

CONF-970607--11
DOE/RW/00134--M97-004
End Effect K_{eff} Bias Curve for Actinide-Only Burnup Credit Casks

C. H. Kang and D. B. Lancaster (TRW Environmental Safety Systems Inc.)

Introduction

A conservative end effect k_{eff} bias curve for actinide-only burnup credit casks is presented in this paper. Rather than performing axially burnup-dependent analysis, cask designers can, if they choose to, analyze casks with a uniform axial burnup (at assembly average burnup value) and add the k_{eff} bias values to conservatively bound the actinide-only end effect. Earlier studies¹⁻³ suggested 1 ~ 3 % increase in k_{eff} to account for the end effect, but they included fission products as well as actinides for their analyses.

Analysis

MASTER

The key parameters of importance for end effect analysis are (1) axial burnup distributions, (2) burnups, (3) initial enrichments and (4) cask configurations (i.e., size and poison plate design). The normalized axial burnup distribution (18 equally spaced axial regions) used for the actinide-only end effect analysis is the following: 0.573, 0.917, 1.066, 1.106, 1.114, 1.111, 1.106, 1.101, 1.097, 1.093, 1.089, 1.086, 1.081, 1.073, 1.051, .993, 0.832, 0.512. This is the most limiting distribution in the high burnup range (46 to 50 GWD/MTU)⁴ based on an axial burnup profile database prepared by Yankee Atomic Electric Company⁵. The range of burnups and enrichments considered are 10 to 50 GWD/MTU and 1.5 to 5.0 w/o, respectively. Three different radial models are employed to represent different cask configurations. They are: (1) infinite array of fuel pin cells to approximate a large cask, (2) transverse buckling dimension of 43 cm to approximate a small cask (i.e., GA-4⁶) and (3) transverse buckling dimension of 22 cm to approximate the presence of completely "black" poison plates around a single PWR assembly.

The standard Westinghouse 17 x 17 assembly design is used for both depletion and criticality calculations. The actinide isotopic concentrations are determined from SAS2H⁷ depletion sequence. The axially burnup dependent analysis is performed using CSASIX/WAX/XSDRNPM criticality calculation sequence in SCALE⁷ code system. Slab geometry is used in specifying the transverse buckling dimensions of finite casks and axial reflectors are modeled with 30 cm of water.

Results

Figure 1 shows maximum end effects (maximum of the initial enrichments considered) as a function of assembly average burnup for aforementioned three different cask configurations as well as a conservative end effect k_{eff} bias curve. The first notable trend in Figure 1 is that the end effect increases with a decrease in cask sizes and a presence of poison plates. Therefore, a single PWR assembly with completely "black" poison plates around it can very well encompass the end

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

effects expected in realistic cask designs. Figure 1 shows that the end effects are negative in the low burnup range. Positive end effects become apparent only after about 30 GWD/MTU even for a small cask like GA-4. Again, a single PWR assembly with completely "black" poison plates around it can be used to establish a conservative cross-over point, and Figure 1 shows that the burnup of 20 GWD/MTU is appropriate for this limiting cask configuration. The conservative end effect k_{eff} bias shown in Figure 1, therefore, stays zero up to 20 GWD/MTU and gradually increases to 1.656 % Δk_{eff} at 50 GWD/MTU. Finally, although not shown explicitly in Figure 1, it should be mentioned that there is no discernable end effect trend with respect to initial enrichments.

Conclusions

The end effect is a strong function of burnup and increases as the cask size decreases. Also, a presence of poison plates increases the end effect. A k_{eff} bias curve, established based on the most limiting cask configuration of a single PWR assembly with completely "black" poison plates around it, conservatively bounds the end effects in realistic cask designs. Thus, axially uniform criticality calculations and subsequent application of the proposed k_{eff} bias curve could eliminate the need for time-consuming axially burnup dependent analyses.

References

1. M. C. BRADY, C. V. PARKS, C. R. MARROTA, "End Effects in the Criticality Analysis of Burnup Credit Casks," *Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc.*, **62**, 317 (1990).
2. B. H. WAKEMAN, S. A. AHMED, "Evaluation of Burnup Credit for Dry Storage Casks," EPRI-NP-6494, Electric Power Research Institute (Aug. 1989).
3. S. E. TURNER, "An Uncertainty Analysis-Axial Burnup Distribution Effects," *Proc. Workshop Use of Burnup Credit in Spent Fuel Transport Casks*, Washington D.C. February 21-22, 1988, SAND89-0018, TTC-0884, UC-820, T. L. SANDERS, Ed., Sandia National Labs. (Oct. 1989).
4. T. A. PARISH, C. H. CHEN, "Bounding Profile Analysis for the Topical Report Database," Texas A&M University (Draft).
5. R. J. CACCIAPOUTI, S. VAN VLKINBURG, "Axial Burnup Profile Database for Pressurized Water Reactors," Yankee Atomic Electric Company (Draft).
6. "GA-4/GA-9 Legal Weight Truck for Reactor Spent Fuel Shipping Casks," GA-A19862, General Atomics (Apr. 1990).
7. "SCALE: A Modular Code System for Performing Standardized Computer Analysis for Licensing Evaluation," NUREG/CR-0200, Vol. 1-3, Rev. 4, Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Apr. 1995).

End Effect




Figure 1. End Effect (Δk_{eff}) vs. Assembly Average Burnup (GWD/MTU)