

LA-UR- 97-4445

Title:

THE DRELL-HEARN-GERASIMOV SUM-RULE AT
POLARIZED HERA

CONF-97/0185--

RECEIVED

MAR 25 1998

OSTI

Author(s):

S.D. Bass, Institut fur Theoretische Kernphysik, Universitat
Bonn, Nussallee 14-16, D53115 Bonn, Germany

M. M. Brisudova, T-5, MS B283, Los Alamos National
Laboratory, P.O.
Box 1663, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

A. De Roeck, DESY, Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron,
Notkestrasse 85, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany

Submitted to:

The Proceedings of the Workshop on Physics with Polarized
Protons at CERN, European Laboratory for Particle Physics,
Geneva, Switzerland, October 4-5, 1997.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY



Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as being sponsored under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

Form No. 836 RS
ST 2629 10/91

DEPC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

19980422 046

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

The Drell-Hearn-Gerasimov Sum-Rule at Polarized HERA

S. D. Bass ^a, M. M. Brisudová ^b and A. De Roeck ^c

^a *Institut für Theoretische Kernphysik, Universität Bonn,
Nussallee 14-16, D-53115 Bonn, Germany*

^b *Theoretical Division, MSB283, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los
Alamos, NM 87545, U.S.A.*

^c *DESY, Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron
Notkestrasse 85, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany*

Abstract

We discuss the potential of polarised HERA to measure the spin dependent part of the total photoproduction cross-section at large $\sqrt{s_{\gamma p}}$.

The Drell-Hearn-Gerasimov sum-rule [?] (for reviews see [?, ?]) for spin dependent photoproduction relates the difference of the two cross-sections for the absorption of a real photon with spin anti-parallel σ_A and parallel σ_P to the target spin to the square of the anomalous magnetic moment of the target nucleon,

$$(DHG) \equiv -\frac{4\pi^2\alpha\kappa^2}{2m^2} = \int_{\nu_{th}}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{\nu} (\sigma_A - \sigma_P)(\nu) \quad (1)$$

where ν is the (LAB) energy of the exchanged photon, m is the nucleon mass and κ is the anomalous magnetic moment.

The first direct test of the Drell-Hearn-Gerasimov sum-rule will be made in experiments which are planned or underway at the ELSA, GRAAL, LEGS

and MAMI facilities. These experiments will measure $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$ up to a photon LAB energy $\nu = 3\text{GeV}$ ($\sqrt{s} \geq 2.5\text{GeV}$). They will make a precise measurement of nucleon resonance contributions to (DHG), thus testing multipole analyses of unpolarised single-pion photoproduction data [?], as well as the contributions from strangeness production and vector meson dominance. There is no elastic contribution to (DHG).

The high-energy part of $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$ is expected to be determined by Regge theory for $\sqrt{s} \geq 2.5\text{GeV}$. In this note we briefly review possible Regge contributions to $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$ and summarise the present knowledge of these contributions from low Q^2 ($\simeq 0.45\text{GeV}^2$) inclusive photoproduction. Finally, we discuss the contribution that polarised HERA could make to the measurement of these high \sqrt{s} Regge contributions to the real-photoproduction DHG sum-rule.

At large centre of mass energy squared ($s = 2m\nu + m^2$), soft Regge theory predicts [?, ?]

$$\left(\sigma_A - \sigma_P \right) \sim N_3 s^{\alpha_{a_1} - 1} + N_0 s^{\alpha_{f_1} - 1} + N_g \frac{\ln \frac{s}{\mu^2}}{s} + N_{PP} \frac{1}{\ln^2 \frac{s}{\mu^2}} \quad (2)$$

Here α_{a_1} and α_{f_1} are the intercepts of the isovector $a_1(1260)$ and isoscalar $f_1(1285)$ and $f_1(1420)$ Regge trajectories, which are usually taken between -0.5 and 0.0 [?]. (We note, however, that the isotriplet part of the deep inelastic structure function g_1 behaves like $x^{-0.5}$ in the range $(0.01 < x < 0.12)$ in the SLAC data [?], corresponding to an effective Regge intercept $\alpha_{a_1}(Q^2) \simeq +0.5$ at the relatively low deep inelastic $Q^2 \simeq 3 - 5\text{GeV}^2$.)

