



ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY


A Code for Calculating Intrabeam Scattering and Beam Lifetime

C.H. Kim
Accelerator and Fusion
Research Division

RECEIVED
JUN 23 1997
OSTI

May 1997
Presented at the
*Particle Accelerator
Conference*,
Vancouver, B.C., Canada
May 12-16, 1997,
and to be published in
the Proceedings

MASTER



DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.

Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
is an equal opportunity employer.

A Code for Calculating Intrabeam Scattering and Beam Lifetime

C.H. Kim

Advanced Light Source
Accelerator and Fusion Research Division
Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

May 1997

MASTER

HH

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

A CODE FOR CALCULATING INTRABEAM SCATTERING AND BEAM LIFETIME*

C. H. Kim

Accelerator and Fusion Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

Beam emittances in a circular accelerator with a high beam intensity are strongly affected by the small angle intrabeam Coulomb scattering. In the computer simulation model we present here we used three coupled nonlinear differential equations to describe the evolution of the emittances in the transverse and the longitudinal planes. These equations include terms which take into account the intra-beam scattering, adiabatic damping, microwave instabilities, synchrotron damping, and quantum excitations. A code is generated to solve the equations numerically and incorporated into a FORTRAN code library. Circular high intensity physics routines are included in the library such as intrabeam scattering, Touschek scattering, and the bunch lengthening effect of higher harmonic cavities. The code runs presently in the PC environment. Description of the code and some examples are presented.

I. INTRODUCTION

For many years ZAP code^[1] has been widely used for calculating equilibrium beam properties in high intensity circular accelerators. The code is conveniently menu-driven by an extensive inventory of menus such that the user need not have any programming skills.

In dynamic situations such as in synchrotrons, calculation of the evolution of beam parameters in a self-consistent manner in time is important. The vertical beam size is calculated self-consistently by the balancing of the damping rate with coupling and intrabeam scattering (IBS), such that the emittance ratio may be higher than the coupling ratio when intrabeam scattering is strong. The equilibrium beam parameters can be calculated naturally by letting the time run for a few damping times.

Modularization is recommended in modern programming. It is a way of unleashing the power of the routines and procedures by making them available (reusable) to other programs written by other users (client). The routines should be made as simple as possible (no fat).

Some parts of the ZAP subroutines such as the routines for calculating IBS time constants and for Touschek lifetime are used in the present FORTRAN library. New routines such as for calculating the bunch lengthening effect of third harmonic cavities are also added to the library.

Many modern accelerators demand higher beam intensities and low emittances. We found the code useful for evaluating high intensity low emittance circular accelerators such as the one contemplated for a next generation light source^[2]. Our calculation showed that addition of a third harmonic cavity significantly reduces beam emittance blow up due to intrabeam scattering and at the same time increases beam lifetime.

A basic description of the code is given in section II. Some calculations are compared with measurements in section III. The modified version is particularly fast and convenient for varying certain parameters and studying how other parameters change. One such example is given in section IV.

II. THE TIME DEPENDENCE

In the present model we used the following three equations to calculate the evolution of beam parameters in time:

$$\frac{d\epsilon_x}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\tau_x^{SR}} \left(\epsilon_x - \frac{1}{1+\kappa} \epsilon_x^{nat} \right) - \frac{1}{\beta\gamma} \frac{d(\beta\gamma)}{dt} \epsilon_x + \frac{1}{\tau_x^{IBS}} \epsilon_x \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\epsilon_y}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\tau_y^{SR}} \left(\epsilon_y - \frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa} \epsilon_x \right) - \frac{1}{\beta\gamma} \frac{d(\beta\gamma)}{dt} \epsilon_y + \frac{1}{\tau_y^{IBS}} \epsilon_y \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d\epsilon_L}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\tau_L^{SR}} \left(\epsilon_L - \epsilon_L^* \right) - \frac{1}{\beta\gamma} \frac{d(\beta\gamma)}{dt} \epsilon_L + \frac{1}{\tau_L^{IBS}} \epsilon_L \quad (3)$$

where ϵ_x , ϵ_y , and ϵ_L are the horizontal, vertical, and longitudinal emittances, τ^{SR} are the radiation damping times, ϵ^{nat} are the natural emittances, κ is the coupling coefficient, and τ^{IBS} are the intra-beam scattering (IBS) time constants^[3].

