

DOE/ER/60253--T9

Progress Report

1991

Rangeland - Plant Response to Elevated CO₂

Submitted By:

Dr. Clenton E. Owensby
Dr. Patrick I. Coyne
Dr. Jay M. Ham
Dr. William Parton
Dr. Charles Rice
Ms. Lisa M. Auen
Mr. Neal Adam

Department of Agronomy
Kansas State University
Manhattan, KS 66502-5501
913-532-7232

Program of the:

U.S. Department of Energy
Atmospheric and Climate Research Division
Office of Health and Environmental Research
Office of Energy Research
Washington, D.C. 20545

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Summary

Effects of carbon dioxide enrichment on a tallgrass ecosystem were monitored during the 1990 growing season. The chambers, CO₂ delivery system, and data acquisition and control system were in place and operational by 4 April 1990. CO₂ fumigation and data acquisition began on that date. Nitrogen fertilizer as ammonium nitrate was applied at a rate of 45 kg ha⁻¹ on 1 April to the N-fertilized plots. The chambers were 4.5 m in diameter and 4 m in height to allow for destructive sampling for biomass accumulation, leaf area determination, and for grazing esophageally-fistulated sheep. The experimental site was located in pristine Tallgrass Prairie north of/and adjacent to the Kansas State University campus. Vegetation on the site was a mixture of C3 and C4 species and was dominated by big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii* Vitman) and indiangrass [*Sorghastrum nutans* (L.) Nash]. Subdominants included Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis* L.), sideoats grama [*Bouteloua curtipendula* (Michx.) Torr.], and tall dropseed [*Sporobolus asper* var. *asper* (Michx.) Kunth]. Members of the sedge family made up 5-10% of the composition. Principal forbs included western ragweed (*Ambrosia psilostachya* DC.), Louisiana sagewort (*Artemisia ludoviciana* Nutt.), and manyflower scurfpea [*Psoralea tenuiflora* var. *floribunda* (Nutt.) Rydb.]. Average peak biomass occurs in early August at 425 g m⁻² of which 35 g m⁻² is from forbs. The area was ideal for meeting the experimental objectives listed below, in that the mixture of C3 and C4 plants would allow for assessment of competitive relationships among numerous species of both carbon fixation pathways.

Objectives

- ◆ To characterize the effects of double ambient CO₂ enrichment and nitrogen fertilization on changes in diet selection and diet quality for ruminants.
- ◆ To monitor plant population dynamics under ambient and CO₂-enriched atmospheres with and without nitrogen fertilization.
- ◆ To measure biomass accumulation and leaf area during the growing season under ambient and CO₂ enriched atmospheres with and without nitrogen fertilization.
- ◆ To derive growth dynamics parameters for *Andropogon gerardii* under ambient and CO₂-enriched environments with and without nitrogen fertilization.
- ◆ To determine relative root biomass accumulation in ingrowth bags under ambient and CO₂-enriched environments with and without nitrogen addition.
- ◆ To determine surface litter dynamics under ambient and CO₂-enriched environments with and without nitrogen addition.

In this report we detail ambient and chamber environment, biomass and leaf area response to CO₂ enrichment, growth dynamics analysis, root ingrowth bag biomass, and forage quality of samples collected in 1989 by clipping. Listed below are the important outcomes for 1990.

1. Large, open-top chambers constructed of structural aluminum and covered with polyethylene film were used. A truncated, cone-type baffle was added to the top of the chambers to decrease CO₂ requirement and to stabilize CO₂ concentration in the enriched chambers. Similar to 1989, these chambers reduced photosynthetically-active radiation (PAR) by 11% over the course of the experiment, but did not increase air temperature at maximum plant height (30 cm) or soil temperature (-10 cm) when compared to unchambered areas. Air temperature at 100 cm was slightly increased on the hottest days, and air temperature at 300 cm was much higher in chambered plots than that of unchambered ones. Chamber air was changed three times per minute. Soil moisture levels were higher in chambers during most of the growing season. Dewpoint was higher in chambered than in unchambered plots.

