

**Par Pond Vegetation Status Summer 1995 - October Survey  
Descriptive Summary**

by

**H. E. Mackey**

Westinghouse Savannah River Company

Savannah River Site

Aiken, South Carolina 29808

**R. S. Riley**

**DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED**

**MASTER**

**DOE Contract No. DE-AC09-89SR18035**

This paper was prepared in connection with work done under the above contract number with the U. S. Department of Energy. By acceptance of this paper, the publisher and/or recipient acknowledges the U. S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering this paper, along with the right to reproduce and to authorize others to reproduce all or part of the copyrighted paper.

## Par Pond Vegetation Status Summer 1995— October Survey Descriptive Summary

Halkard E. Mackey, Jr. and R. S. Riley  
Westinghouse Savannah River Company  
Savannah River Technology Center  
Environmental Sciences Section  
Aiken, SC 29808

November 1995

**UNCLASSIFIED**

DOES NOT CONTAIN  
UNCLASSIFIED CONTROLLED  
NUCLEAR INFORMATION

ADC &  
Reviewing  
Official: D. Moore - S. Shadrow  
(Name and Title)  
Date: LZ 11 27 97

---

## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available from (615) 576-8401.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

## Table of Contents

Abstract .....	1
Introduction .....	1
Methods .....	3
Results .....	3
Discussion and Conclusions .....	4
References .....	10
Appendix A .....	11

## List of Figures

Figure 1.	Map of Par Pond marked with locations of transects .....	2
Figure 2.	Rate of Par Pond refill during winter and early spring 1995 .....	4
Figure 3.	Water level changes following refill of Par Pond in 1995 .....	5
Figure 4.	Woody species percent occurrence at the inner zones of Par Pond transects. June, July, September, and October 1995 .....	6
Figure 5.	Woody species percent occurrence at the outer zones of Par Pond transects. June, July, September, and October 1995 .....	6
Figure 6.	Herbaceous species percent occurrence at the inner zones of Par Pond transects. June, July, September, and October 1995 .....	7
Figure 7.	Herbaceous species percent occurrence at the outer zones of Par Pond transects. June, July, September, and October 1995 .....	7
Figure 8.	Herbaceous species percent cover at the inner zones of Par Pond transects. June, July, September, and October 1995 .....	8
Figure 9.	Herbaceous species percent cover at the outer zones of Par Pond transects. June, July, September, and October 1995 .....	9

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible  
in electronic image products. Images are  
produced from the best available original  
document.**

## Par Pond Vegetation Status Summer 1995— October Survey Descriptive Summary

Halkard E. Mackey, Jr. and R. S. Riley  
Westinghouse Savannah River Company  
Savannah River Technology Center  
Environmental Sciences Section  
Aiken, SC 29808

### Abstract

A survey of the emergent shoreline aquatic plant communities began in June 1995, three months after the refilling of Par Pond to approximately 200 feet (61 meters) above mean sea level, was repeated in July and September, and continued with this late October survey. Aquatic plant communities similar to the predrawdown Par Pond communities are becoming reestablished; particularly, beds of maidencane (*Panicum hemitomon*), lotus (*Nelumbo lutea*), water lily (*Nymphaea odorata*), and watershield (*Brasenia schreberi*) are now extensive and well established. Cattail (*Typha* sp.) occurrence continues to increase, but large beds common to Par Pond prior to the drawdown have not formed. Future surveys are planned for the 1996 and 1997 growing seasons, along with the evaluation of satellite data for mapping the areal extent of the macrophyte beds of Par Pond.

### Introduction

Par Pond, a 2500-acre (1012-hectare) cooling water reservoir on the Savannah River Site (SRS), was created in 1958 by constructing an earthen dam across the upper reaches of the Lower Three Runs drainage system (Figure 1) (Wilde and Tilly 1985). Par Pond served as a recirculating, cooling water reservoir for R Reactor until 1963 and for P Reactor from 1961 until 1988. P Reactor operated approximately 70% of the time prior to 1988. During the summer, the temperature of the water entering Par Pond from Pond C ranged from 72 to 108° F (22 to 42° C) (Jones et al. 1979). Maximum shoreline water temperatures in the vicinity of the hot dam ranged from 90 to 95° F (32 to 35° C) (Liu et al. 1978). The thermal effluent cooled rapidly as it dispersed primarily through the southern half of the reservoir (Ezra and Tinney 1985). The north and west arms of Par Pond had temperatures at or only slightly above typical lake temperatures for the region (Liu et al. 1978).

