

SANDIA REPORT

SAND2022-12028

Unclassified Unlimited Release

Printed September 8, 2022



Sandia
National
Laboratories

Sierra/SolidMechanics 5.10 Example Problems Manual

SIERRA Solid Mechanics Team
Computational Solid Mechanics and Structural Dynamics Department
Engineering Sciences Center

Prepared by
Sandia National Laboratories
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185
Livermore, California 94550

Issued by Sandia National Laboratories, operated for the United States Department of Energy by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC.

NOTICE: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represent that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractors.

Printed in the United States of America. This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831

Telephone: (865) 576-8401
Facsimile: (865) 576-5728
E-Mail: reports@osti.gov
Online ordering: <http://www.osti.gov/scitech>

Available to the public from

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5301 Shawnee Road
Alexandria, VA 22312

Telephone: (800) 553-6847
Facsimile: (703) 605-6900
E-Mail: orders@ntis.gov
Online order: <https://classic.ntis.gov/help/order-methods>



ABSTRACT

Presented in this document are tests that exist in the Sierra/SolidMechanics example problem suite, which is a subset of the Sierra/SM regression and performance test suite. These examples showcase common and advanced code capabilities. A wide variety of other regression and verification tests exist in the Sierra/SM test suite that are not included in this manual.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This document is the result of the collective effort of a number of individuals. This document was originally written primarily by Steven Gomez, Jason Ivey and Patrick Suszko, and initially reviewed by Kevin Long, Kendall H. Pierson and Michael Tupek.

The current core development team responsible for the Sierra/SolidMechanics codes includes: Frank N. Beckwith, Guy L. Bergel, Michael R. Buche, Gabriel J. de Frias, Kevin L. Manktelow, Mark T. Merewether, Scott T. Miller, Krishen J. Parmar, Timothy R. Shelton, Jesse D. Thomas, Jeremy Trageser, Benjamin C. Treweek, Michael G. Veilleux, and Ellen B. Wagman. This document is written and maintained by this team.

CONTENTS

1. Contact	14
1.1. Newton Cradle	14
1.1.1. Problem Description	14
1.1.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions	14
1.1.3. Material Model	14
1.1.4. Finite Element Model	15
1.1.5. Feature Tested	15
1.1.6. Results and Discussion	15
1.2. Bullet Collision	19
1.2.1. Problem Description	19
1.2.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions	19
1.2.3. Material Model	19
1.2.4. Finite Element Model	20
1.2.5. Feature Tested	20
1.2.6. Results and Discussion	20
1.3. Analytic Planes	24
1.3.1. Problem Description	24
1.3.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions	24
1.3.3. Feature Tested	24
1.3.4. Results and Discussion	25
1.4. Curved Surface Friction Behavior	27
1.4.1. Problem Description	27
1.4.2. Mesh Model Setup	27
1.4.3. Boundary Conditions and General Problem Setup	28
1.4.4. Feature Tested	30
1.4.5. Ideal Behavior Model	30
1.4.6. Results and Discussion	31
1.4.7. Conclusion	31
1.5. Plate Indentation	37
1.5.1. Problem Description	37
1.5.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions	37
1.5.3. Material Model	38
1.5.4. Finite Element Model	38
1.5.5. Feature Tested	38
1.5.6. Results and Discussion	38
1.6. Ball Bearing Pull	41
1.6.1. Problem Description	41
1.6.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions	41

