

LOCKHEED MARTIN 

**ENVIRONMENTAL
RESTORATION
PROGRAM**

RECEIVED

JUN 28 1996 ES/ER/TM-188

OSTI

**Survey of Protected Terrestrial
Vertebrates on the Oak Ridge
Reservation**

Final Report

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This document has been approved by the
K-25 Site Technical Information Office
for release to the public. Date: 6/16/96

ENERGY SYSTEMS

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MANAGED BY
LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
FOR THE UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

UCN-17500 (6 8-95)

MASTER

ER
▶▶▶▶


This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available from 423-576-8401 (fax 423-576-2865).

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.



**Survey of Protected Terrestrial
Vertebrates on the Oak Ridge
Reservation**

Final Report


J. M. Mitchell
E. R. Vail
J. W. Webb
A. L. King
P. A. Hamlett

Environmental Restoration Division
P.O. Box 2003
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-7298

Date Issued—May 1996


Prepared for the
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Management
under budget and reporting code EW 20

LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
managing the
Environmental Management Activities at the
Oak Ridge K-25 Site Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400
for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY




PREFACE

This technical report was prepared as the final report of the Threatened and Endangered Terrestrial Vertebrates Project of the Environmentally Sensitive Areas Surveys Program. This work was conducted under Work Breakdown Structure 1.4.12.2.3.04.03.02 (Activity Data Sheet 8304) and the milestone titled "Final Report of Baseline Threatened and Endangered Vertebrate Animal Species Conditions on the Oak Ridge Reservation."



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the following people for providing field and technical support during this survey: Jim Evans (Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency) for expert advice and field surveys; Dr. Larry Pounds (JAYCOR) for advice on site selection and invaluable botanical knowledge of the Oak Ridge Reservation; Barbara Rosensteel (JAYCOR) for assistance in locating wetlands and for mapping expertise; Jerry Kline (ORNL) for expert information on identifying and locating reptiles and amphibians; Dr. Paul W. Parmalee (University of Tennessee, Emeritus) for identification of mammal and bird specimens; Dr. Gary McCracken (University of Tennessee) for identification of bat specimens; Dr. Robin Graham (ORNL) and Patricia Parr (ORNL) for technical and administrative support; Cindy Gabrielsen (ORNL) for project support and development; W. Kelly Roy (ORNL) for technical support with field surveys; Dr. David Collins (Tennessee Aquarium) and Enrico Walder (Tennessee Aquarium) for assistance and advice in trapping and identifying turtles; Dr. Ron Caldwell (Lincoln Memorial University) for providing information on and identification of cave salamanders; David Withers (Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation) for providing records on rare species; Drs. Harry Quarles and Martha S. Salk for review comments; Lon Rathmell, Ron Gehl, Melissa Combs, Elizabeth White, and Keith Osburn for participating in field surveys. We also thank many others who provided historical or biological information to support this project.



CONTENTS

FIGURES	ix
TABLES	ix
ABBREVIATIONS	xi
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xiii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	2
2.1 HISTORICAL ACCOUNTS	2
2.2 PRIORITIZATION	2
2.3 SELECTION OF SURVEY SITES	7
2.3.1 Reptiles, Amphibians, and Mammals	7
2.3.2 Birds	7
2.4 TRAPPING AND SURVEY METHODS	7
2.4.1 Pitfall Traps	7
2.4.2 Sherman Traps	15
2.4.3 Seining	15
2.4.4 Minnow Traps	15
2.4.5 Artificial Covers	15
2.4.6 Active Searches	15
2.4.7 Avian Surveys	16
2.4.8 Turtle Trapping	16
2.5 HABITAT ANALYSIS	17
2.6 DOCUMENTATION	17
3. RESULTS	18
3.1 PROTECTED TERRESTRIAL VERTEBRATES OF THE ORR	18
3.2 HABITAT DESCRIPTION FOR SURVEY SITES	21
4. DISCUSSION	25
4.1 STATUS OF PROTECTED TERRESTRIAL ANIMAL SPECIES ON THE ORR ...	25
4.1.2 Protected Species Found on the ORR	25
4.1.2.1 Four-toed salamander	25
4.1.2.2 Southeastern shrew	25
4.1.2.3 Gray bat	25
4.1.2.4 Sharp-shinned hawk	26
4.1.2.5 Cooper's hawk	27
4.1.2.6 Grasshopper sparrow	27
4.1.2.7 Anhinga	27
4.1.2.8 Great egret	27
4.1.2.9 Northern harrier	27
4.1.2.10 Olive-sided flycatcher	27

4.1.2.11 Little blue heron	27
4.1.2.12 Snowy egret	27
4.1.2.13 Sandhill crane	28
4.1.2.14 Double-crested cormorant	28
4.1.2.15 Yellow-bellied sapsucker	28
4.1.2.16 Peregrine falcon	28
4.1.2.17 Bald eagle	28
4.1.2.18 Osprey	28
4.1.2.19 Migrant Loggerhead shrike	28
4.1.2.20 Cerulean warbler	28
4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS TO ENHANCE SPECIES PROTECTION	29
4.3 PROPOSED NATURAL AREAS	30
4.3.1 Freels Bend Proposed Extension of Natural Area 21 (NA21)	30
4.3.2 Hembree Marsh Proposed Extension of Natural Area 24 (NA24)	31
4.3.3 K25 Beaver Pond Complex Proposed Natural Area	31
4.4 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	31
5. REFERENCES	33

APPENDICES:


A. SCIENTIFIC NAMES FOR PROTECTED ANIMALS	A-1
B. ANIMAL RECORDS FOR 1994-1996	B-1
C. HABITAT CATEGORIES	C-1
D. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MANAGEMENT OF THE GRASSHOPPER SPARROW ON THE FREELS BEND SITE, OAK RIDGE RESERVATION	D-1

FIGURES

1. Map compartments for selecting survey sites (reptiles, amphibians, and mammals) on the Oak Ridge Reservation	8
2. Reptile, amphibian, and mammal survey sites on the Oak Ridge Reservation	9
3. Caves surveyed for protected vertebrates on the Oak Ridge Reservation	11
4. Routes for breeding bird surveys on the Oak Ridge Reservation	13
5. Locations of sightings of protected bird species on the Oak Ridge Reservation	23


TABLES

1. Reptile and amphibian species targeted for surveys, their typical habitat, optimal survey season, and recommended survey methods	3
2. Mammal species targeted for surveys, their typical habitat, optimal survey season, and recommended survey methods	4
3. Selected bird species targeted for surveys, their typical habitat, optimal survey season, and recommended survey methods	5
4. Capture methods used at survey sites	16
5. Protected terrestrial vertebrates of the ORR	18
6. Habitat descriptions for reptile, amphibian, and mammal survey sites	21
7. T&E species which might be located in future surveys of the ORR	26



ABBREVIATIONS

DOE	U. S. Department of Energy
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
INM	In Need-of-Management
LMER	Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corporation
LMES	Lockheed Martin Energy Systems, Incorporated
NA	Natural Area
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
ORR	Oak Ridge Reservation
RA	Reference Area
SC	Federally designated species of concern
SE	State Endangered
ST	State Threatened
T&E	Threatened and endangered
TWRA	Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency
TWRC	Tennessee Wildlife Resources Commission



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Surveys of protected terrestrial vertebrates on the Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR) were conducted from October 1994 through May 1996. The surveys were undertaken to help avoid or minimize the potential impacts of projects on the ORR to species listed by the state or federal government as endangered, threatened, or in need-of-management; federal species of concern were included. Results of the survey will also assist in effectively managing the ORR.

Currently, there are 69 species of federal- or state-listed terrestrial vertebrates (20 reptiles and amphibians, 20 mammals, and 29 birds) that may occur in Tennessee. Listed animal species that might be present on the ORR were targeted for survey using a prioritization system based on historical and recent sightings, known species distributions, presence of suitable habitat, literature reviews, and personal communications. Survey methods included trapping, seining, monitoring artificial covers, active searching, and avian surveys. Surveys were conducted during the time of year when each targeted species was most likely to be encountered.

The surveys confirmed the presence of 20 threatened and endangered species on the ORR. Species recorded included one federal endangered species (gray bat); two federal threatened species (bald eagle and peregrine falcon); two federal species of concern (migrant loggerhead shrike and cerulean warbler); one state threatened species (osprey); and 14 state species deemed in need-of-management (four-toed salamander, sharp-shinned hawk, Cooper's hawk, northern harrier, sandhill crane, little blue heron, double-crested cormorant, anhinga, great egret, snowy egret, yellow-bellied sapsucker, olive-sided flycatcher, grasshopper sparrow, and southeastern shrew). All but five (i.e., four-toed salamander, anhinga, sandhill crane, snowy egret, and gray bat) of these species were recorded more than once.

The report also includes ancillary information. Records are provided for nonlisted species (44 species of reptiles and amphibians, 155 species of birds, and 28 species of mammals). Categorization of survey sites into 1 or more of 19 habitat types, which are briefly described, is presented. Notes are summarized on the occurrence of threatened and endangered species on the ORR. The report also lists threatened and endangered species not found that might be located by additional surveys, recommends three survey areas for natural-area status due to wildlife value, and suggests several avenues for future work.



1. INTRODUCTION

The Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR) is managed by Lockheed Martin Energy Systems (LMES) and Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corporation (LMER) for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The ORR is an approximately 14,000 ha (33,000 acre) block of federal land in the Ridge and Valley Province of eastern Tennessee. Approximately 10,000 ha (25,000 acres) of this land has remained undeveloped in a relatively natural state since the land was acquired by the federal government in the 1940's. The value of the ORR as a nationally and regionally significant source of natural biological diversity is widely recognized (e.g., Mann et al. 1996).

An essential component of responsible stewardship of land, regardless of its purpose, is protection and enhancement of biodiversity, of which terrestrial vertebrates are a key part. Land managers therefore need to consider their legal, intellectual, and ethical responsibilities in maintaining or enhancing lands for native animals, particularly those that are rare and declining. This report on rare terrestrial vertebrates on the ORR addresses this component of responsible land stewardship.

The importance of rare species in effective stewardship has been recognized in state and federal laws which protect certain animal species in Tennessee. The federal Endangered Species Act confers protection on species listed as either endangered or threatened; other species may be proposed for listing, designated as candidates for proposal, or listed as species of concern (about which more information is needed to ascertain whether they are suitable candidates). Federal agencies may not undertake actions which might harm federally threatened or endangered species without first assessing the impacts of the actions on these species, and, under appropriate circumstances, consulting with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). Following consultation, the FWS may specify additional requirements or prohibit actions. Also, it is advisable for agencies to consider species which may be listed in the future: those species which are proposed, are candidates for listing, or are species of concern. The state of Tennessee lists those species which it considers endangered, threatened, or in-need-of-management (INM) in the state (TWRC 1994 a, b). The state listing includes federally listed species, other species which are rare or declining in Tennessee, and species about which more information is needed. State law prohibits knowingly harming these species or their habitats without a permit, which when granted may include restrictions or mitigative measures. All such state and federally listed species are referred to collectively in this report as threatened and endangered (T&E) species. Species in the main text are referred to by an accepted common name. Scientific names of T&E animals are listed in Appendix A.

Recognizing the legal and institutional importance of T&E terrestrial vertebrate species, LMES's Environmental Restoration Program undertook a study of such species from 1994 through 1996, in cooperation with Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), which has overall responsibility for managing the National Environmental Research Park including coordination of wildlife management activities on the ORR. The major goal of the study was to develop a preliminary inventory of the occurrence of these species on the ORR. This information will be valuable in managing the natural resources of the ORR and in contributing to sound planning and decision making for current hazardous waste site remedial decisions and future development. The findings of this preliminary survey so clearly demonstrated the value of the ORR for biodiversity, wildlife and ecosystem research, and for protection of T&E species that discussion of and recommendations for habitat management, species and habitat protection, and future work are included in the final section of the report. Useful ancillary information collected during the study and reported herein includes the occurrence of non-listed animal species and brief habitat characterizations of survey sites. The report also cites historical information on T&E species on the ORR.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 HISTORICAL ACCOUNTS

Literature searches were conducted to locate historical records of T&E species on the ORR. Previous studies provided some indication of which listed species might currently occur. Although numerous studies deal with ORR fauna, only a few contained documentation on T&E animals. Some historical survey areas have been substantially altered since the original studies were conducted, T&E listings have changed, and not all currently listed species have been searched for. Therefore, one objective of this study was to validate and expand on these earlier efforts. Some specimens from historical studies are housed in the ORR plant and animal reference collection; these specimens were catalogued to further document records. In addition, individuals familiar with ORR fauna were interviewed to obtain unpublished information.

