is now under investigation through transmission electron microscopy. The results of this study should provide fundamental information on the sources of the mechanical property variations observed.

The effect of multiple transformation cycles was tested by subjecting an alloy which had been aged at 720°C for four hours to repeated $\gamma\text{-}\alpha'\text{-}\gamma$ cycles. The reversion treatment for these cycles was fixed at $750^{\circ}\text{C}/30$ sec. Repetition of the transformation is likely to increase the dislocation density, hence improving final strength. The results are plotted in Fig. 4. As is apparent from the figure multiple $\gamma\text{-}\alpha'\text{-}\gamma$ cycles lead to an increase in strength. The yield strength rises from ~ 135 ksi in the ausaged condition to ~ 150 ksi after the first cycle, to ~ 162 ksi after two cycles, and to an asymptote at ~ 166 ksi after five cycles. The achievement of this strength level is encouraging in several respects. A yield strength of 166 ksi exceeds the best values obtained by Kopenaal⁶ in transformation strengthening a carbon-containing alloy and is, to our knowledge, the highest

strength ever obtained in an austenitic steel by thermal processing alone. These strength levels are comparable to those of the alloys now in use for retaining rings (for example, work-hardened Fe-18Mn-18Cr-1N austenitic alloy).

IV. SUMMARY

The reverse martensitic transformation of the precipitation hardened matrix resulted in a further strengthening of the Fe-Ni-Ti austenitic alloys. The stability of high strength austenite was improved significantly through an additional low temperature precipitation process.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The support of this work by the Electric Power Research Institute of the U.S.A. and the support from the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1. G. Wassermann: Arch. Eisenhüttenw., 6, 347 (1932).
2. K. A. Malyshev, N. A. Borodina, and V. A. Mirmelstein: Trudy Inst. Fiz. Metal, 20, 339 (1958).
3. G. Krauss, Jr. and M. Cohen: Trans. AIME, 224, 1212 (1962).
4. G. Krauss, Jr.: Acta Met., 11, 499 (1963).
5. S. Jana and C. M. Wayman: Trans. AIME, 239, 1187 (1967).
6. T. J. Koppenaar: Met. Trans., 3, 1549 (1972).
7. P. L. Gruzin, E. V. Kuznetsov, and G. Kurdyumov: Problems of Metallography and the Physics of Metals, Moscow (1955), p. 343.
8. E. Hornbogen and W. Meyer: Acta Met., 15, 584 (1967).
9. J. K. Abraham and J. S. Pascover: Trans. AIME, 245, 759 (1969).
10. S. Jin and D. Huang: Met. Trans., 7A, 745 (1976).


Table I. Chemical Compositions (wt. pct.)

Alloy	Fe	Ni	Ti	C	N	S	P
Fe-29Ni-4.3Ti	Bal.	29.08	4.26	0.012	0.005	0.005	0.003
Fe-31Ni-3Ti	Bal.	31.3	3.03	---	---	---	---
Fe-33Ni-3Ti	Bal.	33.2	2.99	---	---	---	---

Table II. Tensile Properties of Austenitic Alloys

	Heat Treatment	Fe-31Ni-3Ti			Fe-33Ni-3Ti		
		YS (ksi)	TS (ksi)	Elong. (%)	YS (ksi)	TS (ksi)	Elong. (%)
A	720°C/100 min.	94	174	12.0	123	183	19.0
B	A + LN ₂ + Rev.	118	173	8.9	138	177	13.8
C	B + Stab.	121	215	13.0	133	186	21.4
D	720°C/4 hr.	78	180	10.4	135	174	9.9
E	D + LN ₂ + Rev.	95	179	8.8	152	178	10.3
F	E + Stab.	104	213	11.9	151	197	15.9

LN₂: Cooled to -196°C; Rev.: Reverted to austenite (750°C/30 sec.); Stab.: Stabilization (500°C/12 hr.).



XBB 759-6637

Fig. 1. Precipitation hardened austenite showing the γ' precipitates.

Heat Treatment (hr)				YS (ksi)		T ₅ (ksi)	
A 720°C/100 min				123	183	175	19.0
B A + IN ₂ + Rev.				118	173	177	13.8
C B + 250°C				121	175	186	21.4
D 720°C/1 hr				78	180	174	9.9
E D + IN ₂ + Rev.				95	179	178	10.1
F E + 250°C				104	213	181	13.9

LN₂: Cooled to -196°C; Rev.: Reverted to austenite (750°C/30 sec.); 250°C: Stabilization (250°C/12 hr).






Fig. 2. TEM micrographs. (a) Reverted austenite showing the lath-like substructure and the high density of dislocations. (b) γ' precipitates in the reverted austenite. (c) Annealed austenite.



XBL 759-7253

Fig. 3. Stabilization of the high strength austenites.



XBL 763-6598

Fig. 4. Tensile properties vs. number of cycles of γ - α' - γ transformations.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.