The $\ln s/s$ term is induced by any vector component to the short range exchange potential [?] – for example, two nonperturbative gluon exchange [?]. The $1/\ln^2 s$ term represents any pomeron-pomeron cut contribution to $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$. The coefficients N_3 , N_0 , N_g and N_{PP} in Equ.(2) are to be determined from experiment.

To estimate the Regge contribution to $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$ at $Q^2 = 0$ we take the low Q^2 data from the E-143 [?] and SMC [?] experiments ($0.25\text{GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 0.7\text{GeV}^2$) on

$$A_1 = \frac{\sigma_A - \sigma_P}{\sigma_A + \sigma_P} \quad (3)$$

This low Q^2 data has the following features. First, the spin asymmetries A_1^p and A_1^d show no significant Q^2 dependence in the range of each experiment.

Table 1: A_1 at large s and low Q^2

Experiment	$\langle Q^2 \rangle$	\sqrt{s}	A_1^p	A_1^d
$(Q^2 \leq 0.7 \text{ GeV}^2, \sqrt{s} \geq 2.5 \text{ GeV})$				
E-143	0.45	3.5	0.077 ± 0.016	$+0.008 \pm 0.022$
SMC	0.45	16.7	0.064 ± 0.024	-0.013 ± 0.020

Secondly, the isoscalar deuteron asymmetry A_1^d is consistent with zero in both the E-143 and SMC low Q^2 bins. There is a clear positive proton asymmetry in the E-143 data, signalling a strong iso-triplet term in $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$ at $s \simeq 12 \text{ GeV}^2$. The SMC A_1^p data is less clear: combining the SMC low Q^2 A_1^p data yields a positive value for A_1^p . However, the majority of these SMC points are consistent with zero.

In Table 1 we combine the low Q^2 data to obtain one point corresponding to each experiment. We impose the cut $(Q^2 \leq 0.7 \text{ GeV}^2, \sqrt{s} \geq 2.5 \text{ GeV})$, so that the mean Q^2 is less than 0.5 GeV^2 for each experiments, and so that our data set is well beyond the resonance region.

We assume that the large \sqrt{s} A_1 is approximately independent of Q^2 between $Q^2 = 0$ and $Q^2 \simeq 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$. For the total photoproduction cross-section we take

$$(\sigma_A + \sigma_P) = 67.7s^{+0.0808} + 129s^{-0.4545} \quad (4)$$

(in units of μb), which is known to provide a good Regge fit for \sqrt{s} between 2.5 GeV and 250 GeV [?]. (Here, the $s^{+0.0808}$ contribution is associated with pomeron exchange and the $s^{-0.4545}$ contribution is associated with the isoscalar ω and isovector ρ trajectories.) Since the E-143 low Q^2 data shows a clearly positive A_1^p with the smallest experimental error, we choose to normalise to E-143. We estimate

$$(\sigma_A - \sigma_P) \simeq 10 \mu\text{b} \quad (Q^2 = 0, \sqrt{s} = 3.5 \text{ GeV}) \quad (5)$$

The small isoscalar deuteron asymmetry A_1^d indicates that the isoscalar contribution to A_1^p in the E-143 data is unlikely to be more than 30%. In Fig. 1 we show the asymmetry A_1^p as a function of \sqrt{s} between 2.5 and 250 GeV for the four different would-be Regge behaviours for $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$: that the high energy behaviour of $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$ is given

1. entirely by the (a_1, f_1) terms in Equ.(2) with Regge intercept either (a) $-\frac{1}{2}$ (conventional) or (b) $+\frac{1}{2}$ (motivated by the observed small x behaviour of $g_1^{(p-n)}$),
2. by taking 2/3 isovector (conventional) a_1 and 1/3 two non-perturbative gluon exchange contributions at $\sqrt{s} = 3.5\text{GeV}$,
3. by taking 2/3 isovector (conventional) a_1 and 1/3 pomeron-pomeron cut contributions at $\sqrt{s} = 3.5\text{GeV}$.

