

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

EGG-2239

Distribution Categories: UC66-A, D, G

EGG--2239

DE83 008120

PRICKETT AND LONNQUIST AQUIFER SIMULATION PROGRAM FOR THE APPLE II MINICOMPUTER

Laurence C. Hull

Published February 1983

**Geosciences Branch
Earth and Life Sciences Office
EG&G Idaho, Inc.**

**Prepared for the
U.S. Department of Energy
Idaho Operations Office
Under DOE Contract No. DE-AC07-76IDO1570**

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

EB

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

ABSTRACT

The Prickett and Lonnquist two-dimensional groundwater model has been programmed for the Apple II minicomputer. Both leaky and nonleaky confined aquifers can be simulated. The model was adapted from the FORTRAN version of Prickett and Lonnquist. In the configuration presented here, the program requires 64 K bits of memory. Because of the large number of arrays used in the program, and memory limitations of the Apple II, the maximum grid size that can be used is 20 rows by 20 columns. Input to the program is interactive, with prompting by the computer. Output consists of predicted head values at the row-column intersections (nodes).

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
NUMERICAL MODEL	2
VERIFICATION	4
PROGRAM USE	10
REFERENCES	12
APPENDIX A—EXAMPLE PROGRAM OUTPUT	13
APPENDIX B—PROGRAM LISTING	19

PRICKETT AND LONNQUIST AQUIFER SIMULATION PROGRAM FOR THE APPLE II MINICOMPUTER

INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the use of the Prickett and Lonnquist two-dimensional groundwater model, which has been programmed for the Apple II minicomputer. Both leaky and nonleaky confined aquifers can be simulated. The model was adapted from the FORTRAN version of Prickett and Lonnquist.¹ Additional information on the model and some advice on its use can be found in Reference 1. In the configuration presented here, the program requires 64 K bits of memory. Because of the large number of arrays used in the program, and memory limitations of the Apple II, the maximum

grid size that can be used is 20 rows by 20 columns. Input to the program is interactive, with prompting by the computer. Output consists of predicted head values at the row-column intersections (nodes).

Funding for this project was provided by the Low-to-Moderate Temperature Reservoir Engineering Program, Department of Energy, supervised at EG&G Idaho by Max R. Dolenc. Steve A. Mizell of the Geosciences Branch, EG&G Idaho, provided assistance in adapting the program to the Apple II minicomputer.

NUMERICAL MODEL

On a microscopic scale, flow in a porous medium occurs along tortuous paths through various pore spaces. The direction and velocity of flow through each pore can be different. For a homogeneous medium, if direction and velocity are averaged over increasing numbers of pores (that is, over a larger volume of aquifer), a stable estimate of mean flow direction and velocity will be obtained once a certain minimum aquifer volume is exceeded.² This minimum aquifer volume is termed the representative elementary volume (REV). The minimum size of the REV will depend on the size, shape, orientation, and packing of grains. There will be a maximum REV in nonhomogeneous aquifers, where aquifer characteristics change spatially. The node spacing in a finite difference model must be selected so that aquifer characteristics are adequately represented. In this adaptation of the Prickett and Lonnquist model, the limited number of nodes requires a very coarse grid spacing when large areas are to be simulated. Thus, only major nonhomogeneities in aquifer properties can be represented. Also, because the model is a horizontal two-dimensional model, vertical differences in aquifer characteristics are averaged into a single aquifer parameter.

The equations used in the numerical simulation are based on the principle of conservation of mass. The mass of water leaving a nodal volume must be equal to the amount of water entering, plus or minus any changes in storage, plus or minus any external additions or subtractions of water (such as pumpage). This can be expressed by Equation (1), with subscripts referenced to Figure 1.

$$Q_1 + Q_3 = Q_2 + Q_4 \pm Q_s \pm Q_p \quad (1)$$

where

$Q_1 + Q_3$ = inflow to nodal volume

$Q_2 + Q_4$ = outflow from nodal volume

Q_s = addition to or subtraction from storage

Q_p = other changes in water volume, such as pumpage (-) or injection (+).




Figure 1. Finite difference grid showing flow volume relations.

For flow in the aquifer, Q_1 through Q_4 , Darcy's law can be used to calculate the flow volumes. Darcy's law states that the discharge through a unit width of aquifer is related to the ability of the aquifer to transmit water (the transmissivity, T), and the change in head with distance.

$$Q = T \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \Delta y \quad (2)$$

where

$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$ = head gradient or rate of change of head (h) with distance (x)

Δy = width

Q = discharge.

The transmissivity is the amount of water that can be passed through a unit width of aquifer under a unit head gradient. It is related to the hydraulic conductivity (K) by $T = K \cdot m$, where m is aquifer thickness. Therefore, the transmissivity is a vertical average of aquifer permeability, and depends on aquifer thickness.

Using Equation (2) to calculate Q_1 through Q_4 in Equation (1) gives the following relationships.

$$Q_1 = T_{i-1,j,2} \cdot \Delta y \cdot \frac{(h_{i-1,j} - h_{i,j})}{\Delta x} \quad (3a)$$

$$Q_2 = T_{i,j,2} \cdot \Delta y \cdot \frac{(h_{i,j} - h_{i+1,j})}{\Delta x} \quad (3b)$$

$$Q_3 = T_{i,j+1,1} \cdot \Delta x \cdot \frac{(h_{i,j+1} - h_{i,j})}{\Delta y} \quad (3c)$$

$$Q_4 = T_{i,j,1} \cdot \Delta x \cdot \frac{(h_{i,j} - h_{i,j-1})}{\Delta y} \quad (3d)$$

The change in storage (Q_s) is given by the relation

$$Q_s = S \cdot \Delta x \cdot \Delta y \frac{(h_{i,j} - h'_{i,j})}{\Delta t} \quad (4)$$

where

S = ratio storage coefficient (volume/volume)

t = time

$h'_{i,j}$ = head at node i,j at a previous time given by $t - \Delta t$.