2.2 PRIORITIZATION

Sixty-nine species of federally or state-listed terrestrial vertebrates may occur in Tennessee (20 reptiles and amphibians, 20 mammals, and 29 birds). Not all of these are expected to occur on the ORR. To effectively use limited resources, we targeted listed animal species that might be present using a prioritization system based on historical and recent sightings, species distributions, presence of suitable habitat, literature reviews, and personal communications.

For reptiles, amphibians, and mammals (Tables 1 and 2) the priorities were as follows:

- Priority 1: federal or state-listed species which have a range that includes the ORR;
- Priority 2: federal or state-listed species that have been recorded in counties adjacent to the ORR;
- Priority 3: other federal or state-listed species that have been recorded elsewhere in eastern Tennessee [(i.e., within 160 km (100 miles) of the ORR].

For birds (Table 3), prioritization was slightly different because these animals are particularly mobile and wide ranging and are frequently migratory over long distances. The two priority categories established for birds are as follows:

- Priority 1: federal or state-listed species most likely to be found on the ORR based on their current range and habitat requirements.
- Priority 2: federal or state-listed species for which adequate habitat exists on the ORR but are currently uncommon in eastern Tennessee.

Survey efforts were focused on these species according to priority.

Table 1. Reptile and amphibian species targeted for surveys, optimal survey season, their typical habitat, and recommended survey technique

Species	Survey Season	Habitat	Survey Technique
Priority 1 Tennessee cave salamander	Year around	Cave systems with permanent streams, pools in limestone	Survey caves
Four-toed salamander	September - March	Hardwood forest wetlands with sphagnum moss	Pitfall traps, active search, artificial cover
Hellbender	Year around	Small rivers or large streams with clear cool running water with flat rocks	Electro-shocking, seining, potato rake, active search
Eastern slender glass lizard	April - September	Dry upland areas, brushy cut-over woodlands, grassy fields	Artificial cover, active search
Northern pine snake	April - September	Sandy pine woods, dry mountain ridges, old fields with loose soils, asphalt	Artificial cover, active search
Priority 2 Black Mountain dusky salamander	April-October	Under stones in association with mountain brooks	Pitfall traps, funnel traps, active search, artificial cover
Mole salamander	January-March	Moist low-lying woodland areas with ponds, adults live in subterranean tunnels, under rotten logs, debris or leaf-litter	Seine, minnow traps, pitfall traps, artificial pools and cover
Priority 3 Bog Turtle	May - September	Sphagnum wetlands, swamps or meadows with clear slow moving streams with muddy bottoms	Turtle traps, seine
Green anole	April - September	Trees, shrubs, vines, low vegetation. Nesting occurs in dry rotting wood, leaf litter or rocky bluffs	Pitfall traps, active search

¹ This list excludes species that are found only in the high elevations of the Smoky Mountains.

Table 2. Mammal species targeted for surveys, optimal survey season, their typical habitat, and recommended survey technique

Species	Survey Season	Habitat	Survey Technique
Priority 1 Gray bat	Year around	Caves	Survey caves, mist net
Smoky shrew	March - September	Moist woodlands with rocks, decaying logs, leaf-litter	Pitfall traps
Southeastern shrew	March - September	Flood plains, pine woods with rocks, decaying logs, leaf-litter	Pitfall traps
Priority 2 Small-footed bat	Year around	Caves	Survey caves, mist net
Indiana bat	Year around	Caves	Survey caves, mist net
Rafinesque's big-eared bat	Year around	Unoccupied man-made structures, caves	Survey caves and abandoned buildings, mist net
Woodland jumping mouse	August - September	Spruce/fir, hemlock, and hardwood forests, damp, rocky, swampy areas	Sherman traps, pitfall traps
Meadow jumping mouse	August - September	Open grassy areas with thick vegetation near ponds, streams or marshes	Sherman traps, pitfall traps
Southern bog lemming	Year around	Open grassy areas with thick vegetation near ponds, rocky edges of streams, marshes,	Sherman traps, pitfall traps, caves
Eastern woodrat	Year around	Wooded, damp, rocky, swampy areas	Sherman traps, pitfall traps
Masked shrew	March - October	Moist woodlands with rocks, decaying logs, leaf-litter	Pitfall traps
Priority 3 Water shrew	March - October	Moist woodlands with rocks, decaying logs, leaf-litter, rocky over-hangs near streams	Pitfall traps
Yellow-nosed vole	March - October	Moist woodlands with rocks, decaying logs, leaf-litter	Sherman traps, pitfall traps

1 This list excludes species that are found only in the high elevations of the Smoky Mountains.

Table 3. Bird species targeted for surveys, optimal survey season, their typical habitat, and recommended survey technique

Species	Survey Season	Habitat	Survey Technique
<u>Priority 1</u> Yellow-bellied sapsucker	August – May	Open deciduous woods	Search for tree markings
Cooper's hawk	Year around	Mixed woods with openings	Search for nest
Sharp-shinned hawk	Year around	Mixture of woods and open country	Search for nest
Great egret	July – September	Shorelines and wetlands	Survey specific habitat
Northern harrier	April – May; Oct – Nov	Marsh, open country, and weedy fields	Survey specific habitat
Bald eagle	Aug-Sep; Jan-Mar	Open water and tall trees	Survey Clinch River and Melton Hill Reservoir
Osprey	May – October	Open water and platforms	Survey Clinch River and Melton Hill Reservoir
Grasshopper sparrow	April – September	Grassy fields and farmland	Flushing, song identification

Sampling season are taken from Hamel 1992.

Table 3. Bird species targeted for surveys, optimal survey season, their typical habitat, and recommended survey technique (contd.)

Species	Survey Season	Habitat	Survey Technique
Priority 2 Snowy egret	July - September	Marshes, lake margins, and wetlands	Survey specific habitat
Vesper sparrow	April - May; Oct - Nov	Pastures and grasslands	Flushing, song identification
Common barn-owl	Year around	Open country, marshes, sheltered cavities	Search buildings, use calls
Little blue heron	July - September	Shorelines and wetlands	Survey specific habitat
Double-crested cormorant	May - July	Open water	Survey Clinch River and Melton Hill Reservoir
Olive-sided flycatcher	Spring and Fall	Openings and dead trees	Survey specific habitat
Sandhill crane	Spring and Fall	Open shallow water and fields	Survey specific habitat
King rail	April - October	Marshes	Survey specific habitat
Least bittern	April - October	Marshes with tall cover	Survey specific habitat

Sampling season are taken from Hamel 1992.

2.3 SELECTION OF SURVEY SITES

2.3.1 Reptiles, Amphibians, and Mammals

To select survey sites for reptiles, amphibians and mammals, the ORR was divided into 10 compartments (Fig. 1). In each compartment, 5 wetlands were selected from Cunningham and Pounds (1991) based on size and accessibility. Two wetland sites were then chosen at random from the original five. Because no acceptable wetlands could be found in the Tower Shielding area and only 1 accessible wetland occurred near the Central Training Facility, only 17 sites were surveyed. Additional survey sites were later selected in locations of special interest based on historical and recent sightings or presence of unique habitat (e.g., grasslands). The resulting survey sites are shown in Fig. 2. Seven caves were selected for surveying, giving priority to those which had previously explored and mapped. Locations of surveyed caves: Copper Ridge, Flashlight Heaven, Walker Branch, Big Turtle, Little Turtle, Pinnacle, and Bull Bluff are shown in Fig. 3.

2.3.2 Birds

Bird surveys were conducted in suitable habitat and/or where the species had been previously reported. Eleven routes were selected for breeding bird surveys in order to survey as many habitat types as possible across the ORR. Breeding bird survey routes are shown in Fig. 4. Not all sites where birds were sighted are shown because some observations were made opportunistically in conjunction with other activities.

2.4 TRAPPING AND SURVEY METHODS

Survey methods were chosen based on their appropriateness for targeted species, efficiency, and the time required to complete them. Sampling was conducted during the time of year each species was most likely to be encountered (e.g., breeding season and migration).

2.4.1 Pitfall Traps

The most effective way of capturing shrews and many amphibians is with pitfall or can traps (Karns 1986). Pitfall traps were used for some amphibian, reptile, and mammal species from all priority groupings.

Traps were installed in a grid pattern, surrounding the wetland with traps at 10 m (33 ft) intervals. At all but 4 survey sites, traps were unbaited #10 cans buried in the ground with the tops flush with the surface. All cans had holes for drainage. The total number of traps at each site varied with wetland size but was generally from 20 to 40. When traps were not in use, they were deactivated by placing a stake into the can, thus allowing any captured animals to escape. One week was allowed before trapping began for animals to adjust to habitat disturbances. During the trapping season (April–August), the traps were left open 24 hours a day for three consecutive days and checked daily. At 4 sites, buried 5-gallon buckets and drift fences were used. Only five to eight buckets were used at each site. These traps were not closed and were checked year-around. Sites with pitfall traps are listed in Table 4; survey site numbers (A1–A26) in Table 4 correspond to the survey site locations in Fig. 2.






Fig. 1. Sampling compartments for reptiles, amphibians, and mammals on the Oak Ridge Reservation.






Coordinate System:
TN State Plane (1983)
Base data: TVA S-16A database
ORNL Shared Data database

Map layout by: B. A. Rosensteel
- JAYCOR Environmental -
May 1996

Fig. 2. Reptile, amphibian, and mammal survey sites on the Oak Ridge Reservation








Coordinate System:
 TN State Plane (1983)
 Base data: TVA S-16A database
 ORNL Shared Data database

Map layout by: B. A. Rosensteel
 - JAYCOR Environmental -
 May 1996

Fig. 3. Caves surveyed for protected vertebrates on the Oak Ridge Reservation.





Coordinate System:
TN State Plane (1983)
Base data: TVA S-16A database
ORNL Shared Data database

Map layout by: B. A. Rosensteel
- JAYCOR Environmental -
May 1996

Fig. 4. Routes for breeding bird surveys on the Oak Ridge Reservation.

2.4.2 Sherman Traps

Sites where Sherman traps were used are also summarized in Table 4. Three to five sites were trapped per week. Traps were placed near rocks, fallen logs, and animal runways. The total number of traps per site varied with wetland size but was generally from 40 to 50. Traps were placed at 10 m (33 ft) intervals in a grid pattern. Where pitfall traps were present one trap was placed adjacent to each can. Traps were baited with peanut butter and rolled oats and were set in the afternoon of the first day, checked for 3 consecutive days, and then closed.

2.4.3 Seining

Seining is a quick and effective method of surveying amphibians in small ponds, wetlands, and streams (Heyer et al. 1994). This method was used to search for the mole salamander.

Semipermanent to permanent ponds within or near hardwood forests on the ORR were selected from Cunningham and Pounds (1991). Most ponds were abandoned cattle ponds surrounded by mixed pine/hardwood forest and old fields. A 1.2 m × 2.4 m (4 ft × 8 ft) seine with a 0.3-cm (1/8 in) mesh net was used. Transects were established in ponds out to a depth of 1.2 m (4 ft) and parallel to the shoreline (Cooperrider et al. 1986). Twenty ponds were seined to sample for adult mole salamanders from January through March 1995. Information collected at each pond included transect length, water and air temperature, weather conditions, and gender and total number of each species collected.