The water level of Par Pond remained relatively stable, fluctuating typically less than 0.5 foot (0.15

meter) during most years. Natural invasion of macrophytes in the lake and along the shoreline occurred over the 33-year history of the lake, until mid-1991, when Par Pond was lowered from 200 feet (61 meters) above mean sea level (MSL) to 181 feet (55 meters) above MSL during a 2-month period. Lowering the water level was deemed necessary to protect downstream residents from possible dam failure suggested by subsidence on the downstream slope of the dam.

Prior to lowering the water level in 1991, Par Pond was bordered by extensive beds of persistent and nonpersistent aquatic macrophytes. These beds often exceeded 66-131 feet (20-40 meters) in width and in several areas exceeded 328 feet (100 meters). Estimates of cattails (*Typha* spp.) or persistent emergent macrophytes along the shoreline of Par Pond, using SPOT satellite data, were 474 acres (192 hectares) during the 1988 growing season, 442 acres (179 hectares) during 1989, and 432 acres (175 hectares) during 1990. Estimates of water lilies (*Nymphaea odorata*) or other nonpersistent macrophytes were 371 acres (150 hectares) in 1988, 311 acres (126 hectares) in 1989, and 368




Figure 1. Map of Par Pond marked with locations of transects.

acres (149 hectares) in 1990 (Jensen et al. 1993; Narumalani 1993). Studies by Ezra and Tinney (1985) of airborne multispectral scanner data estimated that there were approximately 657 acres (266 hectares) of emergent macrophytes along the Par Pond shoreline in the fall of 1985.

In 1987, 62 transects were established along the shoreline of Par Pond (Jensen et al. 1991, 1992a, 1992b, 1993; Jensen and Mackey 1991;

Narumalani 1993). The dominant aquatic macrophyte patterns were recorded each spring (April or May) and fall (September or October) from 1988 through June 1991, just prior to the lowering of the Par Pond water level. In these previous studies, the major species present in both the persistent (emergent, i.e., cattails) and nonpersistent (floating-leafed, i.e., water lily, lotus [*Nelumbo lutea*]) macrophyte categories were recorded, along with the width of each bed along the transects and

estimates of percent cover by species at each transect. Spring and fall SPOT satellite data also were collected and analyzed for the 1988-1991 time period to provide estimates of area of coverage by major aquatic macrophyte category for the lake. These methods and data are summarized in the above-mentioned references.

Beginning in June 1991, Par Pond was lowered from 200 feet (61 meters) above MSL to 181 feet (55 meters) above MSL. This lowering was sufficient to expose both the emergent and nonemergent macrophyte beds of the Par Pond shoreline to drying conditions; therefore, extensive macrophyte losses occurred. Initial surveys in August 1992 by F. W. Whicker (Personal communication, Savannah River Ecology Laboratory) indicated some reinvasion on the newly exposed shoreline. Plant succession was occurring on about 65% of the exposed lake bed with approximately 35% still barren. Grasses, sedges, and rushes were the dominant forms with a mixture of old-field species, including dog-fennel (*Eupatorium* sp.) and loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), which became more evident after spring 1993. In isolated pockets within coves of Par Pond, sufficient groundwater seepage or inflow from small streams allowed remnants of the Par Pond macrophyte communities to survive (i.e., beds of water lilies in the cove south of the Par Pond pump house [Figure 1]) as evident in late April 1995 vertical aerial photography. In mid-October 1994, after dam repairs were complete, the U.S. Department of Energy initiated the refill of Par Pond and by mid-March 1995, Par Pond approached its former full pool level of approximately 200 feet (61 meters) above MSL (Figure 2). The Par Pond water level has remained relatively constant since refill, fluctuating only about 0.6 foot (0.18 meter) (Figure 3).

## Methods

Of the 62 transects along the Par Pond shoreline in June 1991, 48 were relocated in March 1995. Descriptive notes on the vegetation patterns at each

transect were taken in June 1995 to indicate the condition of any standing vegetation following refill and initial regrowth of macrophyte communities (Mackey and Riley 1995a, 1995b, 1995c). Photographs of each transect were taken in June 1995. The transects were revisited and photographed in July 1995. They were surveyed and photographed again in September 1995. The species present were recorded along with a nominal estimate of percentage cover for any species appearing to occupy more than a "trace" (less than 0.1%) of the water surface (Phillips 1959, Mueller-Dombois and Ellenberg 1974). The final 1995 survey was conducted in October 1995, and photographs were taken in October 1995. Two zones (an inner and outer zone) were characterized at each transect on each of the surveys. Both zones started at the location pole of the transect marker that was used in the 1988-1991 surveys to mark the boundary between the persistent emergent aquatic beds (i.e., primarily cattails, spike-rush, [*Eleocharis* sp.]) and the nonpersistent floating-leaved macrophytes beds (i.e., water lilies and lotus). The inner zone extended from the transect toward the shore and the outer zone extended from the transect to deeper water. Water depth at the transect markers averaged approximately 3.3 feet (1 meter) in previous surveys (Jensen et al. 1991, 1992a, 1992b, 1993; Jensen and Mackey 1991; Narumalani 1993).

