

12/8-22-96 JZ(1)

May 1996 • NREL/TP-442-8161

# Effects of Grit Roughness and Pitch Oscillations on the S814 Airfoil

RECEIVED

AUG 21 1996

OSTI

J. M. Janiszewska  
R. Reuss Ramsay  
M. J. Hoffmann  
G. M. Gregorek  
*The Ohio State University  
Columbus, Ohio*


MASTER



National Renewable Energy Laboratory  
1617 Cole Boulevard  
Golden, Colorado 80401-3393

A national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy  
Managed by Midwest Research Institute  
for the U.S. Department of Energy  
under Contract No. DE-AC36-83CH10093

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED



# Effects of Grit Roughness and Pitch Oscillations on the S814 Airfoil

J. M. Janiszewska  
R. Reuss Ramsay  
M. J. Hoffmann  
G. M. Gregorek  
*The Ohio State University  
Columbus, Ohio*

NREL Technical Monitor:  
C. P. Butterfield



National Renewable Energy Laboratory  
1617 Cole Boulevard  
Golden, Colorado 80401-3393  
A national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy  
Managed by Midwest Research Institute  
for the U.S. Department of Energy  
under contract No. DE-AC36-83CH10093

Prepared under Subcontract No. XF-1-11009-3

July 1996

### NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from:

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI)  
P.O. Box 62  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831

Prices available by calling (423) 576-8401


Available to the public from:

National Technical Information Service (NTIS)  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161  
(703) 487-4650



#### **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.**



## Foreword

Airfoils for wind turbines have been selected by comparing data from different wind tunnels, tested under different conditions, making it difficult to make accurate comparisons. Most wind tunnel data sets do not contain airfoil performance in stall commonly experienced by turbines operating in the field. Wind turbines commonly experience extreme roughness for which there is very little data. Finally, recent tests have shown that dynamic stall is a common occurrence for most wind turbines operating in yawed, stall or turbulent conditions. Very little dynamic stall data exists for the airfoils of interest to a wind turbine designer. In summary, very little airfoil performance data exists which is appropriate for wind turbine design.

Recognizing the need for a wind turbine airfoil performance data base, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, awarded a contract to Ohio State University (OSU) to conduct a wind tunnel test program. Under this program, OSU tested a series of popular wind turbine airfoils. A standard test matrix was developed to assure that each airfoil was tested under the same conditions. The test matrix was developed in partnership with industry and is intended to include all of the operating conditions experienced by wind turbines. These conditions include airfoil performance at high angles of attack, rough leading edge (bug simulation), steady and unsteady angles of attack.

Special care has been taken to report as much of the test conditions and raw data as practical so that designers can make their own comparisons and focus on details of the data relevant to their design goals. Some of the airfoil coordinates are proprietary to NREL or an industry partner. To protect the information which defines the exact shape of the airfoil, the coordinates have not been included in the report. Instructions on how to obtain these coordinates may be obtained by contacting C.P. (Sandy) Butterfield at NREL.



C. P. (Sandy) Butterfield  
Wind Technology Division  
National Renewable Energy Laboratory  
1617 Cole Blvd.  
Golden, Colorado, 80401 USA  
Internet Address: Sandy\_Butterfield@NREL.GOV  
Phone 303-384-6902  
FAX 303-384-6901

## Preface

The Ohio State University Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory is conducting a series of steady state and unsteady wind tunnel tests on a set of airfoils which have been or will be used for horizontal-axis wind turbines. The purpose is to investigate the effect of pitch oscillations and leading edge grit roughness on airfoil performance. The study of pitch oscillation effects can help to understand the behavior of horizontal-axis wind turbines in yaw. The results of these tests will aid in the development of new airfoil performance codes that account for unsteady behavior and also aid in the design of new airfoils for wind turbines. The application of leading edge grit roughness (LEGR) simulates surface irregularities that occur on wind turbines. These irregularities on the blades are due to the accumulation of insect debris, ice, and/or the aging process and can significantly reduce the output of the horizontal axis wind turbines. The experimental results from the application of leading edge grit roughness will help the development of airfoils that are less sensitive to roughness.

This work was made possible by the efforts and financial support of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory which provided major funding and technical monitoring, the U.S. Department of Energy is credited for its funding of this document through the National Renewable Energy Laboratory under contract number DE-AC36-83CH10093. The staff of The Ohio State University Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory appreciates the contributions made by personnel from that organization. In addition the authors would like to recognize the efforts of the following student research assistants, Fernando Falasca, and Mònica Angelats i Coll.

## Summary

An S814 airfoil model was tested in The Ohio State University Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory 3×5 subsonic wind tunnel under steady state and unsteady conditions. The test defined baseline conditions for steady state angles of attack from  $-20^\circ$  to  $+40^\circ$  and examined unsteady behavior by oscillating the model about its quarter chord pitch axis for three mean angles, three frequencies, and two amplitudes. For all oscillating cases, Reynolds numbers of 0.75, 1, 1.25, and 1.5 million were used. In addition, the above conditions were repeated after the application of leading edge grit roughness (LEGR) to determine contamination effects on the airfoil performance.

Typical steady state results of the S814 testing showed a baseline maximum lift coefficient of 1.29 at  $11.2^\circ$  angle of attack for a 1 million Reynolds number. The application of LEGR reduced the maximum lift coefficient by 25% and increased the 0.0137 minimum drag coefficient value by more than 30%. The zero lift pitching moment of -0.1345 showed a 42% reduction in magnitude to -0.0786 with LEGR applied.

Data were also obtained for two pitch oscillation amplitudes,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  and  $\pm 10^\circ$ . The larger amplitude consistently gave a higher maximum lift coefficient than the smaller amplitude, and both sets of unsteady maximum lift coefficients were greater than the steady state values. Stall was delayed on the airfoil while the angle of attack was increasing, thereby causing an increase in maximum lift coefficient. A hysteresis behavior was exhibited for all the unsteady test cases. The hysteresis loops were larger for the higher reduced frequencies and for the larger amplitude oscillations. As in the steady case, the effect of LEGR in the unsteady case was to reduce the lift coefficient at high angles of attack. In addition, with LEGR, the hysteresis behavior persisted into lower angles of attack than with the clean case.

In general, the unsteady maximum lift coefficient was from 9% to 110% higher than the steady state maximum lift coefficient, and variation in the quarter chord pitching moment coefficient magnitude was from 7% to more than 200% larger than the steady state values at high angles of attack. These findings indicate the importance of considering the unsteady flow behavior occurring in wind turbine operation because use of steady state results could greatly underestimate the loads.

# Table of Contents

|                                                    |     |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Preface .....                                      | iv  |
| Summary .....                                      | v   |
| List of Symbols .....                              | ix  |
| Introduction .....                                 | 1   |
| Experimental Facility .....                        | 2   |
| Wind Tunnel .....                                  | 2   |
| Oscillation System .....                           | 3   |
| Model Details .....                                | 4   |
| Test Equipment and Procedures .....                | 6   |
| Data Acquisition .....                             | 6   |
| Data Reduction .....                               | 7   |
| Test Matrix .....                                  | 8   |
| Results and Discussion .....                       | 10  |
| Comparison with Theory .....                       | 10  |
| Steady State Data .....                            | 11  |
| Unsteady Data .....                                | 13  |
| Summary of Results .....                           | 20  |
| References .....                                   | 23  |
| Appendix A: Surface Pressure Tap Coordinates ..... | A-1 |
| Appendix B: Steady State Data .....                | B-1 |
| Appendix C: Unsteady Integrated Coefficients ..... | C-1 |

# List of Figures

|                                                                                               |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. 3×5 Subsonic wind tunnel, top view . . . . .                                               | 2  |
| 2. 3×5 Subsonic wind tunnel, side view . . . . .                                              | 2  |
| 3. 3×5 Wind tunnel oscillation system . . . . .                                               | 3  |
| 4. S814 airfoil section . . . . .                                                             | 4  |
| 5. Measured-to-desired model coordinates difference curves. . . . .                           | 4  |
| 6. Roughness pattern . . . . .                                                                | 5  |
| 7. Data acquisition schematic . . . . .                                                       | 6  |
| 8. Comparison with theory, $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ . . . . .                                        | 10 |
| 9. Comparison with theory, $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ . . . . .                                        | 10 |
| 10. Comparison with theory, $C_p$ vs $x/c$ , $\alpha=0.0^\circ$ . . . . .                     | 10 |
| 11. Comparison with theory, $C_p$ vs $x/c$ , $\alpha=6.2^\circ$ . . . . .                     | 10 |
| 12. $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ , clean . . . . .                                                       | 11 |
| 13. $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ , LEGR, $k/c=0.0019$ . . . . .                                          | 11 |
| 14. $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ , clean . . . . .                                                       | 11 |
| 15. $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ , LEGR, $k/c=0.0019$ . . . . .                                          | 11 |
| 16. Clean, drag polar . . . . .                                                               | 12 |
| 17. LEGR, drag polar . . . . .                                                                | 12 |
| 18. Pressure distribution, $\alpha=2.0^\circ$ . . . . .                                       | 12 |
| 19. Pressure distribution, $\alpha=12.3^\circ$ . . . . .                                      | 12 |
| 20. Clean, $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.028$ , $\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . .               | 13 |
| 21. Clean, $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.084$ , $\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . .               | 13 |
| 22. Clean, $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.028$ , $\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . .               | 14 |
| 23. Clean, $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.084$ , $\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . .               | 14 |
| 24. LEGR, $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.028$ , $\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . .                | 14 |
| 25. LEGR, $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.087$ , $\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . .                | 14 |
| 26. LEGR, $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.028$ , $\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . .                | 15 |
| 27. LEGR, $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.087$ , $\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . .                | 15 |
| 28. Clean, $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.030$ , $\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .                | 15 |
| 29. Clean, $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.091$ , $\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .                | 15 |
| 30. Clean, $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.030$ , $\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .                | 16 |
| 31. Clean, $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.091$ , $\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .                | 16 |
| 32. LEGR, $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.032$ , $\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .                 | 16 |
| 33. LEGR, $C_l$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.096$ , $\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .                 | 16 |
| 34. LEGR, $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.032$ , $\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .                 | 17 |
| 35. LEGR, $C_m$ vs $\alpha$ , $\omega_{red}=0.096$ , $\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .                 | 17 |
| 36. Unsteady pressure distribution, clean, $\omega_{red}=0.055$ , $14\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . . | 18 |
| 37. Unsteady pressure distribution, LEGR, $\omega_{red}=0.056$ , $14\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . .  | 18 |
| 38. Unsteady pressure distribution, clean, $\omega_{red}=0.060$ , $14\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .  | 19 |

## List of Tables

|                                                     |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. S814 Steady State Parameters Summary . . . . .   | 20 |
| 2. S814, Unsteady, Clean, $\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . . | 20 |
| 3. S814, Unsteady, LEGR, $\pm 5.5^\circ$ . . . . .  | 21 |
| 4. S814, Unsteady, Clean, $\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .  | 21 |
| 5. S814, Unsteady, LEGR, $\pm 10^\circ$ . . . . .   | 22 |

# List of Symbols

|                         |                                                                                           |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AOA                     | Angle of attack                                                                           |
| A/C, a.c.               | Alternating current                                                                       |
| c                       | Model chord length                                                                        |
| $C_d$                   | Drag coefficient                                                                          |
| $C_{dmin}$              | Minimum drag coefficient                                                                  |
| $C_{dp}$                | Pressure drag coefficient                                                                 |
| $C_{dw}$                | Wake drag coefficient                                                                     |
| $C_{du}$                | Uncorrected drag coefficient                                                              |
| $C_l$                   | Lift coefficient                                                                          |
| $C_{lmax}$              | Maximum lift coefficient                                                                  |
| $C_{l dec}$             | Lift coefficient at angle of maximum lift, but with angle of attack decreasing            |
| $C_{lu}$                | Uncorrected lift coefficient                                                              |
| $C_m, C_{m\frac{1}{4}}$ | Pitching moment coefficient about the quarter chord                                       |
| $C_{m dec}$             | Pitching moment coefficient at angle of maximum lift, but with angle of attack decreasing |
| $C_{m inc}$             | Pitching moment coefficient at angle of maximum lift, but with angle of attack increasing |
| $C_{mo}$                | Pitching moment coefficient about the quarter chord, at zero lift                         |
| $C_{m\frac{1}{4}u}$     | Uncorrected pitching moment coefficient about the quarter chord                           |
| $C_p$                   | Pressure coefficient, $(p - p_\infty)/q_\infty$                                           |
| $C_{pmin}$              | Minimum pressure coefficient                                                              |
| f                       | Frequency                                                                                 |
| h                       | Wind tunnel test section height                                                           |
| hp, Hp, HP              | Horsepower                                                                                |
| Hz                      | Hertz                                                                                     |
| k                       | Grit particle size                                                                        |
| k/c                     | Grit particle size divided by airfoil model chord length                                  |
| p                       | Pressure                                                                                  |
| q                       | Dynamic pressure                                                                          |
| $q_u$                   | Uncorrected dynamic pressure                                                              |
| $q_w$                   | Dynamic pressure through the model wake                                                   |
| $q_\infty$              | Free stream dynamic pressure                                                              |
| Re                      | Reynolds number                                                                           |
| $Re_u$                  | Uncorrected Reynolds number                                                               |
| t                       | Time                                                                                      |
| $U_\infty$              | Corrected free stream velocity                                                            |
| V                       | Velocity                                                                                  |
| $V_u$                   | Uncorrected velocity                                                                      |
| x                       | Axis parallel to model reference line                                                     |
| y                       | Axis perpendicular to model reference line                                                |

|                                                |                                        |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| $\alpha$                                       | Angle of attack                        |
| $\alpha_{\text{dec}}$                          | Decreasing angle of attack             |
| $\alpha_{\text{inc}}$                          | Increasing angle of attack             |
| $\alpha_{\text{m}}$                            | Median angle of attack                 |
| $\alpha_{\text{mean}}$                         | Mean angle of attack                   |
| $\alpha_{\text{u}}$                            | Uncorrected angle of attack            |
| $\epsilon$                                     | Tunnel solid wall correction scalar    |
| $\epsilon_{\text{sb}}$                         | Solid blockage correction scalar       |
| $\epsilon_{\text{wb}}$                         | Wake blockage correction scalar        |
| $\Lambda$                                      | Body-shape factor (0.305 used)         |
| $\pi$                                          | 3.1416                                 |
| $\sigma$                                       | Tunnel solid wall correction parameter |
| $\omega_{\text{red}}, \omega_{\text{reduced}}$ | Reduced frequency, $\pi fc/U_{\infty}$ |

# Introduction

Horizontal-axis wind turbine rotors experience unsteady aerodynamics when the rotor is yawed, when rotor blades pass through the support tower wake, and when the wind is gusting. An understanding of this unsteady behavior is necessary to assist in the design of new rotor airfoils. The rotors also experience performance degradation due to surface roughness. These surface irregularities are due to the accumulation of insect debris, ice, and/or the aging process. Wind tunnel studies that examine both the steady and unsteady behavior of airfoils can help define pertinent flow phenomena, and the resultant data can also be used to validate analytical computer codes.