External volume changes, such as from pumping, must be known explicitly. Other Q 's are possible, such as from evapotranspiration or leakage. For explanation of how these parameters are added to the model, refer to Reference 1.

Replacing the Q 's in Equation (1) with Equations (3a) through (3d) and (4) gives a finite difference approximation of flow through a porous medium. An equation of the same form exists for each node in the model, and these must be solved simultaneously to determine the head distribution in the aquifer. This series of equations is solved using an iterative alternating direction implicit technique.¹

VERIFICATION

Results generated by a numerical model must be verified to assure that they are meaningful. This adaptation of the Prickett and Lonnquist model was verified by comparing numerical solutions from the model with analytical solutions. The configuration of the model used for verification is shown pictorially in Figure 2. Table 1 gives the parameters input to the model. A single pumping

well was placed at the center of a grid of sufficient size to assure that boundary effects would not influence the calculations.

Analytical solutions for nonleaky conditions were calculated using Equation (5) and hydrologic parameters given in Table 1.




Figure 2. Schematic of aquifer system used to validate the computer code.

Table 1. Configuration of model for validation runs

MODEL DESCRIPTION		
STEPS	10	
COLUMNS	19	
ROWS	19	
DELTA T	0.385	
ERROR	3	
DEFAULT PARAMETERS		
TRANSMSSVTY	1000	
STORAGE	5E-04	
HEADS	0	
PUMPAGE	0	
VERT COND	2.7E-04	
SOURCE BED	0	
GRIDS	1000	
VARIABLE GRID SPACINGS		
X SPACING	Y SPACING	
10000	10000	
7500	7500	
5000	5000	
3000	3000	
1000	1000	
500	500	
300	300	
100	100	
100	100	
100	100	
300	300	
500	500	
1000	1000	
3000	3000	
5000	5000	
7500	7500	
10000	10000	
LOCATIONS OF PUMPING WELLS		
PUMPAGE	COLUMN	ROW
100000	10	10

$$s = \frac{2.3 Q}{4\pi T} \log \frac{2.25 Tt}{r^2 S} \quad (5)$$

where

s = drawdown

Q = discharge of pumped well

T = transmissivity

t = time

r = distance from pumped well

S = storage coefficient.

The drawdown as a function of distance from the pumped well after 10 days of pumping is shown in Figure 3. Equation (5) is applicable to a limited range of times and distances. At a given distance from the pumped well, there is a minimum time that must pass before the assumptions inherent in Equation (5) are valid. For nonleaky artesian conditions, this time is given by the relation

$$t = \frac{12.533 r^2 S}{T} \quad (6)$$

where

t = days

r = feet

T = ft^2/day

S = a fraction

and where the indicated units must be used for consistency with the constant in the equation. For a time of 10 days, simulated drawdowns beyond 1263 ft will not be strictly comparable to values given by Equation (5). For greater distances and leaky artesian conditions, analytical solutions were obtained using the Theis equation, as described by Lohman.³

Comparison of drawdowns from the analytical and numerical solution methods for both time and distance drawdown (Tables 2 and 3) show




Figure 3. Plot of distance versus drawdown for nonleaky aquifer simulation after a time of 10 days.

reasonable agreement. Using straight-line plots for nonleaky conditions (Figure 3) and type-curve matching for leaky conditions (Figure 4), aquifer parameters were estimated from output of the numerical simulations. For nonleaky conditions, transmissivity was calculated from Figure 3 and the equation

$$T = -\frac{2.3 Q}{2\pi (\Delta s / \Delta \log r)} \quad (7)$$

and storage from

$$S = 2.25 T \left(\frac{t}{r_0^2} \right). \quad (8)$$

For leaky conditions, the points in Figure 4 were matched to type curves given by Lohman.³ Parts of the curves for $v = 0.02$ and $v = 0.05$ are shown. From this it was concluded that 0.03 was the best estimate for v . The match point was selected and the values shown in Figure 4 used to calculate aquifer parameters using

$$T = \frac{Q}{4\pi s} W(u, r/b) \quad (9)$$

for transmissivity and

$$S = 4 T \left(\frac{t/r^2}{1/u} \right) \quad (10)$$

Table 2. Comparison of analytical and numerical values for time-drawdown at a distance of 100 ft

Time (d)	Drawdown (ft)		Difference ^a (%)
	Analytical	Numerical	
Nonleaky			
2.9	-56.9	-56.4	1.0
3.8	-59.2	-58.9	0.6
5.0	-61.3	-61.3	0.0
6.4	-63.3	-63.7	-0.7
8.0	-65.1	-66.0	-1.5
10.0	-66.9	-68.2	-2.0
Leaky			
1.4	-46.2	-46.0	0.4
2.3	-47.6	-47.9	-0.6
3.6	-48.4	-48.9	-1.0
5.5	-48.7	-49.3	-1.3
8.2	-48.8	-49.5	-1.4
10.0	-48.8	-49.5	-1.5

a. Percentage difference is defined as:

$$\frac{\text{Analytical} - \text{Numerical}}{\text{Analytical}} \cdot 100.$$

Table 3. Comparison of analytical and numerical values for distance—drawdown at a time of 10 days

