

EXPLORATORY RESEARCH ON MUTAGENIC ACTIVITY OF COAL-RELATED MATERIALS

MASTER**DISCLAIMER**

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Progress Report

for

Period September 1, 1980 - December 1, 1980

D. Warshawsky and R. Schoeny

University of Cincinnati Medical College
Kettering Laboratory
Department of Environmental Health
3223 Eden Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio 45267

Prepared for U.S. Department of Energy
PITTSBURGH ENERGY TECHNOLOGY CENTER
Under Contract No. DE-AC 22-78ET00222

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

UNIVERSITY-TYPE CONTRACTOR AND GRANTEE RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR DISPOSITION OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL DOCUMENT

See Instructions on Reverse Side

1. DOE Report No. DOE-ET-00222-7	3 Title Exploratory Research on Mutagenic Activity of Coal-Related Materials
2 Contract No. DE-AC22-78ET00222	
4. Type of Document ("X" one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a. Scientific and technical report <input type="checkbox"/> b. Conference paper Title of conference _____ Date of conference _____ Exact location of conference _____ Sponsoring organization _____ <input type="checkbox"/> c. Other (Specify, Thesis, Translations, etc.) _____	
5. Recommended Announcement and Distribution ("X" one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a. DOE's normal announcement and distribution procedures may be followed. <input type="checkbox"/> b. Make available only within DOE and to DOE contractors and other U.S. Government agencies and their contractors.	
6. Reason for Recommended Restrictions _____	
7. Patent Information Does this information product disclose any new equipment, process or material? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Has an invention disclosure been submitted to DOE covering any aspect of this information product? If so, identify the DOE (or other) disclosure number and to whom the disclosure was submitted. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Are there any patent related objections to the release of this information product? If so, state these objections. _____	
8. Submitted by,	Name and Position (Please print or type) David Warshawsky, Ph.D., Assistant Professor of Environmental Health Organization University of Cincinnati Medical College, Kettering Laboratory, Dept. Environm. Health Signature <u>David Warshawsky</u> Date <u>12/11/80</u>

FOR DOE USE ONLY

9. Patent Clearance ("X" one)
☐ a. DOE patent clearance has been granted by responsible DOE patent group.
☐ b. Report has been sent to responsible DOE patent group for clearance.
☐ c. Patent clearance not required.

Notice

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Abstract

Samples ETTM-01 through ETTM-18 were tested for mutagenicity in Salmonella strain TA1537. Samples previously shown to be non-mutagenic or marginally for TA1538, TA98 and TA100 were not mutagenic for TA1537. Those samples mutagenic for TA1538, TA100 and TA98 were also mutagenic for TA1537 but to a much lesser degree.

This report covers the testing of the following samples for mutagenicity with Salmonella strain 1537:

ETTM-01	ETTM-10
ETTM-02	ETTM-11
ETTM-03	ETTM-12
ETTM-04	ETTM-13
ETTM-05	ETTM-14
ETTM-06	ETTM-15
ETTM-07	ETTM-16
ETTM-08	ETTM-17
ETTM-09	ETTM-18

1. Preparation for Mutagenicity Assay

All samples were stored in the dark at 5°C. Samples were prepared for assay by weighing 50-200 mg and adding dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) so as to obtain a presumptive concentration of 10 mg/ml. Samples ETTM-06, ETTM-07, ETTM-13 and ETTM-14 dispersed completely in the DMSO. None of the other samples (ETTM-01,02,03,04,05,08,09,10,11,12,15,16,17,18) completely dissolved. The amount of the insoluble sample was subtracted from the total to determine the adjusted concentration used in calculating mutagenic activities. Samples ETTM-05 and ETTM-12 retained the solvent after evaporation to apparent dryness, making it impossible to ascertain the weight of insoluble material. In these two samples, therefore, one ml of the 100% solution was blown down under nitrogen and the remaining residue was taken as the concentration of the solution.

Sample solutions were routinely filter sterilized and applied as 0.1 ml aliquots. Dilutions were made in DMSO so that these percentages of the original solutions were tested: 100%, 50%, 10%, 5%, 1%. Limited mutagenicity (2-4 dose point response with S-9) was done with TA98 to determine if the newly prepared samples were similar in bioactivity to the previous sets of samples for which there are extensive data.

