

DE97062131
DOE/MC/31185 -- 5393

Development of an Integrated, In-Situ Remediation Technology - TCE Degradation Using Non-Biological Methods - Task 9-II

Topical Report

September 26, 1994 - May 25, 1996

Work Performed Under Contract No.: DE-AR21-94MC31185

For

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Management
Office of Technology Development
1000 Independence Avenue
Washington, DC 20585

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Fossil Energy
Morgantown Energy Technology Center
P.O. Box 880
Morgantown, West Virginia 26507-0880

By
Monsanto Company
800 N. Lindberg Boulevard
St. Louis, Missouri 63167

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

dy

A. Executive Summary

Development of an Integrated *in-situ* Remediation Technology

DOE Contract Number: DE-AR21-94MC31185

Draft Topical Report for Task No. 9-Part II Entitled:

"TCE Degradation Using Non-Biological Methods"

Robert G. Orth and David E. McKenzie
Monsanto Company
800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard
St. Louis, Missouri 63167

Submitted by:

Monsanto Company
St. Louis, Missouri 63167

*Abstract: Contamination in low permeability soils poses a significant technical challenge to *in-situ* remediation efforts. Poor accessibility to the contaminants and difficulty in delivery of treatment reagents have rendered existing *in-situ* treatments such as bioremediation, vapor extraction, pump and treat rather ineffective when applied to low permeability soils present at many contaminated sites. The technology is an integrated *in-situ* treatment in which established geotechnical methods are used to install degradation zones directly in the contaminated soil and electro-osmosis is utilized to move the contaminants back and forth through those zones until the treatment is completed. The use of zero valence iron for reductive dechlorination of aliphatic chlorinated hydrocarbons is currently under investigation by a number of research groups as a potential method of *in-situ* treatment of contaminated ground water. The reaction appears to involve the transfer of electrons to chloro-aliphatic compounds by the oxidation of zero valence iron to ferrous iron (Fe^{+2}). Our studies have indicated that this reaction is consistent with those of corrosion, and as such, can be influenced or increased by the presence of small amounts of metals (5% by weight) such as copper, tin, silver, gold and palladium coated on the iron surface. Incomplete coverage of the iron surface with a more electropositive metal results in an open galvanic cell, which increases the oxidation of iron and facilitates and increases the concurrent reduction of trichloroethylene and other chlorinated aliphatic compounds to the corresponding alkenes and alkanes. Our results show that plating more electropositive metals onto certain iron surfaces results in approximately a factor of ten increase in the dechlorination rate of small organochlorine compounds such as TCE.*

B. Acronyms and Abbreviations

Ag	Silver
Au	Gold
BET	Brunauer - Emmett-Teller
Cl ⁻	Chloride
CCl ₄	carbon tetrachloride
CH ₂ Cl ₂	methylene chloride, dichloromethane
Co	Cobalt
Cu	Copper
CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O	Copper sulfate penta hydrate
Cu(NO ₃) ₂ .2H ₂ O	copper nitrate dihydrate
CZE	Capillary Zone Electrophoresis
ECD	electron capture detector
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
Fe	Iron
FID	flame ionization detector
GC	gas chromatography
GE	General Electric Company
HCl	hydrochloric acid
MeOH	methanol
Mg	Magnesium
NDL	No dectable loss
Ni	Nickel
N ₂	nitrogen
NA	not available
PCE	perchloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene
SnCl ₂	Stannous chloride
Sn	Tin
S	Sulfur
TCE	trichloroethylene
VOC	volatile organic compound
Zn	Zinc

C. Units

°C	Celsius, degrees Celsius
cm	centimeters
deg	degrees
g	grams
hr	hours
k, K	thousand
kg	kilograms
L	liters
M	molar (moles/liter)
mg	milligrams
min	minutes
mL	milliliters
mM	millimolar (millimoles/liter)
mm	millimeters
mmol, mmole(s)	millimole(s)
mol	mole(s)
mw, MW	molecular weight
N	normal (equivalents/liter)
ppb	parts per billion
ppm, ppmw	parts per million (by weight)
RPM	revolutions per minute
µg	micrograms
µL	microliters
µm	micrometers
µM	micromolar (micromoles/liter)
µmol, µmole(s)	micromole(s)

D. Table of Contents

<u>(Section Title)</u>	<u>(page)</u>
A. Executive Summary _____	A-i
B. Acronyms and Abbreviations _____	B-i
C. Units _____	C-i
D. Table of Contents _____	D-i
E. Background _____	E-i
Statement of the Problem _____	E-i
The Solution _____	E-i
Consortium Description _____	E-i
Management Plan _____	E-i
Technical Deliverables _____	E-i
F. Topical Report for Task No.9-Part II _____	F-1
INTRODUCTION _____	F-1
Objectives of the Studies for TCE Degradation Using Non-Biological Methods	F-2
Mixed Metal Studies _____	F-2
Materials List Mixed Metal Experiments.	F-2
Analytical procedures	F-3
Results.....	F-3
Zero Valance Iron and Iron Metal Mixture Dechlorination of Priority Pollutants _____	F-7
Materials list / Priority Pollutant Standard.....	F-7
Experimental.....	F-7
Analytical procedures	F-8
Results.....	F-8
The Use of Other More Positive Reduction Metals _____	F-11
Materials list Coverage Study and the use of other more positive reduction metals	F-11
Experimental.....	F-11
Analytical procedures	F-12
Results.....	F-12
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS _____	F-15
REFERENCES _____	F-16