Distance (ft)	Drawdown (ft)		Difference (%)
	Analytical	Numerical	
Nonleaky			
20.8	-91.8	-93.3	-1.5
100	-68.9	-68.2	-2.1
141	-61.4	-61.5	-0.1
200	-55.8	-56.8	-1.7
283	-50.3	-51.1	-1.4
500	-41.3	-41.5	-0.6
207	-35.8	-35.6	0.5
1000	-30.3	-29.8	1.5
Leaky			
20.8	-73.8	-74.5	-0.9
100	-49.0	-49.5	-1.2
141	-43.6	-42.8	1.8
200	-38.6	-38.2	1.1
283	-32.3	-32.6	-0.8
500	-24.0	-23.5	2.0
207	-18.9	-18.2	3.7
1000	-14.2	-13.2	7.0




Figure 4. Comparison of simulated drawdown data at a distance of 200 ft to the type curve for leaky artesian aquifers.

for storage. Leakage was calculated from

$$K'/b' = 4 T \frac{v^2}{r^2} \quad (11)$$

Input and estimated values for transmissivity (T), storage (S), and vertical hydraulic conductivity (K'/b') are shown in Table 4. The parameters calculated from the numerical solutions show very

close agreement to transmissivity, storage coefficient, and leakage initially input to the model.

Simulation runs produce reasonably accurate head distributions. Two factors that probably contribute to the observed discrepancies are problems in scaling aquifer coefficients for variable grid spacings, and numerically approximating the solution to analytical equations. Because of the relatively small grid size possible with the mini-computer, the model is best used for simulations of small areas.

Table 4. Comparison of hydrologic parameters^a

	Leaky			Nonleaky	
	<u>T</u> (ft ² /d)	<u>S</u>	<u>K'</u> / <u>b'</u> (d ⁻¹)	<u>T</u> (ft ² /d)	<u>S</u>
Model parameter	1000	$5 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$	1000	$5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
Numerical solution	1190	$4.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	1006	$4.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$

a. Analyses for leaky conditions were performed by type-curve matching and for nonleaky conditions by the straight-line method.

PROGRAM USE

Input to the model is in two stages. The first stage is for input of model parameters and default hydrologic parameters. Default hydrologic parameters are assigned to each node, so that all nodes have equal values of transmissivity, storage, etc. The second stage of input is for modifying individual parameters for a node or for subareas within the model, and for changing the grid spacing.

The units must be internally consistent for proper use. Unit combinations of gal/day/ft, $\text{ft}^3/\text{day}/\text{ft}$, $\text{m}^3/\text{s}/\text{m}$, are all equally valid. For example, if transmissivity is given in m^2/s , the time increment must be in seconds and heads in meters. Input variables in the following list are labeled as to the unit combinations. The letters symbolize: t —time (min, d); L —length (ft, m); v —volume (gal, ft^3 , m^3).

The input variables, in order of appearance are:

1. Number of steps—The number of time steps that are simulated by the model. The time duration modeled is a function of the time increment and the number of steps. At least six time steps should precede the time period for which head values are desired. Large numbers of steps will require large amounts of time; simulation of a 19 x 19 grid required 0.5 h of real time per time step.
2. Time increment (Δt)—The time increment increases by a factor of 1.2 with each time step. This results in more stable solutions for early time steps and still allows later time steps to simulate longer time periods.
3. Error check (L)—The maximum permissible error for testing convergence. To calculate this value, use:
$$\text{Error} = (Q \cdot \Delta t) / (10 \cdot S \cdot \Delta x \cdot \Delta y)$$
where
$$Q = \text{well discharge summed over all nodes}$$
$$\Delta t = \text{time increment}$$
4. Number of columns—must be ≤ 20 .
5. Number of rows—must be ≤ 20 .
6. Transmissivity, $(v/t/L)$ or (L^2/t) —The volume of water transported through unit width of aquifer, per unit time, under unit hydraulic gradient. The program automatically compensates for variable grid spacings. Same value is used for both x and y directions.
7. Storage, (ratio) or (v/L^3) —The storage coefficient with units depending on the volume measurement system. If volume is measured as L^3 , then the ratio storage coefficient is used. If volume is measured as v (liters, gal) then the storage coefficient must be multiplied by the conversion factor for v to L^3 . For example, 7.48 gal/ ft^3 or 1000 liters/ m^3 . The effects of grid spacing are taken into account by the model.
8. Heads (L)—The elevation of the piezometric surface for the confined aquifer relative to some arbitrary datum.
9. Pumpage, (v/t) or (L^3/t) —Discharge from (+) or recharge to (-) a node. The rate is held constant throughout all time steps.
10. Grid spacing (L)—Default distance between nodes if all distances are equal.
11. Vertical conductivity, $(v/t/L^3)$ or $(1/t)$ —Volume of water transported through unit area of confining bed, per unit time, under unit head differential divided by the thickness of the confining bed. The model

S = storage coefficient

Δx = geometric mean of largest and smallest x grid spacing

Δy = geometric mean of largest and smallest y grid spacing.