An S814 airfoil model was tested in The Ohio State University Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory (OSU/AARL)  $3 \times 5$  subsonic wind tunnel ( $3 \times 5$ ) under steady flow with both stationary model conditions and pitch oscillations. To study the extent of performance loss due to surface roughness, a leading edge grit roughness pattern (LEGR) was used to simulate leading edge contamination. After baseline cases were completed, the LEGR was applied for both steady state and model pitch oscillation cases. The Reynolds numbers for steady state conditions were 0.75, 1, 1.25 and 1.5 million, while the angle of attack ranged from  $-20^\circ$  to  $+40^\circ$ . While the model underwent pitch oscillations, data were acquired at Reynolds numbers of 0.75, 1, 1.25, and 1.5 million, at frequencies of 0.6, 1.2, and 1.8 Hz. Two sine wave forcing functions  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  and  $\pm 10^\circ$ , were used; at mean angles of attack of  $8^\circ$ ,  $14^\circ$ , and  $20^\circ$ . For purposes herein, any reference to unsteady conditions means the model was in pitch oscillation.

# Experimental Facility

## Wind Tunnel

The OSU/AARL 3×5 subsonic wind tunnel (3×5) was used to conduct tests on the S814 airfoil section. Schematics of the top and side views of the tunnel are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively. This open-circuit tunnel has a velocity range of 0 to 55-m/s (180-ft/s) produced by a 2.4-m (8-ft)




Figure 1. 3×5 Subsonic wind tunnel, top view

diameter, six-bladed fan. The fan is belt driven by a 93.2-kw (125-hp) three phase a.c. motor connected to a variable frequency motor controller. Nominal test section dimensions are 1.0 m (39 inch) high by 1.4-m (55-in) wide by 2.4-m (96-in) long. The 457-mm (18-in) chord airfoil model was mounted




Figure 2. 3×5 Subsonic wind tunnel, side view

vertically in the test section. A steel tube through the quarter chord of the model was used to attach the model to the tunnel during testing. An angle of attack potentiometer was fastened to the model at the top of the tunnel as shown in Figure 2. The steady state angle of attack was adjusted with a worm gear drive attached to the model strut below the tunnel floor.

## Oscillation System

Portions of the testing required the use of a reliable model pitch oscillation system. The OSU/AARL "shaker" system incorporated a face cam and follower arm attached to the model support tube below the wind tunnel floor, is shown in Figure 3. The choice of cam governed the type and amplitude of the wave form produced. Sine wave forms having amplitudes of  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  and  $\pm 10^\circ$  were used for these tests; the wave form is defined by the equation

$$\alpha = \alpha_m + A \sin(2\pi ft)$$

where A is the respective amplitude. The shaker system was powered by a 5-hp a.c. motor with a variable line frequency controller. The useable oscillating frequency range was 0.1 - 2.0 Hz, and three frequencies were used for this test: 0.6, 1.2, and 1.8 Hz.




Figure 3. 3 x 5 Wind tunnel oscillation system

## Model Details

A 457-mm (18-in) constant chord S814 airfoil model was designed by AARL/OSU personnel and manufactured by others. Figure 4 shows the airfoil section. Due to their proprietary nature, model coordinates are not presented in tabular form. The model trailing edge was thickened to 1.25 mm

S814




Figure 4. S814 airfoil section

(0.05-in) for fabrication purposes. The model was made of a nine layer composite lay up of alternating fiberglass and carbon fiber over ribs. The main load bearing member was a 38-mm (1.5-in) diameter steel tube which passed through the model quarter chord station. Ribs and end plates were used to transfer loads from the composite skin to the steel tube. The final surface was filled, painted, and wet sanded to attain given coordinates within a requested tolerance of  $\pm 0.25$ -mm ( $\pm 0.01$ -in). The completed




Figure 5. Measured-to-desired model coordinates difference curves.

model was measured at three spanwise locations using a Sheffield-Cordax coordinate measurement machine. Measurements were made in English units and later converted to metric. Figure 5 shows the results of comparing measured-to-desired coordinates by calculating differences normal to the profiled surface at three stations on the model. The "spikes" showing near the trailing edge and at the leading edge are due to the numerical methods and are not real. Although not all of the surface was within tolerance, this model was accepted because the discrepancy was small and over a narrow range.

To minimize pressure response times, which is important for the unsteady testing, the lengths of surface pressure tap lead-out lines had to be as short as possible. Consequently, a compartment was built into the model so pressure scanning modules could be installed inside the model. This compartment was accessed through a panel door fitted flush with the model contour on the lower (pressure) surface.

For test cases involving roughness, a standard roughness pattern developed for the National Renewable Energy Laboratory airfoil test program was employed. The pattern was generated using a molded insect pattern taken from a wind turbine in the field. The particle density was 5 particles per  $\text{cm}^2$  (32 particles per square inch) in the middle of the pattern, thinning to 1.25 particles per  $\text{cm}^2$  (8 particles per square inch) at the edge of the pattern. Figure 6 shows the pattern. To make a usable template, the pattern was repeatedly cut into a steel sheet 102-mm (4-in) wide and 91-cm (3-ft) long with holes just large enough for one piece of grit. Based on average particle size from the field specimen, standard #40 lapidary grit was chosen for the roughness elements, giving  $k/c=0.0019$  for a 457-mm (18-in) chord model.




Figure 6. Roughness pattern

To use the template, 102-mm (4-in) wide double-tack tape was applied to one side of the template, and grit was poured and brushed from the opposite side. The tape was then removed from the template and transferred to the model. This method allows the same roughness pattern to be replicated for any test.

# Test Equipment and Procedures

## Data Acquisition

Data was acquired and processed from 60 surface pressure taps, four individual tunnel pressure transducers, an angle of attack potentiometer, a wake probe position potentiometer, and a tunnel thermocouple. The data acquisition system included an IBM PC compatible 80486-based computer connected to a Pressure Systems Incorporated (PSI) data scanning system. The PSI system included a 780B Data Acquisition and Control Unit (DACU), 780B Pressure Calibration Unit (PCU), 81-IFC scanning module interface, two 2.5-psid pressure scanning modules (ESPs), one 20-in water column range pressure scanning module, and a 30 channel Remotely Addressed Millivolt Module (RAMM-30). Figure 7 shows the data acquisition system schematic.




Figure 7. Data acquisition schematic

Four individual pressure transducers read tunnel total pressure, tunnel north static pressure, tunnel south static pressure, and wake dynamic pressure. Before the test began, these transducers were bench calibrated using a water manometer to determine their sensitivities and offsets. Related values were entered into the data acquisition and reduction program so the transducers could be shunt resistor calibrated before each series of wind tunnel runs.

The rotary angle of attack potentiometer of 0.5% linearity was regularly calibrated during the tunnel pressure transducers shunt calibration. The angle of attack calibration was accomplished by taking voltage readings at known values of set angle of attack. This calibration method gave angle of attack readings within  $\pm 0.25^\circ$  over the entire angle range. The wake probe position potentiometer was a linear potentiometer, and it was also regularly calibrated during the shunt calibration of the tunnel pressure transducers.

Calibration of the three ESPs was done simultaneously using the DACU and PCU. At operator request, the DACU commanded the PCU to apply known regulated pressures to the ESPs and read the output

voltages from each integrated pressure sensor. From these values, the DACU calculated the calibration coefficients and stored them internally until the coefficients were requested by the controlling computer. This calibration was done several times during a run set because the ESPs were installed inside the model, and their outputs tended to drift with temperature changes during a test sequence. Frequent on-line calibrations minimized the effect.

For steady state cases, the model was set to angle of attack, and the tunnel conditions were adjusted. At operator request, pressure measurements from the airfoil surface taps and all other channels of information were acquired and stored by the DACU and subsequently passed to the controlling computer for final processing. The angles of attack were always set in the same progression from 0° to -20° then from 0° to +40°.

For model oscillating cases, the tunnel conditions were set while the model was stationary at the desired mean angle of attack. The "shaker" was started, the model was allowed to oscillate through at least five cycles to set up the flow field, and then the model surface pressure and tunnel condition data were acquired. Generally, 120 data scans were acquired over three model oscillation cycles. Since surface pressures were scanned sequentially, the data rate was set so the model rotated through less than 0.50° during any data burst. Finally, due to the unsteady and complex nature of the pitch oscillation cases, model wake surveys (for drag) were not conducted.

### Data Reduction

The data reduction routine was included as a section of the data acquisition program. This combination of data acquisition and reduction routines allowed data to be reduced on-line during a test. By quickly reducing selected runs, integrity checks could be made to ensure that the equipment was working properly and to allow timely decisions about the test matrix.

The ambient pressure was manually input into the computer and was updated regularly. This value, as well as the measurements from the tunnel pressure transducers and the tunnel thermocouple, were used to calculate tunnel airspeed. As a continuous check of readings, the tunnel total and static pressures were read by both the tunnel individual pressure transducers and the 20-in water column ESP.

A typical steady state data point was derived by acquiring 10 data scans of all channels over a 10 second window at each angle of attack and tunnel condition. The reduction portion of the program processed each data scan to coefficient forms  $C_p$ ,  $C_l$ ,  $C_{m_{1/4}}$ , and  $C_{dp}$  using the measured surface pressure voltages, calibration coefficients, tap locations, and wind tunnel conditions. Then, all scan sets for a given condition were ensemble averaged to provide one data set and that data set was then corrected for the effects of solid tunnel walls. All data were saved in electronic form.

Corrections due to solid tunnel sidewalls were applied to the wind tunnel data. As described by Pope and Harper (1966) tunnel conditions are represented by the following equations:

$$q = q_u(1 + 2\epsilon)$$

$$V = V_u(1 + \epsilon)$$

$$R_e = R_{e_u}(1 + \epsilon)$$

Airfoil aerodynamic characteristics are corrected by:

$$\alpha = \alpha_u + \frac{57.3\sigma}{2\pi} (C_{l_u} + 4C_{m_{1/4_u}})$$

$$C_l = C_{l_u}(1 - \sigma - 2\epsilon)$$

$$C_{m_{\frac{1}{4}}} = C_{m_{\frac{1}{4}_u}}(1 - 2\epsilon) + \frac{\sigma C_l}{4}$$

$$C_d = C_{d_u}(1 - 3\epsilon_{sb} - 2\epsilon_{wb})$$

where

$$\sigma = \frac{\pi^2}{48} \left( \frac{c}{h} \right)^2$$

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_{sb} + \epsilon_{wb}$$

$$\epsilon_{sb} = \Lambda \sigma$$

$$\epsilon_{wb} = \frac{c}{h4} C_{d_u}$$

Model wake data were taken for steady state cases when the wake could be completely traversed. Pressures were acquired from a pitot-static probe that was connected to measure incompressible dynamic pressure through the wake. These pressure measurements were used to calculate drag coefficient using a form of the Jones equation derived from Schlichting (1979).

$$C_{dw} = \frac{2}{c} \int \sqrt{\frac{q_w}{q_\infty}} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{q_w}{q_\infty}} \right) dy$$

This usage assumes that static pressure at the measurement site is the free-stream value. The integration was done automatically except the computer operator chose the end points of the integration from a plot of the wake survey displayed on the computer screen.

For pitch oscillation cases, model surface pressures were reduced to pressure coefficient form with subsequent integrations and angle of attack considerations giving lift, moment, and pressure drag coefficients. There was no calibration available for unsteady model pitch conditions; therefore, the unsteady pressure data were not corrected for any possible effects due to time dependent pitching or solid tunnel walls. Also for these cases, the wind tunnel contraction pressures (used for steady state cases) could not be used to calculate instantaneous freestream conditions due to slow response. The tunnel conditions were obtained from a total pressure probe and the average of opposing static taps in the test section entrance; thereby giving near instantaneous flow pressure conditions for the pitching frequencies used.

### Test Matrix

The test was designed to study steady state and unsteady pitch oscillation data. Steady state data were acquired at Reynolds numbers of 0.75, 1, 1.25, and 1.5 million, with and without LEGR. Refer to the tabular data in Appendix B for the actual Reynolds number for each angle of attack for the steady state data. The angle of attack increment was two degrees when  $-20^\circ < \alpha < +10^\circ$  or  $+20^\circ < \alpha < +40^\circ$ , and one degree when  $+10^\circ < \alpha < +20^\circ$ . Wake surveys were conducted to find total airfoil drag over an approximate angle of attack range of  $-10^\circ$  to  $+10^\circ$ . Unsteady data were taken for Reynolds numbers

of 0.75, 1, 1.25, and 1.5 million. Sine wave cams having amplitudes  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  and  $\pm 10^\circ$  were used for pitch oscillations, and the mean angles for both these amplitudes were  $8^\circ$ ,  $14^\circ$ , and  $20^\circ$ . For all these conditions, the frequencies were varied to 0.6, 1.2, and 1.8 Hz. All data points for the unsteady cases were acquired for both clean and LEGR cases.

# Results and Discussion

The S814 airfoil model was tested under steady state and pitch oscillation conditions. A brief discussion of the results follows, including a comparison of experimental data and computational predictions, steady state results, and unsteady results.

## Comparison with Theory

Comparisons were made between present wind tunnel steady state data and computed predictions made using the North Carolina State Airfoil Analysis Code. This analysis code has proven to be accurate for moderate angles of attack. The analysis was made with specifications set to allow free transition from laminar to turbulent flow, and the pressure distribution comparisons were matched to the same angle of attack as the wind tunnel cases.




Figure 8. Comparison with theory,  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$




Figure 9. Comparison with theory,  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$




Figure 10. Comparison with theory,  $C_p$  vs  $x/c$ ,  $\alpha=0.0^\circ$




Figure 11. Comparison with theory,  $C_p$  vs  $x/c$ ,  $\alpha=6.2^\circ$

Figure 8 shows the lift coefficient versus angle of attack for the 1 million Reynolds number case. For moderate angles of attack in which the analysis code is valid, the comparison shows good agreement. The pitching moment about the quarter chord, Figure 9, shows adequate agreement for angles of attack

from  $+0^\circ$  to  $+5^\circ$ . The pressure distributions shown in Figure 10 and Figure 11 are for angles of attack of  $0.0^\circ$  and  $6.2^\circ$ , respectively, and include clean wind tunnel data as compared to computed free transition pressure distributions. For both angles of attack, there is excellent correlation between the experimental and the predicted values.

### Steady State Data

The S814 airfoil model was tested at four Reynolds numbers at nominal angles of attack from  $-20^\circ$  to  $+40^\circ$ . Figure 12 and Figure 13 show lift coefficients for all the test Reynolds numbers for both clean




Figure 12.  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$ , clean




Figure 13.  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$ , LEGR,  $k/c=0.0019$

model and with LEGR applied, respectively. The maximum positive lift coefficient for the clean cases is about 1.32 and 0.99 for the LEGR cases, a 25% reduction. The clean cases have a positive stall at  $11^\circ$  while the LEGR cases stall at  $8^\circ$  angle of attack. Both clean and LEGR cases have nearly constant lift coefficient in early post stall, which is only 0.2 lower than the maximum lift coefficient. The negative stall with the LEGR applied occurs much sooner than in the clean model, therefore shortening the linear portion of the lift curve slope. Finally, the average lift curve slope is about 0.110 for clean data and slightly lower at 0.093 for the LEGR case. The associated average lift coefficients at zero angle of attack are 0.38 for the clean case and 0.30 for the LEGR case.




Figure 14.  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$ , clean




Figure 15.  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$ , LEGR,  $k/c=0.0019$

Figure 14 shows the pitching moment about the quarter chord for the clean cases and Figure 15 shows the same for the LEGR cases. The LEGR data have slightly more positive pitching moment at low angles of attack, and a drastic jump at  $-4^\circ$  angle of attack, which corresponds to the negative stall. The moment coefficient about the quarter chord for the 1 million Reynolds number, is for the clean case  $-0.1345$  and  $-0.0786$  for the LEGR case.

