ORO 246

RENORMALIZATION OF A DISTORTED GAUGE — INVARIANT THEORY *

J. P. Hsu and J. A. Underwood

Center for Particle Theory

University of Texas at Austin

Austin, Texas 78712

* Supported in part by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

We consider a new type of renormalizable theory involving massive Yang-Mills fields whose mass is generated by an intrinsic breakdown of the usual local gauge symmetry. However, the Lagrangian has a distorted gauge symmetry which leads to the Ward-Takahashi (W-T) identities. Also, the theory is independent of the gauge parameter ξ. We completely carry out an explicit renormalization at the one-loop level by exhibiting counter terms, defining the physical parameters and computing all renormalization constants to check the W-T identities.

I. Introduction

In a previous paper,[1] we have discussed a renormalizable theory (by power-counting) involving massive Yang-Mills fields in which the vector boson masses are generated by an intrinsic breakdown of the usual local gauge symmetry. The vector-boson mass M cannot be obtained from spontaneous symmetry breakdown due to the absence of the quartic potential of scalar fields.[2] Although the Lagrangian is no longer invariant under the usual local gauge transformation, it is still invariant under a generalized or 'distorted' local gauge transformation involving M.[3,4] In the limit $M \to 0$, the distorted gauge transformation reduces to the usual one. The unitarity and gauge independence of the theory have been verified by calculations up to and including the two-loop level. Furthermore, based on the distorted gauge symmetry, one can give a general formal proof of unitarity and ξ- independence of the theory.[3]

In this paper, we derive the W-T identities,[5,6] which lead to constraints among the renormalization constants (Z's). The renormalization of the theory [1] is carried out in a manifest way to supplement the general formal treatments.[3] All Z's are computed to confirm the W-T identities and to ensure the consistency of renormalization. We show some of the interesting

features of those theories with intrinsic symmetry breakdown that are not revealed in previous formal treatments and provide a theoretical framework for discussing the cancellations of divergences.

We choose a linear gauge condition and derive the fictitious Lagrangian (f-Lagrangian) based on distorted gauge symmetry considerations[7,3]. We obtain an f-Lagrangian which is apparently different from that obtained in the Lagrange multiplier formalism.[8] Yet, in fact they are equivalent when the class of linear gauge condition is chosen; this question has been discussed before.[9,10] However, it is not so when one chooses bilinear gauge conditions. In this case, it has been shown that the usual gauge formalism (in which the f-Lagrangian is obtained by gauge symmetry considerations) leads to violation of unitarity, while the Lagrange multiplier formalism leads to a unitary and gauge-independent theory. For details, we refer to Ref. 11.

II. Distorted Gauge Symmetry

Let us consider the Lagrangian involving massive Yang-Mills fields $\vec{f}_\mu$ and scalar fields $\vec{\phi}$ and U:[1]

$$L_1 = -\frac{1}{4} \vec{f}_{\mu\nu}\cdot\vec{f}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} M^2 \vec{f}_\mu\cdot\vec{f}^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu\vec{\phi}\cdot(\partial^\mu\vec{\phi} + g\vec{f}^\mu \times \vec{\phi}) - \frac{1}{2} g \vec{f}_\mu\cdot(U\partial^\mu\vec{\phi} - \vec{\phi}\partial^\mu U)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{8} g^2 \vec{f}_\mu\cdot\vec{f}^\mu (\vec{\phi}^2 + U^2) + \frac{1}{2} gM\vec{f}_\mu\cdot\vec{f}^\mu U + M\vec{\phi}\partial_\mu\vec{f}^\mu , \qquad (1)$$

$$\vec{f}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu \vec{f}_\mu - \partial_\mu \vec{f}_\nu - g\vec{f}_\mu \times \vec{f}_\nu . \qquad (2)$$

