SAND2022-15498C

Chemical Kinetics and Thermal Properties of Ablator Pyrolysis Products during Atmospheric Entry

Mitchell Gosma*, Caleb Harper, Lincoln Collins, Kelly Stephani, Jeffrey Engerer

Center for Hypersonics and Entry System Studies

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

12th Ablation Workshop, 9-10 November 2022

Sandia National Laboratories

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.

CHESS
CENTER FOR HYPERSONICS

Background – Thermal Protection System Modeling

- Interest in modeling thermochemical response of ablative TPS materials
  - Focus: Modeling of reacting pyrolysis gas in char layer; Surface reactions with pyrolysis gas + atmospheric species
  - Interests: **thermal transport, gas-phase chemistry**



Credit: V. Arias, CHESS

Modeling Ablator Energy Balance

- **Pyrolysis gas enthalpy is critical**
  - Different composition -> Different thermal response

- **Thermal QoIs:**

- $\dot{q}_{decom}$ = **Heat of Decomposition**
  - $\dot{q}_{decom} = h_{decom}\dot{\omega}_g = (h_{g,\omega} - \bar{h})\dot{\omega}_g$

- $\dot{q}_{pgpu}$ = **Pyrolysis Gas Pick Up (Transpiration Cooling)**
  - $\dot{q}_{pgpu} = -\frac{\partial h_g}{\partial x}\bar{m}_g^{"} = (h_{g,o} - h_{g,\omega})\dot{\omega}_g + \frac{h_{g,o} - h_{g,i}}{\Delta x}\dot{m}_{g,i}^{"}$





Pyrolysis Gas Chemistry – Legacy Assumption

- In modeling of pyrolysis gas in ablation codes, two assumptions are common:
  - **Equilibrium Chemical Composition**
  - **Uniform Elemental Composition**



- **Advantage: Significantly easier to simulate in Material Response Codes**

Pyrolysis Gas Chemistry – Legacy Assumption

- **Is this assumption accurate?**
  - Experimental results show large variation in Primary Pyrolysis elemental composition
  - **We will examine the kinetics of these species with a coupled simulation approach**





Source: Brody K. Bessire and Timothy K. Minton. Decomposition of phenolic impregnated carbon ablator (pica) as a function of temperature and heating rate. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 9(25):21422–21437, 201

Analysis – Coupled Aria/Cantera Simulation

Material Response Code (Aria)
- Temperature/Pressure
- Mass Flow Rate
- Primary Pyrolysis Rate
- Recession

Update Every Timestep

Chemistry Solver (Cantera)
- Pyrolysis Speciation
- Finite-Rate Kinetics
- Species/Element Mole Fractions
- Thermal Properties



$$Q_{total} = \int_0^x Q(x)\,dx$$



$$Q_{total} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+x} Q_i$$

Aria Model Assumptions
- **TACOT** Ablator Material
- Equilibrium Chemistry
- Constant Element Comp.
- Legacy Pyrolysis Model
  - **Goldstein**

Cantera Model Assumptions
- Neglect porous flow, GSI effects
  - No coking
- Neglect Transport
- Pyrolysis Speciation
  - **Bessire-Minton (6.1 C°/s) Data**
- Kinetics
  - **Blanquart Mech.**
  - **148 species, 1600+ reactions**

Aria – Ablation Workshop Test Cases 2.2 & 2.3

- Aria – Galerkin finite element porous flow solver
  - Part of Sandia's SIERRA Multitool
  - Used to run Lachaud's 1D Ablation Test Cases using the TACOT Material:



Case	*Time, s*	T_∞, *K*	T_{surf}, *K*	$h_r \rho_e u_e C_H$, Wm^{-2}
1	120.1	300	3200	7.5×10^6
2	120.1	300	1600	4.5×10^5

Source: "Sierra Multimechanics module: Aria User Manual - Version 5.8.," 2022.
Source: Lachaud, Jean R.; Martin, Alexandre; van Eekelen, Tom; and Cozmuta, Ioana, "Ablation Test-Case Series #2. Numerical Simulation of Ablative-Material Response: Code and Model Comparisons" (2012).

