



COMPOSABLE LEDGERS FOR DISTRIBUTED SYNCHRONIC WEB ARCHIVING

Extended background paper (“The Synchronic Web”) available online (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.10733>)

MOTIVATION

- Next-generation distributed archiving will require infrastructure to support the following capabilities:
- **Provenance** – metadata about the origins of data
 - **Security** – cryptographic proofs for provenance
- Existing solutions (e.g., blockchain) inadequately meet requirements for memory organizations:
- **Technical** – high scalability and interoperability
 - **Economic** – low integration and maintenance cost

APPROACH

- The **Synchronic Web** is a cryptographic capability affording secure provenance that is characteristically:
- **Performant** – scalable throughput and storage
 - **Inexpensive** – freely available to all web clients
- This work defines a Synchronic-Web-enabled procedure for securely sharing archive data using:
- **Split** – algorithm to commit and publish records
 - **Merge** – algorithm to collect and verify records

Algorithm 1 Split Tree. Splits a verifiable map tree into a set of commitments. Algorithm 3 of the whitepaper defines *GetProof*

```
1: function SPLITTREE(map, tree)
2:   return [[key, value, GetProof(tree, key)]
3:   for each key, value ∈ map]
```

Algorithm 2 Merge Tree. Merges a set of commitments back into the original verifiable map tree

```
1: function MERGETREE(entries)
2:   function RECURSE(items, depth)
3:     if items.length = 1 ∧ items[0][2].length = depth then
4:       return [Hash(items[0][0] + items[0][1]), [∅, ∅]]
5:     zeros, ones ← [], []
6:     for each item ∈ items do
7:       if Binary(item[0])[depth] = 0 then
8:         zeros.append(item)
9:       else
10:        ones.append(item)
11:     if zeros.length = 0 then
12:       left ← RECURSE(zeros, depth + 1)
13:     else
14:       left ← [ones[0][2][depth], [∅, ∅]]
15:     if ones.length = 0 then
16:       right ← RECURSE(ones, depth + 1)
17:     else
18:       right ← [zeros[0][2][depth], [∅, ∅]]
19:     return Node(
20:       Hash(left[0] + right[0]), [left, right])
21:   return RECURSE(entries)
```

PROGRESS

- We implemented a **minimum viable prototype** to test the procedure across two component types:
- **Journals** – Synchronic Web client applications
 - **Notaries** – Synchronic Web server infrastructure
- We evaluated each component separately and achieved the following throughput at 1-second latency:
- **Client-side** – 100k tx/s from local data entries
 - **Server-side** – 6k tx/s from remote clients requests

NEXT STEPS

- The future impact of this system can be improved through the following research directions:
- **Cryptography** – more performant proof processing
 - **Semantics** – more interoperable vocabularies
- The team is pursuing steps to make Synchronic Web services **available as a free public good** through:
- **Software** – open-source code to build applications
 - **Services** – public endpoints to secure the network

