

BNL--32714

HEAVY-ION COLLISIONS IN A COLLIDER AT BNL\*

DE83 010150

Mark Q. Barton  
Brookhaven National Laboratory  
Upton, New York 11973

CONF-830311--19

### Summary

Heavy ions accelerated in the AGS can be injected into CBA providing colliding beams up to 400 Q/A GeV/amu. Luminosities approaching  $10^{28}/\text{cm}^2\text{sec}$ . should be achievable even though the ions must be accelerated through phase transition. More current might be stacked by using a special  $\gamma_T$  jump method to avoid the excessive radial aperture usage at transition.

The availability of heavy ions in the AGS as described in the previous paper and the completion of the CBA<sup>1</sup> at Brookhaven opens up the unique and interesting possibility of colliding heavy ions at very high energies. With no significant modifications of the CBA hardware, it should be possible to accelerate and collide ion beams at energies up to 400 Q/A GeV/amu. This possibility was first developed in the proposal for the cyclotron<sup>2</sup> (also mentioned in the previous paper) and further studies have confirmed the general features of that report.

The amount of current that can be stacked in the CBA is limited by the fact that ions, unlike protons, must be accelerated through the phase transition energy. It can be shown that the maximum phase space area that can be accelerated through transition for a scenario in which the rf amplitude and the rate of rise of magnetic field are held constant is given by

$$A = \frac{1}{\omega_0} \left( \frac{4\pi E_0^4 \gamma_T^2 \sin^2 \phi_s}{h^2 e V \cos^2 \phi_s} \right)^{1/3} \frac{3^{10/3} \Gamma^2(5/3)}{2^4} \left( \frac{\Delta r}{X_p} \right)^2$$

where  $\omega_0/2\pi$  is the rotation frequency,  $E_0$  the ion rest mass,  $e$  the ion charge,  $\Delta r$  the radial half aperture, and  $X_p$  the momentum dispersion function. The minimum  $|\Delta r/X_p|$  of the lattice must be used. With the parameters of the CBA lattice, we find that  $\sim 80$  ev sec/amu can be accelerated in each of the three CBA bunches to be compared with  $\sim 500$  ev sec/amu that can be injected and captured.

In spite of this limitation, a quite acceptable luminosity can be achieved. The energy spread of the tandem-cyclotron-AGS system should be so low that more than 600 AGS cycles can be stacked in each ring. The vertical emittance is smaller than for protons even after allowing a generous amount of dilution. The beams can be retained in a bunched mode because the intensities are far below beam-beam limits and the interaction rates should be low enough that physicists can tolerate the unfavorable duty factor. Finally, the low beta and low crossing angle options which are visualized for highest luminosity cases for CBA can be invoked. Luminosity values approaching  $L=10^{28}/\text{cm}^2\text{sec}$ . should then be achievable. Higher design values may be vacuous because the loss rate from electro-nuclear disintegrations at the crossing points becomes excessive.

It is possible to construct a CBA for heavy ions less expensively than for protons. This result is possible because the energy required for the physics is not so high. If one constructed CBA with a lattice similar to the usual proton design but with only

one third of the dipole magnets in place (a missing magnet lattice), the resulting energy of 133 Q/A GeV/amu is quite adequate for the physics problems to be addressed. Presumably the full complement of dipole magnets would be added later for the usual program with protons.

At first, one might suspect that the loss of aperture due to sagitta in the dipole magnets would invalidate this concept. For a magnet of 4.36 m length in a lattice for which the bending radius is 253 meters, the sagitta is  $\sim 0.9$  cm. If the radius of curvature is reduced by a factor of three, the sagitta is 2.8 cm which would appear to be an unacceptable fraction of the 8.5 cm aperture. However one has a choice of which of the magnets are to be removed. The momentum aperture is limited near the focusing quadrupoles where the momentum dispersion function is large. If the missing magnet option is implemented by retaining only the magnets adjacent to defocusing quadrupoles, there is no net loss of momentum aperture. In fact, a missing magnet lattice so designed has a lower peak dispersion function everywhere and a higher transition energy, both of which are desirable and the relative momentum aperture  $\Delta p/p$  is actually larger than for the usual full magnet lattice. Thus, it is possible to build a missing magnet lattice for heavy ions. Unfortunately, the geometry of the lattice is sufficiently different from that of the full magnet complex that, in adding the remaining magnets, one must be prepared to move all the magnets at considerable effort and expense.

Both the regular lattice and the missing magnet lattice have the problem that the ion injection energy is lower than the phase transition energy. Passage through transition limits the stackable current. This limiting current has been used in our luminosity estimates. There is a possible method of improving this situation. One can visualize programming the rf amplitude and the rate of rise of the magnetic field so that one asymptotically approaches the transition energy rather than passes through it. If one approaches  $\gamma_T$  sufficiently slowly, the tight bunching and concomitant radial aperture consuming growth can be avoided. One then passes through transition by rapidly reducing  $\gamma_T$  of the lattice while  $\beta$  and the rf amplitude are near zero. Acceleration can then proceed by adiabatically increasing the rate of rise of the magnetic field and the rf amplitude to normal values. The CBA lattice is well adapted to the quick  $\gamma_T$  change required for this process. Tuning the arc quadrupoles changes the  $\gamma_T$  and the betatron oscillation tunes. The quadrupoles in the insertions, being in regions of near zero momentum dispersion, can change the tunes without changing  $\gamma_T$ . One can thus imagine tuning the arc quadrupoles and the insertion quadrupoles in combination so that our desired  $\gamma_T$  shift can be implemented. Using the program SYNCH,<sup>3</sup> the following parameters were obtained for a missing magnet CBA lattice:

$\gamma_T$	$\nu_x$	$\nu_y$
25.35	22.62	22.62
25.21	22.62	22.62
25.07	22.62	22.62
24.91	22.61	22.62
24.75	22.61	22.62

\*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Properties of this lattice, other than the lower dispersion function and higher  $Y_T$  are virtually identical to the usual CBA lattice. Thus it should be possible, if desirable, to work out a scheme involving this  $Y_T$  jump to remove the current limit caused by transition and increase the intensity of ion beams that can be stacked in the CBA to improve the luminosity or the safety margin, or both.

#### Acknowledgements

The original scheme for using ions in CBA as developed in the cyclotron proposal was worked out by E. Courant and his results have been confirmed by this study. His help and consultation with the remainder of this study and, in particular, his assistance with operation of the SYNCH program are gratefully acknowledged. Other BNL staff members, too numerous to name individually, also contributed to this work.

#### References

1. P. Reardon, The Colliding Beam Accelerator Project at Brookhaven, These proceedings.
2. Proposal for a Heavy Ion Facility at Brookhaven, BNL Informal Report 30630 (unpublished) 1982.
3. SYNCH, a Computer System for Synchrotron Design and Orbit Analysis, A. A. Garren and A. S. Kenney, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (unpublished).

### DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.