We emphasize that (1) does not have a quartic potential of the scalar fields, an essential for spontaneous breaking of gauge symmetry. In this sense, the mass M of the vector field $\vec{f}_\mu$ in (1) has little to do with spontaneous symmetry breaking. It could be regarded as generated by an intrinsic symmetry breaking because if $M = 0$ the Lagrangian L_1 in (1) will be invariant under the usual SU(2) local gauge transformation. One can verify that the Lagrangian L_1 is invariant under the distorted SU(2) gauge transformation:

$$f^a_\mu \to f'^a_\mu = f^a_\mu - g\, c^{abc} \omega^b f^c_\mu + \partial_\mu \omega^a ,$$
$$\phi^a \to \phi'^a = \phi^a - \frac{1}{2} g\, c^{abc} \omega^b \phi^c + \frac{1}{2} g\omega^a (U + 2M/g) ,$$
$$U \to U' = U - \frac{1}{2} g\omega^a \phi^a , \qquad (3)$$

where $\vec{\omega} = \vec{\omega}(x)$ is an infinitesimal gauge function. When $M = 0$, ϕ_a and U transform like components of a complex isodoublet field.

We choose as the gauge condition

$$\partial_\mu f^\mu_a + M\phi_a/\xi = b_a(x) , \qquad (4)$$

where $b_a(x)$ is a suitable function independent of the fields and the gauge function $\omega_a(x)$. The vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude of the theory is[7]

$$W(b_a) = \int d[F] \exp\left\{ i \int d^4x\, L_1 \right\} \det Q \prod_a \delta(\partial_\mu f^\mu_a + M\phi_a/\xi - b_a), \qquad (5)$$

where F denotes the set of fields in L_1, $F \equiv (\vec{f}_\mu, \vec{\phi}, U)$. The functional determinant det Q is defined by [12]

$$1/\det Q = \int d[\vec{\omega}] \prod_a \delta(\partial_\mu f'^\mu_a + M\phi'_a/\xi - b_a) . \qquad (6)$$

It follows from (6) that

$$Q^{ab} = \delta^{ab}(\Box + M^2/\xi) - g\, c^{abd} \bar{\partial}_\mu f^\mu_d$$
$$- \frac{1}{2} \frac{Mg}{\xi} c^{abd} \phi_d + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} \frac{Mg}{\xi} U , \qquad (7)$$

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu f^\mu_d \equiv (\partial_\mu f^\mu_d) + f^\mu_d \partial_\mu , \quad \Box \equiv \partial_\mu \partial^\mu , \qquad (8)$$

It can be shown that $W(b_a)$ is invariant under an infinitesimal change of $b_a(x)$ for all $b_a(x)$.[2] Thus, we may write $W(b_a)$ in (5) as

$$W = \int W(b_a) \exp\{-i\int d^4x \; \xi b_a^2(x)/2\} \, d[\vec{b}(x)]$$

$$= \int d[F] \det Q \exp\Big\{ i\int d^4x \Big[L_1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi(\partial_\mu \vec{F}^\mu + M\vec{\phi}/\xi)^2 \Big] \Big\}, \quad (9)$$

to within unimportant multiplicative factors. The amplitude (9) corresponds to starting from the effective Lagrangian [1]

$$L_{eff} = L_1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi(\partial_\mu \vec{F}^\mu + M\vec{\phi}/\xi)^2 - D_a^* Q_{ab} D_b , \qquad (10)$$

which is renormalizable by power counting. The Lagrangian (10) completely specifies the theory involving the physical Yang-Mills field and scalar field U, together with the unphysical scalar fields $\partial^\mu f_\mu^a$, ϕ^a and the fictitious scalar-fermion fields D_a^* and D_a.

The complete Feynman rules derived from (10) are given in a previous paper.[1] In the limit $\xi\to 0$, we have a formally unitary theory, in which the masses of all unphysical fields (i.e., $\partial^\mu \vec{F}_\mu$, $\vec{\phi}$, $\vec{D}^*$ and $\vec{D}$) become infinite. For the tree-diagrams, the unitarity of the S-matrix in the limit $\xi\to 0$ is obvious. However, the effects of unphysical scalars remain because the fictitious loops degenerate to quartically divergent contact terms when $\xi = 0$:

$$\det Q = \exp\Big\{ i\delta^4(0) \, \mathrm{Trln}\big(\delta^{ab} + g\epsilon^{abd}\phi_d/(2M) + \delta^{ab} gU/(2M) \big) \Big\}.$$

In general, the limit $\xi\to 0$ is singular and could interfere with loop-momentum integrations[13]. Therefore, it must be examined carefully in the framework of renormalization and regularization.

