

COMPOSABLE LEDGERS FOR DISTRIBUTED SYNCHRONIC WEB ARCHIVING

Extended background paper ("The Synchronic Web") available online (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.10733>)

MOTIVATION

Next-generation distributed archiving will require

infrastructure to support the following capabilities:

- **Provenance** – metadata about the origins of data
- **Security** – cryptographic proofs for provenance

Existing solutions (e.g., blockchain) inadequately meet

requirements for memory organizations:

- **Technical** – high scalability and interoperability
- **Economic** – low integration and maintenance cost

APPROACH

The **Synchronic Web** is a cryptographic capability affording secure provenance that is characteristically:

- **Performant** – scalable throughput and storage
- **Inexpensive** – freely available to all web clients

This work defines a Synchronic-Web-enabled procedure for securely sharing archive data using:

- **Split** – algorithm to commit and publish records
- **Merge** – algorithm to collect and verify records

Algorithm 1 Split Tree. Splits a verifiable map tree into a set of commitments. Algorithm 3 of the whitepaper defines *GetProof*

```

1: function SPLITTREE(map, tree)
2:   return [[key, value, GetProof(tree, key)]]
3:   for each key, value in map do

```

Algorithm 2 Merge Tree. Merges a set of commitments back into the original verifiable map tree

```

1: function MERGETREE(entries)
2:   function Recurse(items, depth)
3:     if items.length = 1 and items[0][2].length = depth then
4:       return [Hash(items[0][0] + items[0][1]), [Ø, Ø]]
5:     zeros, ones  $\leftarrow$  [[], []]
6:     for each item in items do
7:       if Binary(item[0])[depth] = 0 then
8:         zeros.append(item)
9:       else
10:         ones.append(item)
11:       if zeros.length = 0 then
12:         left  $\leftarrow$  Recurse(zeros, depth + 1)
13:       else
14:         left  $\leftarrow$  [ones[0][2][depth], [Ø, Ø]]
15:       if ones.length = 0 then
16:         right  $\leftarrow$  Recurse(ones, depth + 1)
17:       else
18:         right  $\leftarrow$  [zeros[0][2][depth], [Ø, Ø]]
19:     return Node(Hash(left[0] + right[0]), [left, right])
20:   return Recurse(entries)
21: return Recurse(entries)

```

PROGRESS

We implemented a **minimum viable prototype** to test the procedure across two component types:

- **Journals** – Synchronic Web client applications
- **Notaries** – Synchronic Web server infrastructure

We evaluated each component separately and achieved the following throughput at 1-second latency:

- **Client-side** – 100k tx/s from local data entries
- **Server-side** – 6k tx/s from remote clients requests

NEXT STEPS

The future impact of this system can be improved through the following research directions:

- **Cryptography** – more performant proof processing
- **Semantics** – more interoperable vocabularies

The team is pursuing steps to make Synchronic Web services **available as a free public good** through:

- **Software** – open-source code to build applications
- **Services** – public endpoints to secure the network