List of Tables

<u>(Title)</u>	<u>(page)</u>
Table 1. List of Tasks and Responsible Company	E-ii
Table 2. List of Topical Reports and Responsible Company	E-ii
Table 1. Half-lives for mixed metal experiments.	F-5
Table 2. Rate increase due to SN plateD on iron.	F-5
Table 3. Comparison of half-lives between different mixtures of inorganics with Iron	F-10
Table 4. Variation in half-life as coverage increases	F-14
Table 5. Observed half-life for Cu plated on Fe using Cu(NO ₃) ₂ 2H ₂ O and Chlorine mass balance.	F-14
Table 6. Half-life metals platted on iron.	F-14

E. Background

Statement of the Problem

Contamination in low permeability soils poses a significant technical challenge to *in-situ* remediation efforts. Poor accessibility to the contaminants and difficulty in delivery of treatment reagents have rendered existing *in-situ* treatments such as bioremediation, vapor extraction, and pump and treat, rather ineffective when applied to low permeability soils present at many contaminated sites.

The Solution

The proposed technology combines electro-osmosis with treatment zones that are installed directly in the contaminated soils to form an integrated *in-situ* remedial process. Electro-osmosis is an old civil engineering technique and is well known for its effectiveness in moving water uniformly through low-permeability soils with very low power consumption.

Conceptually, the integrated technology could treat organic and inorganic contamination, as well as mixed wastes. Once developed, the technology will have tremendous benefits over existing ones in many aspects including environmental impacts, cost effectiveness, waste generation, treatment flexibility, and breadth of applications.

Consortium Description

A Consortium has been formed consisting of Monsanto, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc. (DuPont) and General Electric (GE), with participation from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Office of Research and Development and the Department of Energy (DOE) Environmental Management Office of Science and Technology. The five members of this group are leaders in their represented technologies and hold significant patents and

intellectual property which, in concert, may form an integrated solution for soil treatment. The Consortium's activities are being facilitated by Clean Sites, Inc., under a Cooperative Agreement with EPA's Technology Innovation Office. A schematic diagram of the government/industry consortium is shown on the front page of this topical report.

Management Plan

A Management Plan for this project was prepared by Monsanto and submitted on November 30, 1994. That plan summarized the work plan which was developed in conjunction with DuPont, GE, EPA's Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory (RREL), Martin Marietta Energy Systems (MMES), and the Department of Energy. The DOE Gaseous Diffusion Plant in Paducah, Kentucky, has been chosen as the site for the initial field tests.

CDM Federal Programs Corporation was chosen to provide the on-site support of the field tests which were installed at the DOE site in November 1994. This experiment tested the combination of electro-osmosis and *in-situ* sorption in the treatment zones. In 1994 and 1995, technology development was carried out under the present contract by Monsanto, DuPont, and GE. These studies evaluated various degradation processes and their integration into the overall treatment scheme at bench and pilot scales.

Technical Deliverables

Tables 1 and 2 summarize the 13 technical tasks and the 8 topical reports which will be written describing the results obtained in the technical tasks. These two tables show which organization is primarily responsible for the tasks and for preparing the topical reports. The present topical report summarizes Task No. 9-Part II - TCE Degradation Using Non-Biological Methods.

Table 1. List of Tasks and Responsible Company

Task	Company
Task 1 - Evaluation of Treatment Zone Formation Options (5.1.2)	DuPont
Task 2 - Electrokinetic Model Validation and Improvement (6.5)	GE
Task 3 - Design Guidance for Field Experiments (6.6)	GE/DuPont
Task 4 - Analysis of Electrode Geometry and Soil Heterogeneity (6.7)	GE/DuPont
Task 5 - Cost Analysis (7)	Monsanto/DuPont
Task 6 - Lab-Scale Development of Microbial Degradation Process (8.1.2)	DuPont
Task 7 - Lab-Scale Electrokinetic and Microbial Degradation (8.1.6)	Monsanto
Task 8 - Lab-Scale Tests of Lasagna™ Process Using DOE Paducah Soil (8.1.7)	Monsanto
Task 9 - TCE Degradation Using Non-Biological Methods (8.2.1, 8.2.2.2, 8.2.3.2)	GE/Monsanto
Task 10 - Bench- and Pilot-Scale Tests (9.3)	Monsanto
Task 11 - Establish Contamination Conditions Before and After Tests (10.1.2)	DuPont/MMES
Task 12 - Design and Fabrication of Large-Scale Lasagna™ Process (12.1, 12.2)	Monsanto/DuPont/Nilex
Task 13 - Large-Scale Field Test of Lasagna™ Process (12.3, 12.4)	Monsanto/CDM

Table 2. List of Topical Reports and Responsible Company

Topical Report	Company
Task 1 - Evaluation of Treatment Zone Formation Options	DuPont
Tasks 2 - 4 Electrokinetic Modeling	GE
Task 5 - Cost Analysis	Monsanto
Task 6 - Laboratory-Scale Microbial Degradation	DuPont
Tasks 7, 8, 10 - Bench- and Pilot-Scale Tests of Lasagna™ Process	Monsanto
Tasks 9 - TCE Degradation Using Non-Biological Methods	GE
Task 11 - Contamination Analysis, Before and After Treatment	Monsanto
Tasks 12 and 13 - Large-Scale Field Test of Lasagna™ Process	Monsanto

