

DISCLAIMER

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176
This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Further Time-Resolved Electron-Beam Characterizations with Optical Transition Radiation*

ANL/ASD/CP--77463

DE93 007891

by

Alex H. Lumpkin
Accelerator Systems Division
Advanced Photon Source
Argonne National Laboratory
9700 S. Cass Avenue - Bldg. 362
Argonne, Illinois 60439
Telephone: (708)252-4879
FAX: (708)252-7187

RECEIVED
FEB 18 1993
OSTI

and
Mark D. Wilke
Physics Division
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

*Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38 and by the Strategic Defense Command under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy at Los Alamos National Laboratory.

The submitted manuscript has been authored
by a contractor of the U.S. Government
under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38.
Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a
nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish
or reproduce the published form of this
contribution, or allow others to do so, for
U.S. Government purposes.

MASTER

FURTHER TIME-RESOLVED ELECTRON-BEAM CHARACTERIZATIONS WITH OPTICAL TRANSITION RADIATION*

Alex H. Lumpkin
Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439

Mark D. Wilke
Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545

ABSTRACT

Time-resolved characterizations of electron beams using optical transition radiation (OTR) as a prompt conversion mechanism have recently been extended on the Los Alamos Free-electron Laser (FEL) facility 40-MeV linac. Two key timescales for rf-linac driven FELs are the micropulse (10 ps) and the macropulse (5 μ s to 1 ms). In the past we have used gated, intensified cameras to select a single or few micropulses (25 to 400 ns gate width) out of the pulse train to evaluate submacropulse effects. Recently, we have obtained some of the first measurements of micropulse bunch length (7 to 10 ps) and submacropulse spatial position and profile using OTR and a Hamamatsu streak camera. Additionally, micropulse elongation effects and head-to-tail transverse kick effects are reported as a function of charge.

INTRODUCTION

The continuing development of electron-beam diagnostics on the Los Alamos photo-injected, rf-linac driven Free-electron Laser (FEL) facility has recently involved the extension of our time-resolved electron beam characterizations using optical transition radiation (OTR)^{1,2}. In the past at this facility we have used gated, intensified cameras to select a single or a few micropulses (25 or 400 ns gate times) out of 100s to evaluate submacropulse spot size or energy effects^{3,4}. Typically, for the higher peak current (>100 A) beams, we have observed beam size or energy spread blurring if we integrate over a 100- μ s long macropulse. However, since OTR is a prompt mechanism compared to 10 ps, it is applicable to submicropulse phenomena (Δt , profile) as well. Because OTR is not highly efficient, it has been difficult to obtain single micropulse streak data without significant photon statistics problems. We have attacked the statistics problem by employing the synchroscan option in our streak camera mainframe to synchronously sum about 200 to 400 micropulses. For the submacropulse measurements, the slow sweep plugin was installed and its sweep time adjusted to span the macropulse length (20 μ s). In this

*Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38 and by the Strategic Defense Command under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy at Los Alamos National Laboratory.

way the x-center and x-profile were followed throughout the macropulse with about 200-ns resolution. (Individual micropulses were not seen at this sweep speed since they were 46 ns apart.) The same techniques could be applied to an energy-dispersive region in the beam transport to provide time-resolved energy information.

EXPERIMENTAL BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURES

The basic beamline design has been described previously⁵, but for completeness it is appropriate to show that configuration in Fig. 1. Following the 6-MeV photo injector accelerator tank, there are three more accelerators (B,C,D) that combine to provide total beam energy acceleration capability to 35-40 MeV. The nominal pulse structure is a 20- μ s-long pulse train consisting of ~10-ps-long micropulses spaced 46.3 ns apart. Micropulse charges from 0.6 to 5 nC were used. There is a single OTR profile monitor after each accelerator tank except at station 4 after tank D. Here, we have installed a two-foil OTR interferometer with a 0.8 μ m thick, Al first foil followed by an aluminized (~5000 \AA layer) fused-silica substrate (0.25 mm thick). The first foil is opaque to visible light and the back of the second foil was aquadagged to block Cherenkov radiation contributions. The two thirty-degree dipole bends provide the means to inject the electron beam into the resonator. In this paper, we concentrate on the diagnostics arranged around the first bend area as shown in Fig. 2 (note the streak camera and halo camera in particular). A quartz window on the zero-degree port is used for alignment access and to allow viewing the back of screen 4. There is also a port at 15° to image the beam at the middle of the bend using synchrotron radiation.

As a brief review, OTR is generated at the interface of media with different dielectric constants^{1,2}. There are both forward lobes and backward lobes of radiation with an opening angle of $\pm 1/\gamma$, where γ is the Lorentz factor. The backward lobe angular distribution depends on the Fresnel reflection coefficients so that light exits at 90° to the beam for a 45° orientation. The mechanism might be viewed simplistically as the "instantaneous" collapse of an electric dipole when the electron in vacuum reaches the surface image charge in the medium it has been approaching (or exiting). Since it is a surface or transition boundary phenomenon, the effective spatial resolution is excellent and the mechanism's vacuum formation time should be in the picosecond domain for 10-to-50-MeV beams⁶.