For low intensity storage rings the beam emittances are determined by the synchrotron damping and the quantum

* This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences Divisions, of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098

excitations^[4], which are included in the first two terms in the parenthesis on the RHS of equations (1), (2), and (3). In this case the emittances reach the equilibrium (natural) state in a few damping times, where the final emittance ratio between vertical and horizontal emittances is κ . The third term represents adiabatic damping or growth when the beam energy changes. In dynamic situations the vertical emittance is "driven" by the actual (not the natural) value of the horizontal emittance as shown in the second term in the parenthesis of equation (2). For low intensity, ϵ_L^* in equation (3) is interpreted as $\epsilon_L^* = (\sigma_p^{\text{nat}} \sigma_L^{\text{nat}}) = \epsilon_L^{\text{nat}}$, where σ_p^{nat} is the natural energy spread and σ_L^{nat} is the natural bunch length.

For high intensity circular accelerators, the intrabeam scattering (IBS) represented by the fourth (the last) terms is important. In the final equilibrium state, damping is balanced by quantum excitations and growths due to IBS.

If the intensity is above the threshold for the microwave instability (MWI) the energy spread and the bunch length increase above the natural values. In the present model this increase is treated in the same way as the quantum excitations and the value of ϵ_L^* in equation (3) is interpreted as $\epsilon_L^* = (\sigma_p^{\text{mwi}} \sigma_L^{\text{mwi}}) = \epsilon_L^{\text{mwi}}$.

Equations (1), (2), and (3) are coupled nonlinear equations, with τ^{IBS} depending on the beam emittances. A program is written to solve them numerically and is included in the Circular-High Intensity Physics Code library.

Most of the ZAP subroutines are rewritten into smaller modules and incorporated into the code library.

III. TESTS

The code was tested by comparing the calculated beam parameters with the measured beam parameters in the following two experiments.

In the first experiment, electrons are cooled in the ALS booster synchrotron^[5] in which the 50 MeV electrons were injected into the booster, accelerated to 650 MeV and cooled for about 330 msec, decelerated to about 200 MeV, and extracted. The calculated emittances agreed well with the measurements within the experimental errors.

In the second experiment, the ALS storage ring beam sizes were measured at the diagnostics beam line 3.1^[6]. Beam size should grow with current as a result of IBS and more rapidly at lower energies where IBS is stronger. The beam size at the source point was measured indirectly by measuring the spot size of synchrotron radiation on a scintillator screen. Measurements were done at two beam energies, 1100 MeV and 1522 MeV. The results are summarized in Figures 1 and 2.

Figures 1 and 2 shows that beam sizes are larger at higher currents compared to the zero current beam sizes. Beam size grows more rapidly for 1100 MeV beam.

Measurement errors may come from several sources: the optical saturation in the target, profile fitting against background light, calculation of the magnification, source point error due to closed-orbit distortions, etc., and estimated to be about $\pm 15\%$. Calculated beam sizes agree with the measured values within the experimental error.

The source point and the coupling were used as fitting parameters for calculating the beam sizes. The source point is the point where the beam line meets tangent to the electron orbit in the bending magnet. We achieved the best fitting if we assume that the source point is at 5.2 meters from the center of the straight section where $\beta_x=0.39$ m, $\beta_y=20.6$ m, and $\eta_x=0.030$ m. The source point could move as much as 5 cm if the orbit moved by 10 milliradians, which could give us better fittings for the two energies. However, we can not expect to have such a large orbit distortions in ALS^[7].




Figure 1. Measured and calculated beam sizes in the ALS for beam energy of 1100 MeV. Coupling was assumed to be 3 %.