F. Topical Report for Task No.9-Part II

INTRODUCTION

The use of zero valence iron to dechlorinate chlorinated hydrocarbons has been of increasing interest for use in *in-situ* remediation over the past 5 years. The prospect of using zero valence iron in the treatment zones in *Lasagna*TM initiated studies in our laboratory for the determination of the mechanism of the dechlorination and for the search as to how the rate of the process may be improved. The studies included the examination of the electrochemical nature of the dechlorination process and utilized high purity iron and iron combined with different metal. The rates of the reaction were monitored and the products produced were determined so as to obtain a mass balance. The effect of iron combined with clay soils was also examined using batch methods but mass balances were not carried out in these cases. In addition, experiments examined a wide range of halogenated hydrocarbons as represented by priority pollutants. Extensive studies of column systems were carried out by GE and are reported by them separately.

The results will be divided into three separate experiments: 1) iron and iron plus other metal mixtures; 2) iron and metal mixtures with priority pollutants; and 3) plating of iron with metals that have higher reduction potentials and the dependence of rate on coverage.

There are many studies that indicate that iron dechlorinates chlorinated hydrocarbons such as TCE (1-5). Studies using metals to dechlorinate hydrocarbons in the gas phase were reported by Crummit et al. (6). There are also patents (7,8) that suggest the use of iron for dechlorination in waste streams. The mechanism has been clearly shown to be a surface controlled process and that it is an electrochemical process. There is some discussion as to if the fundamental processes involve one electron process or two electron process. Our results indicate that the process is a corrosion process and that rates can be controlled by the same techniques observed in corrosion chemistry.

A consortium of industry (Monsanto, Dupont and General Electric) was formed in collaboration with the Department of Energy (DOE) Environmental Management Office of Science and Technology and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to combine expertise and resources for accelerating the development of this technology. The collaboration led to a field experiment of the *Lasagna*TM process at a DOE site in Paducah, KY, that has clay soil contaminated with trichloroethylene (TCE). The Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP) site was chosen from a list of Department of Energy sites based on two major criteria: low-permeability soil and a single chlorinated contaminant without heavy metals or radionuclides. DOE contributed by providing the contaminated site in Paducah for the test, soil sampling and analysis support (through Martin Marietta), and funds through a Research and Opportunity Announcement (ROA) grant. The entire project, including laboratory research and field work was about one million dollars. Since the *Lasagna*TM process includes treatment zones which can utilize absorbents as in the case for the Field study or reactive zone, then it is necessary to understand the results of any reactive systems that maybe used in the treatment zones. This report documents the contribution of Monsanto to the studies of the degradation of TCE using non-biological methods and concentrates mainly on the use of zero valence iron and what process may be used to increase the rate of dechlorination.

Objectives of the Studies for TCE Degradation Using Non-Biological Methods

The purpose of these studies was to determine what factors control the processes of TCE dechlorination when using zero valence iron and to determine if certain irons could be modified to increase the reaction rates. Since it is probable in the second phase of the Paducah field study, that reactive treatment zones would be used instead of absorbent treatment zones, it is necessary to understand the mechanism of the dechlorination process and what effects the rates of the process. In addition, it is necessary to know what the products of the dechlorination process are so that problems are not created from the treatment zone.

Mixed Metal Studies

Materials List Mixed Metal Experiments.

High purity iron 99.999% obtained from Aesar
Mallinckrodt iron 100 mesh
Stannous Chloride purchased from Mallinckrodt
Copper Metal electrolytic dust obtained from Fisher scientific
Nickel reduced powder obtained from Fisher Scientific
Magnesium metal (40-80 mesh) obtained from Fisher Scientific
Tin powder certified obtained from Fisher Scientific
Zinc granular 30 mesh obtained from Fisher Scientific
Cobalt powder obtained from Fisher Scientific
Trichloroethylene (99+%) obtained from Aldrich chemical company
12 mL National Scientific company vials with Teflon/silicone septum obtained from Fisher Scientific
Tube Rotator obtained from Scientific Equipment Products

Experimental

A batch experimental approach was used in the mixed metal studies. Initially, Aesar pure iron (1g) was weighed into eight 12 mL vials equipped with Teflon faced septa. The other metal (tin, copper, zinc etc.) was then weighed into the appropriate vial. These weights were held constant at 0.05 g per other metal. A water solution was then prepared by combining 250 mL water and 50 uL 88% formic acid. 10 mL of this water was then pipetted into each vial. Each vial was then spiked, subsurface with 0.5 uL Trichloroethylene (TCE) with a 5 uL Hamilton syringe. Immediately after spiking the vials were capped. Vials were then placed on a tube rotator at 18 RPM. A reagent blank also containing 1 g Aesar iron and no TCE and a simulated spike containing the reagent water and TCE was also placed on the rotator. The experiment was started on 11/3/93 at 9:40 AM. The vials were sampled periodically until 11/9/93 at 4:00 PM by removing 100 uL of the solution with a repeater pipette and placing it directly into 10 mL of hexane. After sampling the group of experiments the vials were placed back onto the sample rotator, until the next sampling period.

