

DEVELOPMENT OF THE RF LINEAR ACCELERATOR TEST BED FOR HEAVY-ION FUSION*

J. M. Watson and the HIF Group
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, IL 60439

CONF-811040--199

DE83 008673

Summary

The amount of absorbed energy required by high gain deuterium-tritium targets for inertial confinement fusion reactors is now projected to be greater than 1 Megajoule. At these energy levels, the concept of small, dispersed power plants is no longer viable. This leads to the use of large power centers which exploit every means of maximizing output power versus capital cost. It has become apparent that a heavy ion fusion driver is the preferred choice in this scenario. To demonstrate this accelerator-based option, the national program has established two test beds: one at Argonne for the rf linac/storage ring approach, and one at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory developing an induction linac. The Argonne Beam Development Facility (BDF) would consist of a 40 mA RF linac for Xe^{+8} , a storage ring, and a 10 GeV synchrotron. One of the most important aspects of the BDF program is the control of beam quality throughout linac acceleration, stripping to higher charge states, funneling of multiple beams, and frequency transitions. The storage ring experiments include bunching techniques, beam losses during injection/extraction or due to residual gas, and longitudinal instabilities. The additional synchrotron would also make interesting energy deposition experiments possible. During the past four years, most of the construction effort has been applied to the front end. So far, a 100 mA low-emittance xenon source, a 1.5 MV preaccelerator, and the initial cavities of the linac are operational. The design and status of the BDF is described as well as future program options to demonstrate as many solutions as possible of the issues involved in this approach.

HIF Test Bed

We have proposed a test bed which would demonstrate most of the techniques required for a HIF multi-megajoule driver, as well as provide beams for physics experiments at the kilojoule level. The layout of this facility is shown in Fig. 1. The first goal would be reached through acceleration to 220 MeV, storage and targeting of low-emittance beams of heavy ions. The second goal would be achieved by using single-pulse synchrotron acceleration to 10 GeV. The upgraded facility parameters are listed in Table 1.

The source, preaccelerator, and 12.5 MHz linac would be full-scale systems. Many of the difficult problems for a power plant driver concern this section. The main issues are source current and brightness, rf capture efficiency, frequency transitions, and stripper operation with intense beams. Emittance growth of space charge dominated beams is a primary interest, and reliability of the whole system must be demonstrated.

After the linac, the accelerator demonstrations would be at comparatively low intensity. The central issues in the circular machines are injection and extraction, and beam loss due to charge changing collisions of beam ions among themselves and with residual gas atoms. By upgrading the storage ring to a 10 GeV synchrotron, the BDF would be able to deliver enough energy (3 kJ) to a small enough spot

($r_s = 0.5$ mm) to heat a foil target to a temperature of 50 eV. This is high enough to check energy deposition under conditions relevant to pellet implosion. The 25 A current in the ring and 500 A current in the transport system would also be significant accelerator demonstrations.

In addition to the improved schedule for accelerator demonstrations with interesting intensity and energy deposition studies, the use of a synchrotron would demonstrate its own attractiveness (because of the low cost, but low repetition rate) for the driver of future test facilities in the ICF program.

Present Program - Front End

When the ANL program was started there were many uncertainties about how to develop an adequate linac for the rf linac/storage ring approach to HIF. Most of these involved the front end: bright 50 mA heavy ion sources did not exist, the low current limits of linac structures required the development of very high voltage preaccelerators, and the control of emittance growth in the linac structures was uncertain. The RFQ was an interesting but untested concept.

We started the development of a high current heavy ion front end for two reasons: first, to demonstrate that it was possible and had good long-term reliability; and second, to provide intense beams for the rf linac/storage ring test bed.