In Fig. 2, the Hamamatsu C1587 streak camera station was set up on a lead-shielded optical table roughly parallel to the linac. An optical relay path transported the forward OTR radiation generated by the electron beams exiting the back of the OTR interferometer. This involved a turning mirror at the port, a 1-m focal length (f.l.) lens, the beam splitter, a second turning mirror, and a final 500 cm f.l. lens on the optical table. A 650-nm-long pass filter was used to minimize temporal dispersion in the optical elements and the impact on the ps-domain streak image. For the submicropulse measurement, the synchroscan plugin was used with the high-Q tuner (M1954-10) providing only 1 ps (rms) jitter and about 4 ps (FWHM) temporal resolution. This system was tested on the Nd:YLF pulsed drive laser prior to




Fig. 1 A schematic of the Los Alamos FEL beamline and diagnostics locations. A combination of silicon-intensified target (SIT) cameras, intensified charge-injection-device (CID), intensified videocons, and a streak camera have been used to view radiation sources.




Fig. 2 A schematic expanded view of the multiple diagnostic stations around the 30° bend area. These include the OTR/synchrotron streak camera, the OTR beam halo camera, and the synchrotron radiation beam spot camera.

installation in the vault. Although this camera has the dual-sweep feature that has been used to study the phase effects on linacs and drive lasers, we have not yet used it with OTR. The submacropulse measurements are done by using the slow sweep plugin in the same mainframe.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Experiments to date include the submacropulse x-center tracking and the micropulse bunch length determination. Additional aspects include further studies on micropulse elongation versus charge and possible transverse Wakefield phenomena.

In Fig. 3, a sample 20- μ s-long slow streak image is shown. The vertical axis is macropulse time and the horizontal axis is the x-spatial axis. This sweep speed's 200-ns sampling does not display the individual micropulses that are only 46 ns apart. The spot-size is \sim 0.3 mm (FWHM) on a small time slice and may have a slightly different time average. The alignment laser was injected in the beamline bore and provided a reference source to assess instrumental tilt. Further data at minimum focus sizes would be useful.

A sample bunch length measurement is shown in Fig. 4. The calibration is 0.794 ps/pixel in the vertical and .0249 mm/pixel horizontally. The observed FWHM in this 4 nC case is \sim 14 ps. In Fig. 5 we show the results of analyzing the electron-beam bunch observed vs. micropulse charge. In the first cell of the photoinjector the rf-gradient is used to balance space charge elongation forces. In earlier studies Lumpkin et al.⁷ showed the effect of changing the relative injection time of the drive laser on bunch length. The e-beam was measured using the Cherenkov mechanism. The linac laser pulse was kept at 8 ps (FWHM) as measured by an autocorrelator while the laser irradiation flux and hence electron beam charge were varied. At low enough charges, there can be rf bunching in the first cell while at larger charges the space charge forces dominate and elongation is observed. These results are qualitatively in agreement with simulations. As can be seen in Fig. 2, the synchrotron radiation port is also available to check bunch length noninterceptively.

An additional potential phenomenon in our system involved emittance degradation by beam missteering errors. Qualitatively, it has been calculated that transporting high charge microbunches off axis through the accelerator tank can result in head-to-tail transverse kicks to particles. A preliminary simulation indicated a significant kick for a 5 nC bunch displaced 1.5 mm off-axis in tank D. The synchroscan streak and OTR experiment were set up partly to diagnose such a problem and partly to look for submicropulse photoinjector-related effects on emittance. In Figs. 6 and 7, we clearly show that at $Q = 4$ and 5 nC, few mm transport offsets can result in significant x-center kicks during the micropulse. As the beam was steered off-axis to the other side, the beam bunch tail kick flipped over. Basically, the experiment was performed by deliberately steering the beam off-center by 1, 2, or 3 mm as viewed on screen 3 after tank C, and then either steering it back on center by the time it is viewed at station 4 (as in Fig. 6) or letting it remain uncorrected at station 4. In Fig. 7 the beam was still basically on center (as much as transport allows) through the first three tanks, but was steered off-axis through tank D





Fig. 3 A slow-streak image of the 20- μ s long electron macropulse at station 4. The vertical axis is very close to the 20- μ m span and the spot size is \sim 0.3 mm (FWHM).

Fig. 4 A synchroscan streak image of the electron beam micropulse bunch-length. Since the instrument temporal jitter is much less than 10 ps, the 400 micropulse sum represents the single micropulse bunch length.



$Q_\mu = 4.0$ nC X-SCALE = 0.0249 mm/pix
Y-SCALE = 0.794 ps/pix

MICRO PULSE LENGTH




Fig. 5 Micropulse elongation effects in a photoinjector are displayed versus charge in a bunch.

