

CONF-880601-54

DP-MS--88-24

DE90 009300

REMOTE SENSING AND GIS TECHNOLOGY FOR WILDLIFE HABITAT ASSESSMENT

by

Halkard E. Mackey, Jr.
E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company
Savannah River Laboratory
Aiken, SC 29808

and

C. Elaine Ezra
EG&G Energy Measurements, Inc.
Las Vegas, NV 89125

ISSUED BY OSTI

APR 11 1990

A paper proposed for presentation at the
1988 Annual Meeting of the American Nuclear Society
San Diego, CA
June 12-16, 1988

and for publication in the proceedings

This paper was prepared in connection with work done under Contract No. DE-AC09-76SR00001 with the U.S. Department of Energy. By acceptance of this paper, the publisher and/or recipient acknowledges the U.S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering this paper, along with the right to reproduce and to authorize others to reproduce all or part of the copyrighted paper.

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

**REMOTE SENSING AND GIS TECHNOLOGY
FOR WILDLIFE HABITAT ASSESSMENT**

by

Halkard E. Mackey, Jr.

E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

Savannah River Laboratory

Aiken, SC 29808

C. Elaine Ezra

EG&G Energy Measurements, Inc.

Las Vegas, NV 89125

INTRODUCTION

A geographic information system (GIS) data base was prepared for 217 square kilometers of the Savannah River Plant (SRP). The SRP is a defense nuclear materials production facility located near Aiken, South Carolina. The SRP site includes five nuclear production reactors and a coal-fired power plant. Since 1952, these facilities have released once-through cooling water into several streams and lakes which eventually discharge into the Savannah River. The thermal effluents have altered wetland vegetation along the stream channel floodplains and in the SRP portion of the Savannah River swamp.^{1,2} Two large cooling reservoirs were constructed to reduce these effects. Par Pond was constructed in 1963 to receive P- and R-Reactor cooling water discharges and L Lake was constructed in 1985 to accept L-Reactor discharges.

Alternative cooling water systems are presently being considered for C and K Reactors. Thermal effluents from these facilities flow directly into Four Mile Creek and Pen Branch, respectively. Terrestrial and aquatic wildlife habitat studies were conducted as part of the Final Environmental Impact Statement prepared for several cooling system alternatives.³ This paper addresses the use of a GIS data base and other remote sensing data in support of habitat assessments for these alternatives using the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Habitat Evaluation Procedures (HEP).⁴

DESCRIPTION OF ACTUAL WORK

Land cover data for the GIS were interpreted from three dates of aerial photography using stereoscopic photo interpretation techniques.⁵ Multiple date photography was required to achieve the high accuracy goals specified for the map and to provide for seasonal variability of the land cover types. Aircraft-acquired multispectral scanner data and field observations also aided the interpretation and accuracy assessment process. The classification scheme was primarily based on the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) land use/land cover scheme with major extensions based on the USFWS wetland classification scheme and the USFWS HEP classification scheme required for the HEP analysis.^{4,6,7}

Thus, for C and K Reactors the potential wildlife impacts and/or benefits of once-through and recirculating cooling towers were evaluated for both near-term (30 year) and long-term (100 year) time periods. These options were evaluated against the

projected effects of the current operations, i.e., release of once-through cooling water directly to Four Mile Creek and Pen Branch from C and K Reactors. Those species that were selected as representative of potential project effects in terrestrial environments included the pine warbler, downy woodpecker, eastern cottontail rabbit, gray squirrel, white-tailed deer, and eastern wild turkey. Those species selected to represent aquatic and/or semi-aquatic environments included the creek chub, redbreast sunfish, spotted sunfish, black bullhead catfish, blueback herring, great egret, wood duck, mallard, and yellow-bellied slider.⁸

Data to predict future cover changes in Four Mile Creek, Pen Branch, and the SRP Savannah River swamp were developed from previous remote sensing studies of the SRP. These included change detection studies using historical aerial photography from 1952 to 1985 and aircraft multispectral scanner data from 1981 to 1985.^{1,9} The proposed cooling tower alternatives were entered into the data base and area statistics for affected land cover were tabulated. Area statistics were also extracted from the data base for specified regions around the proposed cooling-water alternative construction sites and buffer zones along the affected stream corridors. These statistics were used as input to habitat suitability index models for the evaluation species of wildlife.⁴

RESULTS

For the cooling water alternatives, the relative rankings of future wildlife effects were estimated.⁸ Effects to terrestrial wildlife from construction of the once-through and recirculation

cooling towers were essentially equal. Aquatic species, such as the creek chub, benefit more from the recirculation alternative in the upper reaches of the creeks; whereas, in the middle and lower reaches, species such as the black bullhead catfish and sunfish benefit more from the once-through alternatives. In the deep swamp environments, those fish which are more likely to use the swamp during the spawning period benefit more from the recirculation alternatives. Likewise, wading birds such as the great egret benefit more from the recirculation alternative. Overwintering waterfowl such as the mallard benefit more either from the present SRP operations or from the once-through cooling towers. Similar trends were noted for both C and K Reactors for both the short term, (30 year) and long-term (100 year) analysis periods.

Remote sensing and GIS technologies provide both spatial and trend (historical) analyses in order to allow comparison of project alternatives for wildlife assessment.

REFERENCES

1. Tinney, L. R., C. E. Ezra, and H. E. Mackey, Jr., 1986. Stream Corridor and Delta Wetlands Change Assessments, Savannah River Plant, South Carolina, DOE(ONS-SRL)-8604, EG&G Energy Measurements, Inc., Las Vegas, NV, 55 pp.
2. Mackey, H. E. (editor), 1987. Comprehensive Cooling Water Study, Final Report, Volume IV, Wetland Plant Communities, Savannah River Plant, DP-1739-4, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Savannah River Laboratory, Aiken, SC.

3. Department of Energy (DOE), 1987. Final Environmental Impact Statement, Alternative Cooling Water Systems, Savannah River Plant, Aiken, South Carolina, DOE/EIS-012.
4. Department of the Interior (DOI), 1980. Habitat Evaluation Procedures, 101-104 ESM, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.
5. Ezra, C. E., L. R. Tinney, and H. E. Mackey, Jr., 1986. Pen Branch and Four Mile Creek Land Cover Data Base, Savannah River Plant, Aiken, South Carolina, DOE(ONS-SRL)-8605, EG&G Energy Measurements, Inc., Las Vegas, NV, 54 pp.
6. Anderson, J. R., E. E. Hardy, J. T. Roach, and R. E. Witmer, 1976. "A Land Cover Classification System for Remote Sensor Data." U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper No. 964, U.S. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C., 50 pp.
7. Cowardin, L. M., V. Carter, F. C. Golet, and E. T. LaRoe, 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States, FWS/OBS-79-31, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Biological Services, Washington, D.C., 103 pp.
8. Mackey, H. E., Jr., C. E. Davis, L. M. Price, W. Fay, and C. E. Ezra, 1987. Habitat Evaluation Procedure (HEP) for Thermal Mitigation Alternatives for C and K Reactors, DPST-87-578, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Savannah River Laboratory, Aiken, SC.

9. Christensen, E. J., J. R. Jensen, E. W. Ramsey, and H. E. Mackey, Jr., 1986. "Wetland Vegetation Change Detection Using High Resolution Aircraft MSS Data." 1986 ASPRS-ACSM Fall Convention, Proceedings, September 28 - October 3, Anchorage, Alaska, pp. 148-162.

The information contained in this article was developed during the course of work under Contract No. DE-AC09-76SR00001 with the U.S. Department of Energy.