III. W-T Identities

Let us define the generating functional W(J) in the gauge specified by (4) as

$$W(J) = \int d[F,\bar{D},\bar{D}^*] \exp\Big\{ i\int d^4x \Big[L_{eff} + \vec{J}_\mu\cdot\vec{F}^\mu + \vec{J}_\phi\cdot\vec{\phi} + J_U U \Big] \Big\}, \quad (11)$$

We consider the transformation (3) with the gauge function $\omega_a(x)$ restricted by

$$\omega_a(x) = \big[Q^{-1}(F)\big]_{ab} \rho_b , \qquad (12)$$

where ρ_b is an arbitrary infinitesimal number independent of fields. After performing the transformation (3) with $\vec{\omega}(x)$ restricted by (12) on the variables of the path integral (11), one obtains the W-T identity [3,6]

$$\Big\{ -\xi\Big(\partial_\mu \frac{\delta}{i\delta J_\mu^a} + \frac{M}{\xi}\frac{\delta}{i\delta J_\phi^a}\Big)_y + \int \Big[J_\mu^c\Big(-\epsilon^{cbd} g \frac{\delta}{i\delta J_\mu^d} + \delta^{cb}\partial^\mu\Big)$$

$$+ J_\phi^c\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{cbd} g \frac{\delta}{i\delta J_\phi^d} + \frac{1}{2} g\delta^{cb} \frac{\delta}{i\delta J_U} + \delta^{cb} M\Big) + J_U\Big(-\frac{1}{2} g\delta^{cb} \frac{\delta}{i\delta J_\phi^c}\Big)\Big]_z \times$$

$$\Big[Q_{zy}^{-1}\Big(\frac{\delta}{i\delta J}\Big)\Big]^{ba} d^4 z \Big\} W(J) = 0 \qquad (13)$$

for $W(J)$, where $\left[J_\mu^c\, \delta/\delta J_\mu^d\right]_z = J_\mu^c(z)\, \delta/\delta J_\mu^d(z)$, etc...
The identity (13) implies relations between different renormalization constants. One may, for example, differentiate (13) with respect to J_μ two and three times, and then let all external sources vanish to obtain the relations[3]

$$Z_1 / Z_3 = Y_1 / Y_3 , \qquad (14)$$

$$Z_4 = Z_1^2 / Z_3$$

where $Z_3(Y_3)$ is the wave function renormalization for f_μ^a (D^a), Z_1 (Y_1) is the vertex renormalization for $f_\mu^a f_\nu^b f_\lambda^c$ $(f_\mu^a D'^b D^c)$ and Z_4 is for $f_\mu^a f_\nu^b f_\lambda^c f_\sigma^d$. The W-T identities (14) are the same as those occurring in the massless Yang-Mills theory[5], though the actual distorted gauge transformations (5) are different from the usual gauge transformations. The non-trivial identities (14) will be checked in sec. 4 because the scalar particles, which have different interactions from those in previous theories[5], contribute to Z's.

IV. Renormalization

The effective bare Lagrangian of the theory is given by (10), i.e.,

$$L_{eff} = L_1 + L_\xi + L_{ff}(D_a', D_a), \qquad (15)$$

$$L_\xi = -\frac{1}{2}\xi(\partial_\mu f_a^\mu + M\phi_a/\xi)^2,$$

$$L_{ff} = \partial_\mu D_a' \partial^\mu D_a - (M^2/\xi)\, D_a' D_a - g\, c^{abd}\, D_a' \partial_\mu (f_b^\mu D_d)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}(Mg/\xi)\left[c^{abd} D_a' \phi_d D_b + D_a'\, D_a U\right],$$

where L_1 is given by (1). The renormalization program is formulated on the basis of L_1. We rescale fields and parameters in L_1 according to

$$f_\mu^a \to Z_3^{1/2} f_\mu^a , \qquad \phi^a \to Z_\phi^{1/2} \phi^a ,$$

$$U \to Z_U^{1/2} U , \qquad g \to g Z_1/(Z_3)^{3/2}, \qquad (16)$$

$$M^2 \to Z_m M^2/Z_3 \quad .$$

This gives an invariant renormalized Lagrangian, denoted by L_{inv},

$$L_{inv} = -\frac{1}{4}Z_3(\partial_\mu f_\nu^a - \partial_\nu f_\mu^a)^2 + \frac{1}{2} Z_m M^2 f_\mu^a f_a^\mu$$

