

## **Task 1.6 - Mixed Waste Treatment**

**Semi-Annual Report  
January 1 - June 30, 1995**

**RECEIVED  
AUG 13 1997  
OSTI**

**By  
John R. Rindt**

Work Performed Under Contract No.: DE-FC21-93MC30097

For  
U.S. Department of Energy  
Office of Fossil Energy  
Morgantown Energy Technology Center  
P.O. Box 880  
Morgantown, West Virginia 26507-0880

By  
Energy and Environmental Research Center  
University of North Dakota  
P. O. Box 9018  
Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202-9018

**MASTER**

**DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3**

**DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED**

19980401 004



## **Disclaimer**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This report was prepared with the support of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Morgantown Energy Technology Center, Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC21-93MC30097. However, any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the DOE.

## **EERC DISCLAIMER**

**LEGAL NOTICE** This research report was prepared by the Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC), an agency of the University of North Dakota, as an account of work sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy. Because of the research nature of the work performed, neither the EERC nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement or recommendation by the EERC.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION/OBJECTIVES .....	1
2.0 ACCOMPLISHMENTS .....	1
3.0 FUTURE WORK .....	1

## **TASK 1.6 – MIXED WASTE TREATMENT**

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION/OBJECTIVES**

Mixed-waste sites make up the majority of contaminated sites, yet remediation techniques used at such sites often target only the most prevalent contaminant. A better understanding of site situation (i.e., most common types of contamination), current remediation techniques, and combinations of techniques would provide insight into areas in which further research should be performed.

The first objective for this task is to perform a comprehensive study of the most common types of mixed-waste contamination as well as the remediation techniques that have been developed (or are under development) for the treatment of various wastes. Preliminary investigation of some promising research areas (as indicated by the study) will be performed during the last half of the task. Specific steps required to meet the first objective include:

- Investigation of common types of mixed-waste sites.
- Performance of a detailed literature search of the remediation techniques and combinations currently available.
- Assessment of each of the techniques, combining them in various wastes appropriate to mixed-waste protocol.

The first half of this task program year consisted of a survey of common types of mixed-waste sites and a detailed literature search of the remediation techniques and combinations of techniques that were currently available. From this information, an assessment of each of the techniques was made and combined into various ways appropriate to mixed-waste protocol. This activity provided insight into areas in which further research should be performed.

### **2.0 ACCOMPLISHMENTS**

Efforts this period have focused on review of the database developed during the first period. The review is complete and a condensed summary is being prepared for the final report. John Rindt visited the Rocky Flats facility in Denver, Colorado, in early April (see attached trip report). Efforts in this reporting period resulted in an expenditure of 39% of the total budget, leaving 2% to complete the final report.

### **3.0 FUTURE WORK**

The final report for the project will be prepared.

## **TRIP REPORT**

### **DOE Rocky Flats Facility, Denver, Colorado**

The visit to the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Rocky Flats facility took place through John Rindt's contact with Bob Bedick of Morgantown Energy Technology Center who referred him to Sherry Rudolph, former Technical Project Officer (TPO) at the Office of Technology Division. Ms. Rudolph then referred him to Cliff Brown, the interim TPO. Mr. Brown is an Oak Ridge National Laboratory employee on assignment at the Rocky Flats facility, where he is in charge of program oversight. John Rindt and Frank Beaver spent several hours with the EG&G supervising engineer and discussed past, present, and future developmental effort areas. The general approach at Rocky Flats is to remove organics and, subsequently, encapsulate the radioactive inorganic residues. As a result, their two priority areas are 1) encapsulation and 2) separation of organics from residue.

One of their greatest challenges is dealing with the public, who can be extremely skeptical at times. They extended a very warm welcome to return at any time to gather more information. The visit ended with a 2-hour tour of the facility.

A substantial amount of technical detail has been promised from EG&G engineering staff and is expected for inclusion in the database to be completed for this task.

M97002212



Report Number (14) DOE/MC/30097--5565

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Publ. Date (11) 199708  
Sponsor Code (18) DOE/FE, XF  
JC Category (19) UC-101, DOE/ER

DOE

**A software system for the analysis of the “giornate”  
sequences in frescoes**

poster presented at the day conference on  
“The analysis of pigments and plasters”  
British Museum, London 22-2-1997

organized by the Wall Paintings Section of the United  
Kingdom Institute for Conservation

**C. Bertorello<sup>^</sup>, L. Bordoni<sup>^^</sup>, A. Colagrossi\*, G. Martellotti<sup>^</sup>,  
C. Seccaroni\*\***

<sup>^</sup>C.B.C. - Conservazione Beni Culturali Roma

\*IASI-CNR Roma

<sup>^^</sup>ENEA/STUDI/Doe - C.R. Casaccia Roma

\*\*ENEA/INN/Art-C.R. Casaccia Roma

## **ABSTRACT**

This paper reports on a software system for the analysis of the *giornate* sequences in frescoes, based on mathematical modelling. This model takes into account whole *giornate* and the relations defined on them by the temporal precedence in their realisation. A sample application is provided on frescoes by Luca Signorelli in the Cappella di San Brizio in the Orvieto Cathedral.

