

280
12/28/79

D. 477

ENERGY

MASTER

COO-2799-T4

NOIHKRHZOO

ENERGY CONSERVATION IN EXISTING OFFICE BUILDINGS

Phase III

August 1978

Work Performed Under Contract No. EY-76-C-02-2799

✓ Syska and Hennessy 950 9641
New York, New York

and

✓ Tishman Research Corporation 951 0061
New York, New York



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available from the National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Price: Paper Copy \$4.50
Microfiche \$3.00

ENERGY CONSERVATION IN EXISTING OFFICE BUILDINGS

Phase III

August 1978

Contract No. EY-76-C-02-2799

DISCLAIMER
This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Syska and Hennessy
New York, New York

and

Tishman Research Corporation
New York, New York

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

I. INTRODUCTION

A significant goal of the study on Energy Conservation in Existing Office Buildings was to determine the constraints and/or the adverse consequences of possible conservation measures and how to overcome any barriers.

Other goals were to develop realistic energy consumption budgets, if it was determined that this was the proper approach; and if not, to propose an alternative approach; and to indicate applicability of recommendations and methodologies for application to other building types and geographical regions of the U.S.

This report, the third in a series, concerns itself with the findings and recommendations with respect to the above.

Also, this report contains a revision of Questionnaire No. 2 (see Phase I report) in the Appendix.

II. BARRIERS AND THEIR REMOVAL OR AMELIORATION

Upon completion of Phases I and II of the study, the findings and recommendations were presented to a broad cross section of real estate executives and managing agents,* and in addition, to a representative cross section of owners (principals) who participated in this study -- not their employees or agents.

This latter group, representing ownership of about 50 million gross sq. ft. of building space in New York City, agreed to provide candid responses to interviews if their identities were kept anonymous.

Owners are the ultimate decision makers -- the executives who hold the purse strings and who have authority to reject or delay acceptance of the recommendations of those who advise them. It is their attitudes, perceptions, and ultimate actions that dictate the pace and nature of energy conservation in existing office buildings. In the final analysis, they hold the key to unlocking any barriers.

The interviews were conducted by Joseph H. Newman of the Tishman Research Corporation, Charles E. Schaffner of Syska & Hennessy, and Ed Potter of the Real Estate Board of New York. In the representative group were investment owner builders and institutional owners, as well as owners of large and small amounts of office space. Most were at the helm of the businesses that owned office buildings for at least two generations, and this was reflected in the wide range of vintage buildings represented by the group. All owners interviewed managed, or closely supervised the management (by others), of their office buildings.

The findings and recommendations that follow spring from these interviews, are a consensus, and include the insights of the Tishman Research organization with 80-year-old roots in office building ownership, management, and construction.

Most owners:

- were not surprised that previously reported findings showed that owners, as a class, had little appreciation or understanding of energy consumption patterns or their significance. In the first phase of the study, it was reported that only 10% of the building owners monitored and compared their energy consumption with that of others.

* Presentations were made to more than one thousand building owners, managers, tenants, suppliers, financial people, and professionals at meetings with the Board of Governors of the Real Estate Board of New York, the Building Owners' Association of Greater New York, the Building Products Executive Conference, and individuals.

- welcomed the information on energy consumption and the opportunity to compare consumption of their buildings with that of others. Since some owners who previously had not tracked consumption patterns, indicated they planned to start or had just started, it is anticipated that ownership comprehension of energy utilization will increase over the prior low level. One owner, on becoming familiar with the results of the study, questioned his designer, who was preparing plans for a new office building outside New York City, about the anticipated energy consumption of his new building. When the owner learned that it was higher than the average for existing buildings in New York City, he sent his designer back to the drawing board. This is an example of instant payback and illustrates how much an owner can influence the decision if he understands the importance of saving energy and energy consumption patterns.
- have little faith in advertising claims for energy cutting devices or systems and believe that claims are exaggerated or may not be applicable to their specific building.
- are evaluating recommendations with skepticism resulting in prolonged assessment before satisfying themselves as to their merits....with advice from consultants and others as well as by developing their own in-house expertise.
- are waiting for feedback from those who have already installed retrofit products or systems. Few owners want to be the first. Some owners believe that costs of retrofitting will decrease when production of newer or improved energy saving systems increase. Others are waiting to see the reliability or credibility of energy-saving equipment installations. When some owners learn of a new development or a new generation of an existing product or system, they tend to wait until it is available if they believe that the new or revised item is in the latter phases of development and may be an improvement over the prior generation.

Compensating, in part, for these conservative approaches that slow the pace of introduction of energy conservation measures in buildings (which, in aggregate may be termed the "learning process") is a new owner attitude that is emerging.