Total wake drag data were obtained for both the clean and the LEGR cases over an angle of attack range of  $-10^\circ$  to  $+10^\circ$ . A pitot-static probe was used to describe the wake profile. This method is reliable




Figure 16. Clean, drag polar




Figure 17. LEGR, drag polar

when there is relatively low turbulence in the wake flow; therefore, only moderate angles of attack have reliable total drag coefficient data. At angles of attack other than  $-10^\circ$  to  $+10^\circ$ , surface pressure data was integrated to give  $C_{dp}$  and is shown in the drag polars as small symbols. The model clean drag data are shown in Figure 16, and the LEGR case data are shown in Figure 17. At 1.25 million Reynolds number, minimum drag coefficient for the clean cases was measured as 0.0120, and for LEGR as 0.0193, a 60% increase. The general effect of LEGR is to increase drag consistently through most angles of attack. The LEGR cases show a much narrower drag bucket than do the clean data due to the early




Figure 18. Pressure distribution,  $\alpha = 2.0^\circ$




Figure 19. Pressure distribution,  $\alpha = 12.3^\circ$

negative stall.

Two examples of the surface pressure distributions are shown in Figure 18 and Figure 19 for  $2.0^\circ$  and  $12.3^\circ$ , respectively, for 1 million Reynolds number. At the angles of attack close to zero degrees, the effect of LEGR does not appear to significantly affect the pressure distribution in comparison with that of the clean case distribution; however, there is a small effect apparent in the lift coefficient with values of 0.51 for the LEGR case and 0.59 for the clean case. For the higher angle of attack case, shown in Figure 19, the effect of LEGR is to reduce the magnitude of the pressure peak from -3.8 to -3.0, increase the pressures on the upper (suction) surface over the forward 45% of the chord, and increase trailing edge separation. The net effect is a reduction in lift coefficient from 1.18 to 0.90, a 24% decrease.

## Unsteady Data

Unsteady experimental data were obtained for the S814 airfoil model undergoing sinusoidal pitch oscillations. As mentioned earlier, no calibration was available for the unsteady oscillating model conditions; the steady state tunnel calibration was used to set the flow conditions while the model was stationary at its mean angle of attack. A comprehensive set of test conditions was used to describe unsteady behavior of the airfoil including two angle of attack amplitudes,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  and  $\pm 10^\circ$ ; four Reynolds numbers, 0.75, 1, 1.25, and 1.5 million; three pitch oscillation frequencies, 0.6, 1.2, and 1.8; and three mean angles of attack,  $8^\circ$ ,  $14^\circ$ , and  $20^\circ$ .




Figure 20. Clean,  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.028$ ,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$




Figure 21. Clean,  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.084$ ,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$

Figure 20 shows the lift coefficient versus angle of attack for the  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  amplitude model clean case, at reduced frequency of 0.028 and 1 million Reynolds number. Note that all three mean angles of attack are plotted on the same figure. The maximum prestall lift coefficient for this case is near 1.49 and occurs when the airfoil is traveling with the angle of attack increasing. In contrast, when the model is traveling through decreasing angles of attack, the stall recovery is delayed, and a hysteresis behavior is exhibited in the lift coefficient that can be seen throughout all of the unsteady data. To obtain some measure of this hysteresis behavior, the lift coefficient on the "return" portion of the curve, at the angle of attack where maximum lift coefficient occurs, can be used. For the case discussed here, the hysteresis lift coefficient is 1.11, a 26% decrease from the 1.49 unsteady maximum value. In comparison, the steady state maximum lift coefficient is 1.29. At a higher reduced frequency of 0.084, the hysteresis behavior is more pronounced, as seen in Figure 21. In addition to greater hysteresis, the maximum lift coefficient is increased to about 1.70, which is an 62% increase over the steady state value. The corresponding hysteresis lift coefficient is 0.97. This difference between steady state behavior and unsteady hysteresis behavior is a main reason that unsteady testing should be required for airfoils used in wind turbine applications.




Figure 22. Clean,  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.028$ ,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$




Figure 23. Clean,  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.084$ ,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$

The pitching moment in Figure 22 and Figure 23 corresponds to the same conditions as in the two lift coefficient plots previously discussed. There is an indication that the hysteresis behavior is present, but it is not as apparent as in the lift coefficient plots; but the higher reduced frequency case does show hysteresis more than the lower reduced frequency case. For reference, the steady state maximum lift occurs near  $11^\circ$  angle of attack, and the steady state pitching moment at this maximum lift point is  $-0.1019$ . In comparison, when the airfoil is undergoing pitch oscillation for the lower frequency, pitching moment varies from  $-0.1446$  to  $-0.0982$  (at the angle of attack where maximum lift occurs), a 41% increase to 4% decrease in magnitude from the steady state value. Note that the angle of attack where the maximum lift coefficient occurs does not necessarily show the "greatest" hysteresis behavior but does give a relative indication of the effect.




Figure 24. LEGR,  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.028$ ,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$




Figure 25. LEGR,  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.087$ ,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$

In comparison to the clean data, the application of LEGR reduces the maximum lift coefficient in the pitch oscillation cases. Lift coefficient versus angle of attack with LEGR applied is shown in Figure 24 for the 0.028 reduced frequency case. The 0.087 reduced frequency case is in Figure 25. Both correspond to the same run conditions that were described earlier for the clean cases. For the lower reduced frequency, the maximum unsteady lift coefficient is reduced to 1.16 from the corresponding clean case of 1.49, a 22% decrease. Hysteresis behavior is apparent at this frequency but it is of slightly smaller order than the clean case; the corresponding hysteresis lift coefficient is 1.03 when LEGR is

applied. In contrast, the higher frequency LEGR case has a maximum lift coefficient of 1.44 while the model is increasing in angle of attack, and the corresponding decreasing angle of attack lift coefficient is 0.79. Again in this case, the application of LEGR slightly reduces the hysteresis loop behavior for larger angles of attack in comparison with that of the clean case at the same run conditions.




Figure 26. LEGR,  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.028$ ,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$




Figure 27. LEGR,  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.087$ ,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$

The pitching moment coefficient shown in Figure 26 is for 0.028 reduced frequency with LEGR applied. At the angle of unsteady maximum lift, the pitching moment ranges from -0.1239 to -0.1119, while the steady state LEGR pitching moment is -0.1040 at the steady state stall angle of attack ( $8.1^\circ$ ). The higher reduced frequency of 0.087 with LEGR application is shown in Figure 27. As was seen with the lift coefficient, pitching moment hysteresis is more apparent at the higher reduced frequency than the corresponding low reduced frequency case. Unsteady maximum lift angle of attack for this reduced frequency occurs at  $14.2^\circ$ , and the pitching moment ranges from -0.1752 to -0.0958 at that angle. Throughout the higher angle of attack range, the magnitude of the unsteady pitching moment can be very different than that of the steady state clean case (steady state pitching moment at maximum lift is -0.1040). It seems that these differences can have significant impact on the fatigue life predictions of a wind turbine system.




Figure 28. Clean,  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.030$ ,  $\pm 10^\circ$




Figure 29. Clean,  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.091$ ,  $\pm 10^\circ$

In addition to the  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  unsteady experimental data,  $\pm 10^\circ$  unsteady data were obtained with and without LEGR. The data were taken at 1 million Reynolds number using the same mean angles and frequencies as those of the  $5.5^\circ$  amplitude cases. Figure 28 and Figure 29 show the  $\pm 10^\circ$ , unsteady, clean, lift coefficient for the reduced frequencies of 0.030 and 0.091, respectively. The maximum lift coefficient for the lower frequency is 1.60 and occurs, as expected, when the airfoil is traveling through increasing angle of attack. The hysteresis lift coefficient (at  $14.0^\circ$ ) is 1.01. At the higher reduced frequency, the maximum lift coefficient occurs at a higher angle of attack,  $19.5^\circ$ , and is 2.13. The corresponding hysteresis lift coefficient is 0.90. A much greater hysteresis response is experienced for the lower reduced frequency than the higher ones. The steady state, clean, maximum lift coefficient is 1.29; therefore, the unsteady behavior created lift coefficients as much as 65% higher than those of the steady state conditions.




Figure 30. Clean,  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.030$ ,  $\pm 10^\circ$




Figure 31. Clean,  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.091$ ,  $\pm 10^\circ$

The quarter chord pitching moments having the same reduced frequencies as the lift coefficient cases are shown in Figure 30 and Figure 31. The hysteresis behavior observed in the lift coefficient plots is also reflected in this pitching moment data. Near the maximum lift angle,  $14.0^\circ$  for the lower frequency, the pitching moment coefficient ranges from -0.1320 to -0.0835; whereas the 0.091 reduced frequency case has maximum lift near  $19.5^\circ$  and pitching moment ranges from -0.2701 to -0.0969. In comparison, the




Figure 32. LEGR,  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.032$ ,  $\pm 10^\circ$




Figure 33. LEGR,  $C_l$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red}=0.096$ ,  $\pm 10^\circ$

steady state pitching moment is  $-0.1019$  near the steady state maximum lift coefficient angle of attack of  $11^\circ$ . The higher reduced frequency again shows large hysteresis loops for all three mean angles of attack.

The application of LEGR degrades the lift performance of the airfoil as would be expected from the results discussed previously. The LEGR lift coefficient data for reduced frequencies of  $0.032$  and  $0.096$  are shown in Figure 32 and Figure 33, respectively. The maximum lift coefficient is reduced to  $1.36$  from  $1.60$  for the low frequency clean case. Although there is a reduction, this value is still significantly higher than that of the LEGR steady state case, which has a maximum lift coefficient of  $0.97$  at  $8.1^\circ$  angle of attack. The higher reduced frequency has a maximum lift coefficient of  $1.86$ , which occurs near  $19^\circ$  angle of attack. The corresponding lift coefficient at  $19^\circ$  for the airfoil traveling with decreasing angle of attack is  $0.79$ , a  $57\%$  reduction from the maximum.




Figure 34. LEGR,  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red} = 0.032$ ,  $\pm 10^\circ$




Figure 35. LEGR,  $C_m$  vs  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_{red} = 0.096$ ,  $\pm 10^\circ$

Figure 34 and Figure 35 show the corresponding pitching moment coefficients for the reduced frequencies of  $0.032$  and  $0.096$ . For the  $0.032$  reduced frequency case, the pitching moment varies from  $-0.1709$  to  $-0.0941$  at  $14.6^\circ$  (where the maximum lift occurs). The hysteresis behavior is more pronounced for the higher reduced frequency case, where the range of pitching moments at the maximum lift angle of  $18.7^\circ$  is from  $-0.2753$  to  $-0.1232$ . These values can then be compared to the steady state LEGR value of  $-0.1040$ .

Although all the unsteady data have not been discussed here, the previous discussion included typical examples of the wind tunnel data. The remaining cases of the  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  and  $\pm 10^\circ$  oscillation data for all the Reynolds numbers are included in Appendix C.

The following four unsteady pressure distributions show examples of the data used to calculate the lift, the pressure drag, and the pitching moment coefficients. Figure 36 shows the distribution for a clean model, with a reduced frequency of  $0.055$ , a mean angle of attack of  $14^\circ$ , and a  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  pitch oscillation. For plotting clarity, the model pressures were "unwrapped" about the trailing edge. The upper surface pressures are depicted on the right of the surface plot, and the lower surface values are on the left. The trailing edge is then at the midpoint of the x-axis with the leading edge at each extreme. Additionally, the pressure coefficients were linearly interpolated to create evenly spaced x positions, thus making an evenly spaced grid. The time scale corresponds to angle of attack. For this case, the pressure peak is at approximately  $-4.5$ . Figure 37 shows the LEGR case for the same test conditions as in the previous figure. The application of LEGR reduces the pressure peak to  $-3$ . Separated flow is defined as the




Figure 36. Unsteady pressure distribution, clean,  $\omega_{red}=0.055$ ,  $14 \pm 5.5^\circ$




Figure 37. Unsteady pressure distribution, LEGR,  $\omega_{red}=0.056$ ,  $14 \pm 5.5^\circ$

irregular, "rough" areas on the upper surface, which are more widely noticeable for the LEGR case than for the clean case. Also note that the lower surface stays attached through the airfoil travel.

Figure 38 shows the same clean run conditions as above except with the  $\pm 10^\circ$  amplitude oscillation. This case is characterized by significant portions of the upper surface in stall and high pressure peaks. The pressure coefficient peaks are about -6.5 for this case although they were near -4.5 for the previous clean,  $14^\circ$  mean angle of attack case.




Figure 38. Unsteady pressure distribution, clean,  $\omega_{red}=0.060$ ,  $14 \pm 10^\circ$

## Summary of Results

An S814 airfoil model was tested under steady state and pitch oscillation conditions. Baseline tests were made while the model was clean, and then corresponding tests were conducted with leading edge grit roughness (LEGR) applied.

A summary of the steady state aerodynamic parameters is shown in Table 1. As observed, the application of LEGR reduced the maximum lift of the airfoil up to 25%, and the minimum drag coefficient increased more than 30%. The zero lift pitching moment coefficient was also affected by application of LEGR, which reduced the magnitude an average of 35%.

Table 1. S814 Steady State Parameters Summary

| Grit Pattern | Re x 10 <sup>-6</sup> | C <sub>lmax</sub> | C <sub>dmin</sub> | C <sub>mo</sub> |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Clean        | 0.75                  | 1.32 @ 11.2°      | 0.0129            | -0.1356         |
| k/c=0.0019   | 0.75                  | 0.96 @ 8.1°       | 0.0177            | -0.1209         |
| Clean        | 1.00                  | 1.29 @ 11.2°      | 0.0137            | -0.1345         |
| k/c=0.0019   | 1.00                  | 0.97 @ 8.1°       | 0.0178            | -0.0786         |
| Clean        | 1.25                  | 1.29 @ 11.2°      | 0.0120            | -0.1363         |
| k/c=0.0019   | 1.25                  | 0.99 @ 8.2°       | 0.0193            | -0.0656         |
| Clean        | 1.50                  | 1.31 @ 11.2°      | 0.0120            | -0.1368         |
| k/c=0.0019   | 1.50                  | 0.99 @ 8.1°       | 0.0188            | -0.0880         |

Table 2. S814, Unsteady, Clean, ±5.5°

| $\omega_{red}$ | Re x 10 <sup>-6</sup> | f    | C <sub>lmax</sub> | $\alpha_{max}$ | C <sub>l dec</sub> | C <sub>m inc</sub> | C <sub>m dec</sub> |
|----------------|-----------------------|------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 0.037          | 0.74                  | 0.61 | 1.54              | 14.8           | 1.17               | -0.1431            | -0.1055            |
| 0.073          | 0.74                  | 1.18 | 1.68              | 16.3           | 1.06               | -0.1658            | -0.1012            |
| 0.114          | 0.74                  | 1.85 | 1.91              | 17.9           | 1.14               | -0.2354            | -0.1151            |
| 0.028          | 0.99                  | 0.61 | 1.49              | 14.1           | 1.11               | -0.1446            | -0.0982            |
| 0.055          | 0.99                  | 1.19 | 1.59              | 14.9           | 1.03               | -0.1404            | -0.0874            |
| 0.084          | 0.99                  | 1.83 | 1.70              | 16.8           | 0.97               | -0.1655            | -0.0941            |
| 0.022          | 1.24                  | 0.60 | 1.44              | 12.6           | 1.15               | -0.1195            | -0.1069            |
| 0.044          | 1.24                  | 1.19 | 1.57              | 13.8           | 1.15               | -0.1274            | -0.1134            |
| 0.068          | 1.23                  | 1.85 | 1.64              | 15.7           | 1.05               | -0.1568            | -0.1032            |
| 0.018          | 1.49                  | 0.60 | 1.43              | 13.1           | 1.19               | -0.1156            | -0.1130            |
| 0.036          | 1.49                  | 1.19 | 1.51              | 14.4           | 1.08               | -0.1541            | -0.1076            |
| 0.055          | 1.49                  | 1.83 | 1.61              | 14.1           | 1.03               | -0.1361            | -0.0924            |

The pitch oscillation data can be divided into two groups, the ±5.5° amplitude and the ±10° amplitude oscillations which show similar trends. For both ±5.5° and ±10°, the unsteady test conditions and some parameters are listed in Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5. As the reduced frequency, which takes oscillation and tunnel speed into account, is increased, the maximum lift coefficient also increases. In addition, the hysteresis behavior becomes increasingly apparent with increased reduced frequency.