At this point, we have demonstrated many of the requirements of the front end. A very bright single-aperture Penning discharge source capable of 100 mA of Xe^+ was developed. A 1.5 MV preaccelerator was constructed and operated with 40 mA beam currents. A buncher and three linac cavities have accelerated 20 mA currents to 2.2 MeV. Fast, nondestructive beam diagnostics were built and operated to tune and analyze the beam. With the projected reduced budgets, the continued construction of a test bed is not possible. Of course, there are always new ideas and developments that should be pursued. Also, the long-term reliability of a facility requires extended operation. Scaled-down program options are now being considered which effectively utilize what has been developed and which address the most pressing uncertainties in the HIF scenarios.


Ion Source and Preaccelerator

A 100 mA low-emittance xenon (and mercury) ion source was developed for this program by Hughes Research Laboratories.¹ It is a Penning discharge, Pierce extraction source with a single 3 cm diameter aperture. Xe^+ currents of 100 mA have been extracted with no indication of plasma sheath instability. For typical operation at 40 mA, the aperture in the focus electrode is reduced to 2.1 cm diameter to increase the current density to 12 mA/cm² and to reduce the gas load in the accelerating column. The voltages and timings of the pulsed source parameters are controlled via fiberoptic light links to the high voltage terminal.² In typical operation, this reliable, low-maintenance source produces a 100 μ s beam pulse with a 10 μ s rise time and 50 μ s decay time.

*Work supported by U.S. Department of Energy.

MASTER

EAB



LEGEND

- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 SOURCE AND PREACCELERATOR | 8. INJECTION RING |
| 2 $L\alpha\beta$ LINAC | 9. STORAGE RING |
| 3 12.5 MHz WIDEROE | 10. TRANSPORT |
| 4 STRIPPER | 11. SPLITTING |
| 5 RF BEAM COMBINER | 12. FOCUS |
| 6 25 MHz WIDEROE | 13. ENERGY DEPOSITION |
| 7 DEBUNCHER | |

Fig. 1 Proposed Argonne Beam Development Facility

TABLE I

Parameters of Beam Development Facility with
One Single-Pulse Synchrotron

Ion Source and Preaccelerator:	1.5 MeV Xe ⁺¹ (40 mA)
Low Velocity (12.5 MHz) Linac:	20 MeV Xe ⁺¹ (25 mA)
Stripper:	Xe ⁺¹ + Xe ⁺⁸ at 20 MeV Yielding 40 mA (e.l.)
25 MHz Linac:	220 MeV Xe ⁺⁸ (40 mA)
<u>Synchrotron Ring</u>	10 GeV Xe ⁺⁸
Radius -	25 meters
Injection -	8 turns
Acceleration Rise Time -	0.1 sec.
Repetition Time -	10 sec.
Final Beam Current	25 A
Beam Pulse Energy -	3 kJ
Emittance at Extraction -	7.5 π mr. cm.
Pulse Compression (post-acceleration):	X 24
Final Pulse Length/Power:	5 nsec/0.6 TW
Final Momentum Spread ($\Delta P/P$)	$\pm 0.5 \times 10^{-2}$
Transverse Beam Splitting:	X 4 horizontal, X 4 vertical
Target Spot Radius:	0.45 mm.
Ion Range (in cold Au foil):	.25 mm.
Max. Temperature in Target (Au):	50 eV
Specific Deposition Energy:	1.0 MJ/g

The preaccelerator is a 4 MeV Dynamitron which has been modified extensively for maximum pulsed current operation at 1.5 MeV. A high gradient accelerating column is used to handle the large current density. A more complete description of the preaccelerator has been published.³ The high gradient column initially had an outer shell consisting of ceramic rings which were epoxy-bonded to titanium rings with indium seals. It originally conditioned to 1.4 MV, but would not operate reliably above 1.2 MV because of excessive gradients between the protective rings along the inside surface of the outer shell.

