



DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



E - 10
(EGG-M--90501 7--1
DE92 003295

**Magnetic Shielding for
Interplanetary Spacecraft**

J. Stephen Herring and Brad J. Merrill

Fusion Safety Program
Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
P. O. Box 1625 MS 3523
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415-3523

to be presented at the:

28th Space Congress
Cocoa Beach, Florida
April 23-26, 1991

NOV 28 1991

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without permission of the author.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Magnetic Shielding for Interplanetary Spacecraft

J. Stephen Herring and Brad J. Merrill
Fusion Safety Program
Idaho National Engineering Laboratory

Abstract

The protection of spacecraft crews from the radiation produced by high energy electrons, protons and heavier ions in the space environment is a major health concern on long duration missions.

Conventional approaches to radiation shielding in space have relied on thicker spacecraft walls to stop the high energy charged particles and to absorb the resulting gamma and bremsstrahlung photons. The shielding concept described here uses superconducting magnets to deflect charged particles before they collide with the spacecraft, thus avoiding the production of secondary particles. A number of spacecraft configurations and sizes have been analyzed, ranging from a small 'storm cellar' for use during solar flares to continuous shielding for space stations having a crew of 15-25. The effectiveness of the magnetic shielding has been analyzed using a Monte Carlo program with incident proton energies from 0.5 to 1000 MeV. Typically the shield deflects 35-99 percent of the incident particles, depending, of course on particle energy and magnetic field strength.

Further evaluation studies have been performed to assess weight comparisons between magnetic and conventional shielding; to determine magnet current distributions which minimize the magnetic field within the spacecraft itself; and to assess the potential role of ceramic superconductors.

Introduction

The radiation environment of space will be a limiting factor in the duration of interplanetary missions. The typical environment consists of electrons, protons and ions with a flux that decreases at higher energies approximately as $E^{-1.5}$. Presently, shielding of spacecraft and their crews from high energy cosmic particles is foreseen through the placement of supplies, fuel, and equipment around the outer walls of the spacecraft and through the use of a thicker spacecraft.

Configuration of the Spacecraft

This paper investigates the possibility of using a dipole magnetic field to deflect those incident charged particles before they strike the spacecraft and produce a shower of damaging secondary particles. A cross-section of the spacecraft is shown in Figure 1. The craft is toroidal in shape, with a major radius of 10 m and a minor radius of 5 m. This is a large interplanetary craft or high orbit space station, with space for 15-20 crew members. For long-duration missions the spacecraft could rotate at 9.5 RPM to produce 1 g in the living areas or 3 RPM to produce 0.1 g.

The configuration of the dipole field is shown in Figure 2. The conductors are arranged on the outer surface of the toroid with all current flowing in the toroidal direction. The distribution of the current on the surface of the toroid was chosen to minimize the field within the spacecraft while producing essentially a dipole field outside the craft. To obtain this distribution we modelled the spacecraft as a coaxial solenoid and a set of 38 circular coils on the surface of the toroid. The solenoid was resistive and initially carried a large current. The toroidal coils were superconducting and initially carried no current. We then did an eddy current simulation in which the solenoidal current decayed to zero and the currents in the toroidal coils increased to exclude the field from the spacecraft interior. Notice that the interior fields are generally less than 10 mT, which value is the present US eight hour per day occupational exposure standard. Fields of 10 mT should not adversely affect the operation of electronics.

The effectiveness of various configurations of coils is shown in Tables 1-6. The effectiveness of a magnetic shield was determined using a Monte Carlo code. Each of the particles was tracked using $F = V \times B$ formulation, with a maximum step size of 0.05 times the Larmor radius. The Monte Carlo technique released 2000 monoenergetic particles at a radius of 32 m, i.e. at least 17 m from the spacecraft, with the location and direction of the particles chosen at random. Table 1 shows the shielding effectiveness on a small spacecraft, with a major radius, R_{ship} , of 3 m and a minor radius, a_{ship} , of 1 m. Four shielding coils were used in the positions in Table 2. Table 3 shows the shielding effectiveness on the $R_{ship} = 10$ m and $a_{ship} = 5$ m configuration. The configuration of the coils and their currents are shown in Tables 4 and 5. The effectiveness of the shield against electrons is shown in Table 6 and against iron ions in Table 7. More than 400,000 particles histories have been traced in evaluating the effectiveness of the magnetic shield. Table 8 contains magnet design parameters required for shielding spacecraft of the size studied.