Units must be consistent to give error in units of length.

- takes care of determining the volume of leakage by adjusting for the grid spacing.
12. Source bed heads (L)—The elevation of water in the source bed, for leaky conditions, relative to the same datum as the piezometric surface.

The second stage of input to the model allows modification of the default parameters. The possible changes are listed on the screen, and the selection made by number. When no further changes are desired, exit by typing zero. Modifications to hydrologic parameters can be made either to a range of nodes, or to a series of individual nodes. By changing hydrologic parameter values for certain nodes, recharge boundaries, constant head boundaries, or highly transmissive fault zones can be simulated.

The final alteration that can be made is to change the grid spacing. This is done by entering the distance between adjacent nodes, not the width of the nodal areas. The program will then adjust the hydrologic parameters for the different grid size. More detail can be obtained by placing smaller grids near pumping wells and other areas where heads are changing rapidly.

For extensive hydrologic variables (storage, vertical conductivity), the nodal areas are calculated using arithmetic means. For intensive hydrologic variables (transmissivity), the adjusted nodal values are calculated using harmonic means.⁴

For equidimensional grids, the number of nodes increases as the square of the number of rows (or columns), and so the time required for simulation runs increases greatly with increasing grid size. A simulation of a 19 x 19 grid, for verification purposes, required about 4 h for 10 time steps.


Appendix A is an example of the output from a short simulation run of a 5 x 5 grid. The first page is a printout of the default parameters. If variable grid spacings or pumping wells are added, these are also printed out. Nonleaky conditions can be simulated by setting the vertical conductivity to zero. A listing of the hydrologic parameters for the nodes, corrected for grid spacing, can be obtained as an option. The remaining output consists of head values at the nodes for each time step.

Appendix B contains a listing of the program.

REFERENCES

1. T. A. Prickett and C. G. Lonnquist, *Selected Digital Computer Techniques for Ground-Water Resource Evaluation*, Illinois State Water Survey Bulletin 55, Urbana, Illinois, 1971.
2. J. Bear, *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media*, New York: American Elsevier Publishing Co., 1972.
3. S. W. Lohman, *Ground-Water Hydraulics*, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 708, 1972.
4. P. C. Trescott, G. F. Pinder, S. P. Larson, *Finite-Difference Model for Aquifer Simulation in Two Dimensions with Results of Numerical Experiments*, Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations of the U.S. Geological Survey, Book 7, Chapter C1, 1976.

APPENDIX A
EXAMPLE PROGRAM OUTPUT



APPENDIX A

EXAMPLE PROGRAM OUTPUT

TEST NON-LEAKY AQUIFER MODEL

MODEL DESCRIPTION

STEPS..... 2
COLUMNS... 5
ROWS..... 5
DELTA T... 1
ERROR.... .8

DEFAULT PARAMETERS

TRANSMSSVITY 10000
STORAGE... 5E-04
HEADS..... 0
PUMPAGE... 0
VERT COND. 0
SOURCE BED 0
GRIDS..... 5000

LOCATIONS OF PUMPING WELLS

PUMPAGE	COLUMN	ROW
25000	1	1
25000	1	2
25000	1	3
25000	1	4
25000	1	5

TRANSMISSIVITY(C,R,1)

ROWS	1	2	3	4
1	10000	10000	10000	10000
2	10000	10000	10000	10000
3	10000	10000	10000	10000
4	10000	10000	10000	10000
5	10000	10000	10000	10000
ROWS	5	6	7	8
1	10000	0	0	0
2	10000	0	0	0
3	10000	0	0	0
4	10000	0	0	0
5	10000	0	0	0

TRANSMISSIVITY(C,R,2)

ROWS	1	2	3	4
1	10000	10000	10000	10000
2	10000	10000	10000	10000
3	10000	10000	10000	10000
4	10000	10000	10000	10000
5	10000	10000	10000	10000
ROWS	5	6	7	8
1	10000	0	0	0
2	10000	0	0	0
3	10000	0	0	0
4	10000	0	0	0
5	10000	0	0	0

STORAGE FACTORS

ROWS	1	2	3	4
1	12500	12500	12500	12500
2	12500	12500	12500	12500
3	12500	12500	12500	12500
4	12500	12500	12500	12500
5	12500	12500	12500	12500
ROWS	5	6	7	8
1	12500	0	0	0
2	12500	0	0	0
3	12500	0	0	0
4	12500	0	0	0
5	12500	0	0	0

STEP = 1 TIME = 1 * ITER = 3 ERROR = .120917802
COLUMN NUMBERS

ROWS	1	2	3	4
1	-1.31	-.45	-.16	-.05
2	-1.31	-.45	-.16	-.05
3	-1.31	-.45	-.16	-.05
4	-1.31	-.45	-.16	-.05
5	-1.31	-.45	-.16	-.06

STEP = 1 TIME = 1 * ITER = 3 ERROR = .120917802
COLUMN NUMBERS


ROWS	5	6	7	8
1	-.02	0	0	0
2	-.02	0	0	0
3	-.02	0	0	0
4	-.02	0	0	0
5	-.02	0	0	0

STEP = 2 TIME = 2.2 * ITER = 3 ERROR = .0789722348
COLUMN NUMBERS

ROWS	1	2	3	4
1	-2.44	-1.12	-.49	-.22
2	-2.44	-1.12	-.49	-.22
3	-2.44	-1.12	-.49	-.22
4	-2.44	-1.12	-.49	-.22
5	-2.44	-1.12	-.49	-.22

