

October 1985

LBL--20412

DE86 002880

# ONE-LOOP EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL FROM COMPACTIFIED SUPERSTRING MODELS\* ,\*\*

Mary K. Gaillard\*\*\*

*Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory  
and  
Department of Physics  
University of California  
Berkeley, California 94720, U.S.A.*

## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

---

\*To appear in the proceedings of the International Conference on High Energy Physics.

\*\*Work done in collaboration with P. Binetruy.

\*\*\*Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant PHY-84-06608 and in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

# One-loop Effective Potential from Compactified Superstring Models\*

Mary K. Gaillard

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Physics  
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, U.S.A.

This contribution is a report on a recent determination [1] of the one loop effective potential from the effective tree Lagrangian in models obtained from compactification [2] of the zero-slope limit of superstring [3] theories, both with and without induced terms [4] conjectured to arise from non-perturbative effects in a hidden sector of the theory.

To put our results in perspective, let me briefly recall the background phenomenological context. The construction [5,6] of effective tree Lagrangians for  $N = 1$  supergravity coupled to matter made possible the realization [7] of viable models with softly broken supersymmetry at low energies. Typically some scalar field acquires a vacuum expectation value (vev)  $\langle Z_0 \rangle \sim m_P \equiv \kappa^{-1} = (8\pi G_N)^{-1/2} = 2.4 \times 10^{18}$  GeV, that induces a gravitino mass  $m_{\tilde{G}} \neq 0$ , thus breaking supersymmetry. An effective renormalizable theory at the scale  $m_P$  is defined by making an appropriate shift in the scalar field  $Z_0 \rightarrow Z'_0 = Z_0 - \langle Z_0 \rangle$  and then letting  $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ . The low energy theory is then obtained by using standard renormalization group methods [7]. In general scalar fields acquire positive squared masses [5,8]  $m_S^2 = 0(m_{\tilde{G}}^2)$  at the Planck scale, and one arranges [7] Yukawa couplings such that the squared masses of appropriate scalar doublets of  $SU(2)_L$  are driven negative by renormalization effects at a scale  $0(m_{W'}^2) \equiv 0(m_S^2) \equiv 0(m_{\tilde{G}}^2)$  thus triggering electroweak symmetry breaking. It was subsequently pointed out [9] that symmetries of the tree potential may protect scalar masses at tree level and beyond (but apparently at most to two loops), allowing  $m_S \ll m_{\tilde{G}}$ . Such a scenario was explicitly realized in "no-scale" models [10] that have the properties that at tree level a)  $m_S = 0$  for all gauge non-singlets, b) there is no cosmological constant and c) the vev of one scalar field ( $t$ ), and therefore the value of  $m_{\tilde{G}}$ , is left unspecified.

Under the assumption that supersymmetry survives in four dimensions, compactification [11] of 6 of the 10 dimensions of the superstring on a Calabi-Yau manifold  $K_6$  led to the construction [2] of a class of effective scalar models that are a particular variant of the "no-scale" models, including an additional scalar field ( $s$ ) whose vev is unspecified at tree level. Superstring theories exist [3,12] for the gauge groups  $SO(32)$  and  $E_8 \times E_8$ . Viable phenomenology seems possible only for the latter case, where  $E_8$  breaks in four dimensions to an  $E_6$  grand unified theory and  $E'_8$  (or some subgroup thereof) describes a hidden sector that is assumed to be pure supersymmetric Yang-Mills, with no chiral non-singlet multiplets. The

---

\*Work done in collaboration with P. Binétruy.

hidden sector becomes strongly interacting at some scale  $\mu_c < m_P$ , a gaugino condensate forms inducing [4] a non-trivial super-potential for the scalar field  $s$  that determines its vev and breaks supersymmetry. It is assumed that other non-perturbative effects conspire to cancel the cosmological constant induced by the gaugino condensate, in which case the vev of  $t$  and the value of  $m_{\tilde{G}}$  remain undetermined at tree level.

Models such as these, where a large vev is determined by radiative corrections, require an accurate determination of those corrections near the Planck scale. We therefore do not truncate the tree Lagrangian to an effective renormalizable one by dropping  $O(\kappa)$  terms, but instead evaluate the full one-loop effective potential in a form that is explicitly invariant under scalar field redefinition and preserves the non-linear symmetries of the tree Lagrangian. Thus from the point of view of a four-dimensional field theory our result is valid at arbitrarily high scales, although there may be other  $O(\kappa)$  effects, *e.g.* from higher string modes, that we neglect. We make no *a priori* assumption on the gauge groups that survive in four dimensions, nor on the spectrum of gauge non-singlet chiral multiplets.