Many office building owners have come to realize that it is in their self interest to cut energy use to protect their investment in the future. This longer-term view helps accelerate the learning process and gives rise to a more positive attitude -- even in situations where tenants pay all or part of their energy bills in rent.

The fact that electric energy costs continue to rise and are in the vicinity of 10¢/KWH has had a significant effect on owner attitude. The higher costs make more energy conservation measures attractive on a cost benefit basis and are beginning to influence some tenants and users in their decision making process regarding where to locate.

In querying owners on which incentives appeal most to them, it became apparent that government incentives were not needed to motivate owners whose costs for energy are approaching the 10¢/KWH level to start doing something about conservation, but it was clear that it could have a benefit in helping further hasten the learning process, accelerate their timing in making commitments, or get them to do more than they now have contemplated. Owners consider tax credits, accelerated depreciation, and elimination of tax assessment of retrofitted energy-saving equipment as the most attractive among possible incentives. It is believed that these incentives, if effected, will signal a greater "sense of urgency."

Most owners are not planning to borrow money for energy conservation retrofitting, but instead are budgeting for future energy conservation improvements using existing income. Therefore, loan guarantees or favorable loans are not considered important potential incentives for retrofitting. However, for new construction, most owners would like the lender, they will do business with, to grant them more favorable terms for that portion of the loan relating to energy conservation expenditures. This is an important finding in light of a previously held view that lenders could influence energy conservation favorably when potential borrowers were shopping for financing, by setting energy conservation related requirements prior to, or as a condition of a loan.

There are two possible actions that would irritate most office building owners and diminish the improving climate, if they came to pass; in some cases a cooperative attitude would change to an adverse one. The first is proposed time-of-day rates wherein the local utility seeks to charge a higher rate during peak hours....usually in the heart of the work day. Because office building activities are relatively inelastic, owners indicate that this will not help reduce energy. However, some owners appear interested in low rates at night, if thermal storage was determined to be feasible for existing office buildings. In any event, demand load levels may decrease when base load is reduced by energy conservation measures yet to be implemented. If this happens, time-of-day rates may not be deemed as attractive to its proponents.

The second is possible mandatory requirements for existing office buildings. Most owners feel that their voluntary responses, due to self motivation, should be recognized and

that undue government interference or regulation would place an unfair onus on owners who have been instituting conservation measures voluntarily. Owners who have taken positive action don't want to be penalized to a greater degree than those who have not been doing their part. This suggests a strong need to monitor trends in achievement of energy savings in existing office buildings to determine to what extent practical goals are being met, before establishing public policy. This was recommended in the Phase I report.

A leading barrier to achievement of conservation is the owners' lack of understanding of potential benefits. An educated owner is most likely to be a responsive owner. An uncertain owner procrastinates in making decisions.

There are two levels of understanding required. One is being able to measure consumption absolutely and relatively and being able to appreciate the significance thereof; and the other is being able to assess alternative conservation measures the value of each, and the performance of each. When an owner moves up on the learning curve he is in a position to make decisions or to influence them. A basic constraint that this study has identified is the relatively lengthy time it takes an owner to get up to speed so that he feels confident enough to be decisive. It is a relatively easy one to ameliorate -- by a continuing DOE educational and demonstration effort; and by tracking consumption patterns using representative sampling to permit owners to make comparisons as was recommended in the Phase I report and is being recommended in this report in the section entitled "Energy Budget."

Another leading barrier is lack of economic motivation. It appears that the price of energy in New York City has recently approached the level where economic justification or rationale comes more easily to an owner than in the past and this barrier has practically disappeared. In other sections of the country where costs have not yet reached or are not yet getting close to the 10¢/KWH, it may not yet "hurt" the owner. Many New York City owners were not motivated to spend money for conservation when energy costs were at 5 or 6¢/KWH. The resistance to retrofitting in other geographical regions where energy costs are relatively "low" may be similar to that experienced in New York City when energy costs were "low".

Some owners believe that the relatively high vacancy rate for office buildings during the early and mid 70s resulted in many owners not having enough cash flow to justify retrofitting expenditures during this period...or even to think about conservation, and that this delayed the educational process and the achievement of the more positive attitude that has emerged recently.

Postponement of expenditures during low or no-profit years is not uncommon in the real estate community and many owners will not make capital expenditures during bad times even when energy costs are high. Confidence in the future level of business activity is obviously a factor that influences timing of positive actions, too. Thus low levels of economic vitality may be considered a barrier. Achievement of a healthy national economy is clearly not a DOE task, but DOE must recognize its significance.

