


Implementation of Detailed Polyethylene Pyrolysis Kinetics into CFD Simulations using Machine Learning



2024 NETL Workshop on Multiphase Flow Science

August 13-14th, Morgantown, WV

Ross Houston, Hang Zhou, and Mehrdad Shahn timer
National Energy Technology Laboratory



Solutions for Today | Options for Tomorrow




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Gasification of high-density polyethylene (HDPE)

Necessary to subclassify gasification for accurate kinetic modeling

- Reaction Subclasses:
 - Pyrolysis – Heterogeneous Particle Phase
 - Gasification – Homogeneous Gas Phase



HDPE

Drying

Pyrolysis
“Primary”

Gasification
“Secondary”

- Char, Ash
- Tar (C₄₊)
- Light gases (C₀-C₄)

NATIONAL
ENERGY
TECHNOLOGY
LABORATORY

- HDPE characterized by two lumped functional groups:

- Representative Mid Chains

- HMW (P-P) $\longrightarrow$ (P-) $\longrightarrow$ LMW Products**



Current state of HDPE pyrolysis kinetics

Collaboration with CRECK Modeling Group at Polytechnic University of Milan

- Low-molecular weight (LMW) characterized by real species up to C_5
- Larger species (C_{6+}) described by lumped paraffin and olefin species

Current Primary Reaction Schemes:

- 71 species, 1377 reactions (71_1377)
- 71_969
- 42_737*
- 10_10*

*Focus of ML modeling work

Table 1. Classification of Mid- and End-Chain species for HDPE

	Paraffin	Olefin
Mid-Chain (MC)	P-C ₂₀ H ₄₀ -P(L) P-C ₄₀ H ₈₀ -P(L)	
End-Chain (EC)	P-C ₂₀ H ₄₁ (L)	P-C ₂₄ H ₂₃ (L)
MC radical	P-C ₂₀ H ₃₉ -P(L)	
EC internal radical	P-C ₂₀ H ₄₀ (L)	P-C ₂₄ H ₂₂ (L)
EC position specific radical	P-C ₂₀ H ₄₀ -T(L)	P-C ₂₄ H ₂₂ -A(L)

Machine learning (ML) approach for HPDE reaction kinetics

Increase computational speed while maintaining detailed speciation

Conventional Approach

- Take a single reaction:



$$k = AT^{\beta} e^{\frac{-E_a}{RT}}$$

$$\frac{dX_A}{dt} = AT^{\beta} e^{\frac{-E_a}{RT}} C_A$$

- Create the full set of ODEs

$$\frac{dX_{mn}}{dt} = \sum dX_{mn,production} - \sum dX_{mn,consumption}$$

- Quickly becomes cumbersome with 700+ reactions

Machine Learning Approach

- Three variables present:
 - Particle species concentration
 - Temperature
 - Time Step

$$f(X_{1,0}, \dots, X_{mn}, T_p, dt) = X_{0,0} \dots X_{mn}$$

- Predict final mass fractions of all species for a given time step

Data generation for model training

Generate high-fidelity composition data over a range of operating conditions

- 1-kg pure HDPE particle
- Initial temperature: 300 K
- Max temperature: 1000 K
- Fixed heating rate:
 - 5, 10, 15 K/min
- Timestep:
 - 1E-6 to 1E-3 s
- 49 operating conditions
- 4+ million data points





Figure 1. top) Mass fractions of liquid and gas species during HDEP conversion and bottom) maximum mass of each species during conversion over a range of temperatures using a 1-kg basis.