$$- Z_1 g\, c^{abc}(\partial_\mu f_\nu^a) f_\mu^b f_\nu^c - \frac{1}{4}(g^2 Z_1^2/Z_3) c_{abc} c_{ade}\, f_\mu^b f_\nu^c f_d^\mu f_e^\nu$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}Z_U \partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U + \frac{1}{2}Z_\phi \partial_\mu \phi_a \partial^\mu \phi_a - \frac{1}{2}(g Z_1 Z_\phi/Z_3) c_{abc}(\partial^\mu \phi_a) f_\mu^b \phi^c \qquad (17)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\left[g Z_1 (Z_U Z_\phi)^{1/2}/Z_3\right] f_\mu^a\, (U\partial^\mu \phi_a - \phi_a \partial^\mu U) + (Z_m Z_\phi)^{1/2} M \phi_a \partial^\mu f_\mu^a$$

$$-\frac{1}{8}(g^2 Z_1^2/Z_3^2)(f_\mu^a)^2 (Z_\phi \phi_b \phi_b + Z_U U^2) + \frac{1}{2}\, g Z_1 (Z_m Z_U Z_3^{-2})^{1/2} M U f_\mu^a f_a^\mu \quad .$$

The gauge-fixing term L_ξ in (15) is chosen for simple f^a_μ and ϕ^a propagators and gives no $f^a_\mu - \phi^a$ transition propagator in the bare theory. But there will be an $f^a_\mu - \phi^a$ transition propagator of order $L \sim g^2/(4-n) |_{n\to 4}$ and complicated f^a_μ and ϕ^a propagators in the renormalized theory. In order to have a convenient gauge for the renormalized theory, we choose

$$L^R_\xi = -\xi(\partial_\mu f^\mu_a + M\phi_a/\xi)^2/2 \qquad (18)$$

to quantize the theory. We note that, in (17) and (18), g and M are now renormalized parameters and the fields are renormalized.

The renormalized L_{inv} in (17) is now invariant under the following renormalized transformation:

$$f'_{a\mu} = f_{a\mu} - g(Z_1 Z_\omega/Z_3)\epsilon^{abc}\omega^b_r f^c_\mu + Z_\omega \partial_\mu \omega^a_r ,$$

$$\phi'_a = \phi_a - \frac{1}{2} g(Z_1/Z_3)\, \epsilon^{abc}\omega^b_r \phi^c Z_\omega \qquad (19)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} g(Z_1/Z_3) Z_\omega \omega^a_r \left(Z_U^{1/2} U/Z_\phi^{1/2} + Z_m^{1/2} Z_3 2M/(g Z_1 Z_\phi^{1/2})\right) ,$$

$$U' = U - \frac{1}{2} g\left[Z_1 Z_\omega Z_\phi^{1/2}/(Z_3 Z_U^{1/2})\right]\omega^a_r \phi^a ,$$

where we have rescaled ω^a according to $\omega^a \to Z_\omega \omega^a_r/Z_3^{1/2}$ for convenience, i.e. Z_ω will be related to the wave-function renormalization constant Y_3 of the fictitious field D_a in a simple way.

(see eq. (32) below). We note that the renormalized Lagrangian (17) is invariant under (19), which is different from (3), and L^R_ξ in (18) is expressed in terms of renormalized quantities. Thus, we must now derive the renormalized f-Lagrangian on the basis of (19) and (18). In analogy with the derivation of Q^{ab} in (8) and (10), we obtain the following renormalized f-Lagrangian:

$$L^R_{ff} = Z_\omega \partial_\mu D'_a \partial^\mu D_a - Z_\omega M^2 Z_m^{1/2} D'_a D_a/(Z_\phi^{1/2}\xi)$$

$$+ g(Z_1 Z_\omega/Z_3) D'_a \partial_\mu (f^\mu_d D_b)\epsilon^{abd}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}(gM/\xi)(Z_1 Z_\omega/Z_3)\,\epsilon^{abd} D'_a \phi_d D_b \qquad (20)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}(gM/\xi)(Z_1 Z_\omega Z_U^{1/2}/Z_3 Z_\phi^{1/2})\, D'_a U D_a .$$