It should be noted that many owners believe that if energy costs had remained relatively low, say, about 5¢/KWH, when the business climate improved recently, there would not have been as strong an interest, as is now developing, in achieving additional energy savings beyond the 12% achieved during the two years after the 1973 oil embargo. This emphasizes the strong motivational role energy price plays.

III. ENERGY CONSUMPTION BUDGETS

Unlike a new building, where there is considerable flexibility during the design process, each existing office building has many fixed physical and operating characteristic limitations. This makes the potential for reducing energy consumption in each case unique. Also, as conservation programs are implemented, the remaining potential savings may decrease to a point of diminishing returns -- an energy consumption baseline below which it is neither economical or practical to go.

For example, Building 996 (see Phase II Report) showed a consumption, in 1975, of 69,000 BTU/gross sq ft/year. If the indicated retrofit and operational strategies were implemented, there would be a potential savings of 5,200 BTU/gross sq ft/year, i.e., 8% based upon a three year payback. In this case, a reasonable energy budget or goal for an owner would be 63,800 BTU/gross sq ft/year (69,000 minus 5,200).

In another example, Building 650 showed a consumption, in 1975, of 164,000 BTU/gross sq ft/year with a projected energy savings of 36,900 BTU/gross sq ft/year, i.e., 23% based upon a three year payback. In this case, a reasonable energy budget or goal for an owner would be 127,100 BTU/gross sq ft/year (164,000 minus 36,900).

In the first example, both the actual 1975 and the future target consumptions are relatively low -- at levels that might be used to design a new energy prudent building. In the second example, both the 1975 actual and the future target consumption levels are higher than the levels for which a new energy prudent building may be designed. If a level of, say, 85,000 BTU/gross sq ft/year had been set as an office building retrofit target in New York City, Building 650, as a practical matter, could not comply. It could fall short by 42,000 BTU/gross sq ft/year (127,000 minus 85,000). Building 996, in the first example would already be in compliance. Consumption budgets for existing buildings based on a single generalized number for all buildings does not make sense.

What does make sense, is an energy budget or goal unique to each building. There are two elements to consider when establishing such an energy savings budget or goal for existing buildings.

- the potential annual savings peculiar to the specific building;
- the payback for the potential savings for the specific building.

The first item can only be determined by an energy audit survey and use of an analytical method to estimate energy savings for a variety of energy conservation opportunities. In this study, the AXCESS computer program was utilized to estimate savings. The detailed procedure is described in the Phase II report.

There are many methodologies for determining potential savings for a specific building and while it may make sense to eventually standardize an assessment approach, or standardize criteria for assessment, it appears more important now to motivate owners to make an assessment of potential savings by any reasonable methodology. It is not now clear how long it will take to refine methodologies to provide a high level of confidence, determine whether uniformity is desirable, and to obtain adequate data on correlation between computer programs and actual consumption.

Also, owners must be persuaded to track their energy patterns continuously, month-by-month. However, the methodology for tracking energy consumption should be standardized as quickly as possible, as recommended in the Phase I report, because it is relatively simple and not likely to be controversial.

To establish the payback period, in years, it is only necessary to divide the Implementation Cost, as determined by the owner, by the Annual Cost Savings.

Each owner will have different criteria for a reasonable payback period and these may change with time or nature of retrofitted item(s), but owners generally accept, as reasonable, payback periods of three or less years. Also, it is clear from the findings in the Phase II study that payback periods beyond three years are on the asymptote of the savings vs. years of payback curve, i.e., three years is about the point of diminishing returns.

Therefore, it is recommended that DOE urge all owners of office buildings to set their own energy budgets based upon establishing: the potential savings for each building owned; the cost of implementation of such potential savings; and the annual cost savings possible with a payback of three years or less (unless the owner will tolerate a longer payback). Since, in most cases, there will be a variety of retrofit options with payback periods ranging from immediate to more than ten years, the BTU/sq ft/year to be saved should be the sum of all measures that evidence a three year or less payback or a combination of selected measures that will achieve the same results.

Once a goal is set, an owner should not limit himself to use of energy conserving measures upon which the goal was predicated.

New and improved energy conservation measures are being brought to market every month and the owner should be encouraged to use the best possible devices and procedures in any appropriate combination. However, owners should be urged to reassess their goals regularly, with a maximum interval of three years, because more conservation may be achievable as good technological advances are commercialized.

To help foster energy conservation budget setting for existing office buildings, it is recommended that DOE publish, annually, for at least a five year period the budgets set by owners during the prior year for a representative cross section of office buildings. DOE should use a standard format, identify the highlights of methodology, potential measures, actual and normalized consumption, anticipated paybacks. DOE may have to provide anonymity and a modest incentive to owners or their representatives to help obtain the information and provide it in the desired format.