Magnetic Shielding for Spacecraft




Figure 1. Cross-sectional schematic of spacecraft with a magnetic shield.

a	3.62E-04	TIME(S) - 0.00E+00
b	6.07E-04	IN4(A) - 1.01E+05
c	1.02E-03	
d	1.70E-03	
e	2.85E-03	
f	4.77E-03	
g	8.00E-03	
h	1.34E-02	
i	2.24E-02	
j	3.76E-02	
k	6.29E-02	
l	1.05E-01	
m	1.77E-01	
n	2.96E-01	
o	4.95E-01	
p	8.30E-01	
q	1.39E+00	
r	2.33E+00	
s	3.90E+00	
t	6.53E+00	




Figure 2. Field strength contours in a magnetically shielded spacecraft.

Table 1

Magnetic Shield Effectiveness of a Small Toroidal Spacecraft

Major radius	R_{ship}	3.00 m
Minor radius	a_{ship}	1.00 m
Volume	V_{ship}	59.22 m ³
Outside Area	A_{ship}	118.44 m ²

Shielding Effectiveness Against Protons

$I_0 ==>$	1.0 MA	2.5 MA	5.0 MA
Energy (MeV)	(percent deflected)		
0.5	79.	100.	100.
1.	81.	100.	100.
5.	41.	80.	99.
10.	43.	67.	87.
30.	23.	49.	71.
50.	18.	42.	56.
100.	6.	28.	43.
300.	5.	19.	52.
500.	13.	5.	32.
1000.	0.	8.	29.

Baseline: 149.20 strikes per 2000 protons
with no field

Table 2

Small Spacecraft Coil Configurations

Coil	a (m)	z (m)	I(MA)		
1	2.0	0.0 //	1.0	2.5	5.0
2	2.4	0.8	1.0	2.5	5.0
3	2.4	-0.8	1.0	2.5	5.0
4	4.0	0.0	0.1	0.25	0.5

Table 3

Magnetic Shield Effectiveness Against Protons

Major radius	R_{ship}	10.00 m
Minor radius	a_{ship}	5.00 m
Volume	V_{ship}	4934.80 m ³
Outside Area	A_{ship}	1973.92 m ²
Floor Area (2.5 m ht)	A_{flr}	1439.55 m ² 15495.36 ft ²

(percent deflected)

Energy (MeV)	I_0		
	2.5 MA	10.0 MA	38. Coils
0.5	99.	100.	100.
1.	90.	100.	100.
5.	68.	99.	100.
10.	61.	94.	100.
30.	36.	91.	94.
50.	35.	92.	89.
100.	24.	81.	69.
300.	6.	50.	43.
500.	3.	41.	53.
1000.	3.	35.	33.

Baseline: 140.69 strikes per 2000 protons
with no field

Table 4

Four Coil Configuration for Large Spacecraft

Coil Number	a (m)	z (m)	I (MA)	
1	5.00	0.00	2.5	10.0
2	7.00	4.00	2.5	10.0
3	7.00	-4.00	2.5	10.0
4	15.00	0.00	0.5	5.0