2.4.4 Minnow Traps

Standard conventional minnow traps were used to capture ambystomid salamanders, particularly targeting the mole salamander. Unbaited traps were placed in ponds and slack water from January through March 1996. The number of traps per area varied with the size and depth of the water, with as many as 10 traps used in a 1-ha plot. Traps were checked daily when possible.

2.4.5 Artificial Covers

Artificial covers provide micro-habitats for a variety of animals which may be subsequently captured or identified. Dry upland brushy habitats (e.g., power line rights-of-way and old fields) were selected to survey for the eastern slender glass lizard. Areas with pine stands or dry ridges were selected for the northern pine snake. Artificial covers were constructed from scrap wood, approximately 1m x 1m (3 ft x 3 ft). The wood was labeled, numbered, and monitored bimonthly during the appropriate seasons (April–September). Artificial covers were placed at appropriate sites (Table 4) in groups of 20.

2.4.6 Active Searches

This method was used extensively to survey for a variety of T&E animals. Suitable or preferred habitats for each species were searched during the time of year the animal was most likely to be encountered. The method involves traveling in an area (walking or driving permanent transects) while recording all animals seen or heard. Most bird surveys used this approach, whereby the preferred habitat of each species is searched visually (using optics) and auditorially for their presence.

Night driving was an active search technique used to locate reptile and amphibians. This method involves driving on spring and fall nights (usually rainy) along primary and secondary roads through various suitable habitat. Abandoned buildings, caves (Fig. 3), and rocky slopes are other examples of areas where active searching was used.

2.4.7 Avian Surveys

Birds were surveyed by active searches (Section 2.4.6) and by point count census of breeding birds. Point counts were conducted in various habitats across the ORR. The counts were conducted along old roads, trails, or in the middle of a specified habitat. As many habitat types as possible were covered. Each of the 11 routes (Fig. 4) was 3 to 6 km (2–4 miles) long with stopping points located every 0.3 km (1/6 mile). All birds seen or heard at these points within a 50 m (164 ft) radius and 5-min time interval were recorded (Hamel et al. 1994). The routes were surveyed in June, prime nesting season for many species.

Table 4. Capture methods used at survey sites

Survey	Site Name	Artificial	P	Sherman
A1	Perimeter Road		*	*
A2	East Fork Poplar		*	*
A3	K25 Salvage		*	*
A4	K25 Visitor		*	*
A5	Gaging Station		*	*
A6	Quarry–Gallaher		*	*
A7	Bear Creek Weir		*	*
A8	Burns Cemetery		*	*
A9	Muskrat Marsh	*	*	*
A10	Bear Creek		*	*
A11	Y–12		*	*
A12	X–10		*	*
A13	Melton Valley		*	*
A14	Roger's Quarry		*	*
A15	Wood Duck Pond		*	*
A16	McCoy Branch	*	*	*
A17	Turtle Pond		*	*
A18	Bear Creek Road	*	*	*
A19	Freels Bend	*	*	*
A20	McNew Hollow	*	*	*
A21	Bull Bluff		*	
A22	Bull Bluff (Field)	*		*
A23	K25 Salvage			*
A24	Gasline Road	*		*
A25	Shepherd's	*		*
A26	Flashlight Heaven	*		*

Survey site numbers A1–A26 correspond to mapped locations in Fig. 2.

2.4.8 Turtle Trapping

Commercial nylon hoop nets baited with watermelon or dog food were used to capture turtles. Nets were made of a mesh cylinder supported by metal hoops and funnel opening at one end. Traps were placed in embayments or near turtle basking sites along Clinch River. As many as five traps were set at a time and checked daily. In 1994, hoop nets were used to capture the Cumberland slider, a species then listed by the state of Tennessee. The turtle was located in several areas along Clinch River; however in 1995 the animal was delisted and trapping ceased. The only other listed turtle targeted by this study (Table 1) was the bog turtle. Although marginal habitat occurs for the bog turtle on the ORR, sampling for this animal was not conducted due to time constraints.

2.5 HABITAT ANALYSIS

Habitat analysis was conducted on sites where pitfall and Sherman traps and artificial covers were placed. This analysis was conducted to allow changes over time to be seen in the event that future surveys are conducted. This general information also provides some habitat characterization for areas where T&E species are located. Information recorded for each site included the following variables: latitude; longitude; cover type; basal area, litter depth; tree diameter; dominant plant in ground; under story and over story layers and height of each stage; special features (e.g., log debris, rock outcrops, and disturbances); topography (including slope and aspect); and presence of water. Survey sites were photographed.

2.6 DOCUMENTATION

Information on trapped or observed animals, both listed and nonlisted, was recorded on data sheets and in logbooks. This information was subsequently logged into a computerized data base maintained in Excel^{®1} format. The database provides records for the monitoring of biodiversity on the ORR (total list of animals observed during this survey are listed in Appendix B). In cases where field identification could not be made, the specimen was taken to the laboratory for further study. When possible, specimens were photographed.

Periodic reports on T&E species located during this survey were given to the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation and the Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency (TWRA). Records for federally listed species have been provided to the FWS, where appropriate.

1

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trade mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof.

3. RESULTS

3.1 PROTECTED TERRESTRIAL VERTEBRATES OF THE ORR

Protected animals identified on the ORR from 1994 through 1996 are summarized in Table 5. Each entry provides the common name, survey site number, site name, date of sighting, and number of individuals observed. Survey site numbers begin with A (amphibian and mammal) and B (birds) and correspond to mapped locations of the T&E animal in Figures 2 and 5, respectively. Further explanations for each species identified can be found in Sect. 4.1.2. Detailed information on each sighting (including latitude, longitude, and individuals who observed the animal) is recorded in the database.

The following listed species were located in 1994: double-crested cormorant, osprey, bald eagle, Cooper's hawk, northern harrier, and great egret. All of these species were observed again in 1995-96. T&E species found in 1994 that were subsequently delisted include: black-crowned night heron, red-shouldered hawk, black vulture, and Cumberland slider.

The surveys confirmed the presence of 20 threatened and endangered species on the ORR. Species recorded included 1 federal endangered species (gray bat); 2 federal threatened species (bald eagle and peregrine falcon); 2 federal species of concern (migrant loggerhead shrike and cerulean warbler); 1 state threatened species (osprey); and 14 state species deemed in need of management (four-toed salamander, sharp-shinned hawk, Cooper's hawk, northern harrier, sandhill crane, little blue heron, double-crested cormorant, anhinga, great egret, snowy egret, yellow-bellied sapsucker, olive-sided flycatcher, grasshopper sparrow, and southeastern shrew). All but five (i.e., four-toed salamander, anhinga, sandhill crane, snowy egret, and gray bat) of these species were recorded more than once.

Table 5. Protected terrestrial vertebrates of the ORR

Common Name	Survey	Site Name	Date	#
Four-Toed Salamander ^{1,3}	A20	McNew Hollow	12/4/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk	B1	K25 Visitors Overlook	5/25/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk	B2	Duck Island	6/13/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk	B3	Herrell Road	6/16/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk ²	B4	Clark Park	6/19/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk	B1	K25 Visitors Overlook	6/19/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk	B3	Herrell Road	6/29/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk	B5	Freels Bend Near Cabin	8/14/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk	B6	Walker Branch Road	8/15/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk	B7	East Quarry Road	8/29/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk	B8	Freels Bend Cabin	9/5/95	1
Sharp-shinned Hawk	B44	Park City Patrol Road	1/29/96	1
Cooper's Hawk	B9	K25 Portal 4	8/22/94	1
Cooper's Hawk ²	B10	Bethel Valley Road	2/22/95	1
Cooper's Hawk	B11	Gas line Road	3/12/95	1
Cooper's Hawk	B9	K25 Portal 4	5/19/95	1
Cooper's Hawk	B12	K25 1330 Area	3/7/95	1
Cooper's Hawk	B12	K25 1330 Area	8/8/95	1
Cooper's Hawk	B13	Y-12 Lake Reality	8/11/95	1

Table 5. Protected terrestrial vertebrates of the ORR (contd.)

Cooper's Hawk	B10	Bethel Valley Road	10/19/9	1
Cooper's Hawk	B14	Freels Bend Road South	11/8/95	1
Cooper's Hawk	B26	Freels Bend North	1/2/96	1
Cooper's Hawk	B22	K25 K901-A Pond	1/11/96	1
Cooper's Hawk ²	B45	ORNL Building 2518	2/1/96	1
Cooper's Hawk	B52	Walker Branch	4/25/96	1
Grasshopper Sparrow ¹	B15	Freels Bend South	5/8-8/3	8+
Anhinga	B16	ORNL Swan Pond	6/20/94	1
Great Egret	B17	Poplar Creek	6/11/94	1
Great Egret	B18	Poplar Creek	6/28/96	1
Great Egret	B19	K25 Beaver Pond	7/6/96	1
Great Egret	B16	ORNL Swan Pond	7/10-9/	6
Great Egret	B20	White Oak Lake Upper	7/29-1	7
Great Egret	B21	Freels Bend Land	7/31-8/	1
Great Egret	B22	K25 K901-A Pond	8/11-8/	1
Great Egret	B51	White Oak Lake Lower	4/22/96	1
Northern Harrier	B23	McNew Hollow/Hembree	9/7/94	1
Northern Harrier	B24	Raccoon Creek	9/9/94	1
Northern Harrier	B25	0800 Area Along Clinch	9/20/94	2
Northern Harrier	B26	Freels Bend North	11/2/96	1
Northern Harrier	B26	Freels Bend North	11/6/96	1
Northern Harrier	B26	Freels Bend North	11/7/96	1
Olive-sided Flycatcher	B26	Freels Bend North	5/12/95	1
Olive-sided Flycatcher	B26	Freels Bend North	5/15/95	1
Little Blue Heron	B19	K25 Beaver Pond	7/6/96	1
Little Blue Heron	B22	K25 K901-A Pond	7/17/95	1
Little Blue Heron	B22	K25 K901-A Pond	7/18/95	1
Little Blue Heron	B20	White Oak Lake	7/29-9/	1
Snowy Egret	B19	K25 Beaver Pond	4/16/96	1
Sandhill Crane	B18	Poplar Creek	3/5/95	1
Double-crested Cormorant	B16	ORNL Swan Pond	6/1/94	1
Double-crested Cormorant	B27	Melton Hill Lake	5/5/95	20+
Double-crested Cormorant	B27	Melton Hill Lake	5/12/95	20+
Double-crested Cormorant	B28	Clinch River Near K25	7/5/95	1
Double-crested Cormorant	B29	Poplar Creek West End	8/31/95	2
Double-crested Cormorant	B30	K25 near Hwy. 58	10/26/9	2
Double-crested Cormorant	B50	Walker Branch	4/12/96	6+
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	B31	Walker Branch	2/23/95	1
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	B32	Walker Branch Road	3/27/95	1
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	B33	Freels Bend Road South	11/8/95	2
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	B34	Walker Branch Road	11/10/9	1

Table 5. Protected terrestrial vertebrates of the ORR (contd.)

Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	B42	Freels Bend North	11/21/9	1
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	B46	Park City Road	1/24/96	1
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	B6	Walker Branch Road	2/5/96	1
Peregrine Falcon	N/A	Flyover - east ORR	6/15/95	1
Peregrine Falcon	B52	Walker Branch	4/25/96	1
Bald Eagle	B35	Jones Island Road	8/9/94	1
Bald Eagle	B35	Jones Island Road	8/11/94	1
Bald Eagle	B47	Solway Bend Farm	1/11/96	1
Bald Eagle	B48	Bearden Creek (Clinch	1/15/96	2
Bald Eagle	B49	Hickory Creek Bend	1/15/96	1
Bald Eagle	B8	Freels Bend Cabin	1/15/96	2
Bald Eagle	B54	Solway Bridge	1/10/96	1
Osprey	B36	K25 1515 Lagoon	6/7/94	1
Osprey	B29	Poplar Creek West End	6/11/94	1
Osprey	B20	White Oak Lake	6/24/95	1
Osprey	B37	Freels Bend South	6/31/95	1
Osprey	B37	Freels Bend South	6/27/96	1
Osprey	B29	Poplar Creek West End	6/29/95	4
Osprey	B29	Poplar Creek West End	7/18/95	4
Osprey	B29	Poplar Creek West End	3/15/96	2
Osprey	B55	Clinch River Near K25	4/17/96	2
Osprey	B30	K25 near Hwy. 58	4/16/96	1
Loggerhead Shrike	B38	Scarboro Creek	8/11/94	1
Loggerhead Shrike	B39	Freels Bend Road North	11/8/95	1
Loggerhead Shrike	B40	Freels Bend Road South	11/9/95	1
Loggerhead Shrike	B41	Freels Bend South	11/13/9	1
Loggerhead Shrike	B43	Freels Bend North	11/15/9	1
Loggerhead Shrike	B40	Freels Bend Road South	4/24/96	1
Cerulean Warbler	B53	East Herrell Road	4/24/96	2
Cerulean Warbler	B31	Walker Branch	4/22/96	4
Cerulean Warbler	B32	Walker Branch Road	4/22/96	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A9	Muskrat Marsh	5/10/95	2
Southeastern Shrew ²	A13	Melton Valley Drive	5/10/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A15	Wood Duck Pond	5/10/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A15	Wood Duck Pond	5/12/95	2
Southeastern Shrew ²	A16	McCoy Branch	6/6/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A16	McCoy Branch	6/28/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A12	X-10	7/12/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A2	East Fork Poplar Creek	7/20/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A16	McCoy Branch	7/31/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A18	Bear Creek Road	9/26/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A20	McNew Hollow	9/26/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A19	Freels Bend	10/2/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A18	Bear Creek Road	11/7/95	1
Southeastern Shrew ²	A19	Freels Bend	11/15/9	1

Table 5. Protected terrestrial vertebrates of the ORR (contd.)


Gray Bat ^a	N/A	Y-12 Plant, Building	10/31/9	1
¹ Photograph Taken				
² Specimen retained in museum				
³ Specimen caught and released				
⁴ Dead specimen sent to FWS				
N/A- not available				


3.2 HABITAT DESCRIPTION FOR SURVEY SITES



Habitat descriptions of non-avian survey sites are shown in Table 6. Habitat categories (Appendix C) were created to establish a standard method of reporting. Habitat categories matched with survey sites ("habitat" heading in Table 6) and areas within 50 m (164 ft) of the sites ("association" heading in Table 6) are shown in Table 6. Some sites did not have an associated habitat. Survey sites (A1-26) correspond to mapped locations in Fig. 2.

Table 6. Habitat descriptions for reptile, amphibian, and mammal survey sites

Survey	Site Name	Hait	Associa
A1	Perimeter Road	8,	1, 16
A2	East Fork	4,	14, 15
A3	K25 Salvage	7,	
A4	K25 Visitor	3,	7
A5	Gaging Station	2,	14, 15
A6	Quarry-Gallahe	9,	3, 19
A7	Bear Creek	2,	7
A8	Burns	3,	14, 16
A9	Muskrat Marsh	5,	14
A10	Bear Creek	15	2
A11	Y-12	3,	6
A12	X-10	7,	
A13	Melton Valley	3,	
A14	Roger's Quarry	8,	
A15	Wood Duck	6,	
A16	McCoy Branch	3,	1, 13
A17	Turtle Pond	6,	17
A18	Bear Creek	3,	14
A19	Freels Bend	17	6
A20	McNew Hollow	3,	8
A21	Bull Bluff	13	12
A22	Bull Bluff (Field)	16	12, 13
A23	K25 Salvage	16	14
A24	Gas line Road	16,	12
A25	Shepherd's	10	1, 19
A26	Flashlight	12	







Coordinate System:
 TN State Plane (1983)
 Base data: TVA S-16A database
 ORNL Shared Data database

Map layout by: B. A. Rosensteel
 - JAYCOR Environmental -
 May 1996

Fig. 5. Locations of sightings of protected bird species on the Oak Ridge Reservation.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 STATUS OF PROTECTED TERRESTRIAL ANIMAL SPECIES ON THE ORR

4.1.1 T&E Species Which Might Be Identified in Future Surveys of the ORR

The ORR provides important, largely unfragmented habitat for many animal species, both listed and non-listed. In the following discussion, we suggest additional species that might occur, provide notes on species found, and make recommendations for species management, habitat protection, and future work.

New species continue to be discovered on the ORR; for example two new county records for salamanders were established in 1995. Some T&E species that were recorded in past surveys were not observed in this project; others were not observed but are expected to occur based on their range, recent records near the ORR, and habitat requirements. Table 7 lists these species along with references to historical records on the ORR, if any, current protection status of the species, and regional occurrence.

4.1.2 Protected Species Found on the ORR

The ORR provides important habitat for the T&E species that were recorded during this survey. For this reason, additional information on their occurrence may be useful in the future. The accounts below provide the frequency of occurrence on the ORR, state and/or federal status, nesting records, historical records, and other notes for each T&E species found during this survey. Actual records and locations for each species are summarized in Table 5.

4.1.2.1 Four-toed salamander

Rare resident. In need of management. One four-toed salamander was located during our survey and is a new record for Roane county. Although this salamander may be found in bogs and wetlands, it is usually associated with sphagnum moss, which is scarce on the ORR. Nevertheless, this salamander will probably be found in other areas on the ORR if surveys are continued.

4.1.2.2 Southeastern shrew

Common resident. In need-of-management. Southeastern shrews were trapped at several sites during 1995. This animal may be more common than regional records suggest. We found animal activity associated with rainfall and typically only one animal was captured at a time. Record(s): Dunaway and Kaye (1961), Howell and Dunaway (1958), and Smith (1976).

4.1.2.3 Gray bat

Rare. Federal endangered. One dead specimen was found in a display cabinet in building 9204-3 at the Y-12 facility. The bat was sent to FWS in Cookville, Tennessee. The gray bat may forage over the Clinch River and larger creeks on the ORR. Use of ORR caves by this bat is unlikely, but possible.

Table 7. T&E species which might be identified in future surveys of the ORR

Species	Reference	Status	Regional Occurrence
Hellbender	M. Ryon, pers. comm.	INM	Rare
Northern pine snake	Krumholz 1954	SC	Very rare
Northern saw-whet owl	Krumholz 1954	INM	Very rare
Vesper sparrow	Krumholz 1954	INM	Uncommon migrant
Bachman's sparrow	Howell 1958 Nicholson 1976 Kroodsma 1987	SE, SC	Very rare
Bewick's wren	Krumholz 1954 Howell 1958	ST, SC	Very rare
Henslow's sparrow	Howell 1958	SC	Rare migrant
Kirtland's warbler	Krumholz 1954	FE	Very rare transient
Mole salamander	NR	INM	Rare in region
Tennessee cave salamander	NR	ST, SC	Uncommon in region
Eastern slender glass lizard	NR	INM	Uncommon in region
Eastern woodrat	NR	INM	Uncommon in region
Rafinesque's big-eared bat	NR	INM	Uncommon in region
Swainson's warbler	NR	INM	Uncommon in region
Common barn owl	NR	INM	Uncommon in region

INM- In Need of Management

NR- No historical record on the ORR

SC- Federally designated species of concern

ST- State Threatened

SE- State Endangered

FE- Federally Endangered

4.1.2.4 Sharp-shinned hawk

Uncommon resident. In need-of-management. The sharp-shinned hawk is a permanent resident of the ORR. Male and female birds were sighted reservation-wide during the 1995 breeding season. One previous nest location was reported in 1994 near the Jones Island area of Clinch River. The nest was located near Raccoon Creek on the TVA boundary line/Gas line (Kroodsma, 1995). Record(s): Krumholz (1954), Howell (1958), Rypstra (1974), and Hardy (1991).

4.1.2.5 Cooper's hawk

Uncommon resident. In need-of-management. The cooper's hawk is a permanent resident of the reservation. Juvenile birds were sighted during the 1994 and 1995 breeding seasons. Record(s): Krumholz (1954).

4.1.2.6 Grasshopper sparrow

Uncommon to rare summer resident. In need-of-management and declining regionally. The grasshopper sparrow was found in one location on the ORR. Eight to ten birds were presumed nesting in the Freels Bend area. This bird is likely to be found in other areas of the ORR where suitable habitat is maintained. Record(s): Howell (1958) and Kroodsma (1987).

4.1.2.7 Anhinga

Rare transient. In need-of-management. There is one recent record for the anhinga on the ORR, at the ORNL Swan Pond. This species is more often found in west Tennessee (Robinson 1990).

4.1.2.8 Great egret

Uncommon spring and fall migrant. In need-of-management. The great egret can be found in several areas across the ORR during post-breeding dispersal. Record(s): Krumholz (1954).

4.1.2.9 Northern harrier

Common spring and fall migrant. In need-of-management. The northern harrier has been sighted in four locations on the ORR. This hawk is probably more common than records suggests but is not known to nest in this area. Record(s): Krumholz (1954).

4.1.2.10 Olive-sided flycatcher

Rare spring and fall migrant. In need-of-management. Two sightings of probably the same individual exist for the olive-sided flycatcher.

4.1.2.11 Little blue heron

Uncommon to rare migrant. In need-of-management. Several sightings of probably one individual was recorded in 1995. This bird was spotted in several wetlands across the ORR. Record(s): Krumholz (1954)

4.1.2.12 Snowy egret

Uncommon spring and fall migrant. In need-of-management. The snowy egret, like other wading birds, may become more common as populations recover.

4.1.2.13 Sandhill crane

Uncommon spring and fall migrant. In need-of-management. One current record exists for the sandhill crane on the ORR. The bird landed in Poplar Creek, probably migrating north.

4.1.2.14 Double-crested cormorant

Common migrant. In need-of-management. Double-crested cormorants have been observed on Melton Hill Reservoir (bordering the ORR). A group of 20 to 25 individuals used the islands south of the Walker Branch embayment for several weeks. One juvenile was observed on the Clinch River near K25 and a group of six was seen in spring 1996.

4.1.2.15 Yellow-bellied sapsucker

Common winter resident. In need-of-management. Abundant habitat exists for this species on the ORR and "sapsucker holes" can be observed in many locations across the ORR. Record(s): Krumholz (1954), Rypstra (1974) and Hardy (1991).

4.1.2.16 Peregrine falcon

Rare migrant. Federal threatened. Two sightings exist for this species. A bird was observed flying over the east end of the ORR (Kroodsmma, 1995.); another was seen near Walker Branch in the spring of 1996.

4.1.2.17 Bald eagle

Uncommon winter resident possible summer resident. Federal threatened. The bald eagle has been documented using the ORR. Suitable habitat for this species exists on the ORR side of the Clinch River. Given the expansion of the eagle breeding population in Tennessee and the introduction efforts in eastern Tennessee, a breeding population may become established on the ORR, even without proactive management (Buehler 1994). Record(s): Krumholz (1954).

4.1.2.18 Osprey

Common nester. State threatened. Osprey nesting records have existed on the ORR for several years. The establishment of platforms in the Clinch River and Melton Hill Lake areas have been successful in providing nesting sites. Active platforms are located on Poplar Creek, Melton Hill Reservoir, and the Clinch River. Record(s): Krumholz (1954).

4.1.2.19 Migrant Loggerhead shrike

Uncommon spring and fall migrant. Federally designated species of concern. The regional distribution is spotty based on habitat requirements or other limiting factors. Record(s): Krumholz (1954), Howell (1958), and Clark (1989).

4.1.2.20 Cerulean warbler

Uncommon spring and fall migrant; possible nester. Federally designated species of concern. The regional distribution of this species is a spotty and breeding records are uncommon outside of the Cumberland Mountains. Record(s): Anderson and Shugart (1974) and Howell (1958).