## Results

Since the refilling of Par Pond, most of the old-field species that had invaded much of the exposed shoreline are now absent. For example, all of the loblolly pines that were growing in both the outer and inner transect zones during drawdown have died, except for those in very shallow areas along the shoreline. The most common woody species along the Par Pond shoreline before refill were newly invaded willow (*Salix* spp.) and red maple (*Acer rubrum*), but even these more flood-tolerant species are showing indications of stress and/or mortality from the continued flooding over the past seven




Figure 2. Rate of Par Pond refill during winter and early spring of 1995.

months (Figures 4 and 5; see Appendix A for common and scientific names).

During the October survey, maidencane (*Panicum hemitomon*) continued to be the most common macrophyte species along the shoreline, but lotus, water lily, and watershield (*Brasenia schreberi*) continued to increase between the mid-September and October surveys. The increase in occurrence of these three species of macrophytes may represent widespread seed dispersion and availability from previous years. Figures 6 and 7 summarize estimates of herbaceous species percent occurrence (number of transects in which a species occurred divided by the number of transects) at the inner and outer zones of Par Pond transects. Figures 8 and 9 summarize percent cover at the inner and outer zones of Par Pond transects for the most common herbaceous species observed at the transects during the June, July, September, and October 1995 surveys.

## Discussion and Conclusions

The shoreline aquatic vegetation of Par Pond is undergoing rapid redevelopment. Maidencane percent occurrence and percent cover were similar from June to October. Other dominant species that occurred in Par Pond prior to the drawdown continue to increase, especially lotus, water lily, watershield, and spike-rush. Cattails are present, but remain widely scattered; no major beds have developed to date. Small isolated beds of cattails (approximately 3 to 7 feet [1 to 2 meters] wide and 6 to 33 feet [5 to 10 meters] long) are forming along the original shoreline of Par Pond. However, most areas occupied by cattails prior to the drawdown continue to be dominated by maidencane or remain as open water with occasional lotus, water lily, and watershield present. The water level in Par Pond for the first nine months following refill has fluctuated about 0.6 foot (0.2 meter). This fluctuation may be the reason that a small

Par Pond Vegetation Status Summer 1995—October Survey Descriptive Summary




Figure 3. Water level changes following refill of Par Pond in 1995. Dates of SPOT satellite data collection and macrophyte surveys are indicated.

band of primrose (*Ludwigia* sp.) has developed along areas of exposed shoreline. A similar band was observed at L Lake on SRS during the first few years after L Lake was filled in 1985. Woody species, such as loblolly pine, red maple,

and willow, are declining following the refill of Par Pond. These early surveys are already providing good evidence of the likely direction that the Par Pond communities will take in their development.




Figure 4. Woody species percent occurrence at the inner zones of Par Pond transects. [(l) = living; (s) = stressed; (d) = dead]. June, July, September, and October 1995.




Figure 5. Woody species percent occurrence at the outer zones of Par Pond transects. [(l) = living; (s) = stressed; (d) = dead]. June, July, September, and October 1995.

Par Pond Vegetation Status Summer 1995—October Survey Descriptive Summary




Figure 6. Herbaceous species percent occurrence at the inner zones of Par Pond transects. June, July, September, and October 1995.




Figure 7. Herbaceous species percent occurrence at the outer zones of Par Pond transects. June, July, September, and October 1995.

Par Pond Vegetation Status Summer 1995—October Survey Descriptive Summary




Figure 8. Herbaceous species percent cover at the inner zones of Par Pond transects. \*Species present at less than 0.5 percent cover were pennywort in June, July, September, and October; smartweed in July, September, and October; bulrush in June, September, and October; pondweed in June, July, and September; creeping burhead in July; giant cutgrass in July; and water grass in September.

Par Pond Vegetation Status Summer 1995—October Survey Descriptive Summary




Figure 9. Herbaceous species percent cover at the outer zones of Par Pond transects. \*Species present at less than 0.5 percent cover were pennywort in July; rushes in July; pickerel weed in June, July, and October; smartweed in June and October; cattail in July, September, and October; bulrush in July, September, and October; pondweed in June, September, and October; and water grass in September.

References

Ezra, C. E., and L. R. Tinney. 1985. Par Pond Macrophyte Study Savannah River Plant, Aiken, SC. EG&G/EM Letter Report. DOE (ONS-SRL) 8513.