The high gradient column is now in operation with a new outer shell. The gradients between the inner protective rings were reduced by almost a factor of two. The ceramics are longer, so there are only one-half as many joints. The joints are not bonded; C-rings with lead foil backed up by rubber O-rings make up the vacuum seals, with the entire column spring-clamped with 30,000 pounds of force. The ends of the ceramics are covered with copper foil to produce a uniform electric field across the face of the ceramic. So far, the column has been conditioned to 1.53 MV and 35 mA beam currents of Xe⁺ have been accelerated to 1.5 MeV. This performance was achieved with one out of fifteen ceramics shorted--apparently it failed because of an internal defect. In general, the column now conditions very easily and deconditions little when turned off for several days. At a convenient time, the shell will be disassembled and a replacement ceramic inserted which should improve the long-term operation of the column at 1.5 MeV. Preaccelerator emittance measurements were performed using nondestructive profile systems⁴ at the buncher waist followed by a drift space. The 90% envelope transverse normalized emittances were measured to be 0.027 cm-mrad at 1.5 MeV and 0.019 cm-mrad at 1.0 MeV. While the preliminary value at 1.5 MeV is larger than expected and may be reduced by further optimization, it is still more than adequate for HIF, and an order of magnitude brighter than other high current sources.

Linac Cavities

The front end of the prestripper linac consists of a buncher, five independently-phased 12.5 MHz short, single-stub linac cavities, and three 12.5 MHz double-stub Wideroe linacs to reach 22.9 MeV. The layout through the first Wideroe linac is shown in Fig. 2. The linac is operational through IPC 3 where the energy is 2.2 MeV. The first Wideroe is partially constructed, but is now on hold because of budget constraints. A detailed design of the linac has been published.⁵ The expected emittances and transmitted currents have been calculated through a beam simulation using the PARMILA code. Essentially all of the emittance growth and beam loss are expected to occur by the end of the first Wideroe tank. For this reason a high priority had been placed on completing at least that much of the linac to study the beam properties.




Fig. 2 Initial Cavities of HIF Linac. Five independently-phased cavities (IPC) accelerate xenon to 3 MeV for injection into Wideroe.

Electroplating

The first three linac cavities were made of solid copper. We found that the fabrication costs of solid copper were essentially the same as using copper-clad steel because of the additional operations involved with the latter. However, it was clear that a copper electroplate on steel could reduce fabrication costs by at least one-third. To realize the maximum benefit would require the use of plating levelers and brighteners. These avoid the need of final polishing and greatly simplify plating around corners and into apertures. This technique has been very successfully developed at GSI in Darmstadt, West Germany for the large UNILAC cavities. A thorough search of vendors in this country revealed that only one was willing or capable of attempting the internal electroplating of large tanks using similar techniques. After studying the GSI process, the proposed vendor process, and an ANL in-house process, we have arrived at a modified electroplating procedure which encompasses the best features of each without exceeding the plant capability of the vendor.⁶ As a test piece and prototype, the tank of stub #1 of the Wideroe has been electroplated successfully with 250 μm of copper. This tank is 0.91 m in diameter and 1.82 m in length with many apertures and flanges. The surface finish was leveled from 1.3 μm on the steel substrate to 0.25 μm after plating. The electroplate thickness was uniform ($\pm 10\%$) and has excellent adhesion when subjected to heat and vacuum. The electrical conductivity is greater than 90% IACS.

Status

IPC 2 and 3 have internal 5 π drift tubes; therefore, they are especially sensitive to the beam particle velocity. When we were limiting the operating voltage of the old accelerating column to 1.2 MV, this sensitivity led us to a two-stage procedure for studying the performance of the low-beta linac through IPC 3 using both xenon and krypton (with natural isotopic abundances).

The acceleration of 30 mA Kr^+ demonstrated the correct velocity profile and power and phase control through the linac. The preaccelerator energy was 0.98 MeV and the respective cavity exit energies were 1.09, 1.27, and 1.43 MeV. These energies have the velocities corresponding to the design for xenon from 1.5 MeV to 2.2 MeV.