4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS TO ENHANCE SPECIES PROTECTION

Protecting T&E species and their habitats is an important element of wildlife management, which in turn is part of effective ecosystem management. Ecosystem management is a management objective for the ORR; the wildlife management portion of this approach is currently under development by ORNL and TWRA, which manages the ORR for wildlife under a cooperative agreement with the DOE. A key element of ecosystem management is to maintain and increase sustainable biodiversity. Attention to T&E species contributes to this objective for several reasons:

- T&E species are often umbrella species; i.e., the protection of these species helps to protect many other species;
- T&E species are usually limited because their habitats are limited; hence, their protection maintains and increases structural and biotic diversity regionally or nationally;
- T&E species are frequently sensitive to habitat changes and, therefore, can serve as indicators of ecosystem status.

To support contributions, the following are recommended:

- continuing and expanding surveys for T&E species where information gaps exist;
- designating appropriate T&E species as umbrella or indicator species;
- developing management plans for appropriate T&E species (e.g., Appendix D);
- identifying important habitats on the ORR for T&E species;
- protecting, maintaining, creating, and enhancing important habitats for T&E species (see below).

Several broad habitat categories are particularly important in managing for T&E species on the ORR. These include, but are not limited to, the habitats discussed below.

- **Grasslands**— Native grasslands, as opposed to lawns and planted pastures, are limited both on the ORR and in the region. Yet, both pre-colonially and historically, naturally- or man-induced wildfires created grassland habitats in the region, thereby increasing wildlife diversity. T&E species associated with grasslands on the ORR include, among others, grasshopper sparrow, migrant loggerhead shrike, and common barn owl. The ORR provides an opportunity for creation and enhancement of such wildlife habitats.
- **Wetlands**— Large undisturbed wetlands are limited on the ORR and regionally. Recently, natural beaver activity has begun to increase the incidence wetlands, which provide habitat for T&E species such as great and snowy egret, little blue heron, and sandhill crane. It is important that such developing habitats be protected on the ORR.
- **Mature Forest**—The ORR provides some of the best unfragmented forested habitat in the region (Mann, *et al.* 1996). Protection and enhancement of such habitat would help protect interior forest species such as bats (e.g., Rafinesque's big-eared bat and Indiana bat) and neotropical migrant songbirds (e.g., cerulean warbler).
- **Caves**— Although more than 20 caves have been identified on the ORR, none has been completely and systematically surveyed for animals. The limited cave surveys reported herein indicate that significant habitat may exist for listed species, such as the Tennessee cave

salamander, as well as currently unlisted but rare species (e.g., various invertebrates), which may be in need of protection and may be listed in the future. Caves are particularly fragile environments — Forested habitats predominate on the ORR and several methods could be requiring special protection.

Several management tools are available to maintain and enhance T&E species and their habitats. These include the following: 1) establishing natural areas (NAs) (e.g., see Sect. 4.3); 2) avoiding or limiting such threats to survival of T&E species as invasion of non-native flora and fauna, human expansion and development, and habitat fragmentation; and 3) instituting focused management procedures such as:

- **Forest Management** instituted to enhance their value for T&E and other species. Examples include selective thinning of hardwood stands; minimization and elimination of non-native species such as loblolly pine; and prescribed burning.
- **Field Maintenance** — The value of existing hay fields to native wildlife could be increased by instituting programs to increase the incidence of native grasses, through mowing, planting, and prescribed burning.
- **Habitat Preservation**— T&E species can often be significantly maintained and enhanced by preserving important habitats (see recommendations below for nas). Such areas include those broad habitat categories discussed above.

4.3 PROPOSED NATURAL AREAS

Listed species on the ORR are provided protection through the Oak Ridge National Environmental Research Park NAS designations. NAS are incorporated into ORR site planning documents. This leads to more informed decision making in relation to proposed land uses. The following areas will be submitted to the ORNL area manager as proposed changes or additions to the ORR NAS.

4.3.1 Freels Bend Proposed Extension of Natural Area 21 (NA21)

The Freels Bend site is a relatively large, undisturbed tract of maintained grasslands with interspersed wood lots. The site consists of a wooded northern section (Rainy Knob) and a southern section containing several ponds and large hayfields. Abundant water bodies on the site provide unusual habitat: Melton Hill Reservoir borders most of the site and forms imbuements at both the northeast and northwest end of the proposed extension area; wetlands occur on the site although they have not been formally delineated; six ponds occur across the site and a cave with a large sinkhole is located in NA21a at Rainy Knob. Several abandoned buildings, a silo, and grape arbors provide ecological requirements for some protected animal species. The northern section contains the present NA21a, NA21b, and the southern section contains reference area 26 (RA26). These areas were previously selected as NAS due to the presence of rare plants and unusual habitat types (Pounds et al. 1993).

The proposed extension encompasses most of the area found on the peninsula of the Freels Bend site and combines the existing NAS and reference area. This extension creates an integrated area with a variety of habitats suitable for several protected plants and animals.

Several listed animals species have been observed or trapped multiple times at Freels Bend, due largely to its diverse and unique habitats. Animals which are permanent (year around) residents of the site included sharp-shinned hawk, Cooper's Hawk, and southeastern shrew. The grasshopper sparrow is the only species currently know to nest on the site. The yellow-bellied sapsucker and bald eagle have been observed wintering on the site and migrants that use the area while traveling to and from breeding sites include great egret, northern harrier, olive-sided flycatcher, and loggerhead shrike. T&E animals found on Melton Hill Reservoir (bordering the site) are osprey and double-crested cormorant.

The Freels Bend site requires annual maintenance to maintain optimum habitat for many of the species mentioned above. Appendix D is a specific management plan for the grasshopper sparrow on the Freels Bend site; it presents general information on maintenance requirements for the site that would help protect other species also.

4.3.2 Hembree Marsh Proposed Extension of Natural Area 24 (NA24)

Hembree Marsh (NA24) is comprised of the marsh and its watershed, including a small amount of adjacent mixed woodlands. The proposal is to extend NA24 to encompass approximately 2 ha (5 acres) of stream and hillside north of the are currently delineated . NA26 was originally selected as a NA due to its diversity of flora (including protected plants) and unusual hydrology. The permanent wet conditions found within the marsh are not affected by Tennessee Valley Authority dams (Pounds et al. 1993). The extension would combine areas of wildlife significance and would provide greater protection for listed plants and animals. Protected animals that have been documented on both the current NAS and the proposed extension. Permanent residents on the site include the four-toed salamander and southeastern shrew. The migrant northern harrier has been seen at the site.

This is the only location in Roane County where the four-toed salamander has been found (Scott, pers. comm.) and the only known location for the animal on the ORR. This specialist species is found in boggy areas, and is often associated with spagnum moss. The low level permanent wet conditions of the Hembree Marsh site provides valuable habitat for the four-toed salamander.

4.3.3 K-25 Beaver Pond Complex Proposed Natural Area

This wetland is located southwest of the K-25 Site and is bordered by small wood lots and loblolly pines to the south and west. Currently, the value of the wetland is enhanced by the presence of beaver, which increases the extent and depth of water and provides conditions for a variety of wildlife species. The proposed NAS is approximately 2- ha (5 acres) and would include all of the area covered with water and a small portion of wooded area. This wetland provides valuable habitat for T&E wading birds. The following wading birds use this area as an important stop-over when migrating to and from wintering and breeding sites: great egret, snowy egret, and little blue heron.

4.4 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

Based on the results of the survey and discussions above, completion of the following tasks would provide the optimum situation for the management of T&E species on the ORR:

- implement recommendations in Sect. 4.2 (Habitat Management);
- prepare and implement cave guidelines and access protocols to prevent unnecessary ecological damage;

- survey areas of potential development or construction for T&E animals during National Environmental Policy Act documentation before sites are selected;
- maintain and update the T&E animal database for quick determination of information available for a site;
- cross-reference T&E animal records with wetland sites and T&E plant locations using Geographic Information Systems to quickly locate and further identify sensitive communities;
- conduct surveys for T&E invertebrates that may occur on the ORR (e.g., spiders and insects).


5. REFERENCES

- Anderson, S. H., and H. H. Shugart, Jr. 1974. "Habitat Selection of Breeding Birds in an East Tennessee Deciduous Forest." *Ecology* 55(4):828-37.
- Buehler, D. A. 1994. "Bald Eagle Habitat Suitability on Melton Hill Reservoir and the Clinch River." ORNL/NEAP-9. Pub. No. 4248. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
- Burgess, R. L. 1975. "General Vegetation Cover of the Erda Oak Ridge Reservation Tennessee." Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
- Clark, R. M. 1989. "Tennessee Bird Atlas Project on the Oak Ridge Reservation." Honors Project Report. Unpublished. Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn. p. 13.
- Copperrider, A. Y., R. J. Boyd, and H. R. Stuart, eds. 1986. *Inventory and Monitoring of Wildlife Habitat*. U.S. Dept. of Inter., Bur. of Land Manage. Service Center. Denver, Colo. xviii. p. 858.
- Cunningham, M., and L. Pounds. 1991. Vol. 28: "Wetlands on the Oak Ridge Reservation" (ORNL/NERP-5). Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn. p. 32.
- Dunaway, P. B., and S. V. Kaye. 1961. "Studies of Small-Mammal Populations on the Radioactive White Oak Lake Bed." p. 167-85. *In Trans. 26th N. Am. Wildl. Nat. Reser. Conf.*, March 6-8, Wildlife Management Institute.
- Hamel, P. B., et al. 1994. *A Land Manager's Guide to Point Counts of Birds in the Southeast*. unpublished. p. 90.
- Hamel, P. B. 1992. *Land Manager's Guide to the Birds of the South*. The Nature Conservancy, Southeastern Region, Chapel Hill, N.C. p. 437.
- Hardy, C. L. 1991. *A Comparison of Bird Communities in Loblolly Vs. White Pine Plantations on the Oak Ridge National Environmental Research Park*. Master's Thesis, University of Tennessee. p. 72
- Heyer, W. R., et al., eds. 1994. *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity: Standard Methods for Amphibians*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington and London. p. 364.
- Howell, J. C. 1958. *Long-range Ecological Study of the Oak Ridge Area. I. Observations on the Summer Birds in Melton Valley*. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge Tenn. Central Files Number 58-6-14. p. 34.
- Howell, J. C., and P. B. Dunaway. 1958. *Long-Term Ecological Study of the Oak Ridge Area: II. Observations on the Mammals with Special Reference to Melton Valley*. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn. p. 22.
- Johnson, R. M. 1964. *Herptafauna of the Oak Ridge Area*. ORNL-3653. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn. p. 28.

- Karns, D. R. 1986. *Field Herpetology: Methods for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles in Minnesota*. University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn. p. 28.
- Kroodsma, R. L. 1987. *Resource Management Plan for the Oak Ridge Reservation, Volume 24: Threatened and Endangered Animal Species*. ORNL/ESH-1/V24, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge Tenn. p. 24
- Kroodsma, R. L. May 18, 1995, personal communication with J. M. Mitchell (Jaycor).
- Krumholz, L. A. 1954. *An Ecological Survey of White Oak Creek, 1950-53*. Vol. 1. Atomic Energy Commission. Department of Health and Safety. ORO-587.
- Mann, L. K., et al. 1996. *Protection of Biota on Nonpark Public Lands: Examples from the US Department of Energy Oak Ridge Reservation*. Environ. Manage. **20(2)**: 207-18.
- Nicholson, C. P. 1976. "The Bachman's Sparrow in Tennessee." *Migrant* **47**:53-60.
- Pounds, R., P.D. Parr, and M. G. Ryon. 1993. *Resource Management Plan for the Oak Ridge Reservation*. Volume: 30, Oak Ridge National Environmental Research Park Natural Areas and Reference Areas- Oak Ridge Reservation Environmentally Sensitive Sites Containing Special Plants, Animals, and Communities (ORNL/NERP-8). Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn. p. 20.
- Robinson, J. C. 1990. *An Annotated Checklist of the Birds of Tennessee*. University of Tennessee Press, Knoxville, Tenn. p. 274.
- Ryon, M. G. (Oak Ridge National Laboratory), March 28, 1996, personal communication with J. M. Mitchell (Jaycor).
- Rypstra, A. L. 1974. *The Effects of a Transmission Line Corridor on Fall Bird Distributions in an East Tennessee Deciduous Forest*. Unpublished. Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn. p. 16.
- Scott, F. A. (Austin Peay State University), December 12, 1995, personal communication with J. M. Mitchell (Jaycor).
- Smith, T. R. 1976. *An Evaluation of Vertically Stratified Traps for Censusing Small Mammal Populations*. Master's thesis, University of Tennessee. p. 80.
- TWRC. 1994a. "Endangered or Threatened Species." *Tennessee Wildlife Resources Commission Proclamation 94-17* as amended on September 27, 1994. Nashville, Tenn.
- TWRC. 1994b. "Wildlife in Need of Management." *Tennessee Wildlife Resources Commission Proclamation 94-16* as amended on September 27, 1994. Nashville, Tenn.