Looking to the future, the HERMES experiment expect to measure a low $Q^2 A_1^p$ to the same accuracy as E-143 at $\sqrt{s} \simeq 7\text{GeV}$.

Photoproduction cross-sections can be measured in ep collisions at HERA at high $\sqrt{s_{\gamma p}}$ energies. The dominant processes in ep collisions are γp interactions where the photon is on mass shell. The electron is scattered under approximately zero degrees with respect to the electron beam direction, which at HERA means that it remains in the beampipe. The energy of the scattered electron E'_e is however reduced to $E'_e = E_e - E_\gamma$, with E_e the incident electron energy and E_γ the emitted photon energy. The HERA machine magnets in the beamline, which steer the beam into a closed orbit, act as a spectrometer on these off-momentum electrons. The experiments H1 and ZEUS have installed calorimeters to detected these kicked out electrons along the beamline. In case of H1 calorimeters (stations) are installed at three locations: at 8 m, 30 m and 44 m distance from the interaction point [?]. The stations accept (tag) electrons from different momentum ranges, which correspond to $\sqrt{s_{\gamma p}}$ ranges of 280-290 GeV, 150-250 GeV and 60-115 GeV respectively. At the central energy value of each region the acceptance of these devices amounts to 15%, 85% and 70% respectively. The locations of the stations may change as a result of the HERA luminosity upgrade, and are presently under study.

Equ.?? shows that the γp cross section is large, of order of hundreds of microbarns. The photon energy spectrum emitted from an electron beam is

given in the Weizsäcker-Williams approximation [?]. An integrated ep luminosity at HERA of 1 pb^{-1} can yield about $N = 1500K$ γp events in each of the 30m and 44m stations, and about 10 times less in the 8 m station.

The collider experiments record presently unpolarized ep collisions. Around the year 2000, spin rotators will be installed for the electrons, converting the natural transverse polarization of the electron beam into a physics wise more useful longitudinal one. Studies are being made to have also the proton beam at HERA polarized [?] which would enable polarized ep and thus polarized γp collisions. Note however that the polarization of the photon beam will be reduced by a factor $D = y(2-y)/(y^2+2(1-y))$, the so called depolarization factor. Here $y = s_{\gamma p}/s_{ep}$. For the three stations the measurements are at $y = 0.09, 0.44$ and 0.90 , leading to values of $D = 0.094, 0.52$ and 0.98 . The measured asymmetries at HERA are correspondingly reduced by this factor.

If HERA is fully polarized, event samples of the order of $100 - 500 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ will be collected, with expected beam polarizations $P_e = P_p = 0.7$. In the small asymmetry approximation the error on the asymmetries, δA_1 can be calculated as $1/(P_e P_p \sqrt{N})$. Due to data-taking bandwidths and trigger problems presently not all tagged γp events are recorded. Assuming a data taking rate of 2 Hz for these events, also in future, leads to about 40 M events/year giving a reachable precision $\delta A_1 = 1/(P_e P_p \sqrt{N}) = 0.0003$. It is however not excluded that novel techniques in triggering, data-taking and data storage will become available and can be installed for the experiments, which would allow to collect all produced events, amounting to approximately 15,000M events in total for the three stations in a period of 3 to 5 years. This would lead to maximal reachable precisions of $\delta A_1 = 3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ for a measurement at the 30m and the 44m station, and $\delta A_1 = 10^{-4}$ for a measurement at the 8m station. Note that when compared with the true asymmetries as shown in Fig. 1, the depolarization factor D reduces the effective sensitivities with the numbers given above.