The five year data base will provide a framework for follow-up on extent of energy conservation achievement. Tracking and reporting annually what happens after the budget is set, for at least five years, is also recommended. The recommendation to track consumption patterns using representative sampling (see Phase I report). It will help owners move up more quickly on the learning curve.

DOE should also urge appropriate professional and owner groups to standardize criteria and/or methodology for assessing energy conservation potential in existing buildings and continue to help obtain required information and data towards that end.

IV. APPLICABILITY OF RECOMMENDATIONS AND METHODOLOGIES TO BUILDING TYPES AND GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS

The methodologies and suggestions for representative sampling, normalization, determining potential energy savings, establishing budgets, uniformity of reporting of data, educational efforts and the like, are applicable to office buildings wherever located in the U.S. and with some modifications may be adopted to other building types. The recommendations made in this report are national in scope. Tailoring their utilization to other building types, where necessary, is urged.

One cannot interpolate or extrapolate the New York City office building consumption data to obtain insights for other cities and regions, because of different climates, likely different building mixes and regional operating practices. Also, New York has taller buildings than most of the country and insulation measures will have a substantially greater effect on low rise buildings than on tall buildings...and it is more practical to insulate low rise structures. However, in the opinion of the investigators, similar correlations or relationships are likely to be found, with respect to energy consumption and certain characteristics, in most other geographical areas as was found in New York City. In particular, it is believed older buildings are likely to use less energy.

An old building in any region of the country has certain characteristics in common with all older buildings. It is likely to have local heating and/or cooling systems, local switching, lower lighting levels, and lower electrical and mechanical capacities, and fewer hours of heating/cooling.

As in New York City, it is believed there is a substantial spread in physical characteristics, operating practices and consumption patterns throughout the U.S. -- the older the community the greater the spread.

Many of the design and technological features utilized in office buildings either originated in New York and were copies, or many New York design firms were engaged to design out-of-town office buildings. Also, there was a great deal of cross fertilization when New York building designs incorporated features originating in other areas. The rich variety of office building design and building vintages suggest that New York is not too dissimilar to the rest of the country with similar climate. Likewise, adoption of new technology, practices, or designs do not usually have regional boundaries although rate of utilization may vary in different regions.

Therefore, while information, using representative sampling should be obtained for the entire country, it is not necessary to wait to utilize the broad based findings and recommendations in this study on a national scale.

APPENDIX

I.	BUILDING IDENTIFICATION, OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT, AND OCCUPANCY	A-1
II.	TYPE OF SPACE	A-3
III.	ENERGY CONSUMPTION & METERING	A-7
IV.	HEATING, VENTILATION AND AIR CONDITIONING (HVAC) IN OFFICE SPACE	A-11
V.	EXTERIOR WALLS ABOVE GRADE AND ROOF	A-17
VI.	LIGHTING IN OFFICE SPACE	A-19
VII.	TEMPERATURE CONTROL IN OFFICE SPACE	A-21
VIII.	ELEVATORS	A-23
IX.	CONSERVATION IN OFFICE SPACE	A-24

APPENDIX

In the Phase I report, it was indicated that there was a need for a uniform building energy information form to be adopted by the private and public sectors -- and that "upon completion of the remaining phases, a proposed form, based upon the results of the completed study, will be prepared". This has been done. See attached pages.

This revised information form (questionnaire) contains less questions than the original one since it was determined that some of the data sought at the beginning was not really necessary or useful.

The purpose of the information form is to help obtain a description of office buildings in terms of physical and operating characteristics of energy consumption in both qualitative and quantitative format, to permit analyses of interrelationships between these characteristics and energy consumption, and to identify quickly significant potential energy conservation measures. Also, it may be used as a prelude to a formal energy audit, as a tool to make comparative analyses among cities or buildings in a given city, and to assess potential impacts of recommendations and policies being considered.

Building No. _____

Name of management entity (indicate "SAME" if building is managed by owner): _____

Name of person in charge of management of building: _____

Address: _____

Phone number: _____

Name of building operating engineer: _____

Phone number: _____

Occupancy

Date of first occupancy after construction: _____
Month Year

Building No. _____

II.

TYPE OF SPACE

Office Space

Computer space (area where major energy consuming equipment is located; include all adjacent areas served by supplemental air conditioning) and all other office space with special unusually high energy consumption (specify use on back of this sheet):

_____ Gross Square Footage

(Indicate "YES" or "NO" in each space) (1)

Air conditioned:

___ served by building cooling system

___ served by own cooling system

Heated:

___ served by building heating system

___ served by own heating system

ventilated only: _____

(1) Make any explanatory comments on back of this sheet.

