

Radiation Induction of Cancer of the Skin

CONF-851065--1

DE86 002201

R. J. M. Fry, J. B. Storer, and F. J. Burns

Biology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831 and
New York University Medical Center, Dept. of Environmental Medicine, New
York, NY

ABSTRACT

The induction of epidermal tumors was studied using exposures to 25 kV X-rays with or without subsequent exposures to 12-O-tetradecanoyl phorbol-13 acetate (TPA) or ultraviolet radiation (UVR) 280-400 nm. Fractionation regimens and total exposure upto 4000R produced no squamous cell carcinomas. When these regimens were followed by TPA an incidence of about 80% was obtained, and an incidence of 60% when UVR exposures followed the X-irradiation. A dose-dependent increase in fibrosarcomas was found when X-irradiation was followed by 24 weeks of topical treatment with TPA. These results support the contention that UVR can enhance the expression of cells initiated by X-rays. The experimental evidence is compared with the data from the tinea capitis patients treated with X-rays. In C3HF/He male mice exposed to 50, 100, 150 and 200 rads ^{137}Cs gamma rays the induction rate for fibrosarcomas was 2.9×10^{-4} per cGy/per mouse. This result compares with 2.5×10^{-6} transformations per surviving cell per cGy with 10T1/2 cells that are fibroblasts derived from C3H mice.

INTRODUCTION

The skin is exposed to various agents especially ultraviolet radiation (UVR) yet the importance of interactions or co-carcinogenesis is only

MASTER

becoming appreciated and future risk estimates must take interactions into account. For example, psoriasis patients treated with X-rays are at greater risk if they are subsequently treated with PUVA (Stern et al., 1979).

Despite a considerable body of work on the induction of skin tumors by ionizing radiation, particularly by Burns and Albert and their colleagues, (see Albert, 1976 and Burns and Albert, 1985 for references) and Hulse and colleagues (Hulse, 1962, 1967, 1969, 1980, 1983 and Papworth and Hulse, 1983), there has been little work on interactions of X-rays with other agents.

This paper describes preliminary results from experiments designed to investigate interactions and whether the relative refractoriness of the skin to the induction of cancer by ionizing radiation is related to the initial events or their expression. In addition, data obtained for the induction of fibrosarcomas in mice exposed to gamma rays is presented.

METHODS

Only a brief, but hopefully sufficient description of the methods is given here. The complete description, to be published elsewhere, will include the assays necessary to determine whether the fractionation regimens alter transmission, especially of UVR through the skin. The data for fibrosarcomas is derived from a larger experiment that will be reported elsewhere.

Experiment I: Interactions

Ionizing radiation

Mice were exposed on a turntable with a wide mesh wire cover to 250R twice a week; to give a total of 2, 4, 8 or 16 exposures at 77R/minute. The source of the 25 kV X-rays was a Norelco unit with a beryllium window tube.

The HVL was 0.08 mm Al. Dose rates were measured with a MDH X-ray monitor using a thin walled ion chamber.

After the end of the irradiation regimens mice received either no further treatment or one of the following: 1) 5 μ g 12-O-tetradecanoyl phorbol-13-acetate (TPA) either 2 or 3 times per week for 24 weeks. 2) Ultraviolet radiation.

Ultraviolet radiation

Mice were exposed to UVR from a Westinghouse FS40 sunlamp, that emits wavelengths between 280-400 nm at a fluence rate of 2.0 watts/sq m, 3 times a week for 24 weeks. The dose per fraction, 250 J/m², was less than 20% of the minimal erythema dose.

Experiment II: Fibrosarcomas

Gamma Radiation

Exposures to ¹³⁷Cs source at 31 rad/min were made with mice caged in individual plastic tubes rotated in the beam. Radiation measurements were made with high-energy Victoreen ionization chambers calibrated at the National Bureau of Standards.

Experiment I. Interactions

Animals and Tissue Examination

Female 10-week-old SKH:hr-1 mice were maintained 8-10 mice per cage and housed in a room with gold lights on 12 hr dark - 12 hr light cycle.

Mice were examined regularly and the date of appearance, site and number of skin lesions were recorded. The progress or regression was noted at two-week intervals. The data analysis was based on the number of mice bearing one or more tumors of a specific type. The type of epithelial tumor was determined histologically but for analysis the data for papillomas and

pre-malignant tumors were pooled and classified as benign. All the malignant epidermal tumors were squamous cell carcinomas. Malignancy was determined by the invasiveness of the tumors and metastases. All enlarged regional nodes were taken for histology. The lungs were examined.

Experiment II: Fibrosarcoma Induction

Male C3HF/He mice were housed 5 per cage housed in a barrier facility. Moribund animals were killed and all tumors and a spectrum of tissues were taken for histological examination. Dermal fibromas and fibrosarcomas were considered a single class of tumors.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Induction of Skin Tumors by Soft X-rays

The incidences of the different tumor types induced by X-rays and X-irradiation and TPA are shown in Table I. No epidermal carcinomas or sarcomas occurred in the groups of mice that received only X-irradiation. In the X-irradiated groups a total exposure of 4000R was required to induce a mere 6% of benign tumors. However, when the irradiation regimens were followed with protracted treatment with TPA not only the incidence of benign epithelial tumors was increased but also squamous cell carcinomas to 80%. Metastases in regional lymph nodes were found in 12% of the tumor-bearing mice. It is of interest that the TPA treatment influenced sarcomagenesis. In the case of the X-ray plus UVR a marked enhancement of the X-ray-initiated tumorigenesis was also found (Fig. 1).