STEP = 2 TIME = 2.2 * ITER = 3 ERROR = .0789722348
COLUMN NUMBERS

ROWS	5	6	7	8
1	-.12	0	0	0
2	-.12	0	0	0
3	-.12	0	0	0
4	-.12	0	0	0
5	-.12	0	0	0



APPENDIX B
PROGRAM LISTING

APPENDIX B

PROGRAM LISTING

```

10  DIM H(20,20),HO(20,20),SF(20,20),Q(20,20),T(20,20,2),B(20),G(20),BL(20
    ,20),WD$(10),X(20),Y(20),DH(20,20),N1(15),R1(20,20),R2(20,20)
20  DIM QC(30),QR(30):NP = 0:B$ = CHR$(4):F2$ = "NONE"
30  DATA      "HEADS","TRANS X","TRANS Y","STORAGE","PUMPAGE","GRIDS","VERT
    COND","SOURCE HEAD"
40  FOR I = 1 TO 8: READ WD$(I): NEXT I: DEF FN MOD(A) = INT ((A / 2 - INT
    (A / 2)) * 2. + 0.5)
50  DATA      2,1,.8,5,5,10000,.0005,0,0,5000,0,0
60  FOR I = 1 TO 12: READ N1(I): NEXT I:VN = 1
70  HOME : VTAB 4: HTAB 3: PRINT "=====": PRINT
    TAB( 3)"FRICKETT AND LONNQUIST TWO-D MODEL": PRINT TAB( 3)=====
    ====="
80  VTAB 10: PRINT TAB( 5)"CONTACT S.A. MIZELL OR L.C. HULL": PRINT TAB( 5)
    "OF THE GEOSCIENCES BRANCH FOR": PRINT TAB( 5)"FURTHER DETAILS ON
    ITS USE.": VTAB 23: PRINT "PRESS <RTN> TO CONTINUE": GET A$
90  HOME : VTAB 5: PRINT "ENTER TITLE FOR PROBLEM...": PRINT : INPUT "";TI
    TLE$
100 HOME : INVERSE : HTAB 3: PRINT "DATA ENTRY": NORMAL : VTAB 1: HTAB 14
    : PRINT "- ENTER THE FOLLOWING": HTAB 16: PRINT "PARAMETERS AND": HTAB
    16: PRINT "DEFAULT VALUES"
110 PRINT :I = 1: PRINT "NUMBER OF STEPS.. ";N1(1): VTAB 5: HTAB 30: INPUT
    "";N2$: GOSUB 450: PRINT "DELTA TIME..(T) ";N1(2): VTAB 6: HTAB 30: INPUT
    "";N2$: GOSUB 450
120 PRINT "ERROR CHECK..(L) ";N1(3): VTAB 7: HTAB 30: INPUT "";N2$: GOSUB
    450: PRINT "NUMBER COLUMNS (<=20) ";N1(4): VTAB 8: HTAB 30: INPUT "";
    N2$: GOSUB 450
130 PRINT "NUMBER ROWS (<=20) ";N1(5): VTAB 9: HTAB 30: INPUT "";N2$: GOSUB
    450: PRINT "TRANSMSSVTY..(V/T/L) ";N1(6): VTAB 10: HTAB 30: INPUT ""
    N2$: GOSUB 450
140 PRINT "STORAGE... ";N1(7): VTAB 11: HTAB 30: INPUT "";N2$: GOSUB 450:
    PRINT "HEADS..(L) ";N1(8): VTAB 12: HTAB 30: INPUT "";N2$: GOSUB 4
    50
150 PRINT "PUMPAGE..(V/T) ";N1(9): VTAB 13: HTAB 30: INPUT "";N2$: GOSUB
    450: PRINT "GRID SPACING..(L) ";N1(10): VTAB 14: HTAB 30: INPUT ""
    N2$: GOSUB 450
160 PRINT "VERT COND..(V/T/L3) ";N1(11): VTAB 15: HTAB 30: INPUT "";N2$: GOSUB
    450: PRINT "SOURCE HEADS..(L) ";N1(12): VTAB 16: HTAB 30: INPUT ""
    N2$: GOSUB 450
170 PRINT : PRINT : INPUT "MAKE ANY CHANGES ? (Y/N)..";Y$: IF Y$ = "Y" THEN
    100
180 HOME : VTAB 10: HTAB 8: INVERSE : PRINT "INITIALIZING ARRAYS": NORMAL
190 NC = N1(4):NR = N1(5):XY = N1(10):SS = N1(7):HH = N1(8):QQ = N1(9):DTA
    = N1(2):NS = N1(1):BIG = N1(3):TT = N1(6):RP = N1(11):RH = N1(12)
200 FOR I = 1 TO NC: FOR J = 1 TO NR:T(I,J,1) = N1(6):T(I,J,2) = N1(6):SF
    (I,J) = SS * XY * XY:HO(I,J) = HH:H(I,J) = HH:Q(I,J) = QQ:BL(I,J) = 0
    .0:DH(I,J) = HH:R1(I,J) = RP * XY * XY:R2(I,J) = RH: NEXT J,I
210 FOR I = 1 TO NC + 1:X(I) = N1(10): NEXT I: FOR J = 1 TO NR + 1:Y(J) =
    N1(10): NEXT J
220 HOME : VTAB 2: HTAB 2: PRINT "DO YOU WISH TO CHANGE THE DEFAULT": HTAB
    2: PRINT "VALUES FOR ANY NODES?": PRINT : PRINT : HTAB 4: PRINT "0...
    NO CHANGES": PRINT TAB( 4)"1...CHANGE HEADS"