In each batch experiment a set of experiments were run so that relative comparisons could be made within a set. The sets consisted of the Fe metal for which the comparison was to be made at a certain mass and a control vial containing TCE but no metals. Since TCE has a relatively large partial pressure in water, all rates were determined relative to the vial containing TCE and no metal. This vial is referred to as the simulated spiked sample. Each point was then plotted relative to the loss of TCE in the control.

In other words the measured concentration of a reaction was divided by the measured concentration in the simulated spike to correct for volatility losses for each sampling time. C_o can be defined as the initial concentration determined for a reaction mixture corrected for volatility losses at each sampling time. C_i/C_o is the normalized concentration of the reaction versus the simulated spiked sample, where C_i is the measured concentration of the reaction mixture. The simulated spiked sample was sampled each time the reaction mixtures were sampled and this correction was made for each reaction mixture data point. The control was assumed to have the same loss during sampling as the samples. The mass of the metal was always maintained the same within a set of experiments, since the rates depend on surface area and therefore the mass used then comparisons of rate increases are made against a control of Fe having the same mass.

Analytical procedures

The analysis for TCE was typically carried out on a gas chromatograph equipped with an electron capture detector (GC/EC). This is a highly sensitive technique for determination of chlorinated compounds. The gas chromatograph was an HP 5890 equipped with a 30 meter x 0.53 mm Supelco 2 5320 column. The temperature program was; initial temperature was 40°C held for 4 minutes followed by an increase to 65°C at a rate of 10 degrees per minute followed by an increase to 200°C at a rate of 30 degrees per minute. The output of the GC/EC was controlled by a Dionex data system. Quantitation was carried out against a standard curve.

Results

The $\ln C_i/C_o$ was plotted vs. time. A plot of this nature indicated if the rate is a pseudo first order reaction. The plots indicated that the reactions were all pseudo first order and therefore comparisons based on pseudo first order half lives could be made. This was accomplished by fitting the data with a linear least squares fit and obtaining the rate constant k for the mixed metal. The half-lives were calculated from $t_{1/2} = \ln 2/k$.

Table 1 shows the half-lives calculated by this approach. The results in the Table 1 indicate that the addition of copper decreases the time to lose half of the TCE to 10 hours from 159 hours observed for pure Fe. Table 1 also indicates that the decrease in half-life with the addition of Cu metal is independent of the amount of metal added. That is 5 % by weight of Cu yields within experimental error the same half-life as a mixture of Fe and Cu containing 50 % Cu. The other observation from Table 1 is that the presence of Ni metal also decreases the half-life of the loss of TCE. The Ni shows a delay in a loss in TCE which can be seen in the plot of the data in Figure 1. The half-life that is calculated is based on the changing portion of the curve. The line in Figure 1 is the pseudo first order fit to the data. The observation that Cu and Ni increases the rate suggest that any metal that has a more positive reduction potential than iron will increase the rate of loss of halogenated hydrocarbons such as TCE. This observation holds only if the metals with more positive potentials can plate on the iron or through a mechanical mechanism to placed in contact with the iron. The process of plating can occur through the dissolution of the metal which immediately plates on the surface of the iron because of the positive

reduction potential with respect to iron. This can be seen with the Cu and Ni which have higher reduction potentials than the Fe. The contact causes a cathodic and anodic potential to occur at the surface increasing the rate of loss of TCE. The rate increase for Cu was 7 times that observed for pure iron. For the Ni the rate increase was 1.5 times with respect to the iron. If the contact with the surface cannot occur then the increase of the rate of loss of TCE will not occur.

Sn and Co have more positive reduction potentials but did not give an increase in rate of loss with respect to Fe. The indication is that the reaction was slower than that of Fe metal alone since the amount of Fe was comparable to that of Fe by itself. It is not clear why the rate would slow down. There could be several explanations such as the competitive absorption of the TCE to the added metal over the iron. However, since it was thought that a galvanic couple was required to increase the rate, then methods of placing the metals in direct contact were pursued instead of experimental evidence of why a mixture slowed the reaction rate. These metals can be placed directly on the surface by using salts of the higher reduction potential metals by electroless processes. Once placed directly on the surface there should be an observed rate increase.

To show that the plating directly would improve the rate of loss of TCE, 0.1g of $\text{SnCl}_2 \cdot 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ was dissolved in .01N HCl solution. 30 grams of Mallinckrodt iron 40 mesh was placed in 100 mL of water and stirred rapidly under a blanket of nitrogen. The Sn^{+2} solution was added rapidly to the stirred reactor. This process plated Sn onto the surface of the iron. The amount of tin was calculated to give a surface coverage much less than a monolayer based of a surface area of the iron of $0.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ as determined by BET measurements. The nitrogen was necessary since the exposed iron surface will corrode at an accelerated rate with a partial coverage of Sn. Water was removed from the reactor and the tin plated iron washed several times with water followed by acetone. The tin plated iron was dried under a stream of nitrogen. The experiment was run against Mallinckrodt iron 40 mesh iron having no Sn plated with a TCE control which contained no metal. The control contain 200 ppm of TCE and this was placed in a 12 mL vial and capped with a Teflon line top. 7 grams of the tin plated iron was placed in a 12 mL vial and the vial filled with 200 ppm TCE solution so that no headspace existed. To a third 12 mL vial 14 grams of the tin plated iron was added and filled to the top with 200 ppm solution of TCE. To a fourth 12 mL vial 7 grams of the iron was added and the vial fill with 200 ppm solution of TCE. The iron was prepared the same as the tin:iron except that no tin was added to the stirred reactor.