The dose-response curve for the induction of squamous cell carcinoma by X-rays plus TPA appear sigmoid with a rapid rise in incidence when dose levels that induce tumors is reached. This characteristic of the dose-response curves has been noted previously in mice (Papworth and Hulse,

1983) and rats (Albert et al., 1967; Burns et al., 1975).

Albert (1976) concluded that in general the data for induction of skin cancers suggested a dose-squared relationship.

Papworth and Hulse (1983) examined the model that might describe the data for the induction of skin cancer by radiation. Without assuming a threshold there was difficulty in fitting any of the models to their data. They suggested that the dose-response data were consistent with a non-threshold induction process if some factor(s) restrained the appearance of tumors; in other words, a non-threshold curve shifted to the right.

Consider the following; ionizing radiation, UVR and PUVA interact with DNA to induce the changes that can lead to a malignant phenotype of affected cells. However, cancer does not appear, or does so only after a considerable time. Both the length of the latent period and the magnitude of the doses required for skin cancer induction suggest two possibilities. First, further changes in the target cells are required for the full development and expression of the initial changes. Such changes might be caused by exposure to other exogenous agents, or by instability of the genome induced by the non specific damage from the initial exposures. Second, multiple factors such as cell-cell interactions and immune responses restrain or suppress any progression of the carcinogenic process. Subsequent exposures to one of a variety of agents, or the changes with age, affect the restraining factors and the cancer becomes overt.

When so-called promoters are used the treatment has to be protracted to be effective. That is also the case with UVR and PUVA. This fact must tell us something about the mechanism. If the mechanism involves the induction of further changes in the target cell the events must have a very low

probability. On the other hand if the mechanism involves interference with factors that are restraining the expression of the initial events then, intuitively, the requirement for protracted treatment would be expected.

Our finding that treatment with TPA, and UVR after X-irradiation markedly increased the incidence of carcinomas is consistent with the idea that the determining factor of the dose-response relationships in skin cancer is not the initial events but those that influence expression of the initial events. It has been shown previously (Fry et al., 1982) that the shape of the dose-response curves for induction of skin cancer by PUVA could be changed markedly by treatment with TPA, which was assumed to affect expression but not initiation.

All the experimental evidence suggests that skin has a remarkable capacity for restraining or suppressing the process of tumorigenesis without necessarily repairing the initiation events or removing the initiated cells.

The current findings with UVR are consistent with the interpretation that the incidence of skin cancer in the tinea capitis patients is influenced by the amount of exposure to UVR (Shore et al., 1984). Although the study is not complete the average follow-up is now 26 years.

Two pieces of evidence from the study of the tinea capitis patients treated with 120 kV X-rays indicate that sunlight enhanced the expression of X-ray initiated cells. First, forty of the former patients have had one or more basal cell carcinomas. Whereas, none of the 500 black patients have yet presented with a skin cancer. These findings, while based on a small population sample, are consistent with the suggestion that cancers did not appear in X-irradiated skin of the black patients because the melanin acted as a screen against the sunlight (Walther et al., 1981). Secondly, the yield

of cancers in the areas of skin in the white patients exposed to sunlight is over eight times greater in skin protected by hair.

The experimental results reported here appear to support the possibility that a synergistic interaction between ionizing and ultraviolet radiation is important mechanistically and in the estimate of risk of radiation-induced skin cancer (Bechtel et al., 1980).

In the C3H mice exposed to gamma radiation the tumor data indicate a shallow dose response over the 0-200 rad dose range. Assuming a linear dose response the tumor rate is 2.9×10^{-4} /rad which can be compared with the in vitro transformation frequency per surviving cells of 2.5×10^{-6} reported by Hill et al., 1984. The results obtained by Hill et al. were with 10T1/2 cells derived from a C3H mouse embryo (Reznikoff et al., 1973). The sarcoma induction rate in vivo would be congruent with the transformation rate in vitro if only 10^2 cells were at risk in the mice. Intuitively we believe the number of cells at risk must be manyfold greater than 100. The suspected marked difference between the in vivo and in vitro results are not surprising since the 10T1/2 cells are a cell line. Perhaps of equal or greater importance is the fact that cell environment and cell-cell interactions are quite different for cells in culture from those in the organized dermal connective tissue. The explanation of the differences in the rates of malignant transformation presents a challenge and not a trivial one because an understanding of the differences could make the in vitro data even more valuable.