Table 3. S814, Unsteady, LEGR,  $\pm 5.5^\circ$ 

| $\omega_{red}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | f    | $C_{lmax}$ | $\alpha_{max}$ | $C_{l dec}$ | $C_{m inc}$ | $C_{m dec}$ |
|----------------|---------------------|------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0.038          | 0.75                | 0.60 | 1.25       | 12.5           | 0.94        | -0.1629     | -0.1141     |
| 0.075          | 0.75                | 1.19 | 1.33       | 13.0           | 0.74        | -0.1400     | -0.0819     |
| 0.115          | 0.75                | 1.83 | 1.57       | 15.7           | 0.74        | -0.2193     | -0.1154     |
| 0.028          | 1.00                | 0.60 | 1.16       | 11.1           | 0.93        | -0.1239     | -0.1119     |
| 0.057          | 1.00                | 1.19 | 1.29       | 13.6           | 0.86        | -0.1646     | -0.1076     |
| 0.087          | 0.99                | 1.83 | 1.44       | 14.2           | 0.79        | -0.1752     | -0.0958     |
| 0.022          | 1.25                | 0.60 | 1.14       | 10.5           | 0.88        | -0.1185     | -0.1079     |
| 0.045          | 1.24                | 1.19 | 1.27       | 12.4           | 0.92        | -0.1710     | -0.1074     |
| 0.070          | 1.24                | 1.85 | 1.33       | 13.6           | 0.78        | -0.1677     | -0.0951     |
| 0.019          | 1.50                | 0.61 | 1.13       | 9.5            | 0.95        | -0.1141     | -0.1049     |
| 0.038          | 1.49                | 1.21 | 1.21       | 11.1           | 0.80        | -0.1250     | -0.0943     |
| 0.057          | 1.47                | 1.83 | 1.30       | 13.8           | 0.87        | -0.1549     | -0.1142     |

Table 4. S814, Unsteady, Clean,  $\pm 10^\circ$ 

| $\omega_{red}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | f    | $C_{lmax}$ | $\alpha_{max}$ | $C_{l dec}$ | $C_{m inc}$ | $C_{m dec}$ |
|----------------|---------------------|------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0.040          | 0.73                | 0.59 | 1.72       | 14.0           | 1.04        | -0.1470     | -0.0869     |
| 0.081          | 0.73                | 1.19 | 2.04       | 18.7           | 1.07        | -0.2229     | -0.1109     |
| 0.125          | 0.72                | 1.83 | 2.42       | 22.2           | 0.93        | -0.3615     | -0.2184     |
| 0.030          | 0.98                | 0.60 | 1.60       | 14.0           | 1.01        | -0.1320     | -0.0835     |
| 0.061          | 0.97                | 1.19 | 1.88       | 17.1           | 1.01        | -0.1872     | -0.1024     |
| 0.091          | 0.97                | 1.79 | 2.13       | 19.5           | 0.90        | -0.2701     | -0.0969     |
| 0.024          | 1.22                | 0.60 | 1.55       | 13.7           | 1.04        | -0.1278     | -0.1001     |
| 0.048          | 1.22                | 1.18 | 1.75       | 15.7           | 0.94        | -0.1521     | -0.0852     |
| 0.073          | 1.22                | 1.79 | 2.05       | 17.7           | 0.92        | -0.1934     | -0.0991     |
| 0.020          | 1.47                | 0.59 | 1.52       | 13.5           | 1.11        | -0.1275     | -0.1015     |
| 0.040          | 1.46                | 1.19 | 1.70       | 16.2           | 0.97        | -0.1672     | -0.0928     |
| 0.061          | 1.46                | 1.83 | 1.87       | 16.8           | 0.90        | -0.1772     | -0.0939     |

As expected the application of LEGR reduces the aerodynamic performance of the airfoil. The unsteady maximum lift coefficient is reduced from 15% to 21% for the  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  case and 11% to 18% for the  $\pm 10^\circ$  case. As well as following the same trends as the clean, unsteady data discussed previously, the LEGR causes the hysteresis behavior to persist into lower angles of attack than do the clean cases. Overall, the unsteady wind tunnel data show hysteresis behavior that becomes more apparent with increased, reduced frequency. The maximum unsteady lift coefficient can be as much as 55% higher for the  $\pm 5.5^\circ$  amplitude and as much as 110% higher for the  $\pm 10^\circ$  amplitude than the steady state maximum lift coefficient. In addition, variation in the quarter chord pitching moment coefficient can be more than two times greater than that indicated by steady state results. These findings indicate that it is very

important to consider the unsteady loading that will occur in wind turbine operation because steady state results can greatly underestimate the forces.

Table 5. S814, Unsteady, LEGR,  $\pm 10^\circ$

| $\omega_{red}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | $\omega$ | $C_{lmax}$ | $\alpha_{max}$ | $C_{l dec}$ | $C_{m inc}$ | $C_{m dec}$ |
|----------------|---------------------|----------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0.042          | 0.74                | 0.61     | 1.48       | 13.8           | 0.73        | -0.1566     | -0.0873     |
| 0.083          | 0.74                | 1.19     | 1.80       | 17.4           | 0.67        | -0.2480     | -0.1133     |
| 0.128          | 0.74                | 1.81     | 2.12       | 19.9           | 0.82        | -0.3108     | -0.1876     |
| 0.032          | 0.99                | 0.61     | 1.36       | 14.6           | 0.76        | -0.1709     | -0.0941     |
| 0.064          | 0.99                | 1.21     | 1.60       | 15.7           | 0.74        | -0.2017     | -0.0941     |
| 0.096          | 0.98                | 1.81     | 1.86       | 18.7           | 0.79        | -0.2753     | -0.1232     |
| 0.025          | 1.24                | 0.61     | 1.31       | 12.4           | 0.88        | -0.1391     | -0.1005     |
| 0.051          | 1.23                | 1.22     | 1.55       | 14.9           | 0.81        | -0.1916     | -0.1048     |
| 0.076          | 1.23                | 1.81     | 1.71       | 18.2           | 0.78        | -0.2550     | -0.1352     |
| 0.021          | 1.49                | 0.61     | 1.25       | 12.1           | 0.93        | -0.1357     | -0.1010     |
| 0.042          | 1.48                | 1.19     | 1.47       | 14.8           | 0.82        | -0.1866     | -0.1010     |
| 0.064          | 1.48                | 1.83     | 1.66       | 15.2           | 0.76        | -0.1985     | -0.1047     |

## References

- Pope, A.; Harper, J.J. (1966). *Low Speed Wind Tunnel Testing*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Schlichting, H. (1979). *Boundary Layer Theory*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Inc.
- Smetana, F., Summey D., et-al. 1975. *Light Aircraft Lift, Drag, Moment Prediction - a Review and Analysis*. North Carolina State University. NASA-CR2523.
- Reuss, R.L.; Hoffmann, M.J.; Gregorek, G.M. (February 1994). *Effects of Grit Roughness and Pitch Oscillations on the LS(1)-0421MOD Airfoil, Airfoil Performance Report, February 1994*. Submitted for review. Work performed by The Ohio State University, Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory, Columbus, Ohio. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- Hoffmann, M.J.; Reuss Ramsay, R.; Gregorek, G.M. (May 1994). *Effects of Grit Roughness and Pitch Oscillations on the NACA 4415 Airfoil, Airfoil Performance Report, May 1994*. Submitted for review. Work performed by The Ohio State University, Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory, Columbus, Ohio. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- Reuss Ramsay, R.; Hoffmann, M.J.; Gregorek, G.M. (June 1994). *Effects of Grit Roughness and Pitch Oscillations on the S809 Airfoil, Airfoil Performance Report, June 1994*. Submitted for review. Work performed by The Ohio State University, Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory, Columbus, Ohio. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- Reuss Ramsay, R.; Hoffmann, M.J.; Gregorek, G.M. (July 1994). *Effects of Grit Roughness and Pitch Oscillations on the S801 Airfoil, Airfoil Performance Report, July 1994*. Submitted for review. Work performed by The Ohio State University, Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory, Columbus, Ohio. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- Janiszewska, J.M.; Reuss Ramsay, R.; Hoffmann, M.J.; Gregorek, G.M. (July 1994). *Effects of Grit Roughness and Pitch Oscillations on the LS(1)-0417MOD Airfoil, Airfoil Performance Report, July 1994*. Submitted for review. Work performed by The Ohio State University, Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory, Columbus, Ohio. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- Reuss Ramsay, R.; Hoffmann, M.J.; Gregorek, G.M. (August 1994). *Effects of Grit Roughness and Pitch Oscillations on the S815 Airfoil, Airfoil Performance Report, August 1994*. Submitted for review. Work performed by The Ohio State University, Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory, Columbus, Ohio. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- Reuss Ramsay, R.; Hoffmann, M.J.; Gregorek, G.M. (December 1994). *Effects of Grit Roughness and Pitch Oscillations on the S810 Airfoil, Airfoil Performance Report, December 1994*. Submitted for review. Work performed by The Ohio State University, Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory, Columbus, Ohio. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for transparency and accountability, particularly in financial matters. The text outlines various methods for organizing and storing data, including digital databases and physical filing systems.

2. The second section focuses on the role of technology in modern record management. It highlights how software solutions can streamline processes, reduce errors, and provide secure access to information. Examples of specific tools and platforms are mentioned, along with their benefits for different types of organizations.


3. The third part addresses legal and regulatory requirements related to record retention. It explains that different industries and jurisdictions have specific rules regarding how long records must be kept and under what conditions they can be destroyed. Compliance with these regulations is crucial to avoid legal penalties and ensure the integrity of the organization's data.

4. The final section discusses the importance of regular audits and reviews of record-keeping practices. It suggests that organizations should periodically assess their current methods to identify areas for improvement and ensure they remain up-to-date with the latest standards and technologies. This ongoing process helps maintain the highest level of efficiency and security in record management.

## **Appendix A: Surface Pressure Tap Coordinates**

| Table A1. S814 Surface Pressure Taps,<br>Non-Dimensional Coordinates |               |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------|
| Tap Number                                                           | Chord Station | Ordinate |
| 1                                                                    | 1.0003        | --       |
| 2                                                                    | 0.9740        | --       |
| 3                                                                    | 0.9321        | --       |
| 4                                                                    | 0.8910        | --       |
| 5                                                                    | 0.8489        | --       |
| 6                                                                    | 0.8075        | --       |
| 7                                                                    | 0.7663        | --       |
| 8                                                                    | 0.7254        | --       |
| 9                                                                    | 0.6843        | --       |
| 10                                                                   | 0.6440        | --       |
| 11                                                                   | 0.6037        | --       |
| 12                                                                   | 0.5635        | --       |
| 13                                                                   | 0.5240        | --       |
| 14                                                                   | 0.4833        | --       |
| 15                                                                   | 0.4432        | --       |
| 16                                                                   | 0.4033        | --       |
| 17                                                                   | 0.3641        | --       |
| 18                                                                   | 0.3238        | --       |
| 19                                                                   | 0.2836        | --       |
| 20                                                                   | 0.2426        | --       |
| 21                                                                   | 0.2006        | --       |
| 22                                                                   | 0.1613        | --       |
| 23                                                                   | 0.1249        | --       |
| 24                                                                   | 0.0914        | --       |
| 25                                                                   | 0.0596        | --       |
| 26                                                                   | 0.0344        | --       |
| 27                                                                   | 0.0176        | --       |
| 28                                                                   | 0.0127        | --       |
| 29                                                                   | 0.0081        | --       |
| 30                                                                   | 0.0039        | --       |
| 31                                                                   | 0.0009        | --       |
| 32                                                                   | 0.0000        | --       |
| 33                                                                   | 0.0004        | --       |
| 34                                                                   | 0.0035        | --       |

| Table A1. S814 Surface Pressure Taps,<br>Non-Dimensional Coordinates |               |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------|
| Tap Number                                                           | Chord Station | Ordinate |
| 35                                                                   | 0.0070        | --       |
| 36                                                                   | 0.0237        | --       |
| 37                                                                   | 0.0513        | --       |
| 38                                                                   | 0.0848        | --       |
| 39                                                                   | 0.1213        | --       |
| 40                                                                   | 0.1597        | --       |
| 41                                                                   | 0.1991        | --       |
| 42                                                                   | 0.2396        | --       |
| 43                                                                   | 0.2798        | --       |
| 44                                                                   | 0.3217        | --       |
| 45                                                                   | 0.3635        | --       |
| 46                                                                   | 0.4048        | --       |
| 47                                                                   | 0.4459        | --       |
| 48                                                                   | 0.4873        | --       |
| 49                                                                   | 0.5275        | --       |
| 50                                                                   | 0.5688        | --       |
| 51                                                                   | 0.6090        | --       |
| 52                                                                   | 0.6502        | --       |
| 53                                                                   | 0.6904        | --       |
| 54                                                                   | 0.7310        | --       |
| 55                                                                   | 0.7715        | --       |
| 56                                                                   | 0.8120        | --       |
| 57                                                                   | 0.8522        | --       |
| 58                                                                   | 0.8925        | --       |
| 59                                                                   | 0.9324        | --       |
| 60                                                                   | 0.9707        | --       |
| End of Table A1                                                      |               |          |