Table 5
38 Coil Configuration for Large Spacecraft

Coil	a (m)	z (m)	I (A)
1	5.	7.55E-07	2282996.
2	5.068194	.8229743	2219044.
3	5.270915	1.623499	2042782.
4	5.602633	2.379739	1792979.
5	6.054299	3.071066	1514394.
6	6.613595	3.678622	1243107.
7	7.265263	4.185835	1000753.
8	7.991527	4.578868	796106.1
9	8.772576	4.847003	629499.3
10	9.587108	4.982923	497000.4
11	10.4129	4.982922	393202.5
12	11.22743	4.847	312732.6
13	12.00848	4.578865	250867.3
14	12.73474	4.18583	203719.7
15	13.38641	3.678617	168219.2
16	13.94571	3.07106	141987.8
17	14.39737	2.379733	123246.2
18	14.72909	1.623493	110716.4
19	14.93181	.8229688	103522.5
20	15.	-4.17E-06	101182.7
21	5.068194	-.8229743	2219044.
22	5.270915	-1.623499	2042782.
23	5.602633	-2.379739	1792979.
24	6.054299	-3.071066	1514394.
25	6.613595	-3.678622	1243107.
26	7.265263	-4.185835	1000753.
27	7.991527	-4.5791527	796106.1
28	8.772576	-4.847003	629499.3
29	9.587108	-4.982923	497000.4
30	10.4129	-4.982922	393202.5
31	11.22743	-4.847	312732.6
32	12.00848	-4.578865	250867.3
33	12.73474	-4.18583	203719.7
34	13.38641	-3.678617	168219.2
35	13.94571	-3.07106	141987.8
36	14.39737	-2.379733	123246.2
37	14.72909	-1.623493	110716.4
38	14.93181	-.8229688	103522.5

Table 6

Shield Effectiveness Against Electrons

Major radius	R_{ship}	10.00 m
Minor radius	a_{ship}	5.00 m
Volume	V_{ship}	4934.80 m ³
Outside Area	A_{ship}	1973.92 m ²
Floor Area (2.5 m ht)	A_{flr}	1439.55 m ² 15495.36 ft ²

(percent deflected)

Energy (MeV)	CURRENT	
	5.0 MA	10.0 MA
0.5	100.	100.
1.	100.	100.
5.	100.	100.
10.	100.	100.
30.	100.	100.
50.	100.	100.
100.	50.	100.
300.	25.	88.
500.	25.	62.
1000.	-	47.

Baseline: 148.42 strikes per 2000 electrons
with no field

//

Table 7

Shield Effectiveness Against Iron Ions

Major radius	R_{ship}	10.00 m
Minor radius	a_{ship}	5.00 m
Volume	V_{ship}	4934.80 m ³
Outside Area	A_{ship}	1973.92 m ²
Floor Area (2.5 m ht)	A_{flr}	1439.55 m ² 15495.36 ft ²

Iron ions

Shield Effectiveness
(percent deflected)

38 coils

Energy (MeV)	Fe ⁺²⁶	Fe ⁺³
10.	100.	86.
30.	100.	57.
50.	100.	38.
100.	99.	37.
300.	94.	7.
500.	89.	8.
1000.	75.	
2000.	52.	
3000.	51.	
5000.	33.	

Baseline: 149.60 strikes per 2000 ions
with no field

//

Table 8

Magnetic Shield Design Calculations

Rship	10.000 m	major radius of spacecraft
aship	5.000 m	minor radius of spacecraft
Vship	4,935 m ³	volume of spacecraft
Aship	1,974 m ²	external surface area
Aflr	1,440 m ² 15,495 ft ²	Floor area with 2.5 m ceilings
J net	200 A/mm ²	net current density
rho cond	4000 kg/m ³	density of conductor
I cond	25 kA	conductor current
t ins	50 mm	thermal insulation thickness
A cool	10 mm ²	coolant channel area
t el ins	0.1 mm	electrical insulation thickness
w cond	11.72 mm 0.461 inch	overall conductor width
m wi	25,693 kg	total mass of windings
N total	1179 turns	total turns
rho Al	2700 kg/m ³	density of aluminum
t eq Al	15.15 mm 0.596 inch	equivalent Al thickness

Conclusions

A magnetic shield has been shown to be effective in deflecting incident protons, electrons and heavy ions at energies typical of the space environment. The mass of the required magnets is less than of the additional spacecraft wall thickness needed to shield the incident radiation. In addition, by diverting particles to strike the spacecraft in certain sectors by means of magnetic fields, conventional shielding can be used more effectively. //

References

1. "Human-Nuclear Radiation Issues for Exploration Missions," Alan J. Willoughby, Analex Corporation, Steven M. Stevenson, NASA Lewis Research Center, and Wesley E. Bolch and J. Kelly Thomas, Department of Nuclear Engineering, Texas A&M University.

END

**DATE
FILMED**

1 / 16 / 92

I