

Short Note

Results from GAMMASPHERE in the $A \approx 80$ Mass Region

D. Rudolph^{1,*}, C. Baktash¹, M.J. Brinkman¹, C.J. Gross^{1,2}, H.-Q. Jin¹, W. Satula¹, C.H. Yu¹, M. Devlin³, D.R. LaFosse³, D.G. Sarantites³, G. Sylvan⁴, S.L. Tabor⁴, I. Birriel⁵, J.X. Saladin⁵, V. Wood⁵, I.Y. Lee⁶, and A.O. Macchiavelli⁶

¹ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

² Oak Ridge Associated Universities, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

³ Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA

⁴ Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA

⁵ University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

⁶ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

2. August 1996

MASTER

Abstract. We present recent results obtained with GAMMASPHERE for nuclei in the $A \approx 80$ mass region. These studies have concentrated on a systematic study of superdeformed bands and their transitional quadrupole moments. Normally-deformed rotational bands in a series of even-even $T_z = 1$ nuclei were also investigated to probe the possible influence of the np pairing on the structure of their yrast bands.

The mass region $A \approx 80$ is known to provide a rich variety of nuclear structures. The low density of single-particle energy levels may lead to rapid changes in nuclear shape, depending on the occupation of particular orbitals near the Fermi surface. Among others, an island of high-spin superdeformed (SD) shapes with deformations of $\beta_2 \approx 0.55$ has long been predicted to exist in this mass region. However, mainly due to experimental difficulties, these predicted SD shapes had not been observed until recently. Using the EURO-GAM I array, one of the new generation of γ -ray detector systems, Baktash *et al.* [1] reported the first observation of a discrete SD band, which was tentatively assigned to ^{83}Sr . Later, this assignment was confirmed [2] in an experiment using the GAMMASPHERE in conjunction with the charged-particle detector MICROBALL. Jin *et al.* [3] have identified a SD band in ^{84}Zr and determined its quadrupole moment ($Q_t = 5.2(8)$ eb; $\beta_2 \approx 0.53$), thus providing direct evidence for the presence of a SD shell gap in the mass region. Subsequently, multiple SD bands have been reported for ^{81}Sr [4], ^{82}Sr [5], and ^{82}Y [6].

The latest experiment was performed at the 88-Inch Cyclotron at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. High-spin states in some 30 residual nuclei were populated via the $^{28}\text{Si} + ^{58}\text{Ni}$ reaction at 130 MeV beam energy. The target was a self-supporting ^{58}Ni foil of approximately $350 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ thickness and an enrichment of 99.7 %. Gamma rays were detected with 57 Compton-suppressed Ge detectors of the GAMMASPHERE array [7].

* Present address: Sektion Physik der Universität München, Am Coulombwall 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

RECEIVED

SEP 19 1996

OSTI

"The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U.S. Government under contract No. DE-AC05-96OR22464. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes."

The proton and alpha evaporation particles were detected using the MICROBALL, a nearly 4π charged-particle detector array which consists of 95 CsI(Tl) scintillators with photodiode read-out [8]. A total of $1.3 \cdot 10^9$ three- and higher-fold events were sorted off-line into various E_γ - E_γ matrices and E_γ - E_γ - E_γ cubes subject to the appropriate charged-particle coincidence and analysis conditions.

New SD bands were established in ^{83}Zr [9], ^{83}Y [10], and ^{80}Sr [11]. The moments of inertia of these and the previously reported bands are generally in good agreement with the predictions of cranked shell model calculations which suggest that two to four aligned $h_{11/2}$ orbitals are involved in their configurations. Special among the known SD bands is the one in ^{84}Zr which has the largest quadrupole moment and is populated with 4.2(2) % intensity (versus the 1.5-2% which is the typical value in this region). The new results indicate that this large population is due to a larger-than-expected shell gap at $Z = 40$ at high rotational frequencies of $\hbar\omega \approx 1.3$ MeV.

The yrast SD band in ^{83}Zr has also an exceptional large yield of 5.3(3) %. Interestingly, both SD bands in ^{83}Zr were found to decay almost exclusively into the positive-parity yrast band. Considering all possible branches into the negative-parity bands, the 742 keV $13/2^+ \rightarrow 9/2^+$ transition collects 84(6) % of the yrast SD band, though it carries only 53(2) % of the whole γ -ray flux of ^{83}Zr towards the ground state. A forking at the bottom of the same SD band is interpreted as a possible band interaction with the normally-deformed bands. However, statistics were too low to observe discrete linking transitions. Details can be found in Ref. [9].