We shall now consider one-loop corrections to the theory in the Feynman gauge, $\xi = 1$ in (18). We employ dimensional regularization[14], which preserves distorted gauge symmetry, to define the divergent quantities. The divergences due to one-loop corrections have the form of simple poles at $n = 4$. Renormalization amounts to subtraction of the poles with their appropriate residues to render the theory finite. In gen..., in higher order corrections, there are divergent quantities which must be cancelled by counter terms. A theory which is renormalizable by power counting can be made finite by the addition of a finite number of counter terms.[15] The forms of some counter terms, e.g. the tadpole and the U-mass counter-terms, do not appear in the bare Lagrangian (15) and must be included in the renormalized Lagrangian in order to renormalize the theory. The distorted gauge symmetry of the theory severely restricts the forms of these 'new' counter terms. The situation is similar to the well-known γ_5 meson-nucleon interaction theory, where one must add a 'new' counter term of quartic meson coupling for renormalization.

The effective renormalized Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^R_{eff} &= L_{inv} + G(U,\phi_a) + L^R_\xi + L^R_{\xi f} \\
&\equiv L_{inv}(Z\text{'s}\to 1) + L^R_\xi + L^R_{ff}(Z\text{'s}\to 1) + L_{ct}
\end{aligned}
\tag{21}
$$

where Z's → 1 denotes that all Z's are set to unity and the 'new' counter term $G(U,\phi_a)$ takes the form

$$
G(U,\phi_a) = \delta\left[(U + 2M/g)^2 + \phi_a^2\right]^2 + (\delta' - 16\delta)\, UM^3/g \tag{22}
$$

which is suggested by calculations. The parameters δ and δ' are to be determined later. The counter-term Lagrangian L_{ct} is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{ct} = &-\tfrac{1}{4}(Z_3-1)(\partial_\mu f^a_\nu - \partial_\nu f^a_\mu)^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}(Z_m - 1)M^2 f^a_\mu f^\mu_a \\
&- g(Z_1-1)\epsilon^{abc}(\partial_\mu f^a_\nu) f^b_\mu f^c_\nu - \tfrac{1}{4}g^2(Z_1^2/Z_3-1)\epsilon_{abc}\epsilon_{ade} f^b_\mu f^c_\nu f^\mu_d f^\nu_e \\
&+ \tfrac{1}{2}(Z_U-1)\partial_\mu U\partial^\mu U + \tfrac{1}{2}(Z_\phi-1)\partial_\mu\phi_a\partial^\mu\phi^a + \tfrac{1}{2}g(Z_1Z_\phi/Z_3-1)\epsilon_{abc}(\partial^\mu\phi_a) f^b_\mu\phi^c \\
&- \tfrac{1}{2}g\left[Z_1(Z_UZ_\phi)^{1/2}/Z_3 - 1\right] f^a_\mu (U\partial^\mu\phi_a - \phi_a\partial^\mu U) \\
&- \tfrac{1}{8}g^2(Z_\phi Z_1^2/Z_3^2 - 1)\phi_a\phi_a - \tfrac{1}{8}g^2(Z_U Z_1^2/Z_3^2-1)U^2 \\
&+ \left[(Z_mZ_\phi)^{1/2} - 1\right] M\phi_a\partial^\mu f^a_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}g\left[Z_1(Z_mZ_UZ_3^{-2})^{1/2}-1\right] MUf^a_\mu f^\mu_a \\
&+ G(U,\phi_a) + (Z_\omega-1)\partial_\mu D'_a\partial^\mu D_a - (Z_\omega Z_m^{1/2}Z_\phi^{-1/2}-1)M^2D'_aD_a/\xi \\
&+ (Z_1Z_\omega Z_3^{-1}-1)g\epsilon^{abd}D'_a\partial_\mu(f^\mu_d D_b) + (Z_1Z_\omega Z_3^{-1}-1)(gM/2\xi)\epsilon^{abc}D'_aD_b\phi_c \\
&- (gM/2\xi)(Z_1Z_\omega Z_U^{1/2}Z_3^{-1}Z_\phi^{-1/2}-1)D'_aD_aU.
\end{aligned}
\tag{23}
$$