**Appendix B: Steady State Data  
Integrated Coefficients and Pressure Distributions**

# List of Figures

|                                                         |      |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Pressure Distributions, Steady State, Re = 0.75 million | B-20 |
| 1. $\alpha = -20.3^\circ$                               | B-21 |
| 2. $\alpha = -18.4^\circ$                               | B-21 |
| 3. $\alpha = -16.3^\circ$                               | B-21 |
| 4. $\alpha = -14.2^\circ$                               | B-21 |
| 5. $\alpha = -12.3^\circ$                               | B-22 |
| 6. $\alpha = -10.3^\circ$                               | B-22 |
| 7. $\alpha = -8.2^\circ$                                | B-22 |
| 8. $\alpha = -6.2^\circ$                                | B-22 |
| 9. $\alpha = -4.2^\circ$                                | B-23 |
| 10. $\alpha = -2.2^\circ$                               | B-23 |
| 11. $\alpha = 0.0^\circ$                                | B-23 |
| 12. $\alpha = 2.0^\circ$                                | B-23 |
| 13. $\alpha = 4.0^\circ$                                | B-24 |
| 14. $\alpha = 6.2^\circ$                                | B-24 |
| 15. $\alpha = 8.2^\circ$                                | B-24 |
| 16. $\alpha = 10.1^\circ$                               | B-24 |
| 17. $\alpha = 11.2^\circ$                               | B-25 |
| 18. $\alpha = 12.1^\circ$                               | B-25 |
| 19. $\alpha = 13.1^\circ$                               | B-25 |
| 20. $\alpha = 14.2^\circ$                               | B-25 |
| 21. $\alpha = 15.0^\circ$                               | B-26 |
| 22. $\alpha = 16.1^\circ$                               | B-26 |
| 23. $\alpha = 17.2^\circ$                               | B-26 |
| 24. $\alpha = 18.2^\circ$                               | B-26 |
| 25. $\alpha = 19.2^\circ$                               | B-27 |
| 26. $\alpha = 20.2^\circ$                               | B-27 |
| 27. $\alpha = 22.1^\circ$                               | B-27 |
| 28. $\alpha = 24.1^\circ$                               | B-27 |
| 29. $\alpha = 26.1^\circ$                               | B-28 |
| 30. $\alpha = 28.1^\circ$                               | B-28 |
| 31. $\alpha = 30.0^\circ$                               | B-28 |
| 32. $\alpha = 31.9^\circ$                               | B-28 |
| 33. $\alpha = 34.0^\circ$                               | B-29 |
| 34. $\alpha = 36.1^\circ$                               | B-29 |
| 35. $\alpha = 37.9^\circ$                               | B-29 |
| 36. $\alpha = 39.9^\circ$                               | B-29 |
| Pressure Distributions, Steady State, Re = 1 million    | B-30 |
| 37. $\alpha = -20.3^\circ$                              | B-31 |
| 38. $\alpha = -18.4^\circ$                              | B-31 |
| 39. $\alpha = -16.4^\circ$                              | B-31 |
| 40. $\alpha = -14.5^\circ$                              | B-31 |
| 41. $\alpha = -12.3^\circ$                              | B-32 |
| 42. $\alpha = -10.2^\circ$                              | B-32 |
| 43. $\alpha = -8.3^\circ$                               | B-32 |
| 44. $\alpha = -6.1^\circ$                               | B-32 |

|     |                       |      |
|-----|-----------------------|------|
| 45. | $\alpha = -4.2^\circ$ | B-33 |
| 46. | $\alpha = -2.2^\circ$ | B-33 |
| 47. | $\alpha = 0.0^\circ$  | B-33 |
| 48. | $\alpha = 2.0^\circ$  | B-33 |
| 49. | $\alpha = 4.0^\circ$  | B-34 |
| 50. | $\alpha = 6.2^\circ$  | B-34 |
| 51. | $\alpha = 8.2^\circ$  | B-34 |
| 52. | $\alpha = 10.1^\circ$ | B-34 |
| 53. | $\alpha = 11.2^\circ$ | B-35 |
| 54. | $\alpha = 12.3^\circ$ | B-35 |
| 55. | $\alpha = 13.1^\circ$ | B-35 |
| 56. | $\alpha = 14.2^\circ$ | B-35 |
| 57. | $\alpha = 15.1^\circ$ | B-36 |
| 58. | $\alpha = 16.2^\circ$ | B-36 |
| 59. | $\alpha = 17.2^\circ$ | B-36 |
| 60. | $\alpha = 18.1^\circ$ | B-36 |
| 61. | $\alpha = 19.1^\circ$ | B-37 |
| 62. | $\alpha = 20.2^\circ$ | B-37 |
| 63. | $\alpha = 22.2^\circ$ | B-37 |
| 64. | $\alpha = 24.0^\circ$ | B-37 |
| 65. | $\alpha = 26.0^\circ$ | B-38 |
| 66. | $\alpha = 28.1^\circ$ | B-38 |
| 67. | $\alpha = 30.0^\circ$ | B-38 |
| 68. | $\alpha = 32.0^\circ$ | B-38 |
| 69. | $\alpha = 34.1^\circ$ | B-39 |
| 70. | $\alpha = 36.0^\circ$ | B-39 |
| 71. | $\alpha = 38.0^\circ$ | B-39 |
| 72. | $\alpha = 39.9^\circ$ | B-39 |

Pressure Distributions, Steady State,  $Re = 1.25$  million . . . . . B-40

|     |                        |      |
|-----|------------------------|------|
| 73. | $\alpha = -20.3^\circ$ | B-41 |
| 74. | $\alpha = -18.4^\circ$ | B-41 |
| 75. | $\alpha = -16.3^\circ$ | B-41 |
| 76. | $\alpha = -14.3^\circ$ | B-41 |
| 77. | $\alpha = -12.3^\circ$ | B-42 |
| 78. | $\alpha = -10.3^\circ$ | B-42 |
| 79. | $\alpha = -8.3^\circ$  | B-42 |
| 80. | $\alpha = -6.2^\circ$  | B-42 |
| 81. | $\alpha = -4.2^\circ$  | B-43 |
| 82. | $\alpha = -2.2^\circ$  | B-43 |
| 83. | $\alpha = 0.0^\circ$   | B-43 |
| 84. | $\alpha = 2.0^\circ$   | B-43 |
| 85. | $\alpha = 4.2^\circ$   | B-44 |
| 86. | $\alpha = 6.2^\circ$   | B-44 |
| 87. | $\alpha = 8.2^\circ$   | B-44 |
| 88. | $\alpha = 10.1^\circ$  | B-44 |
| 89. | $\alpha = 11.2^\circ$  | B-45 |
| 90. | $\alpha = 12.3^\circ$  | B-45 |
| 91. | $\alpha = 13.1^\circ$  | B-45 |
| 92. | $\alpha = 14.2^\circ$  | B-45 |

|      |                       |      |
|------|-----------------------|------|
| 93.  | $\alpha = 15.1^\circ$ | B-46 |
| 94.  | $\alpha = 16.1^\circ$ | B-46 |
| 95.  | $\alpha = 17.2^\circ$ | B-46 |
| 96.  | $\alpha = 18.3^\circ$ | B-46 |
| 97.  | $\alpha = 19.1^\circ$ | B-47 |
| 98.  | $\alpha = 20.2^\circ$ | B-47 |
| 99.  | $\alpha = 22.2^\circ$ | B-47 |
| 100. | $\alpha = 24.0^\circ$ | B-47 |
| 101. | $\alpha = 25.9^\circ$ | B-48 |
| 102. | $\alpha = 27.8^\circ$ | B-48 |
| 103. | $\alpha = 30.0^\circ$ | B-48 |
| 104. | $\alpha = 31.9^\circ$ | B-48 |
| 105. | $\alpha = 33.9^\circ$ | B-49 |
| 106. | $\alpha = 36.0^\circ$ | B-49 |
| 107. | $\alpha = 38.0^\circ$ | B-49 |
| 108. | $\alpha = 40.1^\circ$ | B-49 |

|                                                          |                        |      |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------|
| Pressure Distributions, Steady State, $Re = 1.5$ million |                        | B-50 |
| 109.                                                     | $\alpha = -20.2^\circ$ | B-51 |
| 110.                                                     | $\alpha = -18.4^\circ$ | B-51 |
| 111.                                                     | $\alpha = -16.5^\circ$ | B-51 |
| 112.                                                     | $\alpha = -14.3^\circ$ | B-51 |
| 113.                                                     | $\alpha = -12.3^\circ$ | B-52 |
| 114.                                                     | $\alpha = -10.3^\circ$ | B-52 |
| 115.                                                     | $\alpha = -8.4^\circ$  | B-52 |
| 116.                                                     | $\alpha = -6.1^\circ$  | B-52 |
| 117.                                                     | $\alpha = -4.2^\circ$  | B-53 |
| 118.                                                     | $\alpha = -2.1^\circ$  | B-53 |
| 119.                                                     | $\alpha = 0.0^\circ$   | B-53 |
| 120.                                                     | $\alpha = 2.0^\circ$   | B-53 |
| 121.                                                     | $\alpha = 4.1^\circ$   | B-54 |
| 122.                                                     | $\alpha = 6.2^\circ$   | B-54 |
| 123.                                                     | $\alpha = 8.2^\circ$   | B-54 |
| 124.                                                     | $\alpha = 10.1^\circ$  | B-54 |
| 125.                                                     | $\alpha = 11.2^\circ$  | B-55 |
| 126.                                                     | $\alpha = 12.1^\circ$  | B-55 |
| 127.                                                     | $\alpha = 13.1^\circ$  | B-55 |
| 128.                                                     | $\alpha = 14.2^\circ$  | B-55 |
| 129.                                                     | $\alpha = 15.2^\circ$  | B-56 |
| 130.                                                     | $\alpha = 16.1^\circ$  | B-56 |
| 131.                                                     | $\alpha = 17.2^\circ$  | B-56 |
| 132.                                                     | $\alpha = 18.1^\circ$  | B-56 |
| 133.                                                     | $\alpha = 19.1^\circ$  | B-57 |
| 134.                                                     | $\alpha = 20.2^\circ$  | B-57 |

## List of Tables

|                                                |      |
|------------------------------------------------|------|
| B1. S814, Clean, $Re = 0.75 \times 10^6$ ..... | B-6  |
| B2. S814, Clean, $Re = 1.0 \times 10^6$ .....  | B-8  |
| B3. S814, Clean, $Re = 1.25 \times 10^6$ ..... | B-10 |
| B4. S814, Clean, $Re = 1.5 \times 10^6$ .....  | B-12 |
| B5. S814, LEGR, $Re = 0.75 \times 10^6$ .....  | B-13 |
| B6. S814, LEGR, $Re = 1.0 \times 10^6$ .....   | B-15 |
| B7. S814, LEGR, $Re = 1.25 \times 10^6$ .....  | B-17 |
| B8. S814, LEGR, $Re = 1.5 \times 10^6$ .....   | B-19 |

| Table B1. S814, Clean, $Re = 0.75 \times 10^6$ |       |       |          |                    |                     |          |
|------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| RUN                                            | AOA   | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m\frac{1}{4}}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 77                                             | -20.3 | -1.34 | 0.1697   | -0.0499            | 0.74                | --       |
| 76                                             | -18.4 | -1.31 | 0.1246   | -0.0679            | 0.74                | --       |
| 75                                             | -16.3 | -1.03 | 0.1575   | -0.0055            | 0.75                | --       |
| 74                                             | -14.2 | -0.90 | 0.1559   | -0.0085            | 0.76                | --       |
| 73                                             | -12.3 | -0.93 | 0.0482   | -0.1061            | 0.75                | 0.0162   |
| 72                                             | -10.3 | -0.71 | 0.0301   | -0.1239            | 0.74                | 0.0160   |
| 71                                             | -8.2  | -0.51 | 0.0191   | -0.1290            | 0.74                | 0.0145   |
| 70                                             | -6.2  | -0.32 | 0.0099   | -0.1293            | 0.74                | 0.0138   |
| 69                                             | -4.2  | -0.11 | 0.0066   | -0.1339            | 0.75                | 0.0142   |
| 68                                             | -2.2  | 0.12  | 0.0072   | -0.1374            | 0.75                | 0.0129   |
| 67                                             | 0.0   | 0.36  | 0.0098   | -0.1407            | 0.75                | 0.0131   |
| 78                                             | 0.0   | 0.33  | 0.0113   | -0.1403            | 0.75                | 0.0133   |
| 104                                            | 0.0   | 0.33  | 0.0110   | -0.1409            | 0.75                | 0.0138   |
| 79                                             | 2.0   | 0.59  | 0.0122   | -0.1454            | 0.75                | 0.0146   |
| 80                                             | 4.0   | 0.83  | 0.0093   | -0.1458            | 0.75                | 0.0144   |
| 81                                             | 6.2   | 1.03  | 0.0182   | -0.1439            | 0.74                | 0.0166   |
| 82                                             | 8.2   | 1.23  | 0.0208   | -0.1412            | 0.74                | 0.0141   |
| 83                                             | 10.1  | 1.32  | 0.0267   | -0.1222            | 0.74                | 0.0230   |
| 84                                             | 11.2  | 1.32  | 0.0328   | -0.1081            | 0.75                | 0.0322   |
| 85                                             | 12.1  | 1.27  | 0.0326   | -0.0991            | 0.74                | --       |
| 86                                             | 13.1  | 1.16  | 0.0469   | -0.0976            | 0.75                | --       |
| 87                                             | 14.2  | 1.16  | 0.0640   | -0.0995            | 0.75                | --       |
| 88                                             | 15.0  | 1.15  | 0.0774   | -0.1033            | 0.75                | --       |
| 89                                             | 16.1  | 1.13  | 0.0967   | -0.1044            | 0.75                | --       |
| 90                                             | 17.2  | 1.13  | 0.1153   | -0.1100            | 0.75                | --       |
| 91                                             | 18.2  | 1.13  | 0.1279   | -0.1127            | 0.75                | --       |
| 92                                             | 19.2  | 1.12  | 0.1485   | -0.1172            | 0.75                | --       |
| 93                                             | 20.2  | 1.13  | 0.1752   | -0.1271            | 0.75                | --       |

| Table B1. S814, Clean, $Re = 0.75 \times 10^6$ |      |       |          |                    |                     |          |
|------------------------------------------------|------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| RUN                                            | AOA  | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m\frac{1}{4}}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 94                                             | 22.1 | 1.08  | 0.2240   | -0.1437            | 0.75                | --       |
| 95                                             | 24.1 | 1.08  | 0.2832   | -0.1640            | 0.75                | --       |
| 96                                             | 26.1 | 0.98  | 0.5531   | -0.2442            | 0.76                | --       |
| 97                                             | 28.1 | 1.09  | 0.6512   | -0.2757            | 0.75                | --       |
| 98                                             | 30.0 | 1.15  | 0.7325   | -0.2958            | 0.74                | --       |
| 99                                             | 31.9 | 1.25  | 0.8358   | -0.3260            | 0.73                | --       |
| 100                                            | 34.0 | 1.30  | 0.9295   | -0.3500            | 0.74                | --       |
| 101                                            | 36.1 | 1.32  | 1.0081   | -0.3652            | 0.73                | --       |
| 102                                            | 37.9 | 1.34  | 1.0872   | -0.3853            | 0.73                | --       |
| 103                                            | 39.9 | 1.35  | 1.1584   | -0.3994            | 0.73                | --       |
| End of Table B1                                |      |       |          |                    |                     |          |