Other results from this experiment include the identification of two pairs of twinned identical superdeformed bands [10], and quadrupole moments, Q_t , in some 10 SD bands. The Q_t of the ^{83}Zr yrast band is consistent with a value of ≈ 5 eb (similar to ^{84}Zr) while the bands in the Y- and Sr-isotopes reveal $Q_t \approx 4$ eb [12].

Nuclei having $N \approx Z$ are expected to be influenced by the isospin $T = 0$ np -pairing interaction. For rotational bands, a delay of the $g_{9/2}$ band crossings and a smooth alignment pattern is predicted. Therefore, we

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**




Abbildung 1. Level scheme for ^{78}Sr and ^{82}Zr established in this work. The widths of the arrows are proportional to the relative intensities of the γ rays. Tentative transitions and levels are dashed.

examined the $^{80}\text{Zr} + \alpha 2n$ channel in our data set. However, the low production cross section ($\sigma_{\text{rel}} \approx 0.001\%$), and the less-than-100 % α -detection efficiency allowed us to only establish a coincidence relationship between the two reported γ rays in this nucleus and a tentative third transition at 792 keV.

Near the $N = Z$ line, we have investigated the $N = Z + 2$ nuclei ^{86}Mo , ^{82}Zr , and ^{78}Sr . Figure 1 shows the greatly extended level schemes of the latter two nuclei. A seemingly shell-model structure, likely due to the strong np two-body matrix-elements, was observed in the 4 qp regime of the yrast band of ^{86}Mo which shows basically collective band structures [13].

The previously reported level scheme for ^{82}Zr [14] was generally confirmed and greatly extended. The $\pi = -$ bands were identified and the $\pi = +$ yrast band was observed beyond the second band crossing. The first band crossing in ^{82}Zr is delayed with respect to that in ^{84}Zr , while the second crossing takes place at about the same frequency. The overall behaviour of ^{82}Zr is more similar to its isotope ^{84}Zr rather than to its isotone ^{80}Sr . Calculations suggest a prolate shape for the ground state of ^{82}Zr . However, this prolate minimum is less pronounced than those in the Sr-isotopes and shows some softness in the γ -degree of freedom. At higher frequencies, a well defined triaxial minimum occurs which corresponds to the steep decrease in the experimental Routhians. However, this crossing is predicted too early, possibly due to reduced pairing.

The previous level scheme of ^{78}Sr [15] was also confirmed and greatly extended. The $\pi = -$ bands were established and a forking in the $\pi = +$ yrast band above spin $I = 18\hbar$ was identified. The alignment of the ^{78}Sr yrast band until $\hbar\omega \approx 1$ MeV is extremely small, although both proton and neutron $g_{9/2}$ crossings should have occurred. This may be due to reduced pairing correlations which yield flat Routhians leading to a small alignment. Interestingly, ^{78}Sr provides the largest $J^{(1)}$

moment of inertia at low spins compared to its neighbouring even-even nuclei. The comparatively large and sharp gain of alignment in the yrast band after the forking does not fit very well into the picture of reduced pairing. However, a possible explanation is the so called "unpaired band crossing", where a pair of neutrons moves from the $[422]5/2^+$ into the $[301]3/2^-$ orbit, driving the nucleus towards smaller deformation and a possibly triaxial shape [16].

Acknowledgement. Oak Ridge National Laboratory is managed by Lockheed Martin Research Corp. for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-96OR22464. This research was supported by an appointment to the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Postdoctoral Research Associates Program administered jointly by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education and Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

References

1. C. Baktash *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1946 (1995).
2. D.R. LaFosse *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B354**, 34 (1995).
3. H.-Q. Jin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1471 (1995).
4. F. Cristancho *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B357**, 281 (1995).
5. A.G. Smith *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B355**, 32 (1995).
6. P.J. Dagnall *et al.*, Z. Phys. **A353**, 251 (1995).
7. I.Y. Lee, Nucl. Phys. **A520**, 641c (1990).
8. D.G. Sarantites *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth., in press.
9. D. Rudolph *et al.*, to be published.
10. H.-Q. Jin *et al.*, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **41**, 881 (1996).
11. M. Devlin *et al.*, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **41**, 881 (1996).
12. C.H. Yu *et al.*, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **41**, 881 (1996).
13. D. Rudolph *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C54**, 117 (1996).
14. S. Mitarai *et al.*, Z. Phys. **A344**, 405 (1993).
15. C.J. Gross *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C49**, R580 (1994).
16. A. Harder *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B374**, 277 (1996).

^{78}Sr