Table 2 shows the results of the plating of tin onto iron. The plating of tin onto the surface of the iron increases the rate by a factor of 7. Table 2 also shows that the rate depended on the amount of tin plated on the iron, indicating a surface dependence. The also indicates that in the metal mixture in Table 1 no plating or surface contact was occurring in the Fe:Sn mixture.

Table 1. Half-lives for mixed metal experiments.


	99.999% Fe	Fe:Cu (5%) run in duplicate	Fe:Cu (25% Cu)	Fe:Cu (50% Cu)	Fe:Ni	Fe:Co	Fe:Sn	Fe:Zn	Fe:Mg
first order half-life (hr)	159	9.8	10	9.5	44	NDL*	NDL*	NDL*	NDL*

* NDL = no detectable loss of TCE during experiment

Table 2. Rate increase due to Sn plate on iron.

	99.2% Fe (7 grams)	Fe plated Sn (7 grams)	Fe plated Sn (14 grams)	TCE control
concentration TCE ppm at 24 hours	143	19	0.04	190 ppm
half-life (hr)	49	7	2	--

Figure 1. Fe:Ni mixture



Zero Valance Iron and Iron Metal Mixture Dechlorination of Priority Pollutants

Materials list / Priority Pollutant Standard

Volatile Priority Pollutant Standards obtained from Restek Corporation (Catalogue numbers 30007, 30008, 30009, and 30010) with each component at 2000ug/mL. Individual halogenated compounds are listed in the table containing Comparison of half-lives between different mixtures of inorganics with iron.

Iron powder (100 mesh) from Mallinckrodt 99.2% purity

Formic Acid (88%) obtained from Fisher Scientific.

Teflon Caps obtained from Fisher Scientific

4 oz bottle obtained from Fisher Scientific

Cupric sulfate pentahydrate obtained from Fisher Scientific

Ferrous sulfate heptahydrate obtained from Fisher Scientific

Sulfur obtained from Fisher Scientific

Copper metal obtained from Fisher Scientific

Experimental

Restek calibration standards Mix 2 through 5 were combined resulting in a 500 ppm mixture of the volatile priority pollutants. One liter of Milli-Q water was spiked with 48 uL 88% formic acid to be used as reagent water. Mallinckrodt Iron (6 g) was weighed into six 4 oz (120 mL) sample bottles. One bottle was chosen as a control containing no iron and only water and the volatile priority pollutants. Copper metal (1 g) was weighed into one bottle containing iron, and cupric sulfate pentahydrate (1.26 g and 0.44 g) was weighed into two other bottles containing iron. Sulfur (0.1 g) was weighed into one bottle containing iron and 0.4 g ferrous sulfate was weighed into another bottle. Another bottle containing no iron was selected to serve as a simulated spiked sample. To each bottle 100 mL of reagent water were added. The bottles were capped and shaken. Each reaction mixture was uncapped and spiked subsurface with 400 uL of the volatile priority pollutant standard mixture and capped. The bottles were placed on a Lab Line Orbit Environ Shaker and rotated at 150 RPM. Vials were removed for sampling and 2 mL were removed and added to a 1.5 mL autosampler vial equipped with Teflon Faced septum. The auto sampler vials were filled until they overflowed and were then capped to minimize headspace. This was done to minimize loss of the highly volatile components. Reaction mixtures were sampled at 23.13 hours, 46.78 hours, 54.38 hours, and 71.66 hours respectively.

In each batch experiment a set of experiments was run so that relative comparisons could be made within a set. The sets consisted of the Fe metal for which the comparison was to be made at a certain mass and a control vial containing the volatile organic priority pollutants but no metals. Because many of these compounds have a relatively large partial pressure in water it was necessary that all rates be determined relative to the vial containing water, the compounds and no metal. This vial is referred to as the simulated spiked sample. The mass of the metal was always maintained the same within a set of experiments, since the rates depend on surface area and therefore the mass. The same procedure for

determining the rate of the reaction was carried out in these experiments as in the experimental procedure for the metal mixtures. That is plots of $\ln C_i/C_0$ versus the sampling times indicates pseudo first order rates. Linear least squares fit of the data provide the rate constant and from the rate constants' half-lives can be calculated using $t_{1/2} = \ln(2)/k$. In some cases the reactions were so rapid that only one data point could be obtained. In these cases the reaction was assumed to be pseudo first order and a rate constant and half life calculated from that point. In some cases the reaction was so rapid that at the first sampling time of 23.13 hours there was no detectable signal. Again the reaction was assumed to be pseudo first order and a maximum rate constant and half-life calculated based on the detection limit for that compound. For both of these cases the half-lives are indicated with a less than symbol.