Jensen, J. R., and H. E. Mackey, Jr. 1991. Remote Sensing of Freshwater Aquatic Macrophytes in a Southeastern Lake: Part 1—Analysis of 30 Years of Vertical Aerial Photography. Volume 3. *Remote Sensing. Proceedings 1991 ACSM-ASPRS Annual Convention*. Baltimore, MD, pp. 224-231.

Jensen, J. R., S. Narumalani, O. Weatherbee, and H. E. Mackey, Jr. 1991. Remote Sensing Offers an Alternative for Mapping Wetlands. *Geo Info Systems*. October, 1991. pp. 48-53.

Jensen, J. R., S. Narumalani, O. Weatherbee, and H. E. Mackey, Jr. 1992a. Measurement of Seasonal and Yearly Macrophyte Changes in a Reservoir Using Multidate SPOT Panchromatic Data. *Proceedings 1992 ASPRS-ACSM Annual Convention*, Albuquerque, NM, pp. 167-176.

Jensen, J. R., S. Narumalani, O. Weatherbee, K. S. Morris, Jr., and H. E. Mackey, Jr. 1992b. Predictive Modeling of Cattail and Waterlily Distribution in a South Carolina Reservoir Using GIS. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing* 58(11):1561-1568.

Jensen, J. R., S. Narumalani, O. Weatherbee, and H. E. Mackey, Jr. 1993. Measurement of Seasonal and Yearly Cattail and Waterlily Changes Using Multidate SPOT Panchromatic Data. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing* 9(4):519-525.

Jones, J. C., J. F. Hancock, and E. H. Liu. 1979. Biochemical and Morphological Effects of Temperature on *Typha latifolia* L. (Typhaceae) Originating from Different Ends of a Thermal Gradient. I. Controlled Environmental Studies. *American Journal of Botany* 66(8):902-906.

Liu, E. H., R. R. Sharitz, and M. H. Smith. 1978. Thermal Sensitivities of Malate Dehydrogenase Isozymes in *Typha*. *American Journal of Botany* 65(2):214-220.

Mackey, H. E., Jr., and R. S. Riley. 1995a. Par Pond Vegetation Status Summer 1995—June Survey Descriptive Summary. WSRC-RP-95-0854, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC.

Mackey, H. E., Jr., and R. S. Riley. 1995b. Par Pond Vegetation Status Summer 1995—July Survey Descriptive Summary. WSRC-RP-95-0720, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC.

Mackey, H. E., Jr., and R. S. Riley. 1995c. Par Pond Vegetation Status Summer 1995—September Survey Descriptive Summary. WSRC-RP-95-0891, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC.

Mueller-Dombois, D., and H. Ellenberg. 1974. *Aims and Methods of Vegetation Ecology*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, NY. 547 pp.

Narumalani, S. 1993. Classification and Modeling of Aquatic Macrophytes Using Remote Sensing and Geographic Information Systems. Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Geography, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC. 105 pp.

Phillips, E. A. 1959. *Methods of Vegetation Study*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, NY. 107 pp.

Wilde, E. W., and L. J. Tilly. 1985. Influence of P-Reactor Operation on the Aquatic Ecology of Par Pond—A Literature Review. DP-1698, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Savannah River Laboratory, Aiken, SC.

## Appendix A

## Common and Scientific Names of Plants in this Report

Common Name	Scientific Name	Common Name	Scientific Name
bulrush	<i>Scirpus</i> sp.	pickerel weed	<i>Pontederia cordata</i>
button bush	<i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i>	poke berry	<i>Phytolacca americana</i>
briars	<i>Rubus</i> spp.	pond weed	<i>Potamogeton</i> sp.
broom sedge	<i>Andropogon virginicus</i>	primrose	<i>Ludwigia</i> sp.
cattail	<i>Typha</i> spp.	red maple	<i>Acer rubrum</i>
creeping burhead	<i>Echinodorus</i> sp.	rush	<i>Juncus</i> spp.
dog fennel	<i>Eupatorium</i> sp.	smartweed	<i>Polygonum</i> sp.
eelgrass	<i>Vallisneria</i> sp.	spike-rush	<i>Eleocharis</i> sp.
giant cutgrass	<i>Zizaniopsis</i> sp.	sweetgum	<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>
loblolly pine	<i>Pinus taeda</i>	water grass	<i>Hydrochloa</i> sp.
lotus	<i>Nelumbo lutea</i>	water lily	<i>Nymphaea odorata</i>
maidencane	<i>Panicum hemitomon</i>	watershield	<i>Brasenia schreberi</i>
pennywort	<i>Hydrocotyle</i> sp.	willow	<i>Salix</i> sp.
persimmon	<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>		