At present, we are operating with 35 mA Xe^+ beams injected at the design energy of 1.5 MeV. The output energy of 2.2 MeV is achieved with nearly the expected power levels and phase angles. Accurate measurements of capture and beam characteristics will be performed using 80% enriched Xe^{129} .

Plans and Options

The program plan has been to install the former Princeton-Penn 3 GeV synchrotron magnet as an injection ring as soon as the 8.8 MeV Xe^+ beam is available from the first Wideroe tank. Interesting experiments on efficient multi-turn injection could start at that time. The energy and space charge limit would be increased to 220 MeV and 130 mA as the linac is completed. Beam loss rates, efficient beam manipulations, and high vacuum technologies with ion beam bombardment could be demonstrated, as well as beam compression, extraction, transport and focusing.

Program options must now be considered because of reduced budgets and the introduction of new issues. At anticipated funding levels during this next year, it will not be possible to continue any construction. Instead, we will concentrate on design studies dealing with the problem areas in the rf linac/storage ring scenario. One of the primary uncertainties in the storage ring concept for HIF is the potential problem of the longitudinal microwave instability caused by vacuum chamber impedance coupling to intense beams with little momentum variation. Studies are underway to devise experiments to measure the growth rates under controlled conditions. The possibility of carrying out such experiments on the SNS synchrotron under construction at the Rutherford Laboratories was the subject of a workshop in England in March of this year and appeared promising to the international group attending. In addition, injection of 50 MeV protons into the Argonne injection ring appears attractive for this purpose. We are also working on storage ring designs in which the growth rate of the longitudinal microwave instability is clearly longer than the accumulation time or alternative designs which avoid the instability completely by using many low-current, low-emittance beams in each ring, or many small aperture rings.

There is a clear need for a definitive set of experiments to determine the maximum reliable gradients on conditioned electrodes over a wide frequency span. Experience at various laboratories indicates that the Kilpatrick limit is too conservative since it was based on nonconditioned surfaces in diffusion-dumped cavities. However, the same experience does not indicate a consistent pattern of maximum gradients as a function of frequency. We plan to carry out rf sparking experiments over the range of 10-100 MHz in

the same apparatus using an existing very wideband power amplifier. It will incorporate a clean vacuum system and study conditioning under realistic conditions. The effects of different materials and surface preparations will be investigated.

Although we are confident that a reliable, high-current front end with adequate beam characteristics would result from our present program, an interesting design using a radio-frequency quadrupole (RFQ) linac was recently completed.⁷ If it can be economically constructed and made operationally reliable, the 12.5 MHz RFQ would accelerate 50 mA of Xe^+ from 0.30 to 10 MeV with only a factor of 2 emittance growth. We are presently working on the electrical and mechanical design of such a RFQ linac⁸ and, if constructed, could make a direct comparison of its operation with our more standard approach.

We are considering beam experiments which could be done with our present system. These include wall evaporation rates due to particle irradiation, heavy ion ranges in plasma hot cells, and thin foil stability during irradiation. These all have interesting implications for HIF targets and reactors.

The development of improved diagnostics to accurately characterize these intense beams is needed. We plan to continue development in this area.

Finally, a detailed study of the optimal funneling of beams for filling rings is also needed. Some of the presently advanced scenarios would funnel the beams to such an extent that the cost of the required instantaneous rf power would be prohibitive for a power plant.

References

1. R. P. Vahrenkamp and R. L. Seliger, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-26, 3101 (1979).
2. J. M. Bogaty and R. Zolecki, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-28, 2350 (1981).
3. J. M. Watson et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-26, 3098 (1979).
4. J. M. Bogaty, Ibid, 334C.
5. J. M. Watson et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-28, 3449 (1981).
6. G. W. Klimczak et al., This Symposium.
7. R. H. Stokes, T. P. Wangler, and K. R. Crandall, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-28, 1999 (1981).
8. A. Moretti et al., 1981 Linear Accelerator Conference (to be published).

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.