Appendix A

SCIENTIFIC NAMES FOR PROTECTED ANIMALS




Common Name	Scientific Name
Reptiles and Amphibians	
Black Mountain dusky salamander	<i>Desmognathus welteri</i>
Bog turtle	<i>Clemmys muhlenbergi</i>
Cumberland slider ¹	<i>Trachemys scripta troosti</i>
Eastern slender glass lizard	<i>Ophisaurus attenuatus longicaudus</i>
Four-toed salamander	<i>Hemidactylium scutatum</i>
Green anole	<i>Anolis carolinensis</i>
Hellbender	<i>Cryptobranchus a. alleganiensis</i>
Mole salamander	<i>Ambystoma talpoideum</i>
Northern pine snake	<i>Pituophis m. melanoleucus</i>
Tennessee cave salamander	<i>Gyrinophilus pallescens</i>
Mammals	
Eastern woodrat	<i>Neotoma floridana</i>
Gray bat	<i>Myotis grisescens</i>
Indiana bat	<i>Myotis sodalis</i>
Masked shrew	<i>Sorex cinereus</i>
Meadow jumping mouse	<i>Zapus hudsonius</i>
Rafinesque's big-eared bat	<i>Plecotus rafinesquii</i>
Small-footed bat	<i>Myotis leibii</i>
Smoky shrew	<i>Sorex fumeus</i>
Southeastern shrew	<i>Sorex longirostris</i>
Southern bog lemming	<i>Synaptomys cooperi</i>
Water shrew	<i>Sorex fumeus</i>
Woodland jumping mouse	<i>Napaeozapus insignis</i>
Yellow-nosed vole	<i>Microtus chrotorrhinus</i>
Birds	
Anhinga	<i>Anhinga anhinga</i>
Bachman's sparrow	<i>Aimophila aestivalis</i>
Bald eagle	<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>
Bewick's wren	<i>Thryomanes bewickii</i>
Black-crowned night heron ¹	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>
Black vulture ¹	<i>Coragyps atratus</i>
Cerulean warbler	<i>Dendroica cerulea</i>
Common barn owl	<i>Tyto alba</i>
Cooper's hawk	<i>Accipiter cooperii</i>
Double-crested cormorant	<i>Phalacrocorax auritus</i>
Grasshopper sparrow	<i>Ammodramus savannarum</i>
Great egret	<i>Casmerodius albus</i>
Henslow's sparrow	<i>Ammodramus henslowii</i>
King Rail	<i>Rallus elegans</i>
Kirtland's warbler	<i>Dendroica kirtlandii</i>
Least Bittern	<i>Ixobrychus exilis</i>
Little blue heron	<i>Egretta caerulea</i>
Loggerhead shrike	<i>Lanius ludovicianus migrans</i>
Northern harrier	<i>Circus cyaneus</i>

Common Name	Scientific Name
Northern saw-whet owl	<i>Aegolius acadicus</i>
Olive-sided flycatcher	<i>Contopus borealis</i>
Osprey	<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>
Peregrine falcon	<i>Falco peregrinus</i>
Red-shouldered hawk ¹	<i>Buteo lineatus</i>
Sandhill crane	<i>Grus canadensis</i>
Sharp-shinned hawk	<i>Accipiter striatus</i>
Snowy egret	<i>Egretta thula</i>
Swainson's warbler	<i>Limnothlypis swainsonii</i>
Vesper sparrow	<i>Pooecetes gramineus</i>
Yellow-bellied sapsucker	<i>Sphyrapicus varius</i>

¹Threatened and endangered animals that were delisted in 1994 .

Appendix B

ANIMAL RECORDS FOR 1994-96



Following is a list of terrestrial vertebrates that were encountered during this project. Additional species are undoubtedly present; thus, this listing should not be used as a complete inventory ORR terrestrial vertebrate fauna.

B-1 Reptiles and Amphibians

Common Name	Scientific Name
Spotted Salamander	<i>Ambystoma maculatum</i>
Marbled Salamander	<i>Ambystoma opacum</i>
Eastern Tiger Salamander	<i>Ambystoma tigrinum</i>
Red Spotted Newt	<i>Notophthalmus viridescens</i>
Dusky Salamander	<i>Desmognathus fuscus</i>
Two-lined Salamander	<i>Eurycea bislineata</i>
Longtail Salamander	<i>Eurycea longicauda</i>
Cave Salamander	<i>Eurycea lucifuga</i>
Spring Salamander	<i>Gyrinophilus porphyriticus</i>
Slimy Salamander	<i>Plethodon glutinosus</i>
Four-toed Salamander ¹	<i>Hemidactylium scutatum</i>
Red Salamander	<i>Pseudotriton ruber</i>
Eastern Spadefoot Toad	<i>Scaphiopus holbrookii</i>
American Toad	<i>Bufo americanus</i>
Spring Peeper	<i>Pseudacris crucifer</i>
Gray Treefrog	<i>Hyla versicolor</i>
Eastern Narrow Mouth Toad	<i>Gastrophryne carolinensis</i>
Chorus Frog	<i>Pseudacris triseriata</i>
Bull Frog	<i>Rana catesbeiana</i>
Green Frog	<i>Rana clamitans</i>
Southern Leopard Frog	<i>Rana utricularia</i>
Snapping Turtle	<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>
Stripeneck Musk Turtle	<i>Sternotherus minor</i>
Stinkpot	<i>Sternotherus odoratus</i>
Eastern Box Turtle	<i>Terrapene carolina</i>
Map Turtle	<i>Graptemys geographica</i>
Painted Turtle	<i>Chrysemys picta</i>
Red-eared Slider	<i>Trachemys scripta elegans</i>
Cumberland Slider	<i>Trachemys scripta troosti</i>
Spinny Softshell	<i>Apalone spinifera</i>
Fence Lizard	<i>Sceloporus undulatus</i>
Six-lined Racerunner	<i>Cnemidophorus sexlineatus</i>
Ground Skink	<i>Scincella lateralis</i>
Five-lined Skink	<i>Eumeces fasciatus</i>
Worm Snake	<i>Carphophis amoenus</i>
Black Racer	<i>Coluber constrictor</i>
Ringneck Snake	<i>Diadophis punctatus</i>
Corn Snake	<i>Elaphe guttata</i>
Rat Snake	<i>Elaphe obsoleta</i>
Black King Snake	<i>Lampropeltis getula</i>
Northern Water Snake	<i>Nerodia sipedon</i>
Brown Snake	<i>Storeria dekayi</i>
Eastern Garter Snake	<i>Thamnophis sirtalis</i>
Smooth Earth Snake	<i>Virginia valeriae</i>
Copperhead	<i>Agkistrodon contortrix</i>

¹ State listed In Need-of-Management Species

B-2 Mammals

Common Name	Scientific Name
Oppsum	<i>Didelphis virginian</i>
Southeastern Shrew ¹	<i>Sorex longirostris</i>
Shorttailed Shrew	<i>Blarina brevicauda</i>
Least Shrew	<i>Cryptotis parva</i>
Eastern Mole	<i>Scalopus aquaticus</i>
Gray Bat ²	<i>Myotis grisescens</i>
Eastern Pipistrel	<i>Pipistrellus subflavus</i>
Eastern Cottontail	<i>Sylvilagus floridanus</i>
Eastern Chipmunk	<i>Tamias striatus</i>
Groundhog	<i>Marmota monax</i>
Eastern Gray Squirrel	<i>Sciurus carolinensis</i>
Southern Flying Squirrel	<i>Glaucomys volans</i>
Beaver	<i>Castor canadensis</i>
Eastern Harvest Mouse	<i>Reithrodontomys humulis</i>
White-footed Mouse	<i>Peromyscus leucopus</i>
Golden Mouse	<i>Peromyscus nuttalli</i>
Deer Mouse	<i>Peromyscus maniculatus</i>
Hispid Cotton Rat	<i>Sigmodon hispidus</i>
Pine Vole	<i>Pitymys pinetorum</i>
Meadow Vole	<i>Microtus pennsylvanicus</i>
Muskrat	<i>Ondatra zibethica</i>
Norway Rat	<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>
House Mouse	<i>Mus musculus</i>
Coyote	<i>Canis latrans</i>
Red Fox	<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>
Gray Fox	<i>Urocyon cinereoargenteus</i>
Raccoon	<i>Procyon lotor</i>
Mink	<i>Mustela vison</i>
Striped Skunk	<i>Mephitis mephitis</i>
Whitetailed Deer	<i>Odocoileus virginianus</i>

¹ State listed In Need-of- Management Species

² Federally listed Endangered Species

B-3Birds

COMMON NAME	SEASON OF OCCURRENCE			
	Sp	Su	F	W
Common Loon	Sp			
Pied-billed Grebe	Sp		F	W
Horned Grebe				W
Double-crested Cormorant	Sp	Su	F	
American Anhinga		Su		
Great Blue Heron	Sp	Su	F	W
Great Egret	Sp	Su	F	
Snowy Egret	Sp			
Little Blue Heron		Su	F	
Green Heron	Sp	Su	F	
Black-crowned Night Heron	Sp	Su	F	

Birds (contd.)


Canada Goose	Sp	Su	F	W
Wood Duck	Sp	Su	F	W
Green-winged Teal				W
American Black Duck	Sp		F	W
Mallard	Sp	S	F	W
Northern Pintail			F	W
Blue-winged Teal	Sp			
Gadwall	Sp		F	W
American Widgeon	Sp		F	W
Canvasback				W
Ringed-necked Duck	Sp		F	W
Greater Scaup			F	
Bufflehead				W
Hooded Merganser			F	W
Red-breasted Merganser				W
Ruddy Duck				W
Black Vulture	Sp	Su	F	W
Turkey Vulture	Sp	Su	F	W
Osprey	Sp	Su	F	W
Bald Eagle			F	W
Northern harrier	Sp		F	
Sharp-shinned Hawk	Sp	Su	F	W
Cooper's Hawk	Sp	Su	F	W
Red-shouldered Hawk	Sp	Su	F	W
Broad-winged Hawk	Sp	Su	F	W
Red-tailed Hawk	Sp	Su	F	W
American Kestrel	Sp	Su	F	W
Peregrine Falcon	Sp			
Ruffed Grouse	Sp			W
Wild Turkey	Sp	Su	F	W
Northern Bobwhite	Sp	Su	F	W
Sandhill Crane	Sp			
American Coot	Sp	Su	F	W
Sandhill Crane			F	W
Killdeer	Sp	Su	F	W
Greater Yellowlegs	Sp			
Solitary Sandpiper	Sp			
Spotted Sandpiper	Sp	S		
Common Snipe	Sp			
American Woodcock	Sp	Su	F	W
Bonaparte's Gull			F	
Ring-billed Gull	Sp			W
Caspian Tern			F	
Rock Dove	Sp	Su	F	W
Mourning Dove	Sp	Su	F	W
Yellow-billed Cuckoo	Sp	Su	F	
Eastern Screech Owl	Sp	Su	F	W
Great Horned Owl	Sp	Su	F	W
Barred Owl	Sp	Su	F	W
Common Nighthawk	Sp	Su	F	
Chuck-will's-widow	Sp	Su	F	
Whip-poor-will	Sp	Su	F	
Chimney Swift	Sp	Su	F	
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	Sp	Su	F	
Belted Kingfisher	Sp	Su	F	W
Red-bellied Woodpecker	Sp	Su	F	W
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	Sp		F	W

Birds (contd.)