Given the projected asymmetries, polarized HERA would be sensitive at $\sqrt{s_{\gamma p}} = 50 \text{ GeV}$ to a $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$ falling no faster than s^{-1} . Taking the conventional, $\alpha_{a_1} = -\frac{1}{2}$, it would be sensitive to a two non-perturbative gluon exchange contribution which is not less than 30% in the E-143 data and to a pomeron-pomeron cut contribution which is not less than 3% in the E-143 data. At $\sqrt{s_{\gamma p}} = 250 \text{ GeV}$, polarized HERA would be sensitive to $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$ which falls no faster than $s^{-0.6}$. and to a pomeron-pomeron

cut contribution which is no less than 6% of the E-143 A_1^p . We note that a zero-result (no significant signal) would put an upper bound on the Regge contribution to the Drell-Hearn-Gerasimov integral.

Support from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (SDB) and the United States Department of Energy (MMB) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] S.D. Drell and A.C. Hearn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **162** (1966) 1520;
S.B. Gerasimov, Yad. Fiz. **2** (1965) 839.
- [2] S. D. Bass, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 1051; Proc. Zeuthen Workshop: *Deep Inelastic Scattering off Polarized Targets: Theory Meets Experiment*
- [3] D. Drechsel, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **34** (1995) 181.
- [4] I. Karliner, Phys. Rev. **D7** (1973) 2717;
R.L. Workman and R.A. Arndt, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 1789;
A.M. Sandorfi, C.S. Whisnant and M. Khandaker, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) R6681.
- [5] R.L. Heimann, Nucl. Phys. **B64** (1973) 429; J. Ellis and M. Karliner, Phys. Lett. **B213** (1988) 73.
- [6] S.D. Bass and M.M. Brisudová, Bonn preprint TK-97-10 (1997).
- [7] F.E. Close and R.G. Roberts, Phys. Lett. **B336** (1994) 257.
- [8] P.V. Landshoff, Proc. Zuoz Summer School, PSI Proceedings 94-01 (1994) 135, hep-ph/9410250.
- [9] S.D. Bass and P.V. Landshoff, Phys. Lett. **B336** (1994) 537.
- [10] The E-143 Collaboration (K. Abe et al.), Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 346;
The E-154 Collaboration (K. Abe et al.), Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 26.

- [11] The E-143 Collaboration (K. Abe et al.), *Phys. Lett.* **B364** (1995) 61.
- [12] The Spin Muon Collaboration (D. Adams et al.), *Phys. Lett.* **B396** (1997) 338; (B. Adeva et al.), CERN preprint CERN-PPE-97-118 (1997).
- [13] H1 Collab., I. Abt et al., *Nucl. Instr. and Meth.* **A386** (1997) 310 and **A386** (1997) 348.
- [14] C.F. Weizsäcker, *Z. Phys.* **88** (1934) 612;
E.J. Williams, *Phys. Rev.* **45** (1934) 729.
- [15] D.P. Barber et al., *Proceedings of the Workshop Future Physics at HERA*, Eds. G. Ingelman, A. De Roeck, R. Klanner, (1996) 1205.




Figure 1: The asymmetry A_1^p as a function of \sqrt{s} for different Regge behaviours for $(\sigma_A - \sigma_P)$: given entirely by (1a) the (a_1, f_1) terms in Equ.(2) with Regge intercept either $-\frac{1}{2}$ (conventional) or (1b) $+\frac{1}{2}$; (2) by 2/3 isovector (conventional) a_1 and 1/3 two non-perturbative gluon exchange contributions at $\sqrt{s} = 3.5\text{GeV}$; (3) by 2/3 isovector (conventional) a_1 and 1/3 pomeron-pomeron cut contributions at $\sqrt{s} = 3.5\text{GeV}$.

M98003358



Report Number (14) LA-VR--97-4445

CONF-9710183 --

Publ. Date (11) 199711

Sponsor Code (18) DOE/ER, XF

UC Category (19) UC-414, DOE/ER

DOE