WAKE FIELD EFFECTS

Screen 3 (Working Toward Injector)
Camera 3



Screen 4 (Looking Away From Injector)
Streak Camera



5.51 mm

48.7 mm

33.5 mm

PULSE WIDTH 17.5 ps

12.5 mm

381 ps

0.33 mm

PULSE WIDTH 14.5 ps

.3.48 mm



PULSE WIDTH 12.5 ps

Fig. 6

A composite of screen 3 beam spots and screen 4 streak images for steered "right", "center", and "left". Note the head-to-tail flipping at $Q = 4 \text{ nC}$ for offsets of about 3 mm at screen 3. These effects are attributed to wake fields.

WAKE OBSERVATIONS




Fig. 7 A composite of streak images at station 4 and one screen 3 image (7b) for steering horizontally "right to left" across the scene (a,c,d,e). Note the flip of the head-to-tail kick. Time increases going down on the vertical axis so the lower part of the image is later in time (or the tail of the bunch). The simulation in 7f is comparable to 7c and thus supports the wake field interpretation.

as seen on screen 4 (Fig. 7a and 7e). This was partly constrained by the field-of-view of the screen 4 beam spot camera and the streak camera. At a charge of 5 nC, the head-to-tail kicks to the beam center were larger than the spot diameter! Quantitative analysis indicated that the observed horizontal (x) profile's FWHM could easily be doubled or tripled from the 0.41 mm value in Fig. 7d to those of a, c, and e. A reasonably prototypical simulation by Carlsten, et al.⁸ is shown in Fig. 7f (compare to 7c). It is apparent the technique could be used to optimize steering of high peak current beams with bunch lengths in the 10-15 ps domain. This could be a critical feature for FEL development at shorter wavelengths or the monitoring of the new generation of linac injectors for fourth generation light sources. This would depend on the streak system resolution being adequate for the bunch length of interest.

Finally, another aspect of the OTR surface mechanism means the converter thickness or multiple scattering/reflection effects in fused silica can be avoided. An extended dynamic range beam halo measurement was proposed to evaluate PEI linacs. A strip ND filter placed at the focal plane of a lens-coupled intensified system or, equivalently, within the optical transport to an intensified CID camera, as shown in Fig. 2, can provide such a capability. This camera also was focused on the back of screen 4. By attenuating the central, intense part of the beam spot source by a factor of 100, the halo region became easily measurable as shown in Fig. 8. The total image dynamic range is >1000 and halo intensities out beyond two beam radii are seen. Although the camera could have been gated, the submacropulse test was performed by using three different macropulse lengths. No obvious change in the halo occurred for the short macropulse which indicated that the halo observed was representative of the micropulse spatial distribution.




Fig. 8 An example of a beam-halo measurement using an extended dynamic range imaging system and the OTR conversion mechanism.

SUMMARY

In summary, we have graphically illustrated the extension of OTR-based electron beam (or positron) characterizations to the submicropulse regime and the continuous submacropulse regime with streak system techniques (both synchroscan and slow sweep). The micropulse elongation effects (peak current), the head-to-tail kicks (effective emittance), and the halo effects are all critical to high performance, high brightness charged-particle linear accelerators. Since such devices may serve as injectors to damping rings or other circular accelerators of the future, these concepts may play a useful role in an extended part of the accelerator community.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support of Mark Schmitt and Bruce Carlsten for simulation of the micropulse head-to-tail effects. We also acknowledge Scott Apgar, Clint Webb, and Renee Feldman for diagnostics support and the APEX operations team including Pat O'Shea, Don Feldman, Tom Zaugg, Pat Schaffstall, Jim Early, Jerry Barton, Steve Bender, Nathan Okamoto, and Mike Feind for linac operations support.

REFERENCES

1. V.L. Ginzburg and I.M. Frank, Sov. Phys. JETP 16 (1946) 15.
2. L. Wartski et al., J. Appl. Phys. 46 (1975) 3644.
3. A.H. Lumpkin, *Cherenkov and Transition Radiation Diagnostics for High-Energy Free-Electron Lasers*, AIAA-87-1358, presented at AIAA 19th Fluid Dynamics, Plasma Dynamics and Laser Conference, June 1987.
4. A. H. Lumpkin et al., NIM A285 (1989) 343.
5. P.G. O'Shea et al., Proceedings of the 13th International FEL Conference, Santa Fe, NM, NIM A318 (1992) 52.
6. D.W. Rule and R.B. Fiorito, *The Use of Transition Radiation as a Diagnostic for Intense Beams*, NSWCTR84-134, (July, 1984).
7. A.H. Lumpkin, B.E. Carlsten, and R.B. Feldman, NIM A304 (1991) 374.
8. B.E. Carlsten, et al., "Simulation of APEX Accelerator Performance in the New Nonthermalized Photoinjector Regime," Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Free-Electron Laser Conference, Kobe, Japan, Aug. 23-28, 1992.

END

DATE
FILMED

4 / 12 / 93