We shall ignore the tadpole contributions for the moment. Let us consider first the one-particle-irreducible diagrams for the vector-boson self-energy and the relevant counter term, which are given in Fig. 1. The finite parts will be neglected in our discussions. The sum of contributions due to Fig. 1 is

$$\pi^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p) = i\, M^2 L g_{\mu\nu}\delta_{ab} + i(19/6)L(g_{\mu\nu}p^2 - p_\mu p_\nu)\delta_{ab}$$

$$+ i(Z_m - 1)M^2 g_{\mu\nu}\delta_{ab} - i(Z_3 - 1)(g_{\mu\nu}p^2 - p_\mu p_\nu)\delta_{ab}$$

$$\equiv \pi_1(p^2)g_{\mu\nu}\delta_{ab} + \pi_2(p^2)p_\mu p_\nu \delta_{ab} \, , \qquad (24)$$

$$L \equiv (g/4\pi)^2 (2 - n/2)^{-1} .$$

We perform conventional renormalizations of mass and wave-function so that Z_m and Z_3 eliminate the divergent quantities in the expansion of $\pi_1(p^2)$ about $p^2 = M^2_{phys.} = M^2$. We obtain

$$Z_3 = 1 + 19L/6 \, , \qquad Z_m = 1 - L \; . \qquad (25)$$

The one-loop correction to the Yang-Mills three-point function (Fig. 2) is

$$f^{abc}_{\mu\nu\lambda} \left[-7L/6 + (Z_1 - 1) \right] , \qquad (26)$$

where $f^{abc}_{\mu\nu\lambda} \equiv -g\epsilon_{abc}\left[g_{\mu\nu}(p-q)_\lambda + g_{\nu\lambda}(q-k)_\mu + g_{\lambda\mu}(k-p)_\nu \right]$

The constant Z_1 is determined by requiring the only contribution to the physical $f^a_\mu f^b_\nu f^c_\lambda$ coupling constant to be the tree diagram:

$$Z_1 = 1 + 7L/6 \quad . \qquad (27)$$

The one-loop correction to the Yang-Mills four-point function (Fig. 3) is

$$f^{abcd}_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \left\{ \left[L/6 \right] + \left[2L/3 \right] + \left[Z_1^2 / Z_3 - 1 \right] \right\} , \qquad (28)$$

where
$$f^{abcd}_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = -ig^2 \Big[\epsilon_{fab}\epsilon_{fcd}\,(g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\lambda})$$

$$+ \epsilon_{fac}\epsilon_{fdb}\,(g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\lambda} - g_{\mu\nu}g_{\lambda\sigma})$$

$$+ \epsilon_{fad}\epsilon_{fbc}\,(g_{\mu\nu}g_{\lambda\sigma} - g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\sigma}) \Big] . \qquad (29)$$

With the help of (25) and (27) we see that (28) does vanish as required. This implies that

$$Z_4 = Z_1^2 / Z_3 \, , \qquad (30)$$

where Z_4 is the renormalization constant for $f^a_\mu f^b_\nu f^c_\lambda f^d_\sigma$ and therefore, the second identity in (14) is confirmed.

From the self-energy diagrams for ϕ and U, we get

$$Z_U = Z_\phi = 1 + 3L/2 \, , \tag{31}$$

$$\delta = -9Lg^2/64 \, .$$

In the f-Lagrangian (20), we may regard the scalar-fermion D_a and the $f_\mu \bar{D} D$ coupling constant as being rescaled according to

$$D^a \to Y_3^{\frac{1}{2}} D^a \, , \quad Y_{\bar{z}} = Z_\omega$$
$$g \to g Y_1 / (Y_3 Z_3^{\frac{1}{2}}) \, , \tag{32}$$

together with (16). From the diagrams in Fig. 4, we obtain the sum of the D_a self-energy and the counter term:

$$\pi_D^{ab}(p^2) = -i(5L/4)\delta^{ab} - iL(p^2 - M^2)\delta^{ab}$$
$$-i(Y_3 Z_m^{\frac{1}{2}} / Z_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) M^2 \delta^{ab} + i(Y_3 - 1)p^2 \, . \tag{33}$$

The constant Y_3 is determined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} \; \pi_D^{ab} \, (p^2)|_{p^2 = M^2} = 0 \, , \tag{34}$$

i.e. $\qquad Y_3 = 1 + L. \tag{35}$

Note that $\pi_D^{ab}(M^2)$ vanishes automatically ,

$$\pi_D^{ab}(M^2) = 0 \quad , \qquad \xi = 1 \tag{36}$$

as required for consistency. Also note that the D_a mass counter term in (33) contains no new Z constants.