Building No. _____

All other office space

_____ Gross Square Footage

(Indicate "YES" or "NO" in each space) ⁽¹⁾

Air conditioned:

___ served by building cooling system

___ served by own cooling system

Heated:

___ served by building heating system

___ served by own heating system

Ventilated only: _____

Total office space (sum of above two items)

_____ Gross Square Footage

Non-Office Space

Garage:

_____ Gross Square Footage

(Indicate "YES" or "NO" in each space) ⁽¹⁾

Air conditioned:

___ served by building cooling system

___ served by own cooling system

Heated:

___ served by building heating system

___ served by own heating system

Ventilated only: _____

(1) Make any explanatory comments on back of this sheet.

Building No. _____

All Commercial:

(includes public restaurants, shops, banks, theaters, show-rooms, stores, airline offices, etc.)

_____ Gross Square Footage

(Indicate "YES" or "NO" in each space) (1)

Air Conditioned:

___ served by building cooling system

___ served by own cooling system

Heated:

___ served by building heating system

___ served by own heating system

Ventilated only: _____

All other non-office space

(includes arcades, main lobbies, display space, core area, mechanical equipment areas, etc.)

_____ Gross Square Footage

(Indicate "YES" or "NO" in each space) (1)

Air conditioned:

___ served by building cooling system

___ served by own cooling system

Heated:

___ served by building heating system

___ served by own heating system

Ventilated only: _____

Total non-office space (sum of above three items)

_____ Gross Square Footage

Grand Total (Office and non-office; sum of above five items)

_____ Gross Square Footage

(1) Make any explanatory comments on back of this sheet.

Building No. _____

Current Occupancy (as of date of survey)

Gross Sq. Ft.
(office and non-office)

Total vacant space _____

Total space occupied _____

Total _____

Current Office Tenancy (as of date of survey)

Combined Gross Sq. Ft.
Office Space
Above and Below Grade

Office tenancy only (tenants & subtenants):

Occupied by Owner _____

Occupied by tenants (excluding Owner)

Largest tenant _____

All other tenants _____

Total occupied by all tenants (excluding Owner) _____

Total vacant office space (whether or not for
lease or occupancy and with no significant
use of lights or cooling) _____

Total _____

Estimate the typical percentage of gross office space utilized in a
typical week in survey year.

Percentage of space utilized during Hrs.
indicated

Normal Office Hrs.

Outside Normal Office Hours:

Early Evening Balance of Evening
to Start of Normal
Office Hrs.
_____ p.m. to _____ p.m. to
_____ p.m. _____ a.m.

_____ a.m. to
_____ p.m.

% Gross Office Space:

Monday to Friday _____

Saturday _____

Sunday or Holiday _____

Number of floors comprising building: _____

Above Grade (including street level, mechanical floors, and
penthouse floors): _____

Below Grade: _____

Total Height of building: _____ feet

Above grade: _____ feet

Below grade: _____ feet

Building No. _____

III
ENERGY CONSUMPTION & METERING

Building Energy use Record for Survey Year(s)

Indicate all fuels used and from whom purchased:

Electricity	_____	Purchased from:	_____
Gas	_____	" "	_____
Steam	_____	" "	_____
Oil	_____	" "	_____
_____	_____	" "	_____

Does Owner or management presently monitor consumption (peak demand and total energy) to help evaluate the consumption savings due to conservation efforts or to make comparisons with certain goals?

___ YES (Describe): _____

___ NO

Does Owner or management know how building consumption compares with that of other buildings of about the same age and similar general physical characteristics?

___ YES

___ NO

If Owner or management makes comparison, how does it compare:

___ Better

___ About equal

___ Worse

Building No. _____

Metering and Billing:

	<u>% of Total Gross Space</u>					
	<u>Electricity</u>		<u>Steam</u>		<u>Gas</u>	
	<u>Office Space</u>	<u>All Other</u>	<u>Office Space</u>	<u>All Other</u>	<u>Office Space</u>	<u>All Other</u>
Direct metering of tenants by Utility ⁽¹⁾	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Submetering by Owner ⁽²⁾	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Rent inclusion ⁽²⁾	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

(1) Tenant buys energy directly from utility.

(2) Owner provides energy which he buys directly from utility.