```

```

230 PRINT TAB(4)"2...CHANGE Y TRANSMSSVITY (T(C,R,1))": PRINT TAB(4)"
3...CHANGE X TRANSMSSVITY (T(C,R,2))"
240 PRINT TAB(4)"4...CHANGE STORATIVITY": PRINT TAB(4)"5...CHANGE PUM
PING RATES"
250 PRINT TAB(4)"6...CHANGE VERT CONDUCTIVITY": PRINT TAB(4)"7...CHAN
GE SOURCE HEADS"
260 PRINT TAB(4)"8...CHANGE BOTH X AND Y TRANS"
270 PRINT : PRINT : HTAB 3: INPUT "ENTER NUMBER OF CHANGE...":A1
280 IF A1 = 0 THEN 650
290 HOME : VTAB 5: HTAB 5: PRINT "CHANGE A RANGE OR SERIES ?": HTAB 10: INPUT
"(R/S)...":N2$: IF N2$ = "S" THEN 330
300 HOME : PRINT "ENTER NEW VALUES AND LOCATIONS": PRINT TAB(6)"<RTN> T
O END": PRINT : PRINT TAB(3)"ENTER VALUE FOR ";WD$(A1): VTAB 6: HTAB
10: INPUT A3$: IF A3$ = "" THEN 220
310 A2 = VAL(A3$):R4 = 2
320 PRINT : PRINT TAB(3)"ENTER MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM COORDINATES": INPUT
"      MIN COL ";SC: INPUT "      MIN ROW ";SR: INPUT "      MAX COL ";L
C: INPUT "      MAX ROW ";LR: GOTO 360
330 HOME : PRINT TAB(5)"ENTER 0,, TO END": PRINT "COL, ";"ROW, ";"VALUE
": PRINT
340 INPUT LC,LR,A2: IF LC = 0 THEN 220
350 SC = LC:SR = LR:R4 = 1
360 ON A1 GOTO 370,380,380,400,410,420,430,440
370 FOR KC = SC TO LC: FOR KR = SR TO LR:H(KC,KR) = A2:H(KC,KR) = A2:DH(
KC,KR) = A2: NEXT KR,KC: ON R4 GOTO 340,300
380 D1 = 1: IF A1 = 3 THEN D1 = 2
390 FOR KC = SC TO LC: FOR KR = SR TO LR:T(KC,KR,D1) = A2: NEXT KR,KC: ON
R4 GOTO 340,300
400 FOR KC = SC TO LC: FOR KR = SR TO LR:SF(KC,KR) = A2 * XY * XY: NEXT K
R,KC: ON R4 GOTO 340,300
410 FOR KC = SC TO LC: FOR KR = SR TO LR:Q(KC,KR) = A2:NP = NP + 1:QC(NP)
= KC:QR(NP) = KR: NEXT KR,KC: ON R4 GOTO 340,300
420 FOR KC = SC TO LC: FOR KR = SR TO LR:R1(KC,KR) = A2 * XY * XY: NEXT K
R,KC: ON R4 GOTO 340,300
430 FOR KC = SC TO LC: FOR KR = SR TO LR:R2(KC,KR) = A2: NEXT KR,KC: ON R
4 GOTO 340,300
440 FOR KC = SC TO LC: FOR KR = SR TO LR: FOR KK = 1 TO 2:T(KC,KR,KK) = A
2: NEXT KK,KR,KC: ON R4 GOTO 340,300
450 IF N2$ < > "" THEN N1(I) = VAL(N2$)
460 I = I + 1: RETURN
470 REM SUBROUTINE TO PRINT OUTPUT ARRAYS
480 PR# 1: PRINT CHR$(9)";80N"
490 FOR KT = 1 TO NC STEP 4
500 PRINT "STEP = ";IS;"    TIME = ";TIME;"    # ITER = ";ITER;"    ERROR
= ";E
510 HTAB 35: PRINT "COLUMN NUMBERS": PRINT
520 PRINT "ROWS",KT,KT + 1,KT + 2,KT + 3: PRINT
530 FOR I = 1 TO NC: FOR J = 1 TO NR: IF ABS(DH(I,J) - H(I,J)) < .001 THEN
560
540 T1 = INT(H(I,J) * 1E04):T2 = INT(H(I,J) * 100.) * 100.: IF ABS(T
1 - T2) > 50.0 THEN T1 = T1 + 100.
550 DH(I,J) = INT(T1 / 100) / 100.
560 NEXT J,I
570 FOR KI = 1 TO NR
580 PRINT KI,DH(KT,KI),DH(KT + 1,KI),DH(KT + 2,KI),DH(KT + 3,KI)
590 NEXT KI
600 PRINT CHR$(12)
610 NEXT KT
620 PR# 0: RETURN
630 REM END PRINT ROUTINE