The corrections to the $f_\mu^a D^b D^c$ three-point function and the relevant counter term in Fig. 5 give

$$-g\epsilon_{abc} k_\mu \left[L + (Y_3 Z_1 / Z_3 - 1) \right] \, , \tag{37}$$

which vanishes as can be seen with the help of (25), (27), and (35). From the bare f-Lagrangian L_{ff} in (15), the scalings (32) and (16), and the result (37), we get

$$Y_1 = Y_3 Z_1 / Z_3 \quad , \tag{38}$$

which confirms the first identity in (14). This and (30) ensure that g has been renormalized consistently. Other one-loop vertex corrections are given in the Appendix.

Let us now consider the tadpole diagrams. The contribution of tadpoles is divergent and must be cancelled by a counter term. The tadpole counter term in (22) is not gauge invariant. This does not matter because the counter terms may not be gauge invariant in general and tadpoles are to be omitted in calculating with the renormalized Lagrangian.[16] The tadpole diagrams and the related counter term in Fig. 6 give

$$i\left[+9LM^3/(2g^3) + \delta' M^3/g^3\right], \tag{39}$$

which must vanish, and so we obtain

$$\delta' = -9L/2. \tag{40}$$

V. Remarks and Conclusion

What we have done is to carry out an explicit and complete renormalization of a theory in which the vector-boson mass is generated by an intrinsic breakdown of the usual gauge symmetry. Yet the Lagrangian is nevertheless invariant under a distorted gauge transformation. This is the essential feature for the theory to be renormalizable. In spontaneously broken gauge theories, the Lagrangians are also strictly invariant under a distorted gauge transformation.[4,3] Conceptually, the distorted gauge transformation is a generalization of the usual gauge transformation, as one can see from (3). The distorted gauge symmetry is a general concept in the sense that it includes different symmetries as special cases, e.g. spontaneously broken gauge symmetry, intrinsically broken gauge symmetry, and the usual local gauge symmetry.

We are grateful to Professor E. C. G. Sudarshan for helpful discussions.

Appendix

The renormalization constants in section 4 are summarized as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Z_3 = 1 + 19L/6, && Z_m = 1 - L \\
&Z_1 = 1 + 7L/6, && Z_U = Z_\phi = 1 + 3L/2 \\
&Y_3 = 1 + L = Z_\omega, && Y_1 = 1 - L, \\
&\delta = -9Lg^2/64, && \delta' = -9L/2 \\
&L = (g/4\pi)^2(2-n/2)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
\qquad (A1)
$$

for $\xi=1$. Because of the distorted gauge symmetry, the renormalizations of various couplings in (2') are related. There are also many types of 'new' counter terms. The renormalized Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^R_{eff} = &-\tfrac{1}{4}\left[1+19L/6\right](\partial_\mu f^a_\nu - \partial_\nu f^a_\mu)^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\left[1+L\right]M^2 f^a_\mu f^\mu_a \\
&-\left[1+7L/6\right] g\epsilon^{abc}(\partial_\mu f^a_\nu) f^\mu_b f^\nu_c - \tfrac{1}{4}\left[1-5L/6\right]\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ade} f^b_\mu f^c_\nu f^\mu_d f^\nu_e \\
&+ \tfrac{1}{2}\left[1+3L/2\right](\partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U + \partial_\mu \phi_a \partial^\mu \phi_a) \\
&- (27L/8)M^2U^2 - (9L/8)M^2\phi^2_a + \tfrac{1}{2}\left[1-L/2\right] g\epsilon_{abc}(\partial^\mu \phi_a) f^b_\mu \phi^c \\
&- \tfrac{1}{2}\left[1-L/2\right] g f^a_\mu \,(U\partial^\mu\phi^a - \phi^a\partial^\mu U) \\
&+ \left[1-5L/2\right](g^2/8) f^a_\mu f^\mu_a(\phi_b\phi_b + U^2) \\
&+ \left[1-7L/4\right] gM f^a_\mu f^\mu_a U + \left[1+L/4\right] M\phi^a\partial^\mu f^a_\mu \\
&- (9L/8)MgU(\phi^2_a + U^2) - (9L/2)M^3U/g
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- (9L/64)(U^2+\phi^2_a)^2 - (\partial_\mu f^\mu_a + M\phi_a)^2/2 \\
&+ \left[1+L\right]\partial^\mu D'_a\partial_\mu D_a - \left[1-L/4\right]M^2 D'_a D_a \\
&+ \left[1-L\right]\Big[g\epsilon^{abc}D'_a\partial^\mu(f^c_\mu D^b) + gM\epsilon^{abc}D'_a D_b\phi_c/2 \\
&\qquad - gMD'_a D_a U/2\Big].
\end{aligned}
\qquad (A2)
$$