Analytical procedures

Analyses were performed using a Tekmar Liquid Sample Concentrator LCS-2 interfaced with a Hewlett Packard 5890 gas chromatograph with a Hewlett Packard 5970 mass selective detector. This technique is referred to as purge and trap analyses. Generally, the technique involved adding 0.3 mL of the samples from the 1.5 mL autosampler vials to 10 mL purge and trap tubes which had 5 mL deionized water in them, which were then sequentially sparged with 30 mL/ minute helium onto a Supelco-1-2547 1% SP-1000 60/80 mesh carbopack B 8" X 1/8" stainless steel trap column at 27°C. The trap column was desorbed for 8 minutes at 200°C onto the chromatographic column which was held at 10°C during the desorb cycle.

Separation was performed using a 30 m J&W DB-624 0.53 mm I.D. with 0.25 μm film thickness column. The chromatographic conditions employed were a starting temperature of 10°C which was held for 6 minutes followed by ramping the oven at 10 degrees per minute until 200°C. The oven was held at the final temperature for 5 minutes.

Mass spectral data were collected from 30 to 350 m/z for the duration of each run. Concentrations were determined by comparing the results to a standard curve using a Hewlett Packard UNIX based data system equipped with Throughput Target data reduction software.

Results

Table 3 shows the half-lives obtained for each compound in the chemical mixture for the different Fe combinations. The first point to notice is that the benzene and chlorobenzene did not show any loss for any combination indicating that these are not affected by the Fe or the Fe combinations under the conditions studied. The Fe:Cu metal mixture showed a detectable increase in the rate of dehalogenation as indicated by a lower half-life for most of the compounds when compared to iron. For some of the chlorinated compounds no loss was detected, but the experiments were not run long enough to detect loss of these compounds with Fe. For example, 1,2-dichloroethane showed no detectable loss for the Fe and Cu metal mixture.

The addition of $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to the water containing Fe causes the Cu to plate onto the Fe surface. The more $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ added to the solution the more Cu that plates onto the Fe surface. Therefore there is more Cu plated onto the Fe surface for 1.2g $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as compared to the 0.44 g $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$. In comparing the $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ experiments with the Fe in Table 3, it can be seen that all halogenated hydrocarbons showed significant improvement for the rate of dehalogenation. For many the improvement was that the rates went from no detectable loss over the time period of the experiment to half-lives that were less than 4 hours. This was the case for cis-1,2-dichloroethene. Where measured comparisons could be made between the Fe and the Fe $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.2g) the improvement was >20

times the rate observed for Fe. Also noted in Table 3 is that where detectable half-lives were measured, the comparison between the lower $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.44) and the $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.2g) showed a longer half life for the lower coverage for many of the compounds. Some of the compounds such as 1,2-dichloroethane showed the reverse of this observation where the half-life increased for the higher coverage $\text{Fe}(\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O})(1.2\text{g})$. Chloromethane showed no improvement when comparing the metal mixture of Fe:Cu and the $\text{Fe}:(\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O})$ mixtures. Comparisons of half-lives were difficult for compounds like chloromethane because of the high uncertainty caused by the high volatility of this compound. No significant differences were observed for vinyl chloride and bromomethane.

Since the results displayed in Table 3 were obtained as a mixture, the kinetics as compared to single compound can be different. It is possible that there is competitive adsorption onto the metal surface.

The competitive adsorption may involve reactive sites which could cause the slowing down of the kinetics for certain of the components in Table 3. This means one should be cautious in drawing comparisons between single component kinetics and mixture kinetics.

Decreases in half-lives when compared to the Fe can be seen with the Fe:FeSO_4 mixture. These rates were significantly less than the improvements noted for the $\text{Fe}:(\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O})$ mixtures. For many cases no decrease could be measured. The Fe:S mixture did show decreases in the half-lives for many but not all of the compounds.

The point that can be made with regard to this experiment is that significant increases in rates can be obtained by plating Cu onto Fe. The rate increases can be as high as 20 times. The amount of Cu on the Fe surface affects the rate and an improvement was noted as the amount of Cu was increased. These experiments also support the point that it is necessary to have anodic and cathodic potentials on the surface of the Fe. This increases the rate of the dehalogenation.

Table 3. Comparison of half-lives (hours) between different mixtures of inorganics with Iron

	Fe	Fe with Cu metal	Fe with CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O (1.2g)	Fe with CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O (0.44g)	Fe with FeSO ₄	Fe with S
1,1,1-trichloroethane	22	10	<4*	<4*	17	11
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	112	8	<4*	<4*	59	33
1,1,2-trichloroethane	NDL	12	<4*	<6*	NDL	NDL
1,1-dichloroethane	NDL	37	17	NDL	NDL	NDL
1,2-dichloroethane	NDL	NDL	121	32	NDL	NDL
1,1-dichloroethene	49	18	<3*	8	22	26
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	NDL	NDL	4	8	NDL	NDL
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	NDL	42	<1*	4	167	49
trichloroethene	NDL	102	7	32	NDL	26
tetrachloroethene	NDL	52	10	35	NDL	44
vinyl chloride	NDL	64	5	6	NDL	NDL
bromodichloromethane	5	8	<4*	<4*	<11*	<4*
bromoform	<6*	<5*	<4*	<4*	<7*	<6*
bromomethane	62	21	20	19	158	28
carbon tetrachloride	23	10	<5*	<5*	24	10
chloromethane	NDL	164	146	93	196	NDL
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	8	9	<3*	<3*	<11*	<4*
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	14	<10*	<3*	<3*	<15*	<3*
methylene chloride	NDL	NDL	69	NDL	NDL	NDL
benzene	NDL	NDL	NDL	NDL	NDL	NDL
chlorobenzene	NDL	NDL	NDL	NDL	NDL	NDL

* Maximum half lives based on assumption of pseudo first order .