```

```

640 REM RESCALE AQUIFER COEFFICIENTS
650 HOME : VTAB 5: HTAB 2: PRINT "CHANGE GRID SPACINGS ?": PRINT : HTAB 5
: INPUT "ENTER Y OR N.. ";A2$: IF A2$ = "N" THEN 920
660 HOME : PRINT "THERE ARE NC-1 DISTANCES BETWEEN": PRINT TAB( 8)"NC CO
LUMNS": FOR I = 2 TO NC: PRINT I - 1; TAB( 4);X(I): INPUT XX$: GOSUB
790: NEXT I:X(1) = X(2);X(NC + 1) = X(NC)
670 HOME : PRINT "THERE ARE NR-1 DISTANCES BETWEEN": PRINT TAB( 8)"NR RO
WS": FOR J = 2 TO NR: PRINT J - 1; TAB( 4);Y(J): INPUT YY$: GOSUB 810
: NEXT J;Y(1) = Y(2);Y(NR + 1) = Y(NR)
680 HOME : VTAB 5: HTAB 5: INPUT "MAKE ANY CHANGES ? (Y/N)";Y$: IF Y$ = "
Y" THEN 660
690 HOME : VTAB 8: HTAB 5: INVERSE : PRINT "SCALING AQUIFER COEFFICIENTS"
: NORMAL :VN = 2
700 FOR I = 1 TO NC: FOR J = 1 TO NR:DX = (2 * X(I) * X(I + 1)) / (X(I) +
X(I + 1));DY = (2 * Y(J) * Y(J + 1)) / (Y(J) + Y(J + 1))
710 AX = (X(I) + X(I + 1)) / 2.;AY = (Y(J) + Y(J + 1)) / 2.
720 SF(I,J) = (SF(I,J) * AX * AY) / (XY * XY);R1(I,J) = (R1(I,J) * AX * AY
) / (XY * XY)
730 T(I,J,1) = T(I,J,1) * DX / (Y(J + 1))
740 T(I,J,2) = T(I,J,2) * DY / (X(I + 1))
750 NEXT J,I
760 GOTO 920
770 REM END RESCALING
780 REM PRINT COEFFICIENT ARRAYS AND HEADINGS
790 IF XX$ < > "" THEN X(I) = VAL (XX$)
800 RETURN
810 IF YY$ < > "" THEN Y(J) = VAL (YY$)
820 RETURN
830 PR# 1: PRINT CHR$ (9); "80N": FOR IT = 1 TO 2: PRINT : PRINT "TRANSMI
SSIVITY(C,R,";IT;")": PRINT
840 FOR KT = 1 TO NC STEP 4: PRINT : PRINT "ROWS",KT,KT + 1,KT + 2,KT + 3
: PRINT
850 FOR KI = 1 TO NR: PRINT KI,T(KT,KI,IT),T(KT + 1,KI,IT),T(KT + 2,KI,IT
),T(KT + 3,KI,IT): NEXT KI,KT,IT
860 PRINT : PRINT "STORAGE FACTORS ": PRINT : FOR KT = 1 TO NC STEP 4: PRINT
: PRINT "ROWS",KT,KT + 1,KT + 2,KT + 3: PRINT
870 FOR KI = 1 TO NR: PRINT KI,SF(KT,KI),SF(KT + 1,KI),SF(KT + 2,KI),SF(K
T + 3,KI): NEXT KI,KT
880 SUM = 0.0: FOR I = 1 TO NC: FOR J = 1 TO NR:SUM = SUM + R1(I,J): NEXT
J,I: IF SUM = 0.0 THEN 910
890 PRINT : PRINT "VERTICLE CONDUCTIVITY ": PRINT : FOR KT = 1 TO NC STEP
4: PRINT : PRINT "ROWS",KT,KT + 1,KT + 2,KT + 3: PRINT
900 FOR KI = 1 TO NR: PRINT KI,R1(KT,KI),R1(KT + 1,KI),R1(KT + 2,KI),R1(K
T + 3,KI): NEXT KI,KT
910 PRINT CHR$ (12): PR# 0: GOTO 930
920 HOME : VTAB 5: HTAB 9: PRINT "PRINT OUT ARRAYS ?": VTAB 7: HTAB 13: INPUT
"(Y/N)";N2$: IF N2$ = "Y" THEN 830
930 PR# 1: PRINT CHR$ (9); "80N": PRINT : PRINT TAB( 15)TITLE$: PRINT : PRINT
TAB( 5)"MODEL DESCRIPTION ": PRINT TAB( 10)"STEPS..... ";NS: PRINT
TAB( 10)"COLUMNS... ";NC: PRINT TAB( 10)"ROWS..... ";NR: PRINT TAB(
10)"DELTA T... ";DTA
940 PRINT TAB( 10)"ERROR..... ";BIG: PRINT : PRINT TAB( 5)"DEFAULT PAR
AMETERS": PRINT TAB( 10)"TRANSMSSVTY ";TT: PRINT TAB( 10)"STORAGE..
. ";SS: PRINT TAB( 10)"HEADS..... ";HH: PRINT TAB( 10)"PUMPAGE...
";QQ
950 PRINT TAB( 10)"VERT COND. ";RP: PRINT TAB( 10)"SOURCE BDI ";RH
960 PRINT TAB( 10)"GRIDS..... ";XY: IF VN = 1 THEN 1000
970 PRINT : PRINT TAB( 15)"VARIABLE GRID SPACINGS": PRINT : PRINT TAB(
8)"X SPACING"; TAB( 17)"Y SPACING": PRINT