Services Supplied by Owner to Other Buildings

<u>Method of Charging</u>	<u>Chilled Water</u>	<u>Steam</u>	<u>Electricity</u>
Submetered (check all applicable)	_____	_____	_____
Other charge method (check all applicable) (describe): _____	_____	_____	_____
Estimated annual % of total services generated	_____%	_____%	_____%
No services supplied (check if applicable): _____			

Estimate percentage of electricity used which is generated on premises, if any, other than for emergency standby: _____%

Building No. _____

Consumption by Fuel Type:

Electrical

Consumption Data

Year _____	<u>Month</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>K W Hr.</u>
	January	_____	_____
	February	_____	_____
	March	_____	_____
	April	_____	_____
	May	_____	_____
	June	_____	_____
	July	_____	_____
	August	_____	_____
	September	_____	_____
	October	_____	_____
	November	_____	_____
	December	_____	_____

Demand Data

<u>Month</u>	<u>Date of Reading</u>	<u>K W Hr.</u>
January	_____	_____
February	_____	_____
March	_____	_____
April	_____	_____
May	_____	_____
June	_____	_____
July	_____	_____
August	_____	_____
September	_____	_____
October	_____	_____
November	_____	_____
December	_____	_____



Building No. _____

Oil

Type of oil: _____

<u>Year</u> _____	<u>Month</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>K W Hr.</u>
	January	_____	_____
	February	_____	_____
	March	_____	_____
	April	_____	_____
	May	_____	_____
	June	_____	_____
	July	_____	_____
	August	_____	_____
	September	_____	_____
	October	_____	_____
	November	_____	_____
	December	_____	_____

Steam

<u>Year</u> _____	<u>Month</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Pounds</u>
	January	_____	_____
	February	_____	_____
	March	_____	_____
	April	_____	_____
	May	_____	_____
	June	_____	_____
	July	_____	_____
	August	_____	_____
	September	_____	_____
	October	_____	_____
	November	_____	_____
	December	_____	_____

Building No. _____

IV

HEATING, VENTILATION AND AIR CONDITIONING (HVAC)
IN OFFICE SPACE

Part I - Perimeter

Units located in perimeter space (defined as space 15 feet or less from outside wall). If there are no mechanical, cooling, ventilating or radiation units located in perimeter space, check box: , complete following description and then proceed to Part II - Interior.

If there are no units on outside wall, describe provision made for:

- a) Cooling: _____

- b) Heating: _____

- c) Taking-in fresh air: _____

Perimeter units in building. Estimated percentage of space served by each type unit:

<u>Type of Unit</u>	<u>Heat & Cool</u>	<u>Heat Only</u>
Induction	_____	_____
Fan coil	_____	_____
Incremental: air cooled	_____	_____
water cooled	_____	_____
Dual duct	_____	_____
Radiation	_____	_____
Variable volume	_____	_____
Variable volume with reheat	_____	_____
Window	_____	_____
Through the wall	_____	_____
Other (specify): _____	_____	_____
Total	_____	_____

Note: Sum of combined totals shall equal 100%.

Building No. _____

Perimeter thermal medium at unit (check all applicable):

	<u>Heating</u>	<u>Cooling</u>
Air	_____	_____
Water	_____	_____
Steam	_____	_____
Electricity	_____	_____
Refrigerant	_____	_____
Other (specify): _____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Type and basis of controls (check all applicable):

Manual (by valve or switch control):	At unit	_____
	In office space	_____
Automatic:	In individual room	_____
	In individual zones	_____
	In multiple rooms or zones	_____
Other (describe): _____		_____

Number of hours of operation of perimeter units in typical day during heating season:

	Number of Hours			Total
	<u>Heat*</u>	<u>Heat With Fan Off</u>	<u>None</u>	
Monday to Friday	_____	_____	_____	24
Saturday	_____	_____	_____	24
Sunday or Holiday	_____	_____	_____	24

Number of hours of operation of perimeter units in typical day during cooling season:

	Number of Hours			Total
	<u>Cool</u>	<u>Fan Only</u>	<u>None</u>	
Monday to Friday	_____	_____	_____	24
Saturday	_____	_____	_____	24
Sunday or Holiday	_____	_____	_____	24

*heating provided by direct radiation or with fan system operating.

Building No. _____

Part II - Interior

Distribution of HVAC located in interior space (more than 15 feet from exterior wall). If no interior distribution, check box , complete following description and then proceed to Part III - Other Information.