Downy Woodpecker	Sp	Su	F	W
Hairy Woodpecker	Sp	Su	F	W
Northern Flicker	Sp	Su	F	W
Pileated Woodpecker	Sp	Su	F	W
Olive-sided Flycatcher	Sp			
Eastern Wood-Pewee	Sp	Su	F	
Acadian Flycatcher	Sp	Su	F	
Eastern Phoebe	Sp	Su	F	W
Great Crested Flycatcher	Sp	Su	F	
Eastern Kingbird	Sp	Su	F	
Horned Lark				W
Purple Martin	Sp	Su	F	
Tree Swallow	Sp	Su	F	
Northern Rough-winged Swallow	Sp	Su	F	
Cliff Swallow	Sp	Su	F	
Barn Swallow	Sp	Su	F	
Blue Jay	Sp	Su	F	W
American Crow	Sp	Su	F	W
Carolina Chickadee	Sp	Su	F	W
Tufted Titmouse	Sp	Su	F	W
Red-breasted Nuthatch			F	W
White-breasted Nuthatch	Sp	Su	F	W
Brown Creeper				W
Carolina Wren	Sp	Su	F	W
House Wren	Sp	Su	F	
Winter Wren	Sp		F	W
Golden-crowned Kinglet				W
Ruby-crowned Kinglet	Sp			W
Blue-gray Gnatcatcher	Sp	Su	F	
Eastern Bluebird	Sp	Su	F	W
Veery	Sp			
Swainson's Thrush	Sp			
Hermit Thrush	Sp		F	W
Wood Thrush	Sp	Su	F	
American Robin	Sp	Su	F	W
Gray Catbird	Sp			
Northern Mockingbird	Sp	Su	F	W
Brown Thrasher	Sp	Su	F	
Cedar Waxwing	Sp	Su	F	W
Loggerhead Shrike	Sp	Su		W
European Starling	Sp	Su	F	W
White-eyed Vireo	Sp	Su	F	
Solitary Vireo	Sp			
Yellow-throated Vireo	Sp			
Red-eyed Vireo	Sp	Su	F	W
Blue-winged Warbler	Sp			
Tennessee Warbler	Sp			
Northern Parula	Sp	Su	F	
Yellow Warbler	Sp			
Magnolia Warbler			F	
Cape May Warbler	Sp			
Black-throated Blue Warbler	Sp		F	
Yellow-rumped Warbler	Sp		F	W
Black-throated Green Warbler	Sp			
Blackburnian Warbler	Sp			
Yellow-throated Warbler	Sp	Su	F	
Pine Warbler	Sp	Su	F	W


Birds (contd.)

Prairie Warbler	Sp	Su	F	
Palm Warbler	Sp		F	
Bay-breasted Warbler	Sp			
Cerulean Warbler	Sp			
Black-and-white Warbler	Sp	Su	F	
American Redstart	Sp			
Prothonotary Warbler	Sp	Su	F	
Worm-eating Warbler	Sp	Su	F	
Ovenbird	Sp	Su	F	
Northern Waterthrush	Sp			
Louisiana Waterthrush	Sp	Su	F	
Kentucky Warbler	Sp	Su	F	
Common Yellowthroat	Sp	Su	F	
Hooded Warbler	Sp	Su	F	
Wilson's Warbler	Sp			
Yellow-breasted Chat	Sp	Su	F	
Summer Tanager	Sp	Su	F	
Scarlet Tanager	Sp	Su	F	
Northern Cardinal	Sp	Su	F	W
Rose-breasted Grosbeak	Sp			
Blue Grosbeak	Sp	Su	F	
Indigo Bunting	Sp	Su	F	
Dickcissel	Sp			
Rufous-sided Towhee	Sp	Su	F	W
Chipping Sparrow	Sp	Su	F	
Field Sparrow	Sp	Su	F	W
Savannah Sparrow	Sp			W
Grasshopper Sparrow	Sp	Su	F	
Fox Sparrow				W
Song Sparrow	Sp	Su	F	W
Swamp Sparrow				W
White-throated Sparrow	Sp		F	W
White-crowned Sparrow	Sp			W
Dark-eyed Junco				W
Bobolink	Sp			
Red-winged Blackbird	Sp	Su	F	W
Eastern Meadowlark	Sp	Su	F	W
Common Grackle	Sp	Su	F	W
Brown-headed Cowbird	Sp	Su	F	W
Orchard Oriole	Sp	Su	F	
Northern Oriole	Sp			
Purple Finch	Sp			W
House Finch	Sp	Su	F	W
Pine Siskin				W
American Goldfinch	Sp	Su	F	W
Evening Grosbeak	Sp			
House Sparrow	Sp	Su	F	W



Appendix C

HABITAT CATEGORIES



1. **Riparian.** Major flowing water systems (e.g. Clinch River below Melton Hill Dam, Poplar Creek, and East Fork Poplar Creek to about the 800 ft. contour line are in this category). Characteristics of this habitat are (a) generally steep exposed banks of bare soil or rock cliffs, and ledges (b) moderate to deep channel, (c) continuous current, although variable in rate of flow even in a 24-hour period, and (d) usually turbid water.

2. **Stream.** This includes such water courses as Bear Creek and East Fork Poplar Creek above the 800-ft contour line. Characteristics of this habitat are (a) silt, sand, and gravel bottom in level portions and gravel-and-rock bottom where the fall is more precipitous, (b) depth varying from a few inches to a several feet, frequent scour holes, (c) gravel and sand-and-mud bars occurring in level portions, (d) continuous current affected by precipitation or lack of it, (e) shoreline steep or low, in the latter case with a sparse to dense cover of emergent herbaceous or woody vegetation or both, and (f) width varying from 4 ft. to as broad as 20 ft.

3. **Spring Branch.** This habitat is characterized by (a) clear water derived from one or more springs, (b) relatively constant temperature, (c) bottom varying from silt to gravel and rock, depending upon the substrate and slope, (d) clearly defined channel (e) current continuous except during dry periods, (f) water depth usually shallow, seldom exceeding 1 ft., (g) freedom from aquatic vegetation in heavily wooded, well-drained, or precipitous areas, or dense attached, emergent and submergent vegetation in low, poorly drained areas.

4. **Spring.** This refers to the "boil" area where ground water issues from the substrate. This is a smaller system than the spring branch; similar to a seep, but pooling water and flow is non-existent. Vegetation is highly variable.

5. **Embayment.** Impounded water lateral to the main channel of the Clinch River. These are characterized by (a) turbid water (sometimes more so than the river), (b) periodic rise and fall of water level, often several feet in a 24-hour period, (water levels controlled by Tennessee Valley Authority dams and culvert pipes), (c) usually gently sloping shoreline covered with herbaceous or woody vegetation or both, which may be emergent or submergent depending on water level, (d) reversible current, if present, affected by rise and fall of the river level, and (e) possibility of becoming fluvial habitats in time of low water if they are drowned stream or river mouths.

6. **Pond.** A small water body, permanent except during prolonged drought. It is characterized by (a) zonal stratification of shoreline vegetation, (b) abundant algal growth, both floating and attached, (c) shoreline of grasses, sedges, and rushes, which may include woody plants, (d) no current, and (e) high temperatures (over 30°C) in late spring and summer.

7. **Pool.** A semi-permanent body of standing water, seldom lasting for more than a few weeks after being formed by rainfall or flooding from an adjacent river or stream. Some small pools may last year-around except during periods of prolonged drought. These areas are smaller than most ponds and usually seasonal or ephemeral. The bottom and the vegetation occurring in it depend upon the site. Roadside ditches are the smallest type of pool; flood plain pools resulting from river overflow are among the largest.

8. **Marsh.** A continually wet area characterized by (a) saturated organic substrate, (b) dense vegetation of sedges, grasses, and rushes, (c) supply of ground water as well as rainfall, and (d) a surface

temperature of the water that may exceed 30°C in late summer. Button bush, willow, and swamp dogwood may occur here.

9. **Seep.** This is a marsh in miniature, if it occurs in an area that is relatively low, poorly drained and expose to the sky. In wooded areas living vegetation is often replaced by a thick deposit of leaves and branches. The substrate which is composed of organic matter or organic matter, mud, and silt is saturated. Except in dry weather, there is usually a detectable current. The water temperature remains relatively constant, even in the summer.

10. **Upland Forest.** Mixed deciduous forest on well-drained sites. It has at least three strata— canopy, understory or shrub layer, and ground cover. Canopy trees include oaks, hickories, maples, tulip poplar, and American beech in varying combinations depending upon slope and aspect. The understory and shrub layer contain saplings and pole-sized trees of the canopy species, dogwood, oaks, hickories, maple, and beech. The ground cover consists of seedlings of canopy or understory species, ferns, and vernal herbaceous plants. Leaf litter is usually well-developed, and log debris is scattered on the site.

11. **Flood-Plain Forest.** Deciduous forest in stream valleys and poorly drained sites. It has at least three strata with a varied flora— canopy, understory or shrub layer, and ground cover. Canopy species include sweet gum, sycamore, box elder, elms, ash, willow, and, infrequently, oak and pine. The understory and shrub layer contain saplings and pole-sized trees of the canopy species, ironwood, hop hornbeam, and red maple. The ground cover is often dense and contains grasses, vines, and cane.

12. **Mixed Hardwood and Pine.** This habitat is characterized by various species of deciduous trees, depending upon the site, and pine in nearly equal abundance. Pine species include shortleaf and Virginia. This habitat type may be associated with loblolly pine plantations. In upland sites the deciduous species include those listed for upland forest; in lowland sites, the deciduous species include those listed for the flood-plain forest. Understory or shrub layers or both may be present. Characteristically, the ground cover is composed of grasses and perennial weeds and may have an abundance of flowering plants. The leaf-litter layer when developed consists of both hardwood and pine species.

13. **Cedar/Pine.** This habitat consists mainly of shortleaf and Virginia pine and eastern red cedar. There is very little understory, and ground cover is almost non-existent, although infrequently redbud and sassafras occur. Ferns, lichens, and mosses are present. Limestone outcrops and loose surface rocks are abundant.

14. **Pine Plantation.** This generally refers to areas composed of planted loblolly pines. The trees are in rows, the canopy is closed, the substrate consist almost entirely of a thick mat of pine needles, and there is scarce understory, shrub layer, or ground-cover vegetation.

15. **Cut-over.** Areas of recent timber harvest, usually salvage of former pine plantations or areas destroyed by pine beetles. Bare ground, piled brush, log, debris, snags, and sparsely scattered hardwoods may occur. Typically, ground vegetation is dense and honeysuckle, sourwood, sumacs, and brambles may occur.


16. **Old Field-Transition.** This refers to abandoned fields in various advanced stages of succession to forest (e.g. powerline rights-of-way). This habitat is continually changing and regenerating into this stage as powerline mowing is conducted. Saplings and pole-sized deciduous and pine trees are numerous. Grasses and perennial weeds are the dominant ground cover, including fescue, broomsedge, and ironweed. Shrubs include sumacs and brambles.

17. Cultivated Field. This refers to fields in which grasses and perennial weeds, especially fescue, are the dominant vegetation. Abandoned fencerows covered with pole sized deciduous trees and field vegetation are common. Many of these area are plowed and planted for harvesting hay. These areas would quickly become "old field-transition" if not maintained.

