

# Statistical analysis of low-voltage EDS spectrum images

CONF-980808-- RECEIVED

Ian M. Anderson

MAY 06 1998

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Bldg. 5500, MS-6376, PO Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6008

OSTI

The benefits of using low ( $\leq 5$  kV) operating voltages for energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) of bulk specimens have been explored only during the last few years.<sup>1-4</sup> This paper couples low-voltage EDS with two other emerging areas of characterization: spectrum imaging and multivariate statistical analysis (MSA). The specimen analyzed for this study was a cross section of a computer chip manufactured by a major semiconductor company. Data acquisition was performed with a Philips XL30-FEG SEM operated at 4 kV and equipped with an Oxford super-ATW detector and XP3 pulse processor. The specimen was normal to the electron beam and the take-off angle for acquisition was  $35^\circ$ . The microscope was operated with a 150  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter final aperture at spot size 3, which yielded an X-ray count rate of  $\sim 2000 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . EDS spectrum images were acquired as Adobe Photoshop files with the 4pi plug-in module. (The spectrum images could also be stored as NIH Image files, but the raw data are automatically rescaled as maximum-contrast (0-255) 8-bit TIFF images – even at 16-bit resolution – which poses an inconvenience for quantitative analysis.) The 4pi plug-in module is designed for EDS X-ray mapping and allows simultaneous acquisition of maps from 48 elements plus an SEM image. The spectrum image was acquired by re-defining the energy intervals of 48 elements to form a series of contiguous 20 eV windows from 1.25 kV to 2.19 kV. A spectrum image of  $450 \times 344$  pixels was acquired from the specimen with a sampling density of 50 nm / pixel and a dwell time of 0.25 live seconds per pixel, for a total acquisition time of  $\sim 14$  h. The binary data files were imported into Mathematica for analysis with software developed by the author at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.<sup>5</sup> A  $400 \times 300$  pixel section of the original image was analyzed. MSA required  $\sim 185$  Mbytes of memory and  $\sim 18$  h of CPU time on a 300 MHz Power Macintosh 9600.

The results of this study are shown in Fig. 1. Image (a) is a secondary electron (SE) image of the analyzed area of the device. Images (b-d) are component images I0-I2 of the statistical analysis and spectra (e,f) are the MSA spectral components E1 and E2 corresponding to images I1 and I2. The plot in (g) gives the amplitude variations along the line trace shown in (d), averaging 10 pixels (0.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ) in the vertical direction. Image I0 gives the average X-ray intensity levels in the spectrum image; it is the image that would be acquired with a non-dispersive detector that collected all X-rays in the  $\sim 1$  kV band of energies analyzed. Images I1 and I2 are chemically sensitive MSA components. The image contrast in I1 arises mainly from spectral differences between Al and Si, as indicated by E1, and the contrast in I2 is mainly due to the W plugs, as indicated by E2. In I1, the Al lines appear dark, the Si substrate (at bottom of image) appears brightest, and the  $\text{SiO}_2$  dielectric is a medium grey. The W plugs assume a grey level that almost matches that of the  $\text{SiO}_2$ , and are almost invisible. However, this feature is dominant in I2. Note that excellent image contrast is obtained, even though the EDS detector cannot resolve the Si-K and W-M $\alpha$  lines, which are only  $\sim 34$  eV apart, as shown in E2. The next generation of EDS detectors will be able to resolve these X-ray lines,<sup>6</sup> which at the present  $\sim 100$  eV resolution give rise to the “first derivative” feature in E2. A line trace (vertical average of 10 pixels) across the 600-nm-wide W plugs shown in (g) illustrates the excellent spatial resolution of the EDS spectrum image. The vertical averaging improves the noise at the expense of resolution. The resolution of individual line traces, defined as 90% of the total change in intensity, is  $\sim 4$  pixels or  $\sim 200$  nm.<sup>7</sup>

1. Nockolds C.E., *Microbeam Analysis 3* (1994) 185; *Proc. Microscopy & Microanalysis 1996*, 476.

2. Boyes E., *Proc. 13th ICEM: Electron Microscopy 1994* 1 (1994) 51.

3. Johnson M.T. et al., *Proc. Microscopy & Microanalysis 1996*, 478.

4. Newbury D.E., *Proc. Microscopy & Microanalysis 1997*, 881.

5. Anderson I.M. and Bentley J., *ibid.*, 931; *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* 458 (1997) 81.

6. Wollman D.A. et al., *J. Microscopy* 188 (1997) 196.

7. Research at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) SHaRE User Facility was sponsored by the Division of Materials Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy, under contract DE-AC05-96OR22464 with Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corporation. The author thanks Dr. Jim Bentley of ORNL for helpful discussions about the data acquisition.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

"The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U. S. Government under contract No. DE-AC05-96OR22464. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes."

### **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

M98005718



Report Number (14) ORNL/CP--97481  
CONF-980808--  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Publ. Date (11) 19980731  
Sponsor Code (18) DOE/ER, XF  
UC Category (19) UC-400, DOE/ER

19980702 058

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

DOE