**[Computer software, computer art, fresco, plasters, wall painting, Signorelli]**

## **RIASSUNTO**

Viene presentato lo sviluppo di un sistema software per l'analisi della sequenza di esecuzione delle giornate di un affresco. Tale sistema si basa su una modellizzazione matematica che considera da un lato l'insieme delle giornate e dall'altro la particolare relazione tra di esse, definita dalla precedenza temporale nella realizzazione. Viene inoltre fornita un'applicazione del sistema su affreschi eseguiti da Luca Signorelli nella Cappella di San Brizio nel Duomo di Orvieto.

## 1. PROBLEM DEFINITION

The technique of the fresco needs the execution of the painting directly on the wet plaster, before the carbonation on its surface; for this reason the plaster must be drawn up only in the zone to be painted in one day (*giornata*). The careful examination of the joint between two adjacent *giornate* allows one to detect the overlapping of the plasters, so it is possible to establish the temporal precedence in the realisation of the interested *giornate*. The examination of the binary relationships concerning all the couples of adjacent *giornate* on the whole surface of a fresco permits to focalize the going on of the works during the execution of the painting. In the case of frescoes having a wide extension, the *giornate* number can be very high, so it is very difficult to consider and to elaborate the whole information concerning the joints.

The software system implemented for the analysis of the *giornate* in fresco paintings is based on a mathematical modelling that takes into account the whole *giornate* and the relation defined on them by the temporal precedence in their realisation. The mathematical model that fits this specific situation is the model of the direct acyclic graphs (dag).

## 2. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SYSTEM

In addition to the temporal sequence the system allows:

- to point out possible anomalies, due to errors in the detection of the direction of the joints among the *giornate*, for example by the indication of the presence of *loops*;
- to simulate and to elaborate the different possible interpretations in the case it is impossible to detect the direction of the joints;
- to improve the readability of the whole *giornate* sequence by clustering selected sub-sequences.

The software system processes information concerning the overlapping of the plaster among one *giornata* and all the other adjacent *giornate*; it automatically eliminates redundant information by applying the *maximal path* algorithm; so it is possible to obtain the correct temporal sequence for the execution of the *giornate*.

The system runs on personal computer under MS-DOS operative system and it does not need any sophisticated configuration. In order to enable the graphic visualisation mode, it is necessary a WINDOWS 3.1 version or greater.

The system makes available to the user a wide number of features, implemented by means of several program modules. The system's features are:

- inserting, updating, deleting and accessing data concerning the plasters overlapping for the whole *giornate* in the fresco;
- detecting possible loops in the graph corresponding to the inserted data;
- processing the graph corresponding to the inserted data yielding an acyclic graph with no redundant information;
- visualising the resulting graph;
- constructing clustered graphs.

The data input occurs after a preliminary numbering of all the *giornate* of the fresco. At this point all that is needed is to enter the couples of numbers corresponding to the adjacent *giornate* and to the direction of their junction.

The inserted graph elaboration is made by a module that implements the *maximal path* algorithm. This elaboration must be preceded by the verification of the loops presence in the graph supplied by the user. This test is automatically carried out by the system which, whenever a loop is detected, points out all the nodes interested by the loop.

The result of the execution of the module based on the *maximal path* algorithm is provided in two distinct modes, depending on the user's choice:

- (1) couples of numbers, corresponding to the *giornate*;
- (2) the usual graphical representation: nodes connected by arcs.

Before producing clustered graphs a preliminary selection of the graph nodes to be clustered in only one node must be done.

### 3. LOOPS, LACK OF INFORMATION AND CLUSTERING

A loop occurs when, following the information concerning the joints of two or more *giornate*, the program comes to a previously examined *giornata*; this is a collapse situation because the sequence for the ordered *giornate* assumes a ring structure. The existence of a loop is unacceptable because it is incompatible with the precedence relations inferred by the overlapping of the plasters at the joints. Further, a loop makes the program removing the redundant information non-terminating. In order to avoid such a critical situation, when a loop is detected the program points out this situation and all the *giornate* interested by the loop. This signal allows the user to visualise the loop on the plotting, so it will be possible to verify directly on the fresco surface the overlapping of the interested joints and to change the incorrect information in the input file.