2. Results of Mutagenicity Testing

Samples which have been previously shown to be non-mutagenic or marginally mutagenic (ETTM-03,04,05,06,07,12,13,14) for strains TA1538, TA98 and TA100 were not mutagenic for TA1537 (Tables 1 and 2). Those samples which were mutagenic for TA1538, TA98 and TA100 (ETTM-01,02,08,09,10,11,15,16,17,18) were also mutagenic for TA1537, but to a much lesser degree. None of the samples were mutagenic for any of the strains tested in the absence of 50 µl/plate S-9. The -1 frameshift lesion in TA1538 and TA98 is apparently more susceptible to the mutagenic activity of our samples than the +1 frameshift (TA1537).

Sample ETTM-13, which had been previously reported as very slightly mutagenic for TA1537, in these repeat assays showed no activity. TA98 mutagenicity of this and all other samples (except ETTM-01) was shown to be the same level as that reported in the past.

3. Analytical Work

Samples of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, aromatic amines and amino-acridine compounds have been obtained. These will be used to prepare standard mixtures for HPLC analysis method development.

4. Publication

The manuscript entitled "Mutagenicity of Products from Coal Gasification and Liquefaction in the Salmonella/Microsome Assay" by Rita Schoeny, David Warshawsky, Lois Hollingsworth, Mary Hund, and George Moore, has been accepted for publication in Environmental Mutagenesis. A second manuscript, "Evaluation of Coal Gasification and Liquefaction Technologies by Salmonella Mutagenesis" by David Warshawsky, Rita Schoeny, and George Moore has been submitted to Science and is under review.

TABLE 1

Sample Number	Type	Revertant Colonies/ μg ^a				
		TA1537 ^b	TA1538	TA98		TA100
				I	II ^b	
01	Liquefaction A Vehicle Oil	0.98	30.12	18.54	10.16	6.89
02	Gasification Tar	0.82	11.16	6.75	5.35	6.49
03	Gasification Cyclone Dust	- ^c	N.D.	-	-	-
04	Gasification Bottom Ash	-	N.D.	-	-	-
05	B1 Powdered Coal	-	+	+	0.21	+
06	Liquefaction B1 Untreated Water	-	N.D.	-	-	-
07	Liquefaction B1 Light Oil	0.01	N.D.	-	0.31	-
08	Liquefaction B1 Separator Bottoms	1.54	3.30	17.17	12.90	8.38
09	Liquefaction B1 Filtered Liquid	1.40	7.03	11.42	13.37	6.76
10	Liquefaction B1 Vacuum Overhead	0.21	7.30	10.88	6.77	2.10
11	Liquefaction B1 Vacuum Bottoms	2.44	27.92	27.03	21.09	11.36
12	B2 Powdered Coal	-	+	+	+	-
13	Liquefaction B2 Untreated Water	-	-	-	-	-
14	Liquefaction B2 Light Oils	-	0.08	0.10	0.05	-
15	Liquefaction B2 Separator Bottoms	0.12	2.39	3.68	2.67	1.78
16	Liquefaction B2 Filtered Liquid	0.10	1.37	2.56	2.50	1.80
17	Liquefaction B2 Vacuum Overhead	0.04	0.79	1.54	1.19	0.86
18	Liquefaction B2 Vacuum Bottoms	2.42	3.18	13.95	13.46	8.66

^aData on TA1537 and TA98II from this quarterly report. Data on TA1538, TA98I and TA100 from DOE Contract #EW-78-S-22-0222 and Final Report DOE #ET-00222-4.