1.6.3.	Material Model	42
1.6.4.	Finite Element Model	42
1.6.5.	Feature Tested	42
1.6.6.	Results and Discussion	43
2.	XFEM	46
2.1.	Angled Crack Cylinder	46
2.1.1.	Problem Description	46
2.1.2.	Loading and Boundary Conditions	47
2.1.3.	Material Model	47
2.1.4.	Finite Element Model	47
2.1.5.	Feature Tested	47
2.1.6.	Results and Discussion	47
2.2.	Plate with Multiple Holes	49
2.2.1.	Problem Description	49
2.2.2.	Loading and Boundary Conditions	49
2.2.3.	Material Model	49
2.2.4.	Finite Element Model	50
2.2.5.	Feature Tested	50
2.2.6.	Results and Discussion	50
3.	General/Other	52
3.1.	Stress Strain Plate	52
3.1.1.	Problem Description	52
3.1.2.	Loading and Boundary Conditions	52
3.1.3.	Material Model	53
3.1.4.	Finite Element Model	53
3.1.5.	Feature Tested	54
3.1.6.	Results and Discussion	54
3.2.	Bolt Preload	56
3.2.1.	Problem Description	56
3.2.2.	Loading and Boundary Conditions	59
3.2.3.	Material Model	59
3.2.4.	Finite Element Model	60
3.2.5.	Results and Discussion	60
3.3.	Automated Adaptive Preloading	63
3.3.1.	Problem Description	63
3.3.2.	Bolt Preload Problem	63
3.3.3.	Wishbone Problem	65
3.4.	Overlap Removal Methods	68
3.4.1.	Problem Description	68
3.4.2.	Boundary Conditions	69
3.4.3.	Material Model	69
3.4.4.	Finite Element Model	69
3.4.5.	Results and Discussion	70

3.5.	Remeshing	72
3.5.1.	Problem Description	72
3.5.2.	Boundary Conditions	72
3.5.3.	Material Model	72
3.5.4.	Finite Element Model	72
3.5.5.	Results and Discussion	73
3.6.	Frame Indifference	76
3.6.1.	Problem Description	76
3.6.2.	Loading and Boundary Conditions	76
3.6.3.	Material Model	78
3.6.4.	Finite Element Model	78
3.6.5.	Feature Tested	78
3.6.6.	Results and Discussion	78
3.7.	Cohesive Zones	80
3.7.1.	Problem Description	80
3.7.2.	Finite Element Model	80
3.7.3.	Boundary Conditions	81
3.7.4.	Material Model	82
3.7.5.	Results and Discussion	82
3.8.	Nonlocal Averaging	84
3.8.1.	Problem Description	84
3.8.2.	Loading and Boundary Conditions	84
3.8.3.	Finite Element Model	84
3.8.4.	Material Model	85
3.8.5.	Feature Tested	85
3.8.6.	Results and Discussion	85

Appendices 87

A. Input Decks For Example Problems 87

A.1.	Newton Cradle	87
A.2.	Bullet Collision	92
A.3.	Analytic Planes	96
A.4.	Curved Surface Friction Behavior	98
A.5.	Plate Indentation	100
A.6.	Ball Bearing Pull Explicit	103
A.7.	Ball Bearing Pull Implicit (FETI linear solver)	106
A.8.	Ball Bearing Pull Implicit (Nodal preconditioner)	110
A.9.	Angled Crack Cylinder	114
A.10.	Plate with Multiple Holes	116
A.11.	Stress Strain Plate	118
A.12.	Bolt Preload	121
A.12.1.	Thermal Strain	121
A.12.2.	Artificial Strain	124
A.12.3.	Prescribed Displacement	128

A.12.4. Spring	132
A.13. Automated Adaptive Preloading	136
A.13.1. Bolt Preload	136
A.13.2. Wishbone	141
A.14. Overlap Removal	145
A.14.1. Overlap Removal using Artificial Strain and General Contact	147
A.15. Remeshing	150
A.16. Frame Indifference	156
A.17. Cohesive Zone Models	159
A.17.1. Meshed Cohesive Zones	159
A.17.2. Contact Cohesive Zones	160
A.17.3. XFEM Cohesive Zones	162
A.17.4. Nonlocal Averaging	164