If there is no distribution of HVAC in interior space, describe provisions made for:

- a) Cooling: _____

- b) Heating: _____

- c) Taking-in fresh air: _____

Floors with interior air conditioning:

	<u>Number of Floors</u>	
	<u>Cooling Only</u>	<u>Cooling & Heating</u>
a) Central supply air distribution	_____	_____
b) Self-contained units:		
one unit per floor	_____	_____
several units per floor	_____	_____
c) Other (describe): _____	_____	_____

Indicate type of air distribution system:

	<u>Estimated % of Interior Area Served</u>
Constant volume	_____
Single zone	_____
Constant volume with zoned reheat	_____
Multizone	_____
Dual duct	_____
Variable volume	_____
Other (Specify): _____	_____
Non-conditioned	_____
Total	<u>100%</u>

Building No. _____

Type and basis of controls (check all applicable):

Manual (by valve or switch control): At unit _____
In office space _____

Automatic: In individual room _____
In individual zones _____
In multiple rooms or zones _____

Other (describe): _____

Building No. _____

Part III - Other Information

Return air distribution (check one only):

Duct _____

Ceiling plenum return _____

If there is no cooling tower, check box , complete description below and then proceed to question regarding cooling systems.

Heat dissipation mechanism where there is no cooling tower or air cooled condenser (describe): _____

Type of cooling tower (check one only):

Single central cooling tower _____

Multiple cooling towers _____

Air cooled condenser _____

The number of condenser water pumps operating during the cooling season are (check one only):

Varied as a function of load _____

Not varied as a function of load _____

None _____

Condenser water control:

Are fans cycled to maintain condenser water temperature? YES NO

Is condenser water temperature reset? _____

Is cooling tower provided with winter protection? _____

Is condenser water bypass provided at cooling tower? _____

Other condenser water temperature control means (describe, if any): _____

Cooling systems:

	<u>% of Office Gross Sq.Ft.</u>	<u>Installed Tonnage</u>
Central system	_____	X X X
Local systems (all)	_____	_____ tons
No cooling system	_____	
Total	100%	

Building No. _____

If no central installation of chilled water, check box , and then proceed to section regarding Exterior Walls.

Central installation of chilled water:

	<u>No. of Units</u>	<u>Installed Total Tonnage</u>
Type of unit:		
electric reciprocation	_____	_____
electric centrifugal	_____	_____
steam turbine	_____	_____
Other (specify): _____	_____	_____
	Total	_____

Water temperature at chiller: _____ °F

Water temperature (check one only):

 Constant _____

 Rescheduled _____

If tenants have their own condenser water pumps, indicate here: _____

Heating systems: (check all applicable)

 Primary heating system:

 steam or hot water boiler _____

 electric boiler _____

 resistance heating _____

 direct gas fired _____

 district heating _____

 Other (specify): _____

Design heating load: _____

BTU/hr.

EXTERIOR WALLS ABOVE GRADE AND ROOF

Area of vertical and horizontal envelope:

Exposed surface area:	No. of S.F.	% Glass
Walls, exposure A	_____	_____
" " B	_____	_____
" " C	_____	_____
" " D	_____	_____
" " E (if any)	_____	_____
" " F (if any)	_____	_____
Walls - Total surface area	_____	
Roof - Total surface area	_____	
Total exposed area	_____	
Non-exposed surface area (non-visible continuous or demising of vertical envelope)	_____	
Total envelope area	_____	

Wall orientation and construction:

Orientation, list one of the following: N,NE,E,S,SE,SW,W,NW

Wall Exposure					
A	B	C	D	E (if any)	F
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Construction (check all applicable):

- double glazing
- single glazing
- metal curtain wall
- masonry
- Other (specify): _____
- ordinary clear glass
- heat absorbing glass
- solar reflecting glass

_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Building No. _____

Shading (check all applicable):

External shading from the sun:

<u>Orientation</u>	<u>No shading</u>	<u>Partial shading</u>	<u>Substantial or complete shading</u>
East	_____	_____	_____
South	_____	_____	_____
West	_____	_____	_____
North	_____	_____	_____

Internal shading:

- _____ None
- _____ Venetian blinds
- _____ Drapes or curtains
- _____ Shades
- _____ Other (describe): _____

Insulation

- Roof: _____ None _____ Present
- Exterior walls: _____ None _____ Present

If building exterior envelope is of more than one general type of construction, e.g., lower several floors are masonry and all upper floors are metal curtain wall, describe in sufficient detail to clarify answers in this section.

(where necessary, continue comments on back of this sheet)

Building No. _____

VI

LIGHTING IN OFFICE SPACE

Wattage by Type:

Area served by:	<u>Avg. Watts/SF</u>		Total
	<u>Office Area</u>	<u>All Other</u>	
Fluorescent	_____	_____	_____
Incandescent	_____	_____	_____

Area by Type:

Area served by:	<u>% of Total Area</u>		Total
	<u>Office Area</u>	<u>All Other</u>	
Fluorescent	_____	_____	_____
Incandescent	_____	_____	_____

Estimate number of lighting systems (an arrangement of similar lamps and fixtures providing illumination to a significant portion of the office space in the entire building). _____

Lighting switches (check all applicable):

	<u>Manual</u>	<u>Automatic*</u>
Local area switches:		
Room by room	_____	_____
Areas up to 1,500 sq. ft.	_____	_____

*clocks, computer, or sensor control

Avg. gross sq. ft. of office space per local area manual switch (estimate): _____
sq. ft.