^b Sample	TA1537	TA98
01	n = 38 r = 0.8748	n = 22 r = 0.8685
02	n = 30 r = 0.9229	n = 26 r = 0.9269
05		n = 22 r = 0.7902
07	n = 54 r = 0.6445	n = 30 r = 0.9131
08	n = 25 r = 0.9222	n = 22 r = 0.9282
09	n = 26 r = 0.9434	n = 21 r = 0.9710
10	n = 34 r = 0.9610	n = 22 r = 0.9727
11	n = 46 r = 0.9693	n = 22 r = 0.9120
14		n = 30 r = 0.6124
15	n = 50 r = 0.9107	n = 22 r = 0.9451
16	n = 50 r = 0.9034	n = 26 r = 0.9584
17	n = 45 r = 0.7592	n = 26 r = 0.9308
18	n = 46 r = 0.9385	n = 30 r = 0.7942

(continued)

TABLE 1 (continued)

^c N.D. = Not Done

- = No Dose Response

+ = Marginally response, see report #ET-00222-6

TABLE 2

Mutagenicity of ETTM Samples in the Presence of
50 µl/Plate Aroclor 1254-Induced S-9

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Amount/Plate</u>	<u>Revertant Colonies/Plate^a</u>	
		<u>TA1537</u>	<u>TA98</u>
DMSO ^b	0.1 ml	8 ⁺ -3	22 ⁺ -8
MNNG	spot	<u>31⁺-18</u>	
9AA	100 µg	<u>293⁺-230</u>	
ACNA	150 µg		<u>2392⁺-734</u>
DMSO ^c	0.1 ml	8 ⁺ -4	29 ⁺ -8
2AA	5 µg		<u>1259⁺-196</u>
ETTM-01	7.9 µg	10	
	9.7	<u>18</u>	<u>80</u>
	39.6	<u>49</u>	<u>432</u>
	48.7	<u>56</u>	
	79.1	<u>88</u>	
	97.4	<u>94</u>	<u>742</u>
	395.5	<u>146</u>	<u>1706</u>
	487.0	<u>206</u>	
	791.0	<u>167</u>	
	974.0	<u>372</u>	
ETTM-02	6.8 µg	12	
	9.2	<u>16</u>	<u>78</u>
	33.9	<u>39</u>	<u>188</u>
	46.5	<u>44</u>	
	67.7	<u>57</u>	
	92.4	<u>66</u>	<u>528</u>
	339.0	<u>93</u>	<u>735</u>
	464.5	<u>142</u>	
	677.0	<u>100</u>	
	924.0	<u>164</u>	

TABLE 2 (Continued)
 Mutagenicity of ETTM Samples in the Presence of
 50 µl/Plate Aroclor 1254-Induced S-9

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Amount/Plate</u>	<u>Revertant Colonies/Plate^a</u>	
		<u>TA1537</u>	<u>TA98</u>
ETTM-03	1.4 µg	8	
	7.0	5	26
	14.0	7	
	70.0	6	21
	140.0	6	
ETTM-04	2.0 µg	6	
	10.0	8	25
	20.0	6	
	100.0	7	29
	200.0	9	
ETTM-05	2.6 µg	6	
	13.0	4	32
	26.0	4	
	130.0	7	56
	260.0	11	
ETTM-06	10.0 µg	7	
	50.0	8	34
	100.0	8	
	500.0	7	38
	1000.0	7	
ETTM-07	10.0 µg	8	
	50.0	9	40
	100.0	9	
	500.0	12	<u>93</u>
	1000.0	<u>21</u>	

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Mutagenicity of ETTM Samples in the Presence of
50 µl/Plate Aroclor 1254-Induced S-9

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Amount/Plate</u>	<u>Revertant Colonies/Plate^a</u>	
		<u>TA1537</u>	<u>TA98</u>
ETTM-08	9.3 µg	<u>18</u>	
	9.8	<u>8</u>	<u>60</u>
	46.4	<u>80</u>	<u>626</u>
	49.0	<u>55</u>	
	92.8	<u>95</u>	
	97.9	<u>85</u>	<u>499</u>
	464.0	<u>153</u>	<u>1973</u>
	489.5	<u>204</u>	
	928.0	<u>276</u>	
	979.0	<u>326</u>	
ETTM-09	9.2 µg	<u>16</u>	
	9.9	<u>15</u>	<u>69</u>
	45.9	<u>73</u>	<u>647</u>
	49.6	<u>40</u>	
	91.8	<u>101</u>	
	99.3	<u>83</u>	<u>709</u>
	459.0	<u>169</u>	<u>1674</u>
	496.5	<u>148</u>	
	918.0	<u>213</u>	
	993.0	<u>238</u>	
ETTM-10	9.8 µg	<u>16</u>	<u>84</u>
	10.0	<u>12</u>	
	49.0	<u>40</u>	
	50.0	<u>36</u>	<u>367</u>
	97.9	<u>22</u>	<u>476</u>
	99.9	<u>36</u>	
	489.5	<u>132</u>	
	499.5	<u>106</u>	<u>1267</u>
	979.0	<u>228</u>	
	999.0	<u>159</u>	