18. Disturbed Area. This refers to sites where nature or human activity have removed most or all of the vegetation (e.g., ash disposal areas). Areas of bare substrate are present. What vegetation does occur consists of bunch grasses, annual weeds, some perennial weeds, and patches of lichens and drought-resistant mosses. Occasional cedars, pines, oak seedlings, and saplings may be present. Other species include Nepal grass, honeysuckle and kudzu. Wet disturbed areas contain sycamore, box elder, and willow.

19. Abandoned Homesite. Honeysuckle and brambles are often a common feature of this habitat. The ground cover elsewhere is most often a dense sod of grass or perennial weeds (or both). Collapsing buildings and other rubble may be present. Periwinkle, fescue, Nepal grass, yucca, and day-lilies may occur (Johnson 1964 and Burgess 1975).


Habitat descriptions were adopted from Johnson 1964 and Burgess 1975.



Appendix D.

**RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MANAGEMENT OF THE
GRASSHOPPER SPARROW
FREELS BEND SITE, OAK RIDGE RESERVATION**

(These recommendations were submitted to the ORNL Area Manager in May, 1996.)



Recommendations for Management of the Grasshopper Sparrow Freels Bend Site, Oak Ridge Reservation

In May 1995 a small population of grasshopper sparrows (*Ammodramus savannarum*) was found in the Freels Bend area of the Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR). The grasshopper sparrows were seen by several individuals of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Environmental Sciences Division (Fig. D-1) and were photographed by an ORNL photographer. The sparrows were monitored periodically from May 8 through August 31, 1995 to obtain field data on the population and evaluate nesting success (Fig. D-2). On May 31, 1995 it was estimated that 10 to 12 were using the Freels Bend area and were preparing to nest.

Freels Bend requires annual maintenance to provide suitable habitat for grasshopper sparrows. Grasshopper sparrows probably exist in other areas on Freels Bend and nearby. Hence, a comprehensive management and monitoring plan should be developed for this species on the ORR. This sparrow appears to be most stable in habitat sizes of 100 acres or larger (J. Herkert, pers. comm.). With the current maintenance of the Freels Bend area and other grasslands, a sizeable amount of habitat for grassland species will exist on the ORR. An increased number of grasshopper sparrows and other protected grassland birds such as the barn owl, loggerhead shrike, northern harrier and vesper sparrow could occur on this habitat.

Nationwide this species and grassland birds in general have experienced steeper, more consistent declines than any other guild (P. Vickery, pers. comm). This species is consistently declining throughout most of its range (B. Peterjohn, pers. comm.). This decline is not entirely understood but has been attributed to the loss and degradation of suitable grassland habitat and shifts in agricultural practices, resulting in nest failure (Herkert *et al.* 1993). The grasshopper sparrow is listed as "in need of management" by the state of Tennessee, and this protection prohibits the knowing destruction of its habitat (TWRC, 1994).

The grasshopper sparrow nests in open grassy and weedy meadows, pastures, hayfields and cultivated cover crops (Eagar and Hatcher 1980) approximately one meter high (F. Alsop, pers. comm.). June is the peak nesting time for grasshopper sparrows in Tennessee and is also prime time for hay harvesting. If mowing occurs during nesting season it could result in decreased nest success and/or increased adult mortality {Note: grasshopper sparrows may exhibit strong site fidelity, "...despite loss of cover, birds stay and then suffer increased losses from predators" (Ehrlich *et al.* 1988) or grasshopper sparrows may move to other suitable areas to renest when adverse habitat changes occur (P. Vickery, pers. comm.)}. However, birds decline in number or are not found in areas where woody vegetation has begun to develop (J. Herkert, pers. comm.). Thus annual maintenance at the proper time is necessary to provide suitable conditions for the sparrows.

In 1995, a hay contract was let for the Freels Bend site. To avoid detrimental effects from haying on the grasshopper sparrow population, steps were taken for their protection. On June 2, 1995 the mowing schedule at Freels Bends was assessed. After consideration, it was recommended that mowing be delayed on the field with the sparrows until after August 1, 1995, giving the birds time to nest with minimal disturbance (Fig. D-3).

On July 3, 1995 the haying contractor had completed haying in the area and requested permission to mow the remaining field (which contained grasshopper sparrows). After, consultation with the representative of the Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency, the ORNL wildlife coordinator, lands manager, haying contracts personnel and biologists monitoring the grasshopper sparrows, it was decided that the contractor could proceed with mowing.

This decision was based on the following criteria:

- 1.) The field required mowing in order to maintain optimum habitat for the grasshopper sparrow.
- 2.) The birds had apparently completed their first nesting cycle and were preparing to renest. {Note: this observation is based on their decreased activity and presumed nest fidelity}. This 10 to 12 day period appeared to be the most suitable time to mow, if it was to be done before August 1, 1995.
- 3.) It was desirable to allow the mower to complete his work in a timely manner without inconvenience in anticipation of working cooperatively with him in the future.

A follow-up assessment of the population was conducted after the mowing was completed in the week of July 3, 1995. Grasshopper sparrows remained in the field and were sighted on at least four occasions. On July 6 and 10, three to four grasshopper sparrows were observed; on July 18 and 24, only two birds were observed. No juvenile birds or nests were observed during the 1995 season. However, juveniles and nests may have been present because they are very difficult to locate and observe. Although it is unclear, an apparent decline and/or nest failure could be attributed to one or more of the following factors:

- 1.) Birds and offspring survived undetected, remained on their nest and suffered increased predation by foxes, skunks or other predators due to loss of cover.
- 2.) Some birds were killed by machinery while on the nest. Evidence was found (carcass) that this occurred with other birds at the site (e.g., indigo bunting and eastern meadowlark).
- 3.) Nests were destroyed. The birds dispersed and established new nest sites.
- 4.) The birds were unaffected by mowing and successfully produced offspring but were undetected by our surveys.

Management Recommendation 1996

- 1.) To minimize the loss of adult grasshopper sparrows and fledglings, the mowing of the nesting field should be delayed until August 1, 1996; however, the field should be mowed in late summer or fall of 1996.
- 2.) If possible, plant a crop mutually beneficial to the farmer and the sparrows. The ideal crop would be mowed once a year in late summer or fall (possibly summer warm-season grasses).

Long-term Management Recommendations

- 1.) Any work (including hay harvesting, planting, plowing, fertilizing, clearing, bush-hogging) conducted on the nesting site should be approved by the ORNL wildlife coordinator.
- 2.) Off-road driving on the site should be prohibited from April through August.
- 3.) Prescribed burning should be considered on the site every 5-10 years to maintain suitable conditions for the sparrows (J. Herkert, pers. comm.). Critical habitat factors include shrub encroachment and litter. Burning can reduce both of these problems (P. Vickery, pers. comm.).

References

- Alsop, F. J. III. (East Tennessee State University), April 27, 1995, personal communication with J. M. Mitchell (JAYCOR).
- Eagar, D.C., and R. M. Hatcher, eds. 1980. *Tennessee's Rare Wildlife, Vol. 1: The Vertebrates*. Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency and Tennessee Department of Conservation, Nashville, Tenn.
- Ehrlich, P. R., D. S. Dobkin, and D. Wheye. 1988. *The Birder's Handbook*. New York: Simon and Schuster. 785 pp.
- Herkert, J. R. (Illinois Department of Conservation), June 2, 1995, personal communication with J. M. Mitchell (JAYCOR).
- Herkert, J. R., R. E. Szafoni, V. M. Kleen, and J. E. Schwegman. 1993. "Habitat Establishment, Enhancement, and Management for Forest and Grassland Birds in Illinois." Illinois Dept. of Conserv., *Natural Heritage Technical Publication 1. 20*
- Peterjohn, B. G. (The National Biological Survey), June 2, 1995, personal communication with J. M. Mitchell (JAYCOR).
- TWRC. 1994. "Wildlife in Need of Management." *Tennessee Wildlife Resources Commission Proclamation 94-16* as amended on September 27, 1994. Nashville Tenn.
- Vickery, P. D. (Massachusetts Audubon Society, Center for Biological Conservation), November 21, 1995, personal communication with J. M. Mitchell (JAYCOR).

On May 12, 1995, two grasshopper sparrows (*Ammodramus savannarum*) were observed in the Freels Bend area on the Oak Ridge Reservation, Anderson County, Tennessee, USA. The birds were located on the south end of Freels Bend along the Clinch River across from Hewitt Bluff. These birds were identified by sight and song by the following members of the Environmental Sciences Division at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

Michael G. Ryon Date
Michael G. Ryon 5/18/95

Elizabeth M. Schilling Date
Elizabeth M. Schilling 5/18/95

General location is marked below. Map clip is taken from S-16A. TVA 1987.

W. Kelly Roy Date
W Kelly Roy 5/18/95

Brian A. Carrico Date
Brian A. Carrico 5/18/95

Jason M. Mitchell Date
Jason M. Mitchell 5/18/95

J. Warren Webb Date
J. Warren Webb 5/19/95




Fig. D-1. Documentation of grasshopper sparrows at Freels Bend

Fig. D-2. Grasshopper sparrow observations at Freels Bend

- 5/08/95 Grasshopper sparrows seen at Freels Bend for the first time in 1995.
- 5/09/95 Two grasshopper sparrows located at Freels Bend.
- 5/10/95 One grasshopper sparrow identified.
- 5/12/95 Several individuals observed grasshopper sparrows at Freels Bend (Appendix 1).
- 5/16/95 Observed one grasshopper sparrow singing.
- 5/17/95 Took Steve Eberhardt (ORNL photographer) to Freels Bend to get photographs of the grasshopper sparrow. Two birds were observed mating. Most photographs are apparently the male.
- 5/30/95 Five to six grasshopper sparrows seen at Freels Bend. Birds are pairing. Birds have dispersed from original staging area and have scattered throughout several fields.
- 5/31/95 Six to nine grasshopper sparrows were counted. An estimated 10 to 12 birds are u s i n g t h e Freels Bend area. Six transects were walked in the field where most birds had been sighted.
- 6/02/95 Assessed mowing schedule at Freels Bend, noted nesting areas and delineated areas that could be presently mowed.
- 6/05/95 Observed 3 grasshopper sparrows; birds have become quiet and are presumed nesting.
- 6/06/95 Two grasshopper sparrows seen during a breeding bird census; no singing.
- 6/14/95 No grasshopper sparrows heard or seen at Freels Bend.
- 6/20/95 Two grasshopper sparrows flushed; fields near large barn have been mowed.
- 6/22/95 No grasshopper sparrows seen or heard at Freels Bend.
- 6/27/95 One grasshopper sparrow singing and three grasshopper sparrows sighted (total 4).
- 7/03/95 Field of grasshopper sparrows was mowed for hay.
- 7/06/95 Assessed mowed area in nesting field. Grass is 3 to 4 inches high. Many perches and edge was mowed. Three to four grasshopper sparrows were observed singing atop hay bales. Four posts were erected for singing perches.
- 7/10/95 Three to four grasshopper sparrows seen, no juveniles. Grasshopper sparrows are using artificial perches.
- 7/18/95 Two grasshopper sparrows seen; birds are using artificial perches.
- 7/24/95 Two grasshopper sparrows singing; birds have been singing from the ground.
- 8/09/95 No grasshopper sparrows seen or heard at Freels Bend.
- 8/31/95 No grasshopper sparrows seen or heard at Freels Bend.

Birds apparently departed in late August or early September.

In Mid-October, several of the surrounding fields were plowed.

D-8

To: Bill Teer
From: Pat Parr

Date: May 26, 1995
Subject: Grasshopper sparrow at Freels Bend

A population of grasshopper sparrows was found in a recent survey of Freels Bend for Threatened and Endangered species. The grasshopper sparrow is listed by the state as "in need of management". The population was seen using a hayfield at the southern end of the area (see map). Because the grasshopper sparrow is nesting we are requesting that NO MOWING be done in that area until after August 1995. This will