Central switches controlled (check all applicable):

	<u>Manual</u>	<u>Automatic</u>
Via lighting panel on floor	_____	_____
Via remote switch on floor controlling panel contactor	_____	_____
Via central building console	_____	_____

Comments on lighting system describing significant elements of lighting system mix, switching controls, or other characteristics not apparent from foregoing items: _____

(where necessary, continue comments on back of this sheet)

Building No. _____

Estimate percentage of square footage of office space in which lights are on during typical week for whatever reason and in any amount, excluding safety lighting (estimate to nearest 5%)

Lighting Use: <u>During Hour Beginning</u>	<u>% of Gross Office Space</u>		
	<u>Monday to Friday</u>	<u>Saturday</u>	<u>Sunday and/or Holiday</u>
12:00 midnight	_____	_____	_____
1:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
2:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
3:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
4:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
5:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
6:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
7:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
8:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
9:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
10:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
11:00 a.m.	_____	_____	_____
12:00 noon	_____	_____	_____
1:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____
2:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____
3:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____
4:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____
5:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____
6:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____
7:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____
8:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____
9:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____
10:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____
11:00 p.m.	_____	_____	_____

Make an adequate statistical survey to obtain the percentage of the total time lights are left on unnecessarily, i.e., when no one is present. Conduct one survey for rooms and another one for open office area.

Rooms: Percentage of the time lights are on when no one is present _____%

Open Office Area: Percentage of the time lights are on when no one present _____%

Briefly describe survey procedure: _____

(where necessary, continue comments on back of this sheet)

Building No. _____

VII

TEMPERATURE CONTROL IN OFFICE SPACE

Temperature Control

	<u>% of Total Office Square Footage under Control</u>
Occupant control (perimeter, interior)	_____ %
Building management control (perimeter, interior)	_____
Total	<u>100%</u>

Thermostats controlled by building management are generally set at:

	<u>Degrees Fahrenheit</u>	<u>No. of Hours for which Setting is Maintained</u>
Winter day	_____ ° F	_____ hrs.
Winter night	_____	_____
Summer day	_____	_____
Summer night	_____	_____

Temperature at which refrigeration or heating plant is typically turned on:

	<u>Degrees Fahrenheit</u>	
	<u>Outdoor*</u>	
	<u>Heating Season</u>	<u>Cooling Season</u>
Perimeter	_____ ° F	_____ ° F
Interior	_____	_____

*Outdoor temperature is measured by (check one):

_____ wet bulb
_____ dry bulb

Dates of heating and cooling (air conditioning) use for central system serving perimeter only:

	<u>Typical Month and Day</u>	
	<u>Heating</u>	<u>Cooling</u>
System started for continuous use	_____	_____
System stopped from continuous use	_____	_____

Mild-weather (i.e., Spring/Fall) means of cooling building interior (check all applicable):

_____ outside air
_____ cooling equipment

Building No. _____

Enthalpy control of outside air (check one):

_____ installed

_____ not installed

Air circulated:

_____ Total CFM

_____ Minimum outside air delivered as a percentage
of above

Outdoor air quantity reductions during temperature extremes (check
one):

_____ partial

_____ complete

Winter humidification:

_____ percentage of space served by humidifiers

_____ no humidification

Building No. _____

VIII

ELEVATORS

	<u>(1)</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>(3)</u>
	<u>No. of Elevators</u>	<u>Average No. of Floors Travelled by Elevator</u>	<u>(1) times (2)</u>
Elevator statistics:			
Passenger	_____	_____	_____
Freight	_____	_____	_____
Total	_____	avg. _____	_____

Building No. _____

IX

CONSERVATION IN OFFICE SPACE

"Economizer" cycle (defined as automatic utilization of outside air for cooling) (check one):

___ none

___ not in operation

___ in operation when temperature reaches _____ °F

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Is enthalphy control of outdoor air in use?	___	___
Is condenser water used for heating?	___	___
Is cooling tower water used for heat removal (cooling) in lieu of refrigeration?	___	___
Are chillers equipped with thermocycle sequence (compressor inoperative) i.e., free cooling?	___	___
Are there demand limiters and load shedding devices in use?	___	___
Is steam condensate returned to boiler?	___	___
If "NO", is heat of condensate used before being wasted?	___	___

Indicate other conservation measures considered significant.

(where necessary, continue comments of back of this sheet)