TABLE 2 (Continued)
Mutagenicity of ETTM Samples in the Presence of
50 μ l/Plate Aroclor 1254-Induced S-9

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Amount/Plate</u>	<u>Revertant Colonies/Plate^a</u>	
		<u>TA1537</u>	<u>TA98</u>
ETTM-11	2.7 μ g	10	
	5.1	13	47
	13.4	<u>56</u>	<u>327</u>
	25.7	<u>60</u>	
	26.8	<u>115</u>	
	51.4	<u>195</u>	<u>647</u>
	134.0	<u>398</u>	<u>2676</u>
	257.0	<u>610</u>	
	268.0	<u>522</u>	
	514.0	<u>783</u>	
ETTM-12	1.6 μ g	5	
	8.0	5	28
	16.0	7	
	80.0	6	41
	160.0	13	
ETTM-13	10.0 μ g	9	
	50.0	8	43
	100.0	9	
	500.0	8	32
	1000.0	6	
ETTM-14	10.0 μ g	6	
	50.0	6	42
	100.0	10	
	500.0	10	55
	1000.0	12	
ETTM-15	8.8 μ g	8	44
	9.6	<u>25</u>	
	44.0	<u>28</u>	
	47.8	<u>28</u>	<u>156</u>
	88.0	<u>34</u>	<u>220</u>

TABLE 2 (Continued)
 Mutagenicity of ETTM Samples in the Presence of
 50 µl/Plate Aroclor 1254-Induced S-9

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Amount/Plate</u>	<u>Revertant Colonies/Plate^a</u>	
		<u>TA1537</u>	<u>TA98</u>
ETTM-15 (cont.)	95.5 µg	<u>36</u>	
	440.0	<u>83</u>	
	477.5	<u>75</u>	<u>788</u>
	880.0	<u>119</u>	
	955.0	<u>84</u>	
ETTM-16	9.9 µg	12	52
	10.0	11	
	49.4	<u>26</u>	
	49.8	<u>32</u>	<u>171</u>
	98.8	<u>36</u>	<u>270</u>
	99.7	<u>36</u>	
	494.0	<u>67</u>	
	498.5	<u>71</u>	<u>673</u>
	988.0	<u>114</u>	
	997.0	<u>95</u>	
ETTM-17	8.5 µg	10	46
	9.9	6	
	42.4	15	
	49.6	<u>19</u>	<u>82</u>
	84.8	<u>19</u>	<u>134</u>
	99.1	<u>19</u>	
	424.0	<u>27</u>	
	495.5	<u>32</u>	<u>326</u>
	848.0	<u>30</u>	
	991.0	<u>46</u>	

TABLE 2 (Continued)
Mutagenicity of ETTM Samples in the Presence of
50 µl/Plate Aroclor 1254-Induced S-9

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Amount/Plate</u>	<u>Revertant Colonies/Plate^a</u>	
		<u>TA1537</u>	<u>TA98</u>
ETTM-18	0.9 µg	9	
	3.7	<u>10</u>	36
	4.4	<u>17</u>	46
	8.9	<u>33</u>	
	18.7	<u>18</u>	
	37.4	<u>49</u>	<u>197</u>
	44.5	<u>119</u>	<u>874</u>
	89.0	<u>235</u>	
	187.0	<u>195</u>	
	347.0	<u>278</u>	

^aNumbers are means of colony counts $n \geq 2$. Standard deviations are included for control plates. Underlined numbers are at least 2X the spontaneous rate.

^bDMSO = dimethylsulfoxide

MNNG = methyl methanesulfonate

9AA = 9-aminoacridine

ACNA = 1-amino-2-carboxy-4-nitroanthraquinone

2AA = 2-aminoanthracene

^cThese and subsequent plates received 50 µl/plate Aroclor-induced S-9.

RECEIVED BY JIC

JAN 26 1981