| Table B2. S814, Clean, $Re = 1.0 \times 10^6$ |       |       |          |                    |                     |          |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| RUN                                           | AOA   | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m\frac{1}{4}}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 11                                            | -20.3 | -1.29 | 0.1793   | -0.0456            | 0.99                | --       |
| 10                                            | -18.4 | -1.30 | 0.1226   | -0.0767            | 0.99                | --       |
| 9                                             | -16.4 | -1.22 | 0.0898   | -0.0839            | 0.99                | --       |
| 8                                             | -14.5 | -1.09 | 0.0634   | -0.0994            | 0.99                | 0.0160   |
| 7                                             | -12.3 | -0.88 | 0.0396   | -0.1172            | 0.99                | 0.0162   |
| 6                                             | -10.2 | -0.70 | 0.0267   | -0.1231            | 1.00                | 0.0153   |
| 5                                             | -8.3  | -0.53 | 0.0209   | -0.1254            | 0.99                | 0.0175   |
| 4                                             | -6.1  | -0.34 | 0.0098   | -0.1273            | 1.00                | 0.0172   |
| 3                                             | -4.2  | -0.11 | 0.0074   | -0.1327            | 1.00                | 0.0137   |
| 2                                             | -2.2  | 0.13  | 0.0066   | -0.1366            | 1.00                | 0.0154   |
| 1                                             | 0.0   | 0.37  | 0.0075   | -0.1408            | 1.00                | 0.0138   |
| 12                                            | 0.0   | 0.38  | 0.0073   | -0.1415            | 1.00                | 0.0147   |
| 38                                            | 0.0   | 0.38  | 0.0066   | -0.1414            | 0.99                | 0.0139   |
| 13                                            | 2.0   | 0.59  | 0.0098   | -0.1431            | 1.00                | 0.0137   |
| 14                                            | 4.0   | 0.83  | 0.0087   | -0.1436            | 1.00                | 0.0144   |
| 15                                            | 6.2   | 1.06  | 0.0137   | -0.1437            | 1.00                | 0.0163   |
| 16                                            | 8.2   | 1.23  | 0.0186   | -0.1369            | 1.00                | 0.0167   |
| 17                                            | 10.1  | 1.29  | 0.0227   | -0.1138            | 1.00                | 0.0290   |
| 18                                            | 11.2  | 1.29  | 0.0263   | -0.1019            | 1.00                | 0.0452   |
| 19                                            | 12.3  | 1.18  | 0.0376   | -0.1009            | 1.00                | --       |
| 20                                            | 13.1  | 1.14  | 0.0476   | -0.0991            | 1.00                | --       |
| 21                                            | 14.2  | 1.13  | 0.0662   | -0.1014            | 1.00                | --       |
| 22                                            | 15.1  | 1.13  | 0.0786   | -0.1034            | 1.00                | --       |
| 23                                            | 16.2  | 1.13  | 0.0943   | -0.1053            | 1.00                | --       |
| 24                                            | 17.2  | 1.11  | 0.1113   | -0.1083            | 1.00                | --       |
| 25                                            | 18.1  | 1.11  | 0.1267   | -0.1141            | 1.00                | --       |
| 26                                            | 19.1  | 1.12  | 0.1499   | -0.1192            | 1.00                | --       |
| 27                                            | 20.2  | 1.10  | 0.1749   | -0.1278            | 1.00                | --       |

| Table B2. S814, Clean, $Re = 1.0 \times 10^6$ |      |       |          |                    |                     |          |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| RUN                                           | AOA  | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m\frac{1}{4}}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 28                                            | 22.2 | 1.09  | 0.2263   | -0.1446            | 0.99                | --       |
| 29                                            | 24.0 | 1.07  | 0.2823   | -0.1639            | 0.99                | --       |
| 30                                            | 26.0 | 0.96  | 0.5458   | -0.2405            | 1.01                | --       |
| 31                                            | 28.1 | 1.09  | 0.6515   | -0.2738            | 1.01                | --       |
| 32                                            | 30.0 | 1.17  | 0.7379   | -0.2972            | 1.00                | --       |
| 33                                            | 32.0 | 1.25  | 0.8367   | -0.3254            | 1.01                | --       |
| 34                                            | 34.1 | 1.30  | 0.9326   | -0.3497            | 1.01                | --       |
| 35                                            | 36.0 | 1.33  | 1.0134   | -0.3672            | 1.00                | --       |
| 36                                            | 38.0 | 1.35  | 1.0926   | -0.3857            | 0.99                | --       |
| 37                                            | 39.9 | 1.36  | 1.1699   | -0.4039            | 1.00                | --       |
| End of Table B2                               |      |       |          |                    |                     |          |

| Table B3. S814, Clean, $Re = 1.25 \times 10^6$ |       |       |          |                    |                     |          |
|------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| RUN                                            | AOA   | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m\frac{1}{4}}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 115                                            | -20.3 | -1.20 | 0.1922   | -0.0351            | 1.26                | --       |
| 114                                            | -18.4 | -1.26 | 0.1274   | -0.0794            | 1.24                | --       |
| 113                                            | -16.3 | -1.20 | 0.0814   | -0.0940            | 1.23                | --       |
| 112                                            | -14.3 | -1.05 | 0.0513   | -0.1099            | 1.24                | --       |
| 111                                            | -12.3 | -0.88 | 0.0336   | -0.1215            | 1.25                | 0.0148   |
| 110                                            | -10.3 | -0.69 | 0.0237   | -0.1253            | 1.25                | 0.0136   |
| 109                                            | -8.3  | -0.53 | 0.0175   | -0.1267            | 1.25                | 0.0141   |
| 108                                            | -6.2  | -0.36 | 0.0073   | -0.1280            | 1.25                | 0.0140   |
| 107                                            | -4.2  | -0.12 | 0.0067   | -0.1339            | 1.25                | 0.0133   |
| 106                                            | -2.2  | 0.11  | 0.0068   | -0.1385            | 1.25                | 0.0126   |
| 105                                            | 0.0   | 0.36  | 0.0077   | -0.1420            | 1.26                | 0.0121   |
| 116                                            | 0.0   | 0.38  | 0.0069   | -0.1423            | 1.24                | 0.0125   |
| 142                                            | 0.0   | 0.39  | 0.0062   | -0.1419            | 1.25                | 0.0120   |
| 117                                            | 2.0   | 0.61  | 0.0075   | -0.1444            | 1.25                | 0.0135   |
| 118                                            | 4.2   | 0.84  | 0.0106   | -0.1443            | 1.25                | 0.0132   |
| 119                                            | 6.2   | 1.06  | 0.0131   | -0.1439            | 1.24                | 0.0150   |
| 120                                            | 8.2   | 1.23  | 0.0162   | -0.1355            | 1.25                | 0.0164   |
| 121                                            | 10.1  | 1.29  | 0.0232   | -0.1157            | 1.25                | 0.0272   |
| 122                                            | 11.2  | 1.29  | 0.0306   | -0.1083            | 1.25                | 0.0348   |
| 123                                            | 12.3  | 1.18  | 0.0549   | -0.1162            | 1.26                | --       |
| 124                                            | 13.1  | 1.14  | 0.0595   | -0.1092            | 1.25                | --       |
| 125                                            | 14.2  | 1.12  | 0.0682   | -0.1036            | 1.25                | --       |
| 126                                            | 15.1  | 1.17  | 0.0917   | -0.1182            | 1.25                | --       |
| 127                                            | 16.1  | 1.11  | 0.0952   | -0.1062            | 1.25                | --       |
| 128                                            | 17.2  | 1.11  | 0.1149   | -0.1103            | 1.24                | --       |
| 129                                            | 18.3  | 1.10  | 0.1325   | -0.1156            | 1.25                | --       |
| 130                                            | 19.1  | 1.10  | 0.1507   | -0.1208            | 1.25                | --       |
| 131                                            | 20.2  | 1.09  | 0.1751   | -0.1287            | 1.24                | --       |

| Table B3. S814, Clean, $Re = 1.25 \times 10^6$ |      |       |          |                    |                     |          |
|------------------------------------------------|------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| RUN                                            | AOA  | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m\frac{1}{4}}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 132                                            | 22.2 | 1.09  | 0.2258   | -0.1453            | 1.25                | --       |
| 133                                            | 24.0 | 1.08  | 0.2830   | -0.1646            | 1.23                | --       |
| 134                                            | 25.9 | 1.00  | 0.5566   | -0.2508            | 1.25                | --       |
| 135                                            | 27.8 | 1.09  | 0.6432   | -0.2722            | 1.25                | --       |
| 136                                            | 30.0 | 1.17  | 0.7392   | -0.2982            | 1.24                | --       |
| 137                                            | 31.9 | 1.26  | 0.8464   | -0.3308            | 1.23                | --       |
| 138                                            | 33.9 | 1.31  | 0.9303   | -0.3512            | 1.24                | --       |
| 139                                            | 36.0 | 1.36  | 1.0329   | -0.3790            | 1.25                | --       |
| 140                                            | 38.0 | 1.36  | 1.1063   | -0.3931            | 1.23                | --       |
| 141                                            | 40.1 | 1.37  | 1.1814   | -0.4067            | 1.21                | --       |
| End of Table B3                                |      |       |          |                    |                     |          |

| Table B4. S814, Clean, $Re = 1.5 \times 10^6$ |       |       |          |           |                     |          |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|-----------|---------------------|----------|
| RUN                                           | AOA   | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m/4}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 49                                            | -20.2 | -0.94 | 0.2003   | 0.0011    | 1.50                | --       |
| 48                                            | -18.4 | -1.22 | 0.1335   | -0.0739   | 1.49                | --       |
| 47                                            | -16.5 | -1.17 | 0.0840   | -0.1034   | 1.48                | --       |
| 46                                            | -14.3 | -1.04 | 0.0513   | -0.1155   | 1.49                | 0.0169   |
| 45                                            | -12.3 | -0.89 | 0.0307   | -0.1222   | 1.50                | 0.0147   |
| 44                                            | -10.3 | -0.70 | 0.0203   | -0.1270   | 1.49                | 0.0128   |
| 43                                            | -8.4  | -0.54 | 0.0106   | -0.1260   | 1.51                | 0.0166   |
| 42                                            | -6.1  | -0.33 | 0.0064   | -0.1295   | 1.50                | 0.0132   |
| 41                                            | -4.2  | -0.11 | 0.0071   | -0.1347   | 1.50                | 0.0139   |
| 40                                            | -2.1  | 0.14  | 0.0061   | -0.1395   | 1.51                | 0.0130   |
| 39                                            | 0.0   | 0.38  | 0.0072   | -0.1440   | 1.50                | 0.0120   |
| 50                                            | 0.0   | 0.39  | 0.0072   | -0.1447   | 1.50                | 0.0129   |
| 66                                            | 0.0   | 0.38  | 0.0061   | -0.1438   | 1.51                | 0.0124   |
| 51                                            | 2.0   | 0.62  | 0.0065   | -0.1459   | 1.51                | 0.0126   |
| 52                                            | 4.1   | 0.85  | 0.0100   | -0.1456   | 1.50                | 0.0135   |
| 53                                            | 6.2   | 1.05  | 0.0135   | -0.1423   | 1.51                | 0.0149   |
| 54                                            | 8.2   | 1.22  | 0.0156   | -0.1332   | 1.50                | 0.0186   |
| 55                                            | 10.1  | 1.30  | 0.0226   | -0.1157   | 1.50                | 0.0298   |
| 56                                            | 11.2  | 1.31  | 0.0300   | -0.1076   | 1.50                | 0.0378   |
| 57                                            | 12.1  | 1.20  | 0.0527   | -0.1171   | 1.50                | --       |
| 58                                            | 13.1  | 1.18  | 0.0656   | -0.1157   | 1.50                | --       |
| 59                                            | 14.2  | 1.11  | 0.0710   | -0.1061   | 1.50                | --       |
| 60                                            | 15.2  | 1.12  | 0.0853   | -0.1069   | 1.50                | --       |
| 61                                            | 16.1  | 1.11  | 0.0994   | -0.1090   | 1.49                | --       |
| 62                                            | 17.2  | 1.12  | 0.1182   | -0.1135   | 1.49                | --       |
| 63                                            | 18.1  | 1.27  | 0.1778   | -0.1576   | 1.50                | --       |
| 64                                            | 19.1  | 1.12  | 0.1500   | -0.1208   | 1.50                | --       |
| 65                                            | 20.2  | 1.11  | 0.1750   | -0.1290   | 1.50                | --       |
| End of Table B4                               |       |       |          |           |                     |          |

| Table B5. S814, LEGR, Re = 0.75 x 10 <sup>6</sup> |       |                |                 |                 |                       |                 |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| RUN                                               | AOA   | C <sub>l</sub> | C <sub>dp</sub> | C <sub>m¼</sub> | Re x 10 <sup>-6</sup> | C <sub>dw</sub> |
| 293                                               | -20.1 | -0.59          | 0.1901          | -0.0192         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 292                                               | -18.2 | -0.52          | 0.1659          | -0.0284         | 0.76                  | --              |
| 291                                               | -16.3 | -0.44          | 0.1381          | -0.0369         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 290                                               | -14.1 | -0.35          | 0.1234          | -0.0410         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 289                                               | -12.1 | -0.25          | 0.1021          | -0.0525         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 288                                               | -10.2 | -0.17          | 0.0856          | -0.0585         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 287                                               | -8.1  | -0.09          | 0.0665          | -0.0682         | 0.74                  | --              |
| 286                                               | -6.1  | -0.15          | 0.0666          | -0.0408         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 285                                               | -4.1  | -0.11          | 0.0498          | -0.0441         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 284                                               | -2.2  | 0.04           | 0.0097          | -0.1209         | 0.75                  | 0.0189          |
| 283                                               | -0.1  | 0.26           | 0.0111          | -0.1261         | 0.75                  | 0.0191          |
| 294                                               | 0.0   | 0.26           | 0.0102          | -0.1262         | 0.75                  | 0.0177          |
| 321                                               | 0.0   | 0.27           | 0.0107          | -0.1272         | 0.75                  | 0.0224          |
| 295                                               | 2.0   | 0.49           | 0.0110          | -0.1279         | 0.76                  | 0.0226          |
| 296                                               | 4.1   | 0.69           | 0.0125          | -0.1231         | 0.74                  | 0.0217          |
| 297                                               | 6.2   | 0.85           | 0.0197          | -0.1167         | 0.74                  | 0.0225          |
| 298                                               | 8.1   | 0.96           | 0.0256          | -0.1058         | 0.75                  | 0.0389          |
| 299                                               | 10.0  | 0.92           | 0.0456          | -0.1049         | 0.75                  | 0.0373          |
| 300                                               | 11.1  | 0.90           | 0.0609          | -0.1076         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 301                                               | 12.1  | 0.98           | 0.0803          | -0.1145         | 0.74                  | --              |
| 302                                               | 13.1  | 1.01           | 0.0999          | -0.1203         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 303                                               | 14.1  | 0.91           | 0.1012          | -0.1121         | 0.73                  | --              |
| 304                                               | 15.0  | 0.91           | 0.1153          | -0.1159         | 0.76                  | --              |
| 305                                               | 16.1  | 0.90           | 0.1369          | -0.1210         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 306                                               | 16.1  | 0.93           | 0.1406          | -0.1232         | 0.77                  | --              |
| 307                                               | 17.2  | 0.92           | 0.1679          | -0.1335         | 0.76                  | --              |
| 308                                               | 18.1  | 0.85           | 0.1955          | -0.1433         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 309                                               | 19.1  | 0.89           | 0.2189          | -0.1484         | 0.75                  | --              |

| Table B5. S814, LEGR, Re = 0.75 x 10 <sup>6</sup> |      |                |                 |                 |                       |                 |
|---------------------------------------------------|------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| RUN                                               | AOA  | C <sub>l</sub> | C <sub>dp</sub> | C <sub>m¼</sub> | Re x 10 <sup>-6</sup> | C <sub>dw</sub> |
| 310                                               | 20.2 | 0.95           | 0.2573          | -0.1620         | 0.74                  | --              |
| 311                                               | 22.1 | 0.95           | 0.3259          | -0.1865         | 0.76                  | --              |
| 312                                               | 24.0 | 1.00           | 0.4153          | -0.2166         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 313                                               | 25.9 | 1.03           | 0.4909          | -0.2351         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 314                                               | 28.1 | 1.04           | 0.5680          | -0.2475         | 0.74                  | --              |
| 315                                               | 30.0 | 1.14           | 0.6833          | -0.2814         | 0.73                  | --              |
| 316                                               | 31.9 | 1.21           | 0.7803          | -0.3065         | 0.75                  | --              |
| 317                                               | 33.9 | 1.27           | 0.8818          | -0.3336         | 0.74                  | --              |
| 318                                               | 36.0 | 1.32           | 0.9900          | -0.3615         | 0.74                  | --              |
| 319                                               | 37.9 | 1.35           | 1.0742          | -0.3821         | 0.73                  | --              |
| 320                                               | 39.9 | 1.38           | 1.1694          | -0.4067         | 0.74                  | --              |
| End of Table B5                                   |      |                |                 |                 |                       |                 |