Table I

Skin Tumors

After Exposure to X-rays and TPA

Treatment		Incidence %		
X-ray (R) (25 kV)	TPA 5 g	Benign Epithelial	Squamous Cell Carcinoma	Fibrosarcoma
-	2/wk 24 wks	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 x 250	2/wk 24 wks	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 x 250	2/wk 24 wks	2.2 \pm 2.0	2.4 \pm 2.0	0.0
8 x 250	2/wk 24 wks	67.0 \pm 13	36.0 \pm 9.0	13.0 \pm 7.0
16 x 250	2/wk 24 wks	79.0 \pm 7.0	82.0 \pm 7.0	18.0 \pm 6.0
16 x 250	----	6.0 \pm 4.0	0.0	0.0

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research sponsored jointly by the Office of Health and Environmental Research, U. S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 with the Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. and National Cancer Institute Contracts Y-1-CM-20112 and Y-1-CM-20113.

The authors have pleasure in acknowledging the assistance of L. Triplett, S. Ogle and M. Jernigan.

REFERENCES

- ALBERT, R. E., BURNS, F. J., and HEIMBACH, R. D., 1967. Skin damage and tumor formation from grid and sieve patterns of electron and beta radiation in the rat. Radiation Research, 30, 525-540.
- ALBERT, R. E., 1976. Skin carcinogenesis. In Cancer of the Skin, Biology-Diagnosis-Management, Ed. by R. Andrade, S. Gumport, G. Popkin and T. Rees (Saunders, Philadelphia), Vol. 1, pp 111-136.
- BECHTEL, M. A., CALLEN, J. P., and OWEN, L. G., 1980. Etiologic agents in the development of skin cancer. Clinical and Plastic Surgery, 7, 265-275.
- BURNS, F. J., ALBERT, R. E., SINCLAIR, I. P., and VANDERLAAN, M., 1975. The effect of a 24-hour fractionation interval on the induction of rat skin tumors by electron radiation. Radiation Research, 62, 478-487.
- BURNS, F. J., and ALBERT, R. E., 1985. Radiation carcinogenesis in rat and mouse skin. In Radiation Carcinogenesis, Edited by A. C. Upton, R. E. Albert, F. J. Burns and R. Shore (Elsevier Publishing, New York), in press.
- FRY, R. J. M., LEY, R. D., GRUBE, D., and STAFFELDT, E., 1982. Studies on the multistage nature of radiation carcinogenesis. In Carcinogenesis,

Ed. by E. Hecker (Raven Press, New York), Vol. 7, pp 155-165.

- HILL, C. K., HAN, A., BUONAGURO, F., and ELKIND, M. M., 1984. Multi-fractionation of ^{60}Co gamma-rays reduces neoplastic transformation in vitro. Carcinogenesis, 5, 193-197.
- HULSE, E. V., 1962. Tumours of the skin and other delayed effects of external beta irradiation of mice using ^{90}Sr and ^{32}P . British Journal Cancer, 16, 72-88.
- HULSE, E. V., 1967. Incidence and pathogenesis of skin tumours in mice irradiated with single external doses of low energy beta particles. British Journal Cancer, 21, 531.
- HULSE, E. V., and MOLE, R. H., 1969. Skin tumor incidence in CBA mice given fractionated exposures to low energy beta particles. British Journal of Cancer, 23, 452.
- HULSE, E. V., 1980. Tumour incidence and longevity in neutron and gamma irradiated rabbits, with an assessment of RBE. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 37, 633-652.
- HULSE, E. V., LENKOWICZ, S. J., BATCHELOR, A. L., and PAPWORTH, D. G., 1983. Incidence of radiation-induced skin tumours in mice and variations with dose rate. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 44, 197.
- PAPWORTH, D. G., and HULSE, E. V., 1983. Dose-response models for the radiation-induction of skin tumors in mice. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 44, 423.
- REZNIKOFF, C. A., BRANKOW, D. W., and HEIDELBERGER, C., 1973. Establishment and characterization of a cloned line of C3H mouse embryo cells sensitive to postconfluence inhibition of division. Cancer Research, 33, 3231-3238.
- SHORE, R. E., ALBERT, R. E., REED, M., HARLEY, N., and PASTERNAK, B. S.,

1984. Skin cancer incidence among children irradiated from ringworm of the scalp. Radiation Research, 100, 192-204.

STERN, R. S., THIBODEAU, L. A., KLEINERMAN, R. A., PARRISH, J. A., and FITZPATRICK, T. B., 1979. Risk of cutaneous carcinoma in patients treated with oral methoxsalen photochemotherapy for psoriasis. New England Journal of Medicine, 300, 809-813.

WALTHER, R. R., GROSSMAN, M. E., and TROY, J. L., 1981. Basal Cell Carcinomas on the scalp of a black patient many years after epilation by X-rays. Journal of Dermatology and Surgical Oncology, 7, 570-571.

FIGURE LEGEND

Fig. 1. Incidence of squamous cell carcinoma after exposure to 4, 8 and 16 fractions of 250R, 25 kV X-rays: o—o and to 2, 4, 8 and 16 fractions of X-rays followed by 72 exposures (3/wk, 24 wks) of 250 J/m² UVR (280-400 nm) o—o.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