If it is not possible to look over the fresco surface, the loop elimination can be done inverting the direction of one or more joints following opportune considerations. Alternatively, it can be done considering as only one *giornata* all the *giornate* that are interested by the loop (in this case the *giornate* must be adjacent).

The absence of information concerning either the recognition of *giornate* or the direction of the plaster overlapping in the joints, can substantially modify the final result; the amount of the produced alteration depends on the information lack in the input data.

In the second case, that is when it is impossible to ascertain the precedence between two adjacent *giornate*, it must be underlined that this circumstance can not be determinant for the reconstruction of the real sequence: in fact the impossibility to establish a precedence relation between two adjacent *giornate* sometimes can not determine a real loss of information. The system is able to establish if the unregistered information is redundant, i.e. it does not determine any change in the correct ordering, on the other hand it is essential, because its non-registration causes a different solution by the algorithm.

The reconstruction of only one linear sequence is an extremely rare case; in fact, the increment of the number of the *giornate* makes the graph very branched.

Especially for frescoes having a wide extension some considerations concerning the organisation of the work allow one to pick up additional information for the general ordering of all the *giornate*, independently from the study of the joints. For instance it is evident that if it is possible to know the borders due to the level of the scaffold used for the realisation of the

fresco, like it happens between two adjacent *giornate*, it is possible establish a precedence relation between all the *giornate* under the above scaffold level and those under it. This operation allows for clustering the *giornate*, so that during the execution of the program all those *giornate* are treated as one only *giornata*.

#### 4. A SAMPLE APPLICATION

This software system has been tested on the frescoes by Beato Angelico and Luca Signorelli in the Cappella di San Brizio in the Orvieto Cathedral; the whole fresco surface consists of more than 900 *giornate*. In figure 2 is shown the sequence concerning the *giornate* map for the *Hell* painted by Luca Signorelli; the same is shown as a graph in figure 3. In figure 4 and in figure 5 the situation concerning the *Heaven*, in the same Chapel, is shown. In both the maps the supposed levels for the scaffold are shown, they are different for number and tallness in this two scenes; finally in the graphs the *giornate* concerning local correction are shown with round areas.

The comparison of the situation for these two scenes clearly shows that for the *Heaven* the works have been processed in a more articulate way. For the *Hell*, on the contrary, with the exclusion of the branches due to the *giornate* concerning local corrections, four long linear sequences have been evidenced. Stylistic and technical considerations allow one to make the hypothesis that the *Hell* was the first scene frescoed by the painter on the walls of the Chapel, so it is interesting to have verified that this scene is different from the other also for the *giornate* organisation. The less branched structure of the *Hell* could be due to the absence of assistants in the first phase of the works; this circumstance allows to the painter to directly evaluate the problems due to the planning and the realisation of so large scenes. During the recent restore of the whole frescoes in the Chapel it has been verified in the *Hell* a greater number of corrections and *a secco* finishings; these corrections also concern the architectural structure of the scene.

#### 5. BIBLIOGRAPHY

M. Bottoni, M. Cordaro, M.C. Gaetani, B. Provinciali: *Sviluppo di un sistema sperimentale per l'analisi dinamica delle fasi di esecuzione di affreschi. Finalità e fasi del progetto*. 2<sup>a</sup> Conferenza Internazionale sulle prove non distruttive, metodi microanalitici e indagini ambientali per lo studio e la conservazione delle opere d'arte, Perugia 17-20 aprile 1988, vol. I 13.1-15.

G. Ausiello, A. Marchetti Spaccamela, M. Protasi: *Teoria e progetto di algoritmi fondamentali*, Franco Angeli, Milano, 1988.

C. Batini, L. Carlucci Aiello, M. Lenzerini, A. Marchetti Spaccamela, A. Miola: *Fondamenti di programmazione dei calcolatori elettronici*, Franco Angeli, Milano, 1992.

Figures

Fig. 1 - Luca Signorelli: the *Hell*. Particular with the overlapping of the plasters at the joint among the *giornate*.

Fig. 2 - Luca Signorelli: the *Hell*. Map of the *giornate* with the paths of the execution sequence.

Fig. 3 - Luca Signorelli: the *Hell*. Sequence of the *giornate*.

Fig. 4 - Luca Signorelli: the *Heaven*. Map of the *giornate* with the paths of the execution sequence.

Fig. 5 - Luca Signorelli: the *Heaven*. Sequence of the *giornate*.




Figure 3






Figure 5