Aria Simulation Results



- Resulting temperature and recession profiles are nominal to published PATO results of Lachaud
- **Results are then used to run Cantera reactor network**

Holistic Cantera Results – Gas Enthalpy over T & Time



- Shaded regions are bounded by recorded maximum and minimum values.
  - Little variation is seen transiently or between the two test cases.

Case 1 – T = 120 seconds



$$\hat{h} = \frac{|h - h_{frzn}|}{|h_{equil} - h_{frzn}|}$$

Case 1 – T = 120 seconds



$$Da = \frac{Rate\ of\ Reaction}{Rate\ of\ Advection} = \frac{\tau_R \sum_{i=0}^{n} r_i^+}{\rho}$$

$$Da^* = \frac{\tau_R \sum_{i=0}^{n} (r_i^+ - r_i^-)}{\rho}$$

- The standard Damköhler Number shows the onset of chemical kinetics, but not equilibrium
- The modified Da* shows finite-rate/equilibrium transition

Case 1 – T = 120 seconds

- Strong variations in chemical composition seen, only approaching equilibrium at very high temperatures; PAH peaks at around 5% mass fraction

Low Temperature +
Low Free Oxygen =
Non-Equilibrium



Case 1 – T = 120 seconds

- Elemental composition is seen to stabilize at around 1400K, once primary pyrolysis is mostly completed
- **Molar Ratios: 18.2% carbon, 16.1% oxygen, 65.7% hydrogen**



$\dot{q}_{decom}$

- Both cases are similar, so only **Case 1** is shown here
- As expected, equilibrium and TACOT results behave similarly
- Finite-rate results show exothermic behavior for both reaction peaks



$$\dot{q}_{decom} = h_{decom}\dot{\omega}_g = \left(h_{g,\omega} - \bar{h}\right)$$

$\dot{q}_{pgpu}$

Case 1



Case 2



$$\dot{q}_{pgpu} = -\frac{\partial h_g}{\partial x}\bar{m}_g^{"} = \left(h_{g,o} - h_{g,\omega}\right)\dot{\omega}_g + \frac{h_{g,o} - h_{g,i}}{\Delta x}\dot{m}_{g,i}^{"}$$

Total Heat Transfer: Case 1 vs 2

Previous curves integrated over length vs simulation time.

- **Typical surface aeroheating values: ~600 W/cm² (1), ~40 W/cm² (2)**

Case 1



Case 2



Conclusion

- Non-equilibrium pyrolysis gas conditions in ablator is common <2500K
- Differences between Eq. and Finite-rate gas cause substantial deviations in thermal QoIs
- These QoIs potentially have substantial impact on Ablator Energy Balance
  - **See Lachaud et al ->**

Finite-Rate; 22-species reduced Blanquart mech.



Source: Porous material analysis toolbox based on OpenFoam and applications. J. Lachaud, N. N. Mansour. Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer, 28 (2): 191–202, 2014

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program at Sandia National Laboratories, a multi-mission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc. for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract **DE-NA0003525**.

Sandia National Laboratories

Questions?

Backup – Batch Reactor Tests

- Used to validate achievement of equilibrium with Blanquart mechanism & identify relevant timescales for chemical kinetics
- Initial mixture: Gas composition taken from Minton 25 C/s experiment at various Ts



Figure 4-3. Normalized enthalpy of pyrolysis gases with respect to time. Species correspond to Bessire and Minton data at 147.5 °C.



(a) 452.6 °C. (b) 1068.5 °C.

Figure 4-4. Normalized enthalpy of pyrolysis gases with respect to time. Species correspond to Bessire and Minton data at 452.6 °C and 1068.5 °C