NDL=No detectable Loss.

The Use of Other More Positive Reduction Metals

Materials List Coverage Study and the use of other more positive reduction metals

Iron powder (100 mesh) from Mallinckrodt 99.2% purity
Teflon Caps obtained from Fisher Scientific
8 mL bottle obtained from Fisher Scientific
Cupric sulfate pentahydrate obtained from Fisher Scientific
Tube Rotator obtained from Scientific Equipment Products
Cupric nitrate dihydrate obtained from Fisher Scientific
B&J Hexane
Trichloroethylene (99+%) obtained from Aldrich chemical company
8 mL National Scientific company vials with Teflon/silicone septum obtained from Fisher Scientific
 Ag_2SO_4 purchased from Aldrich
 AuCl_3 purchased from Aesar

Experimental

The study with the mixtures of halogenated compounds indicated that the amount of Cu on the iron surface affects the rates of the reaction. As the amount increased the rate increased. In those experiments no effort was made to coat the Fe surface uniformly. Thus how the coverage affected the rates could not be easily determined. To further test this observation an experiment to control the coverage was designed.

The plating of Cu metal on Fe was carried out in a way to try to obtain a uniform plating of the iron. To do this any technique used must maintain a highly stirred system. To plate the iron, $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was dissolved in water. The Cu^{+2} was agitated rapidly as Mallinckrodt Iron (30 g) was added to the solution. The amount of Cu^{+2} to be plated was varied so that the coverage of the iron would be less than a monolayer to well over a monolayer. In determining the concentration of Cu^{+2} to use, it was assumed that the Cu atom on the surface was a sphere with an atomic radii of 1.1 angstroms. The surface area of the iron as determined by BET measurements using Ar gas was $0.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ for a total of 15 m^2 for the 30 grams of Fe. Thus 0.1 grams of $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ would yield 0.59 of a total monolayer coverage of the surface. Considering that Cu can plate on itself this coverage is an over estimate of the coverage and the actual coverage was <0.59 of a monolayer coverage of the surface. A 1.1 gram sample will increase the coverage to < 7 monolayers. The 5 grams $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ should give a coverage of < 50 monolayers. The coverage can also be confirmed by visual inspection of the iron. With less than a monolayer the Cu is not visible. As the coverage increases the color of copper begins to appear. At the highest coverage the metal appears to be copper with some iron present. At a coverage below a monolayer rapid corrosion of the iron begins if oxygen is present. To slow this process the plating was carried out under a nitrogen blanket.

TCE standards of 730 ppm solution were made by adding 125 μL TCE to 250 mL water. Cu coated Fe (5 g) was weighed into an 8 mL vial. This was repeated for each of the three different Cu plating concentrations. Another bottle containing no iron was selected to serve as a simulated spiked sample. A vial containing the 5 grams of iron treated in the same manner as the Cu coated but containing no Cu

was prepared so that comparison of the rates could be made. To each vial TCE solution was added to the top and capped. The bottles were placed on a Tube Rotator and rotated at 18 RPM. Vials were removed for sampling and 0.1 mL removed and extracted into hexane following the procedure outlined in the section describing the metal mixture experiments.

Data were examined in the same manner described in the previous studies. That is, plots of $\ln C_t/C_0$ versus the sampling times indicates pseudo first order rates. Linear least squares fit of the data provide the rate constants and from the rate constants half-lives were calculated using $t_{1/2} = \ln(2)/k$.

Analytical procedures

Determination of the concentration of TCE was carried out using the methods described in the analytical section of the metal mixtures. Chloride determinations were made using Capillary Zone Electrophoresis methods provided by Dionex. The CZE instrument was a CZE Dionex CES 1.

Results

Table 4 shows the pseudo first order half-lives for each of the experiments. As can be seen from Table 4 the rate increases for a coverage less than half of the surface. As the coverage increases the rate begins to slow until at the highest coverage examined the rate has decreased to 40% of the highest rate observed in this series. Higher increases in rates with respect to iron were observed for the studies involving the mixture of halogenated compounds. But the coverage was not carefully controlled and the amount of available iron surface was probably larger. Studies with pure Cu metal with no Fe present showed no loss of TCE over time periods of 100 hours. This would clearly indicate that once the iron is completely covered by many monolayers of Cu the dehalogenation reaction would not occur.

The copper salt $(\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O})$ was also evaluated. Table 5 indicates that the use of this salt still provided the Cu^{2+} ions in solution for plating on Fe. The use of the nitrate salt can make it more difficult to control the uniformity of the Cu plating because of the nitrate oxidation potentials. This can be seen in Table 5 by the increase in rates as the amount of $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ used with 30 grams of Fe increased. At similar levels using the $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ the iron took on the appearance of Cu. With the $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ the Cu could not be seen on the surface of the Fe. This means that the amount of Cu salt used to give the optimum coverage can vary with the salt used. Table 5 also shows the chloride produced during the reaction time indicating that within experimental error 3 moles of Cl^- were produced for each mole of TCE lost indicating a stoichiometric dechlorination. Headspace studies indicated that the carbon products were ethene, ethane, propene, propane, and small amounts of C_4 to C_6 hydrocarbons. The carbon balance was found to be greater than 90% based on these measured hydrocarbons.