2. CO₂ concentration in the enriched chambers was maintained at double that of the ambient condition during the entire 24-hr day. During nighttime hours, CO₂ levels in the enriched chambers peaked at 750-760 ppm, and ambient CO₂ levels at night were 370-380 ppm. During the day with maximum photosynthesis, CO₂ levels in the enriched chambers were 680-690 ppm, and ambient levels were 340-345 ppm. Wind velocity and air temperature both affected the amount of CO₂ required to maintain target CO₂ level.

3. In 1990 we added nitrogen fertilization to the experiment. The added treatments were CO₂ -enriched +N, Chamber Ambient CO₂ + N, and Ambient CO₂ + N which were replicated twice.

4. No-Nitrogen Plots - Total biomass on no-nitrogen plots, determined biweekly, was generally higher in chambered plots, whether CO₂ -enriched or not, than in unchambered plots. When compared to 1989 there appeared to be no CO₂ enrichment effect. By comparing data from 1989 and 1990, we were able to conclude that the chamber effect and CO₂ -enrichment effect were confounded. It appears that the major response to CO₂ in the Tallgrass Prairie ecosystem is mediate. In 1989, conditions were so dry that the chamber effect was minimal, as

evidenced by a lack of difference shown in dewpoint temperature between chambered and unchambered plots. In 1990, dewpoint temperatures were higher in chambered plots than in unchambered ones. There was no difference in Kentucky bluegrass (C3) biomass accumulation among the chamber-enriched, chamber ambient, and unchambered plots. That was likely due to the nitrogen limitation on growth of C3 species in this ecosystem. C4 species also quickly overtop the shorter C3 plants providing further reduction in their growth potential. Forb biomass and total biomass accumulation did not differ among the CO₂ treatments.

5. N-Fertilized Plots - There was a greater big bluestem biomass in CO₂-enriched plots than in chamber-ambient and ambient CO₂ plots. That result may support the conclusion that response to CO₂ enrichment can be limited by nitrogen availability. Kentucky bluegrass, forb, and total biomass did not differ among treatments

6. Leaf area index (LAI) responded to CO₂ enrichment and chamber effect similarly to biomass on both no-N and N-fertilized plots.

7. As in 1989, half of each plot was grazed by esophageally-fistulated sheep. Sheep were grazed for a 15-minute period every two weeks and samples of their masticate collected. Acid detergent fiber, acid detergent indigestible nitrogen, series in vitro dry matter digestibility, and nitrogen content will be determined on freeze-dried samples.

8. Forage quality was measured on the biomass samples clipped at different dates in 1989. Nitrogen concentration and acid detergent fiber (ADF) were determined. Nitrogen levels in big bluestem, warm-season perennial grasses, and Kentucky bluegrass were lower in CO₂-enriched plots than in chamber-ambient and ambient CO₂ plots. ADF concentration was higher with CO₂ enrichment than under chamber-ambient and ambient CO₂. The impact of the reduced N and increased ADF is a reduced forage quality which would reduce intake and lower animal gains. Nitrogen concentration in big bluestem was similar for chamber-enriched and chamber-ambient plots in 1990 but was lower than that of the unchambered-ambient plots. Kentucky bluegrass had lower N concentration in the CO₂-enriched plots than in chamber-ambient and ambient CO₂ plots. On plots with N fertilization, big bluestem had lower N concentration on CO₂-enriched plots than the chamber-ambient or ambient CO₂ plots, and the

ambient CO₂ plots had a lower N concentration than chamber-ambient ones. As with unfertilized plots, Kentucky bluegrass on fertilized plots had lower N concentration in the CO₂-enriched plots than in chamber-ambient and ambient CO₂ plots.

9. Root ingrowth bags indicated that root production under CO₂-enriched plots without added nitrogen was 1.96 times that of chamber ambient and ambient CO₂ plots.

10. Big bluestem, indiangrass, and Kentucky bluegrass standing dead from CO₂-enriched, chamber ambient CO₂, and ambient CO₂ plots with and without added N was collected in early November, 1990 and placed in litter bags. In mid-November the bags were placed in contact with mineral soil and five bags per treatment will be retrieved after 4, 6, 9, 12, and 24 months. Nitrogen, ADF, and lignin will be determined on the retrieved samples.

11. Precipitation in 1990 was slightly below normal. Temperatures averaged slightly above normal for the functional growing season.