```

```

980 KT = NC: IF NR > NC THEN KT = NR
990 FOR KL = 2 TO KT: PRINT TAB(10)X(KL); TAB(25)Y(KL): NEXT KL
1000 PRINT : PRINT TAB(15)"LOCATIONS OF PUMPING WELLS": PRINT : PRINT TAB(8)"PUMPAGE", TAB(18)"COLUMN", TAB(25)"ROW": PRINT
1010 FOR I = 1 TO NP: PRINT TAB(10)Q(QC(I),QR(I)), TAB(20)QC(I), TAB(27)QR(I): NEXT I
1020 PRINT CHR$(12): PR# 0
1030 IF NS > 14 THEN 1040
1032 X4 = 1:BH = 1: GOTO 1090
1040 IF NS > 21 THEN 1050
1042 X4 = 1:BH = 6: GOTO 1090
1050 X4 = 2:BH = 6: GOTO 1090
1060 REM
1070 REM AQUIFER MODEL
1080 REM
1090 TIME = 0: FOR IS = 1 TO NS: TIME = TIME + DTA
1100 FOR I = 1 TO NC: FOR J = 1 TO NR:D = H(I,J) - HO(I,J):HO(I,J) = H(I,J):F = 1.0
1110 IF DL(I,J) = 0.0 THEN 1150
1120 IF IS > 2 THEN F = D / DL(I,J)
1130 IF F > 5 THEN F = 5
1140 IF F < 0 THEN F = 0
1150 DL(I,J) = D:H(I,J) = H(I,J) + D * F: NEXT J: NEXT I
1160 HOME : PRINT "STEP = ";IS;" TIME = ";TIME: PRINT : PRINT "ITER E
RROR LIMIT": PRINT
1170 ITER = 0
1180 E = 0.0
1190 ITER = ITER + 1
1200 REM COLUMN CALCULATIONS
1210 FOR II = 1 TO NC:I = II: IF FN MOD(IS + ITER) = 1 THEN I = NC - I +
1
1220 FOR J = 1 TO NR:BB = SF(I,J) / DTA + R1(I,J):DD = HO(I,J) * SF(I,J) /
DTA - Q(I,J) + R1(I,J) * R2(I,J):AA = 0.0:CC = 0.0
1230 IF (J - 1) = 0 THEN 1250
1240 AA = - T(I,J - 1,1):BB = BB + T(I,J - 1,1)
1250 IF (J - NR) = 0 THEN 1270
1260 CC = - T(I,J,1):BB = BB + T(I,J,1)
1270 IF (I - 1) = 0 THEN 1290
1280 BB = BB + T(I - 1,J,2):DD = DD + H(I - 1,J) * T(I - 1,J,2)
1290 IF (I - NC) = 0 THEN 1310
1300 BB = BB + T(I,J,2):DD = DD + H(I + 1,J) * T(I,J,2)
1310 W = BB - AA * B(J - 1):B(J) = CC / W:G(J) = (DD - AA * G(J - 1)) / W:
NEXT J
1320 E = E + ABS (H(I,NR) - G(NR)):H(I,NR) = G(NR):N = NR - 1
1330 HA = G(N) - B(N) * H(I,N + 1):E = E + ABS (HA - H(I,N)):H(I,N) = HA:
N = N - 1
1340 IF N > 0 THEN 1330
1350 NEXT II
1360 REM ROW CALCULATIONS
1370 FOR JJ = 1 TO NR:J = JJ: IF FN MOD(IS + ITER) = 1 THEN J = NR - J +
1
1380 FOR I = 1 TO NC:BB = SF(I,J) / DTA + R1(I,J):DD = HO(I,J) * SF(I,J) /
DTA - Q(I,J) + R1(I,J) * R2(I,J):AA = 0.0:CC = 0.0
1390 IF (J - 1) = 0 THEN 1410
1400 BB = BB + T(I,J - 1,1):DD = DD + H(I,J - 1) * T(I,J - 1,1)
1410 IF (J - NR) = 0 THEN 1430
1420 DD = DD + H(I,J + 1) * T(I,J,1):BB = BB + T(I,J,1)
1430 IF (I - 1) = 0 THEN 1450
1440 BB = BB + T(I - 1,J,2):AA = - T(I - 1,J,2)
1450 IF (I - NC) = 0 THEN 1470

```

```
1460 BB = BB + T(I,J,2):CC = - T(I,J,2)
1470 W = BB - AA * B(I-1):B(I) = CC / W:G(I) = (DD - AA * G(I-1)) / W:
    NEXT I
1480 E = E + ABS (H(NC,J) - G(NC)):H(NC,J) = G(NC):N = NC - 1
1490 HA = G(N) - B(N) * H(N+1,J):E = E + ABS (H(N,J) - HA):H(N,J) = HA:
    N = N - 1
1500 IF N > 0 THEN 1490
1510 NEXT JJ: PRINT ITER;" ";E;" ";#BIG: IF ITER > 25 THEN 1590
1520 IF E > BIG THEN 1180
1530 IF ITER < 3 THEN 1180
1540 IF IS < BH THEN 1560
1550 IF INT (IS / X4) * X4 = IS THEN GOSUB 480
1560 DTA = DTA * 1.2: NEXT IS
1570 IS = NS: IF X4 < > 1 THEN GOSUB 480
1580 GOTO 1610
1590 PRINT : PRINT TAB( 5)"NO CONVERGENCE IN 25 ITERATIONS"
1600 PRINT TAB( 8)"ERROR = ";E;" ";LIMIT = ";#BIG
1610 END
```