Our results are: [1,13] 1. In the absence of condensate effects (*i.e.* at scales  $\mu \gg \mu_c$ ), the vacuum structure of the tree potential is preserved by the one-loop radiative-corrections. 2. In the presence of supersymmetry breaking condensate effects at tree level, the gauge non-singlet scalars remain massless at the one-loop level. 3. In this case the vev of  $\text{Re } t$  and therefore  $m_{\tilde{G}}$  are determined at one loop. Specifically we find that  $m_{\tilde{G}}$ , the condensate scale  $\mu_c$  and the grand unification scale  $m_{\text{GUT}}$  must all lie within a few orders of the Planck scale;  $m_{\tilde{G}} \lesssim \mu_c \lesssim m_{\text{GUT}}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} 1.5 \times 10^{-3} &\lesssim m_{\tilde{G}}/m_P \lesssim 0.1, \\ 0.05 &\lesssim \mu_c/m_P \lesssim 0.55. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

This result is very insensitive to the regularization prescription used, and relatively insensitive to the choice of input parameters: the gauge coupling  $\alpha_{\text{GUT}}$  and the  $\beta$ -function parameter  $b_0$  that is determined from the structure of the surviving hidden gauge group in four dimensions. These parameters are however restricted by the requirement  $m_{\text{GUT}} < m_P$  that we imposed for consistency; specifically we found

$$1/44 \lesssim \alpha_{\text{GUT}} \lesssim \frac{1}{8}, \quad b_0 \gtrsim 0.2. \quad (2)$$

The parameter  $\mu_c$  enters the one-loop potential explicitly as the cutoff above which the condensate effects are negligible, and  $b_0$  also appears as an explicit parameter in the effective superpotential that determines  $\text{Re } s$  at tree level. In addition the above parameters enter implicitly through the relations [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\text{GUT}}^{-1} &= \langle 4\pi \text{Re } s \rangle, \\ m_P^2 m_{\text{GUT}}^{-2} &= \langle \text{Re } s \text{Re } t \rangle, \\ m_P^2 \mu_c^{-2} &= \langle \text{Re } s \text{Re } t \exp(\text{Re } s/b_0) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Imposing that these relations be consistent with the values of  $\text{Re } s$  and  $\text{Re } t$  obtained by minimizing the corrected potential, together with the condition  $m_{\text{GUT}} < m_P$ , gives the constraints (1) and (2).

It should be remarked that the only fermions that acquire masses at tree level are the gravitino and the chiral partners of  $s$  and  $t$ . As a result the scalar field  $t$  and the terms quadratic in the gauge non-singlet fields  $\phi_i$  appear only in the combination  $2\text{Re } t - \kappa \phi_i \phi^i$  in the one-loop effective potential, so the coefficient of  $\phi_i \phi^i$  is just  $\partial V^{\text{eff}}/\partial(\text{Re } t) = 0$  at the minimum. In particular the gauginos remain massless at tree level; unless there are further

subtle cancellations they should acquire masses at one loop, and one would expect [9] gauge non-singlet scalars to acquire masses of order  $\mu_c m_{\tilde{G}}/16\pi^2 m_P$ , which appears unacceptably large, but this remains to be explicitly established.

A possible caveat in the above analysis is that we find a non-vanishing cosmological constant at one loop. If, as has been recently claimed [14], the non-linear symmetry of the model assures a vanishing cosmological constant to all orders, this term should be cancelled by another contribution that we have overlooked. However it seems unlikely that such a term would affect the vanishing of the scalar masses related to the  $SU(N+1)/U(N+1)$  symmetry of scalar kinetic energy term, nor change substantially the orders of magnitude found for the scales of the theory, Eqs. 1.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant PHY-84-06608 and in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Binétruy and M.K. Gaillard, Berkeley preprint LBL-19972, UCB-PTH-85/31 (1985), submitted to Physics Letters.
- [2] E. Witten, Phys. Lett. 155B, 151 (1985).
- [3] M.B. Green and J.H. Schwartz, Phys. Lett. 149B, 117 (1984).
- [4] M. Dine *et al.*, Phys. Lett. 156B, 55 (1985).
- [5] E. Cremmer *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B212, 413 (1983) and references therein.
- [6] J. Bagger, Nucl. Phys. B211, 302 (1983) and references therein.
- [7] See Ref. [1] for references to earlier phenomenological analyses.
- [8] J. Ellis and D.V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. 116B, 933 (1982).
- [9] M.K. Gaillard *et al.*, Phys. Lett. 122B, 355 (1983); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 387 (1983).
- [10] E. Cremmer *et al.*, Phys. Lett. 133B, 61 (1983); J. Ellis *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B247, 373 (1984).
- [11] P. Candelas *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B258, 46 (1985).
- [12] D.J. Gross *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 502 (1985).
- [13] Some of our results have been found independently by J. D. Breit, B. A. Ovrut and G. Segré, U. of Penn. preprint UPR-0282Ta (1985).
- [14] I. Antoniadis, C. Kounnas and D.V. Nanopoulos, SLAC-PUB-2687, CERN-TH 4178.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.