| Table B6. S814, LEGR, Re = 1.0 x 10 <sup>6</sup> |       |                |                 |                 |                       |                 |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| RUN                                              | AOA   | C <sub>l</sub> | C <sub>dp</sub> | C <sub>m¼</sub> | Re x 10 <sup>-6</sup> | C <sub>dw</sub> |
| 189                                              | -20.3 | -0.58          | 0.1927          | -0.0188         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 188                                              | -18.1 | -0.51          | 0.1643          | -0.0286         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 187                                              | -16.1 | -0.40          | 0.1364          | -0.0435         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 186                                              | -14.2 | -0.31          | 0.1143          | -0.0535         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 185                                              | -12.0 | -0.24          | 0.1001          | -0.0545         | 1.01                  | --              |
| 184                                              | -10.0 | -0.15          | 0.0823          | -0.0618         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 183                                              | -8.1  | -0.07          | 0.0671          | -0.0669         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 182                                              | -6.1  | -0.06          | 0.0633          | -0.0538         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 181                                              | -4.0  | -0.05          | 0.0516          | -0.0443         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 180                                              | -2.1  | 0.05           | 0.0117          | -0.1128         | 1.00                  | 0.0221          |
| 179                                              | -0.1  | 0.30           | 0.0075          | -0.1248         | 1.00                  | 0.0178          |
| 216                                              | -0.1  | 0.28           | 0.0081          | -0.1236         | 1.00                  | 0.0190          |
| 190                                              | 0.0   | 0.30           | 0.0076          | -0.1244         | 0.99                  | 0.0183          |
| 191                                              | 2.1   | 0.51           | 0.0091          | -0.1269         | 1.00                  | 0.0199          |
| 192                                              | 4.1   | 0.70           | 0.0106          | -0.1218         | 1.00                  | 0.0204          |
| 193                                              | 6.1   | 0.85           | 0.0149          | -0.1161         | 1.00                  | 0.0251          |
| 194                                              | 8.1   | 0.97           | 0.0197          | -0.1040         | 1.00                  | 0.0377          |
| 195                                              | 10.2  | 0.94           | 0.0497          | -0.1098         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 196                                              | 11.0  | 0.90           | 0.0590          | -0.1091         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 197                                              | 12.1  | 0.90           | 0.0714          | -0.1079         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 198                                              | 13.2  | 0.94           | 0.0919          | -0.1137         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 199                                              | 14.0  | 0.91           | 0.0987          | -0.1120         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 200                                              | 15.1  | 0.90           | 0.1186          | -0.1173         | 1.00                  | --              |
| 201                                              | 15.9  | 0.89           | 0.1387          | -0.1256         | 0.99                  | --              |
| 202                                              | 17.0  | 0.88           | 0.1625          | -0.1321         | 0.99                  | --              |
| 203                                              | 18.1  | 0.93           | 0.1915          | -0.1401         | 0.99                  | --              |
| 204                                              | 19.2  | 0.93           | 0.2233          | -0.1512         | 0.99                  | --              |
| 205                                              | 20.1  | 0.94           | 0.2557          | -0.1628         | 1.00                  | --              |

| Table B6. S814, LEGR, Re = $1.0 \times 10^6$ |      |       |          |                    |                     |          |
|----------------------------------------------|------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| RUN                                          | AOA  | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m\frac{1}{4}}$ | Re $\times 10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 206                                          | 21.9 | 0.92  | 0.3289   | -0.1863            | 1.00                | --       |
| 207                                          | 24.1 | 0.96  | 0.4131   | -0.2115            | 0.99                | --       |
| 208                                          | 26.1 | 1.01  | 0.4964   | -0.2337            | 1.00                | --       |
| 209                                          | 28.0 | 1.02  | 0.5568   | -0.2428            | 1.00                | --       |
| 210                                          | 30.1 | 1.15  | 0.6841   | -0.2814            | 1.00                | --       |
| 211                                          | 32.1 | 1.22  | 0.7831   | -0.3082            | 1.00                | --       |
| 212                                          | 34.0 | 1.27  | 0.8773   | -0.3317            | 1.00                | --       |
| 213                                          | 35.9 | 1.34  | 0.9875   | -0.3630            | 0.99                | --       |
| 214                                          | 38.1 | 1.36  | 1.0851   | -0.3862            | 0.99                | --       |
| 215                                          | 40.0 | 1.36  | 1.1510   | -0.3977            | 0.98                | --       |
| End of Table B6                              |      |       |          |                    |                     |          |

| Table B7. S814, LEGR, Re = $1.25 \times 10^6$ |       |       |          |                    |                |          |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|----------|
| RUN                                           | AOA   | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m\frac{1}{2}}$ | Re x $10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 281                                           | -20.2 | -0.58 | 0.1949   | -0.0169            | 1.24           | --       |
| 280                                           | -18.1 | -0.50 | 0.1639   | -0.0305            | 1.25           | --       |
| 279                                           | -16.1 | -0.39 | 0.1352   | -0.0445            | 1.24           | --       |
| 278                                           | -14.2 | -0.30 | 0.1151   | -0.0528            | 1.25           | --       |
| 277                                           | -12.1 | -0.22 | 0.0985   | -0.0572            | 1.25           | --       |
| 276                                           | -10.0 | -0.13 | 0.0810   | -0.0637            | 1.26           | --       |
| 275                                           | -8.1  | -0.05 | 0.0667   | -0.0681            | 1.26           | --       |
| 274                                           | -6.2  | -0.01 | 0.0612   | -0.0605            | 1.26           | --       |
| 273                                           | -4.0  | -0.02 | 0.0563   | -0.0443            | 1.26           | --       |
| 272                                           | -2.1  | 0.04  | 0.0129   | -0.1083            | 1.24           | 0.0234   |
| 245                                           | -0.1  | 0.29  | 0.0088   | -0.1201            | 1.25           | 0.0205   |
| 271                                           | -0.1  | 0.29  | 0.0090   | -0.1214            | 1.25           | 0.0203   |
| 282                                           | -0.1  | 0.27  | 0.0095   | -0.1201            | 1.25           | 0.0193   |
| 246                                           | 2.1   | 0.51  | 0.0091   | -0.1253            | 1.25           | 0.0201   |
| 247                                           | 4.1   | 0.71  | 0.0102   | -0.1236            | 1.25           | 0.0208   |
| 248                                           | 6.1   | 0.86  | 0.0149   | -0.1170            | 1.25           | 0.0253   |
| 249                                           | 8.2   | 0.99  | 0.0234   | -0.1065            | 1.25           | 0.0350   |
| 250                                           | 10.0  | 0.93  | 0.0476   | -0.1120            | 1.25           | --       |
| 251                                           | 11.0  | 0.91  | 0.0586   | -0.1085            | 1.25           | --       |
| 252                                           | 12.1  | 0.90  | 0.0721   | -0.1085            | 1.26           | --       |
| 253                                           | 13.2  | 0.90  | 0.0887   | -0.1118            | 1.26           | --       |
| 254                                           | 14.0  | 0.90  | 0.1019   | -0.1145            | 1.25           | --       |
| 255                                           | 15.1  | 0.90  | 0.1216   | -0.1206            | 1.25           | --       |
| 256                                           | 16.2  | 0.88  | 0.1478   | -0.1290            | 1.25           | --       |
| 257                                           | 17.0  | 0.88  | 0.1659   | -0.1340            | 1.25           | --       |
| 258                                           | 18.1  | 0.91  | 0.1950   | -0.1434            | 1.26           | --       |
| 259                                           | 19.2  | 0.95  | 0.2243   | -0.1527            | 1.26           | --       |
| 260                                           | 20.1  | 0.93  | 0.2575   | -0.1645            | 1.25           | --       |

| Table B7. S814, LEGR, Re = $1.25 \times 10^6$ |      |       |          |                    |                     |          |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| RUN                                           | AOA  | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m\frac{1}{4}}$ | Re $\times 10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 261                                           | 22.2 | 0.93  | 0.3394   | -0.1904            | 1.26                | --       |
| 262                                           | 24.1 | 0.98  | 0.4157   | -0.2126            | 1.25                | --       |
| 263                                           | 26.1 | 1.03  | 0.5006   | -0.2355            | 1.24                | --       |
| 264                                           | 28.0 | 1.04  | 0.5636   | -0.2463            | 1.25                | --       |
| 265                                           | 30.1 | 1.13  | 0.6782   | -0.2791            | 1.23                | --       |
| 266                                           | 32.1 | 1.22  | 0.7836   | -0.3090            | 1.24                | --       |
| 267                                           | 34.0 | 1.29  | 0.8825   | -0.3370            | 1.23                | --       |
| 268                                           | 35.9 | 1.34  | 0.9853   | -0.3632            | 1.23                | --       |
| 269                                           | 38.1 | 1.36  | 1.0810   | -0.3851            | 1.22                | --       |
| 270                                           | 39.9 | 1.39  | 1.1772   | -0.4140            | 1.21                | --       |
| End of Table B7                               |      |       |          |                    |                     |          |

| Table B8. S814, LEGR, $Re = 1.5 \times 10^6$ |       |       |          |                    |                     |          |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| RUN                                          | AOA   | $C_l$ | $C_{dp}$ | $C_{m\frac{1}{4}}$ | $Re \times 10^{-6}$ | $C_{dw}$ |
| 227                                          | -20.3 | -0.59 | 0.1984   | -0.0163            | 1.49                | --       |
| 226                                          | -18.1 | -0.48 | 0.1623   | -0.0330            | 1.49                | --       |
| 225                                          | -16.1 | -0.38 | 0.1357   | -0.0452            | 1.49                | --       |
| 224                                          | -14.2 | -0.29 | 0.1162   | -0.0532            | 1.49                | --       |
| 223                                          | -12.2 | -0.22 | 0.1012   | -0.0556            | 1.51                | --       |
| 222                                          | -10.0 | -0.13 | 0.0865   | -0.0586            | 1.52                | --       |
| 221                                          | -8.1  | -0.04 | 0.0679   | -0.0685            | 1.51                | --       |
| 220                                          | -6.2  | -0.01 | 0.0627   | -0.0591            | 1.50                | --       |
| 219                                          | -4.2  | -0.07 | 0.0541   | -0.0535            | 1.51                | --       |
| 218                                          | -2.1  | 0.04  | 0.0126   | -0.1077            | 1.51                | 0.0222   |
| 217                                          | -0.1  | 0.30  | 0.0076   | -0.1199            | 1.51                | 0.0188   |
| 244                                          | 0.0   | 0.31  | 0.0074   | -0.1212            | 1.50                | 0.0193   |
| 228                                          | 0.1   | 0.31  | 0.0080   | -0.1204            | 1.51                | 0.0200   |
| 229                                          | 2.0   | 0.51  | 0.0078   | -0.1234            | 1.51                | 0.0205   |
| 230                                          | 4.1   | 0.72  | 0.0085   | -0.1228            | 1.51                | 0.0220   |
| 231                                          | 6.1   | 0.87  | 0.0125   | -0.1166            | 1.51                | 0.0258   |
| 232                                          | 8.1   | 0.99  | 0.0172   | -0.1047            | 1.50                | 0.0337   |
| 233                                          | 10.2  | 0.93  | 0.0486   | -0.1127            | 1.50                | --       |
| 234                                          | 11.0  | 0.90  | 0.0601   | -0.1117            | 1.51                | --       |
| 235                                          | 12.1  | 0.89  | 0.0721   | -0.1095            | 1.50                | --       |
| 236                                          | 13.2  | 0.89  | 0.0881   | -0.1122            | 1.50                | --       |
| 237                                          | 14.0  | 0.90  | 0.1008   | -0.1153            | 1.51                | --       |
| 238                                          | 15.1  | 0.89  | 0.1239   | -0.1224            | 1.50                | --       |
| 239                                          | 16.1  | 0.88  | 0.1446   | -0.1285            | 1.50                | --       |
| 240                                          | 17.0  | 0.89  | 0.1693   | -0.1383            | 1.50                | --       |
| 241                                          | 18.1  | 0.90  | 0.1934   | -0.1435            | 1.49                | --       |
| 242                                          | 19.0  | 0.91  | 0.2223   | -0.1533            | 1.51                | --       |
| 243                                          | 20.0  | 0.88  | 0.2595   | -0.1649            | 1.50                | --       |
| End of Table B8                              |       |       |          |                    |                     |          |

