

# Design Investigation of a Scalable Fast Neutron Radiography Panel

Christian Young<sup>1</sup>, Sean Alcorn<sup>1</sup>, Michael Liesenfelt<sup>1</sup>, Jason Hayward<sup>1</sup>, and Jeff Preston<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Tennessee, Knoxville, <sup>2</sup>Y-12 National Security Complex




## INTRODUCTION

- Advances in flat-panel radiography device design have increased the use of radiation imaging as a nondestructive evaluation tool
- Neutron radiography offers many advantages compared to photon-based radiography
  - Neutrons penetrate dense, high-Z metals more effectively than photons
- Typical neutron imaging systems:
  - Large, immobile neutron facilities
  - Reliant on absorption-based conversion
  - Uses a thin (<1mm) scintillator, mirror, and camera
  - High resolution with cold neutrons
  - Poor image resolution with fast neutrons
- A flat-panel fast-neutron radiography imager must:
  - Have a compact and portable form factor
  - Use proton recoil instead of absorption
  - Use thick (>1mm) higher efficiency scintillators
  - Be comprised of tileable readout modules
  - Achieve millimeter-scale resolution with fast neutrons

## METHODS


- Zemax simulations were used to simulate scintillation light spread on silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs)
  - Simplified to a 3 x 3 area of SiPMs with Anger logic localization
  - Quantified differences between actual scintillation event position and computed event position with varying light spreader thicknesses
- Tileable detector module assembled using:
  - Ideas ROSSPAD readout module
  - 8 by 8 array of 6 mm SensL MICROFJ-60035-TSV SiPMs
  - 3 mm of Eljen Technologies EJ-200 scintillator
  - Polycarbonate light spreader
- Data collection and processing methods were developed
  - Packet capture data processed and stored in a SQLite database file
  - Using flood field illumination data, background, noise, and gain corrections were generated for each SiPM
  - Scintillation events were localized using a dual 1D Gaussian fitting method
  - Localized events were combined into high-resolution energy weighted and unweighted radiographs
- A fan beam image was generated using a narrowly collimated Cs-137 source
  - The spatial resolution of the system was determined using the full-width half-max (FWHM) and modulation transfer function (MTF) of the fan beam

# Proper calibration and scintillation event localization yield sub-SiPM spatial resolution.



## RESULTS

- 3 mm thick light spreader allows for the best localization based on Anger logic methods
- Gaussian localization methods provide better continuous event localization compared to nearest neighbor Anger logic localization
- Precise background, noise, and gain correction drastically improves localization accuracy within the detector
- Fan beam measurements using Cs-137 show a spatial resolution of 2.25 mm
  - Spatial resolution is approximately 1/3 the pitch of the SiPMs, effectively turning every SiPM into 9 pixels
- Goal of sub-SiPM resolution achieved



## FUTURE WORK

- Edge correction to spread events to edge of the ROSSPAD
- Characterize spatial resolution using neutrons
- Build a prototype flat-panel neutron radiography imager
- Improve localization methods to span across multiple adjacent ROSSPAD readout modules
- Develop and characterize thicker, segmented scintillator blocks
- Increase spatial resolution to 1.25 - 1.00 mm (1/4 - 1/6 SiPM pitch)

This work of authorship and those incorporated herein were prepared by Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC (CNS) as accounts of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government under Contract DE-NA0001942. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor CNS, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility to any non-governmental recipient hereof for the accuracy, completeness, use made, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency or contractor thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency or contractor (other than the authors) thereof.

This document has been authored by Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC, a contractor of the U.S. Government under contract DE-NA0001942, or a subcontractor thereof. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a paid-up, nonexclusive, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and perform publicly and display publicly, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration through the Nuclear Science and Security Consortium under Award Number DE-NA0003180.