We have checked each term of (A2) to make sure that the theory is renormalized consistently in accordance with the distorted gauge symmetry. The coefficients of the new counter term $(\phi_a\phi_a)^2$, for example, is obtained by calculating the diagrams in Fig. 7.

References

1. J. P. Hsu and J. A. Underwood, Phys. Rev. D12, 620 (1974).

2. J. P. Hsu and E. C. G. Sudarshan, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 13, 557 (1975); J. P. Hsu, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 12, 503 (1975); E. C. G. Sudarshan, "Unitary Unified Theory", Proceedings First International Symposium on Mathematical Phys. Warsaw. 1974. (in press).

3. J. P. Hsu, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 11, 525 (1974); 12, 128 (1975); preprint 1976.

4. G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, TH:1571-CERN, 1972 (unpublished).

5. A. A. Slavnow, Theoret. Math. Phys. 10, 99 (1972); see also J. C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B33, 436 (1971) and Ref. 7.

6. B. W. Lee and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rev. D5, 3121, 3137, 3155 (1972); D7, 1049 (1973).

7. L. D. Faddeev and V. Popov, Phys. Lett. 25B, 29 (1967); G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. 33B, 173 (1971); 35B, 167 (1971).

8. J. P. Hsu and E. C.G. Sudarshan, Phys. Lett. 51B, 349 (1974); Phys. Rev. D9, 1678 (1974); J. P. Hsu, Phys. Rev. D8, 2609 (1973); D9, 1113 (1974), appendix.

9. J. P. Hsu and E.C.G. Sudarshan, Nucl. Phys. B91, 477 (1975).

10. N. G. Despande and P. Kielanowski, CPT 249 (1975).

11. J. P. Hsu, E. Mac and E. C. G. Sudarshan, Phys. Lett. 59B, 471 (1975); preprint ORO 235 (1975); J. P. Hsu and E. Mac, CPT 236 (1975); J. P. Hsu, Lett. Nuovo Cimento (in press)

12. One must be careful in the formal expansion of the functional determinant; see Ref. 9.

13. T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 128, 885 (1962). For a discussion with an illustration concerning the limit $\xi\to o$ and the loop-momentum integration, see S. R. Borchardt, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Colorado (1973); K. T. Mahanthappa, CPT lecture (Univ. of Texas at Austin, preprint, 1973).

14. C. G. Bollini, J. J. Giambiagi and A. Gonzales-Dominguez, Nuovo Cimento 31, 550 (1964); G. 't Hooft and M. T. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B44, 189 (1972); G. Cicuta and Montaldi, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 4, 329 (1972).

15. N.N.Bogoliubov and D. B. Shirkov, Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields (New York, N. Y., 1959).

16. D. A. Ross and J. C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B51, 125 (1973); T. Appelquist, J. Carazzone, T. Goldman, and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. D8, 1747 (1973).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. The one-loop self-energy of f_μ^a. We use a solid line for f, a wavy line for U, a dashed line for ϕ, a dotted line for D.

Fig. 2. Corrections to the Yang-Mills three-point function.

Fig. 3. Corrections to the Yang-Mills four-point function.

Fig. 4. The self-energy of D_a.

Fig. 5. Corrections to the $f_\mu^a D_b D_c$ vertex.

Fig. 6. Tadpole and its counter term.

Fig. 7. Diagram for the new counter term $(\phi_a \phi_a)^2$.



Fig. 1.



Fig. 2.



Fig. 3.



Fig. 4.



Fig. 5.



Fig. 6.



Fig. 7.