**S814**

**Pressure Distributions, Steady State,  $Re = 0.75$  million**




Figure 1.  $\alpha = -20.3^\circ$




Figure 3.  $\alpha = -16.3^\circ$




Figure 2.  $\alpha = -18.4^\circ$




Figure 4.  $\alpha = -14.2^\circ$




Figure 5.  $\alpha = -12.3^\circ$




Figure 7.  $\alpha = -8.2^\circ$




Figure 6.  $\alpha = -10.3^\circ$




Figure 8.  $\alpha = -6.2^\circ$




Figure 9.  $\alpha = -4.2^\circ$




Figure 10.  $\alpha = -2.2^\circ$




Figure 11.  $\alpha = 0.0^\circ$




Figure 12.  $\alpha = 2.0^\circ$




Figure 13.  $\alpha = 4.0^\circ$




Figure 14.  $\alpha = 6.2^\circ$




Figure 15.  $\alpha = 8.2^\circ$




Figure 16.  $\alpha = 10.1^\circ$




Figure 17.  $\alpha = 11.2^\circ$




Figure 18.  $\alpha = 12.1^\circ$




Figure 19.  $\alpha = 13.1^\circ$




Figure 20.  $\alpha = 14.2^\circ$




Figure 21.  $\alpha = 15.0^\circ$




Figure 22.  $\alpha = 16.1^\circ$




Figure 23.  $\alpha = 17.2^\circ$




Figure 24.  $\alpha = 18.2^\circ$




Figure 25.  $\alpha = 19.2^\circ$




Figure 26.  $\alpha = 20.2^\circ$




Figure 27.  $\alpha = 22.1^\circ$




Figure 28.  $\alpha = 24.1^\circ$




Figure 29.  $\alpha = 26.1^\circ$




Figure 31.  $\alpha = 30.0^\circ$




Figure 30.  $\alpha = 28.1^\circ$




Figure 32.  $\alpha = 31.9^\circ$




Figure 33.  $\alpha = 34.0^\circ$




Figure 34.  $\alpha = 36.1^\circ$




Figure 35.  $\alpha = 37.9^\circ$




Figure 36.  $\alpha = 39.9^\circ$

**S814**

**Pressure Distributions, Steady State,  $Re = 1$  million**




Figure 37.  $\alpha = -20.3^\circ$




Figure 39.  $\alpha = -16.4^\circ$




Figure 38.  $\alpha = -18.4^\circ$




Figure 40.  $\alpha = -14.5^\circ$




Figure 41.  $\alpha = -12.3^\circ$




Figure 42.  $\alpha = -10.2^\circ$




Figure 43.  $\alpha = -8.3^\circ$




Figure 44.  $\alpha = -6.1^\circ$




Figure 45.  $\alpha = -4.2^\circ$




Figure 46.  $\alpha = -2.2^\circ$




Figure 47.  $\alpha = 0.0^\circ$




Figure 48.  $\alpha = 2.0^\circ$




Figure 49.  $\alpha = 4.0^\circ$




Figure 50.  $\alpha = 6.2^\circ$




Figure 51.  $\alpha = 8.2^\circ$




Figure 52.  $\alpha = 10.1^\circ$




Figure 53.  $\alpha = 11.2^\circ$




Figure 54.  $\alpha = 12.3^\circ$




Figure 55.  $\alpha = 13.1^\circ$




Figure 56.  $\alpha = 14.2^\circ$




Figure 57.  $\alpha = 15.1^\circ$




Figure 58.  $\alpha = 16.2^\circ$




Figure 59.  $\alpha = 17.2^\circ$




Figure 60.  $\alpha = 18.1^\circ$




Figure 61.  $\alpha = 19.1^\circ$




Figure 62.  $\alpha = 20.2^\circ$




Figure 63.  $\alpha = 22.2^\circ$




Figure 64.  $\alpha = 24.0^\circ$




Figure 65.  $\alpha = 26.0^\circ$




Figure 67.  $\alpha = 30.0^\circ$




Figure 66.  $\alpha = 28.1^\circ$




Figure 68.  $\alpha = 32.0^\circ$




Figure 69.  $\alpha = 34.1^\circ$




Figure 70.  $\alpha = 36.0^\circ$




Figure 71.  $\alpha = 38.0^\circ$




Figure 72.  $\alpha = 39.9^\circ$

**S814**

**Pressure Distributions, Steady State,  $Re = 1.25$  million**




Figure 73.  $\alpha = -20.3^\circ$




Figure 74.  $\alpha = -18.4^\circ$




Figure 75.  $\alpha = -16.3^\circ$




Figure 76.  $\alpha = -14.3^\circ$




Figure 77.  $\alpha = -12.3^\circ$




Figure 78.  $\alpha = -10.3^\circ$




Figure 79.  $\alpha = -8.3^\circ$




Figure 80.  $\alpha = -6.2^\circ$




Figure 81.  $\alpha = -4.2^\circ$




Figure 82.  $\alpha = -2.2^\circ$




Figure 83.  $\alpha = 0.0^\circ$




Figure 84.  $\alpha = 2.0^\circ$




Figure 85.  $\alpha = 4.2^\circ$




Figure 86.  $\alpha = 6.2^\circ$




Figure 87.  $\alpha = 8.2^\circ$




Figure 88.  $\alpha = 10.1^\circ$




Figure 89.  $\alpha = 11.2^\circ$




Figure 91.  $\alpha = 13.1^\circ$




Figure 90.  $\alpha = 12.3^\circ$




Figure 92.  $\alpha = 14.2^\circ$




Figure 93.  $\alpha = 15.1^\circ$




Figure 94.  $\alpha = 16.1^\circ$




Figure 95.  $\alpha = 17.2^\circ$




Figure 96.  $\alpha = 18.3^\circ$




Figure 97.  $\alpha = 19.1^\circ$




Figure 98.  $\alpha = 20.2^\circ$




Figure 99.  $\alpha = 22.2^\circ$




Figure 100.  $\alpha = 24.0^\circ$




Figure 101.  $\alpha = 25.9^\circ$




Figure 102.  $\alpha = 27.8^\circ$




Figure 103.  $\alpha = 30.0^\circ$




Figure 104.  $\alpha = 31.9^\circ$




Figure 105.  $\alpha = 33.9^\circ$




Figure 106.  $\alpha = 36.0^\circ$




Figure 107.  $\alpha = 38.0^\circ$




Figure 108.  $\alpha = 40.1^\circ$

**S814**

**Pressure Distributions, Steady State,  $Re = 1.5$  million**




Figure 109.  $\alpha = -20.2^\circ$




Figure 110.  $\alpha = -18.4^\circ$




Figure 111.  $\alpha = -16.5^\circ$




Figure 112.  $\alpha = -14.3^\circ$




Figure 113.  $\alpha = -12.3^\circ$




Figure 115.  $\alpha = -8.4^\circ$




Figure 114.  $\alpha = -10.3^\circ$




Figure 116.  $\alpha = -6.1^\circ$




Figure 117.  $\alpha = -4.2^\circ$




Figure 118.  $\alpha = -2.1^\circ$




Figure 119.  $\alpha = 0.0^\circ$




Figure 120.  $\alpha = 2.0^\circ$




Figure 121.  $\alpha = 4.1^\circ$




Figure 122.  $\alpha = 6.2^\circ$




Figure 123.  $\alpha = 8.2^\circ$




Figure 124.  $\alpha = 10.1^\circ$




Figure 125.  $\alpha = 11.2^\circ$




Figure 127.  $\alpha = 13.1^\circ$




Figure 126.  $\alpha = 12.1^\circ$




Figure 128.  $\alpha = 14.2^\circ$




Figure 129.  $\alpha = 15.2^\circ$




Figure 131.  $\alpha = 17.2^\circ$




Figure 130.  $\alpha = 16.1^\circ$




Figure 132.  $\alpha = 18.1^\circ$




Figure 133.  $\alpha = 19.1^\circ$





Figure 134.  $\alpha = 20.2^\circ$



## **Appendix C: Unsteady Integrated Coefficients**

## List of Figures

|                                               |      |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|
| $\pm 5.5^\circ$ Sine, Re = 0.75 million ..... | C-3  |
| $\pm 5.5^\circ$ Sine, Re = 1 million .....    | C-10 |
| $\pm 5.5^\circ$ Sine, Re = 1.25 million ..... | C-17 |
| $\pm 5.5^\circ$ Sine, Re = 1.5 million .....  | C-24 |
| $\pm 10^\circ$ Sine, Re = 0.75 million .....  | C-31 |
| $\pm 10^\circ$ Sine, Re = 1 million .....     | C-38 |
| $\pm 10^\circ$ Sine, Re = 1.25 million .....  | C-45 |
| $\pm 10^\circ$ Sine, Re = 1.5 million .....   | C-52 |

## **Unsteady Airfoil Characteristics**

**$\pm 5.5^\circ$  Sine, Re = 0.75 million**




Figure C1. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C2. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C3. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C4. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C5. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

S814  
Clean  
 $Re=0.74$  million  
 $\omega_{reduced}=0.073$




Figure C6. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C7. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C8. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 0.74$  million**  
 **$\omega_{reduced} = 0.114$**




Figure C9. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C10. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C11. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 0.75$  million  
 $\omega_{reduced} = 0.038$




Figure C12. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C13. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C14. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

S814  
 LEGR  
 $Re = 0.75$  million  
 $\omega_{reduced} = 0.075$




Figure C15. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C16. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C17. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re=0.75$  million  
 $\omega_{red}=0.115$




Figure C18. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

## **Unsteady Airfoil Characteristics**

**$\pm 5.5^\circ$  Sine,  $Re = 1$  million**




Figure C19. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C20. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 0.99$  million**  
 **$\omega_{reduced} = 0.028$**




Figure C21. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C22. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C23. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C24. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C25. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C26. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 0.99$  million**  
 **$\omega_{red} = 0.084$**




Figure C27. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C28. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C29. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.00$  million  
 $\omega_{reduced} = 0.028$




Figure C30. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.00 \text{ million}$   
 $\omega_{\text{reduced}} = 0.057$




Figure C31. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C32. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C33. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C34. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C35. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 0.99$  million  
 $\omega_{reduced} = 0.087$




Figure C36. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

## **Unsteady Airfoil Characteristics**

**$\pm 5.5^\circ$  Sine,  $Re = 1.25$  million**




Figure C37. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C38. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

S814  
Clean  
 $Re = 1.24$  million  
 $\omega_{reduced} = 0.022$




Figure C39. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C40. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C41. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 1.24$  million**  
 **$w_{reduced} = 0.044$**




Figure C42. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C43. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C44. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 1.23$  million**  
 **$\omega_{red} = 0.068$**




Figure C45. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.25 \text{ million}$   
 $\omega_{\text{reduced}} = 0.022$




Figure C46. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C47. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C48. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C49. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C50. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.24$  million  
 $\omega_{red} = 0.045$




Figure C51. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C52. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C53. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C54. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

## **Unsteady Airfoil Characteristics**

**$\pm 5.5^\circ$  Sine,  $Re = 1.5$  million**




Figure C55. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C56. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
**Re = 1.49 million**  
 **$\omega_{red} = 0.018$**




Figure C57. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C58. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C59. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 1.49$  million**  
 **$\omega_{\text{reduced}} = 0.036$**




Figure C60. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C61. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C62. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 $Re = 1.49$  million  
 $\omega_{red} = 0.055$




Figure C63. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C64. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C65. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.50$  million  
 $\omega_{red} = 0.019$




Figure C66. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C67. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C68. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.49$  million  
 $\omega_{red} = 0.038$




Figure C69. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C70. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C71. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.49$  million  
 $\omega_{red} = 0.057$




Figure C72. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

## **Unsteady Airfoil Characteristics**

**$\pm 10^\circ$  Sine, Re = 0.75 million**




Figure C73. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C74. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

S814  
Clean  
 $Re = 0.73$  million  
 $\omega_{\text{reduced}} = 0.040$




Figure C75. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C82. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C83. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 0.74$  million  
 $w_{red} = 0.042$




Figure C84. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C85. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C86. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

S814  
 LEGR  
 $Re=0.74$  million  
 $\omega_{red}=0.083$




Figure C87. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C88. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C89. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re=0.74$  million  
 $\omega_{red}=0.128$




Figure C90. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

## **Unsteady Airfoil Characteristics**

**$\pm 10^\circ$  Sine, Re = 1 million**




Figure C91. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C92. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 0.98$  million**  
 **$\omega_{red} = 0.030$**




Figure C93. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C94. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C95. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 0.97$  million**  
 **$\omega_{red} = 0.061$**




Figure C96. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C97. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C98. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 0.97$  million**  
 **$\omega_{red} = 0.091$**




Figure C99. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C100. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C101. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 0.99$  million  
 $\omega_{reduced} = 0.032$




Figure C102. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C103. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C104. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C105. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C106. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C107. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re=0.98$  million  
 $\omega_{red}=0.096$




Figure C108. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

## **Unsteady Airfoil Characteristics**

**$\pm 10^\circ$  Sine, Re = 1.25 million**




Figure C109. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C110. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 1.22$  million**  
 **$\omega_{red} = 0.024$**




Figure C111. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C112. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C113. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 1.22$  million**  
 **$\omega_{red} = 0.048$**




Figure C114. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C115. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C116. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$R_e = 1.22$  million**  
 **$\omega_{reduced} = 0.073$**




Figure C117. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C118. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C119. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.24$  million  
 $\omega_{reduced} = 0.025$




Figure C120. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C121. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C122. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.23$  million  
 $\omega_{reduced} = 0.051$




Figure C123. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C-124. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C-125. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.23$  million  
 $\omega_{red} = 0.076$




Figure C-126. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

## **Unsteady Airfoil Characteristics**

**$\pm 10^\circ$  Sine,  $Re = 1.5$  million**




Figure C127. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C128. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re = 1.47$  million**  
 **$\omega_{red} = 0.020$**




Figure C129. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C130. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C131. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
 **$Re=1.46$  million**  
 **$w_{red}=0.040$**




Figure C132. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C133. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C134. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**Clean**  
**Re = 1.46 million**  
 **$\omega_{red} = 0.061$**




Figure C135. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C136. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C137. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.49$  million  
 $\omega_{reduced} = 0.021$




Figure C138. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C139. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C140. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.48 \text{ million}$   
 $\omega_{red} = 0.042$




Figure C141. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

**S814**  
**LEGR**  
 $Re = 1.48 \text{ million}$   
 $\omega_{\text{reduced}} = 0.064$




Figure C142. Lift coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C143. Pressure drag coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .




Figure C144. Moment coefficient vs  $\alpha$ .

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB NO. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                             |                                                                                    |                                      |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 2. REPORT DATE<br>July 1996                                 | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED<br>Subcontract Report                             |                                      |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE<br>Effects of Grit Roughness and Pitch Oscillations on the S814 Airfoil                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                             | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS<br><br>C:<br><br>TA: WE619030                                   |                                      |
| 6. AUTHOR(S)<br>J. M. Janiszewska, R. Reuss Ramsay, M. J. Hoffmann, G. M. Gregorek                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                             |                                                                                    |                                      |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)<br>Dr. Gerald Gregorek<br>The Ohio State University<br>Aero & Astronautical Research<br>2300 West Case Road<br>Columbus, Ohio 43220<br>(614) 292-5491                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                             | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>REPORT NUMBER                                        |                                      |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)<br>National Renewable Energy Laboratory<br>1617 Cole Blvd.<br>Golden, CO 80401-3393                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                             | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING<br>AGENCY REPORT NUMBER<br><br>TP-442-8161<br>DE96007946 |                                      |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES<br>NREL Technical Monitor: C. P. Butterfield                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                             |                                                                                    |                                      |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT<br>National Technical Information Service<br>U.S. Department of Commerce<br>5285 Port Royal Road<br>Springfield, VA 22161                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                             | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE<br><br>UC-1211                                              |                                      |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)<br>Horizontal axis wind turbine rotors experience unsteady aerodynamics when the rotor is yawed, when rotor blades pass through the support tower wake, and when the wind is gusting. An understanding of this unsteady behavior is necessary to assist in the design of new rotor airfoils. The rotors also experience performance degradation due to surface roughness. These surface irregularities are due to the accumulation of insect debris, ice, and/or the aging process. Wind tunnel studies that examine both the steady and unsteady behavior of airfoils can help define pertinent flow phenomena, and the resultant data can also be used to validate analytical computer codes.<br><br>An S814 airfoil model was tested in The Ohio State University Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory (OSU/AARL) 3x5 subsonic wind tunnel (3x5) under steady flow with both stationary model conditions and pitch oscillations. To study the extent of performance loss due to surface roughness, a leading edge grit roughness pattern (LEGR) was used to simulate leading edge contamination. After baseline cases were completed, the LEGR was applied for both steady state and model pitch oscillation cases. The Reynolds numbers for steady state conditions were 0.75, 1, 1.25, and 1.5 million, while the angle of attack ranged from -20° to +40°. While the model underwent pitch oscillations, data were acquired at Reynolds numbers of 0.75, 1, 1.25, and 1.5 million, at frequencies of 0.6, 1.2, and 1.8 Hz. Two sine wave forcing functions $\pm 5.5^\circ$ and $\pm 10^\circ$ , were used; at mean angles of attack of 8°, 14°, and 20°. For purposes herein, any reference to unsteady conditions means that the airfoil model was in pitch oscillation. |                                                             |                                                                                    |                                      |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS<br>wind energy; horizontal-axis wind turbine; wind tunnel test data; wind turbine airfoil                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                             | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES                                                                |                                      |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                             | 16. PRICE CODE                                                                     |                                      |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF REPORT<br>Unclassified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF THIS PAGE<br>Unclassified | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF ABSTRACT<br>Unclassified                         | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT<br><br>UL |

