

# Geomechanical and geophysical properties of gypsum-based 3D printed geomaterials

Exceptional service  
in the national interest



Sandia  
National  
Laboratories

Hongkyu Yoon<sup>1</sup>, Michelle Williams<sup>1</sup>, Liyang Jiang<sup>2</sup>, R. Charles Choens<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Bobet<sup>2</sup>, Moo Y. Lee<sup>1</sup>, and Laura J. Pyrak-Nolte<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, <sup>2</sup>Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN

**Why 3D printing?** 3D printing of geoarchitected rocks has the potential to enhance mechanical interpretations by generating engineered samples in testable configurations with reproducible microstructures and tunable directional mechanical properties. 3D printing can allow us to overcome sample-to-sample heterogeneity that plague rock physics testing.

## 3D Printing Process

- Powder-based 3D printing was used to make "geo-architected rock" samples using commercially available bassanite powder (calcium sulfate hemihydrates,  $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ).
- A commercial 3D printer (ProJet 360) was used to build a 3D structure of geo-architected samples by depositing a thin layer (100 microns) of bassanite powders onto build chamber.
- Layering direction, binder spray direction, amount of binder, and post-sample modification were tested to control the strength of printed rocks
- 3D printing process is a reactive crystallization process from bassanite to a hydrated form of calcium sulfate (i.e., gypsum) when bassanite is in contact/mixed with the water-based binder solution as  $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O} + 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .




Figure 1. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images: (left) bassanite powders and (right) a solid powder after reaction with a binder solution with rod shape gypsums, and a mix of rod shape gypsum and unreacted thin plates





Figure 2. (left) an example of 3D printing design with different geometries. To minimize the sample-sample variability, a batch of samples was printed together. (right) Examples of 3D printed cylinder samples (1" diameter and 2" height) and microCT images of 3D printed samples (left: vertically layered sample, middle: horizontally layered sample, right: horizontal image slices of horizontally layered sample at the top and in the middle).

## Characterization and Testing of 3D Printed Rocks




- Cylindrical samples: dimensions (diameters, heights with at least 3 different locations) and weight
- Porosity and P-&S-wave velocities
- MicroCT imaging of samples before and after testing
- Mechanical testing: **Unconfined compressive strength**, 3 point-bending, triaxial testing
- Variations in 3D printing: Binder amount, different 3D printers, different printing conditions
- Variation in post printing conditions (e.g., relative humidity)
- SEM image analysis of fracture surface characteristics

**Bulk Density and Porosity of 3D printed rocks:** Dimensions of 3D printed rocks were consistency within less than 1% variability. For printed samples in three different directions with respect to bedding layer and texture layer (i.e., binder spray direction), printed samples were stored under three different relative humidity conditions (ambient, RH80%, and oven dry at 45 degree C)


**H-long**    **H-short**    **Vertical**




**H-long:** Bedding – Horizontal  
Texture – Parallel to Loading  
**H-short:** Bedding – Horizontal  
Texture – Perpendicular to Loading  
**Vertical:** Bedding – Vertical  
Texture – Perpendicular to Loading



**Waveforms:** Waveforms of three different directional samples were measured along the axial and radial directions. S-transducers were used to generate the waveforms and arrival times were decided as below:



## UCS testing results and MicroCT images



## Conclusions:

- Strong effect of printing direction on geomechanical properties (peak strength, velocities, and failure geometry). Bedding layer and texture direction (binder spray direction) resulted in directional mechanical properties of the printed rocks
- Relative humidity affects the porosity of printed rocks (water adsorption) and weakened the strength of the printed rocks significantly
- Optimal printing conditions will produce more consistent sample reprintability suitable for geomechanical testing