Salts of Ag and Au were also examined. These metals have a higher reduction potential than Fe and should plate onto iron. The amount of Ag and Au salt used was calculated to be sure that the coverage of the surface would not exceed 1 monolayer for 30 grams of Fe. For this study the Fe:Cu with a coverage calculated to be <0.6 monolayer Table 4 was utilized. As in all studies an Fe control was run along with a simulated spike. These experiments were run in the same manner as the previous experiments except that 10 grams of metal were added to the 8 mL vials. The half-lives were determined from the pseudo first order rate constants are shown in Table 6. As was observed previously if the amount of metal is increased the rate increases. The rate for the Fe:Cu <0.6 monolayer was faster than that observed when 5 grams were used (see Table 4). The same occurred for the Fe. Ten grams of iron had a half-life of 53

hours compared with the 111 hours shown for 5 grams in Table 4. The rates for the Ag and Au both show a marked increase over the Fe. The improvement is comparable to that observed for the Fe:Cu.

Figure 2 shows the rate relative to the 99.999% iron for the different galvanic couples examined in the studies discussed here. In Figure 2 the iron surface area is approximately the same, however, the amount coated metal on the surface of the iron was not controlled. Thus one should not make absolute comparison. However, in qualitative sense it is clear that the galvanic couples increased the rates over the high purity iron as well as the 99.2% iron.

The significance of these studies is in pointing to the mechanism of the dechlorination process and how the rate of the reaction can be made to increase. The mechanism is that of an electrochemical process that is surface controlled. The electrochemical process requires a cathode and an anode. For the case of iron metal the cathode is formed because of the impurities in the iron and on the surface of the iron. The oxide layer plays a key role in this process since the oxide layer can be cathodic. At the cathode the halogenated hydrocarbon is reduced producing alkenes, alkanes, and chloride. The steps in forming the products can follow different pathways which may affect the kinetics of the reaction. Instead of relying on the cathodic nature of the impurities in different iron metals the reaction rate can be increased by applying a more noble metal from the galvanic series (9) such as copper, nickel, or tin.

The significance to *Lasagna*TM process treatment zones is the possibility of using the coated metals to speed up the reaction so that the treatment zones would not need to be as thick. The coating metal that would be useable depends on cost and the environmental impact. Cost can easily eliminate such metals as gold and silver. The metals such as copper, tin or nickel may be cost effective at the low levels required. No cost analysis has been carried out at this stage. For use in the environment the coating metals will not be removed from the iron metal at concentrations that would cause concern. Because of their place in the electromotive series the equilibrium constant indicates that the coating metal is strongly driven to remain on the iron as the metal. Thus as long as iron is present the coating metal will remain in the treatment zone. In addition, in the *Lasagna*TM process metal ions will be captured at the electrodes.

Table 4. Variation in half-life as coverage increases

Calculated Monolayer on Fe surface	Observed half-life (hours)
0	111
0.59	30
<7	37
<30	48


Table 5. Observed half-life for Cu plated on Fe using $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and Chlorine mass balance.

Sample ID (amount grams $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ added to 30g Fe)	half-life hours	Amount TCE lost umole/mL	Amount Cl^- produced umole/mL	mole ratio Cl^-/TCE
Fe	149	1.77	5.5	3.1
Fe:Cu (0.1g)	63	3.1	6.6	2.1
Fe:Cu (1 g)	51	3.6	12.6	3.5
Fe:Cu (5g)	12	5.28	14.7	2.8

Table 6. Half-life metals plated on iron.

Sample ID	Half-life (Hours)
Fe	53
Fe:Cu (<0.59 monolayer)	17
Fe:Ag	10
Fe:Au	17

Figure 2. Rates of Galvanic Couples of Iron Relative to 99.999% Iron



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The following people contributed directly to these studies

MONSANTO:Sa Ho, Chris Athmer, B. Mason Hughes, P. Wayne Sheridan,

REFERENCES

1. Senzaki, T.; Kumagai, Y.; 1988, Kogyo Yusui,357,2-7.
2. Gillham, R.W.; Hanesin, S.F. 1994, Ground Water, 32, 6, 958-967.
3. Matheson, L. J.; Trtneyek, P.G. 1994, Environ. Sci. Technology 28, 112, 2045-2053.
4. Lipczynska-Kochany; Harms E.S.; Milburn R.; Sprah, G.; Nadarajah, N., 1994, Chemosphere, 29, 1477-1489.
5. Schreier, C.G.; Reinhard, M., 1994, Chemosphere, 29, 8, 1743-1753.
6. Crummett, W.B.; Stenger, V. A., Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 1956, 434-436.
7. Sweeny, K.H.; Fisher, J.R. 1980 U.S. Patent# 4,219,419
8. Sweeny, K.H.; Fisher, J.R. 1983 U.S. Patent# 4,382,865
9. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 03.02 Designation G82-83. 1989.

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**