Figure 2. Measured and calculated beam sizes in the ALS for beam energy of 1522 MeV. Coupling was assumed to be 1.5 %.

The coupling were assumed to be 3 % for 1100 MeV and 1.5% for 1522 MeV in the calculation. Coupling in ALS is thought to be caused by magnet misalignments and orbit distortions. The orbit at 1100 MeV had a larger closed-orbit distortion which can explain why the coupling is larger at this energy.

IV. AN EXAMPLE

In order to illustrate the capability of the code we present the following example where the variation of beam parameters were studied as functions of the coupling coefficient. Parametric dependency studies can be done conveniently in "DO-LOOPS". Subroutines, such as the IBS routine, can be called in a do-loop repeatedly while other parameters can be varied systematically.

In the present example, the coupling coefficient was varied in a do-loop while in each do-loop the time is let run for a few damping times to calculate the equilibrium emittances, bunch length, and the lifetime for each value of the coupling. This calculation also serves a practical purpose for improving the ALS beam brightness by reducing the coupling. The calculation results are summarized in Figures 3 and 4.

The calculation was done for the normal ALS operating condition with beam energy 1522 MeV, current 400 mA, and the number of bunches 288. The beam current is just below the measured microwave instability threshold of 2 mA per bunch^[8]. The half bucket height was assumed to be 2.67 % and $v_s = 0.0076$.




Figure 3. Horizontal and vertical emittances as functions of the coupling coefficient.

Some physics can also be learned. As the coupling coefficient becomes very small ($\kappa < 0.1$ %), the vertical emittance decreases until the IBS rate becomes large enough to balance the radiation damping rate. The increased intrabeam scattering rate will, in turn, cause the horizontal emittance and the bunch length to grow dramatically and reduce the beam lifetime as shown in Figures 3 and 4.

If there was no coupling (only a theoretical possibility), beam parameters equilibrated at the following values: $\epsilon_x = 1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ m - Rad, $\epsilon_y = 4.2 \times 10^{-13}$ m - Rad, $\sigma_L = 6.0$ mm, and $\sigma_p = 0.0009$, vertical tune shift = 0.011, and a beam lifetime of 40 minutes.




Figure 4. Bunch length and beam lifetime as functions of the coupling coefficient.

V. SUMMARY

A FORTRAN code library for circular high intensity physics is created which is used for calculating evolution of beam parameters in time in a self-consistent manner in the presence of strong intrabeam scattering. We have compared the calculated values using the library with experimentally measured values with good results.

The code runs in the PC environment using FORTRAN90 in the Microsoft Development Studio®.

REFERENCES

1. M. S. Zisman, S. Chattopadhyay, and J. J. Bisognano, "ZAP USER'S MANUAL", Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Report, LBL-21270 (1986)
2. J. Byrd, W. Decking, M. Howells, A. Jackson, R. Keller, C. Kim, D. Massoletti, H. Nishimura, D. Robin, and H. Zyngier, "ALS-N, A Candidate for a Next-Generation Light Source", in these proceedings
3. J. Bjorken and S. Mtingwa, "Intrabeam Scattering", Particle Accelerators, Vol. 13 pp.115-143(1983)
4. See, for instance, D. A. Edwards and M. J. Syphers, "An Introduction to the Physics of High Energy Accelerators", John Wiley & Sons (1993)
5. A. Zholents, M. Fahmie, C. Timossi, J. DeVries, C. Kim, D. Massoletti, "Modification of the ALS Booster Synchrotron for an Experiment on Optical Stochastic Cooling", in these proceedings
6. R. Keller, T. Renner, D. J. Massoletti, "Electron beam diagnostics using synchrotron radiation at the ALS", Proc. of the 7th Beam Instr. Workshop (1996).
7. Hiroshi Nishimura, Private Communications
8. John Byrd, "Single Bunch Collective Effects in ALS", Proc. of the Microbunches Workshop, BNL, 236 (1995)