Architecture of the ML model

Implementation of DeepONet structure with physics-based loss functions

- 20 input features
- 35 output features
 - Liquid and gas species
- Trunk Network
 - Handles dt for time dependence
- Branch Network
 - Temperature and species fractions



Physics-based loss functions

Couple mass-conservation and time-informed loss functions for training

- Training and validation loss calculated using the mean absolute error (MAE)
- Chemical reactions must conserve mass
- Mass conservation can inform ML training
- Introduce new loss functions
 - Sum of gas species (1)
 - Rate of gas production (2)
 - Sum of liquid species (3)

Standard MAE in PyTorch

$$\frac{1}{BN_{spcs}} \sum_{i=1}^B \sum_{j=1}^{N_{spcs}} |X_j^{(1)} - \hat{X}_j^{(1)}|$$

Additional physics-informed MAE functions

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{BN_g} \sum_{i=1}^B \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} \left| \sum X_{g,j}^{(1)} - \sum \hat{X}_{g,j}^{(1)} \right|$$

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{BN_g} \sum_{i=1}^B \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} \left| \frac{X_{g,j}^{(1)}}{dt} - \frac{\hat{X}_{g,j}^{(1)}}{dt} \right|$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{BN_l} \sum_{i=1}^B \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \left| \sum X_{l,j}^{(1)} - \sum \hat{X}_{l,j}^{(1)} \right|$$

Predictions against training data

Parity plots for the major gas and liquid species – HDPE 42_737 scheme




Figure 2. Comparison of ML model predictions against our training data. Note: Temperature is in Kelvin (K).

Model inference in comparison to CFD results

Performance of isolated ML model compared to previous MFiX results

- 1-kg single HDPE Particle
- Initial Particle Temperature: 650 K
- Heating Rates of 5, 10, 20 K/min
- Time step: 1×10^{-3} s




Figure 3. Comparison of isolated ML model predictions against data from a similar MFiX single particle simulation.

Model inference in comparison to CFD results

Performance of isolated ML model compared to previous MFIX results





Figure 4. Comparison of isolated ML model predictions against data from a similar MFiX single particle simulation for the three largest species for each phase.

Implementation of Neural Network into MFiX

Replace conventional stiff chemistry solver with the ML model



ML model is integrated into MFiX to replace the stiff solver for reacting terms

- Solid phases from reactions are predicted directly by ML model
- Source/sink terms for gas phases are predicted from ML model and used in the following fluid iteration

Scheme provided by Hang Zhou.

Performance in a Single-Particle Simulation

Testing kinetic performance in an ideal environment

- Single, 1-kg HDPE particle
- Fixed temperature ramp
- 10 K/min
- Full particle conversion at 1600 s
- Test of kinetic performance

ML Performance:

- Error of particle mass (w.r.t initial mass):
 - 0.8% average
 - 9.1 % max
- Error during conversion (1100 – 1500 s):
 - 3.2% average
 - 9.0 % max




Figure 6. Top) Geometry setup of the MFiX simulation and bottom) prediction of particle mass loss compared to the stiff-solver.

Performance in a Single-Particle Simulation





Figure 7. Comparison of gaseous product species between traditional the traditional stiff-solver and the ML implementation in MFiX.

Performance in a Drop Tube Reactor

- Experimental drop tube reactor at NETL
- Currently used as a source of validation for HDPE kinetics
- Higher heating rate
 - ~23 K/s
- Full particle conversion at 20 s

ML Performance:

- ML was **25%** faster than stiff-solver
- Error of particle mass:
 - 2.3% average
 - 26 % max



Simulation settings in MFiX




Figure 8. Left) Drop tube geometry and right) mass loss prediction for particle in a droptube reactor for ML and stiff-solver

- Optimize the current NN to improve predictions
- Implement ML model into full scale simulations
 - Fluidized bed reactors
- Adapt similar strategies for developing a NN for large secondary gasification schemes
- Perform detailed simulations with all kinetics (primary and secondary reactions) solved via ML models while achieving the same level of accuracy

Thank you for your attention

VISIT US AT: www.NETL.DOE.gov
www.mfix.netl.doe.gov



@NETL_DOE



@NETL_DOE



@NationalEnergyTechnologyLaboratory

CONTACT:

Ross Houston, Research General Engineer

Ross.Houston@netl.doe.gov

O: (304) 285-0284