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1.	Initial Configurations	16
Figure 1-2.	Rigid Body Highlight	17
Figure 1-3.	Normalized Dissipation Energy	17
Figure 1-4.	Energy History	18
Figure 1-5.	Initial Setup	19
Figure 1-6.	Bullet in contact with block	22
Figure 1-7.	Non-dimensional Torque. See equation 1.6.	23
Figure 1-8.	Reaction Force. See equation 1.1.	23
Figure 1-9.	Analytic Surfaces problem set-up.	24
Figure 1-10.	Contact with Angled Plane.....	25
Figure 1-11.	Contact with Lower Plane.	26
Figure 1-12.	Mesh Convergence	27
Figure 1-13.	Mesh Refinement	28
Figure 1-14.	Slip ratios for rigid vs non-rigid cylinder.....	29
Figure 1-15.	Basic Physics Model	30
Figure 1-16.	Slip ratios	32
Figure 1-17.	Various frictional coefficients' response and respective threshold angles	33
Figure 1-17.	Various frictional coefficients' response and respective threshold angles (cont'd)	34
Figure 1-17.	Various frictional coefficients' response and respective threshold angles (cont'd)	35
Figure 1-17.	Various frictional coefficients' response and respective threshold angles (cont'd)	36
Figure 1-18.	Thick plate indentation problem.	37
Figure 1-19.	Graded Mesh.....	38
Figure 1-20.	Final displacement.	39
Figure 1-21.	Final displacement.	39
Figure 1-22.	Final strain.	40
Figure 1-23.	Final strain.	40
Figure 1-24.	Initial Problem Geometry	41
Figure 1-25.	Geometry after loading	44
Figure 1-26.	Force-Displacement curve for Explicit Quasi-Static analysis	44
Figure 1-27.	Force-Displacement Curve for Implicit Statics analysis	44
Figure 1-28.	Force-Displacement Curve for Implicit Dynamic analysis	45
Figure 1-29.	Comparison of Explicit and Implicit Solutions	45
Figure 2-1.	Angled crack cylinder problem set-up.	46
Figure 2-2.	Planar crack growth.	48
Figure 2-3.	Piece of cylinder is cut off and separates.	48
Figure 2-4.	Plate with multiple holes problem set-up.	49
Figure 2-5.	Multi holes before nucleation.	51

Figure 2-6.	Stress waves after nucleation.	51
Figure 2-7.	Plate with Multiple Holes Snapshots	51
Figure 3-1.	Plate with hole problem definition.	52
Figure 3-2.	Plate with hole model.	53
Figure 3-3.	Plate with hole meshes.	54
Figure 3-4.	Plate with hole results for zero z-displacement prescribed on positive-z face. ..	54
Figure 3-5.	Plate with hole results for zero pressure prescribed on positive-z face.	54
Figure 3-6.	Loading Block for the Four Preloading Cases.....	56
Figure 3-7.	Bolt Assembly Diagram for the Four Preloading Cases.....	57
Figure 3-8.	Preload test case: Thermal and Artificial Strain	58
Figure 3-9.	Preload test case: Prescribed	58
Figure 3-10.	Preload test case: Spring	59
Figure 3-11.	Bolt Preload: σ_{yy}	61
Figure 3-12.	Bolt Preload: σ_{xx}	61
Figure 3-13.	Bolt Preload: σ_{xy}	62
Figure 3-14.	Bolt Preload Mesh	63
Figure 3-15.	Bolt Preload Results.....	65
Figure 3-16.	Wishbone Preload Mesh	66
Figure 3-17.	Wishbone Force Results	67
Figure 3-18.	Wishbone Displacements Results	67
Figure 3-19.	Wishbone Force Displacement Curve	67
Figure 3-20.	Small overlap and results after overlap removal	68
Figure 3-21.	Rings under strain with strain vs time plot	68
Figure 3-22.	Large overlap and results after overlap removal method	69
Figure 3-23.	Stresses experienced after overlap removal	70
Figure 3-24.	Stresses experienced after strain and contact is applied	71
Figure 3-25.	Mesh before and after remeshing	73
Figure 3-26.	Mesh after stretching without remeshing.....	73
Figure 3-27.	Different meshes throughout this process	74
Figure 3-28.	Displacement vs Load plot 12 remeshing and no remeshing.....	74
Figure 3-29.	Meshes after each run with eqps values showing	75
Figure 3-30.	Initial Configuration.....	76
Figure 3-31.	Midpoint of Block Rotation	77
Figure 3-32.	Deformed Element after 90° rotation about the z-axis	77
Figure 3-33.	Normalized Stress Plot	79
Figure 3-34.	Mesh with original cohesive zone	80
Figure 3-35.	Mesh with new cohesive zone.....	81
Figure 3-36.	Results of cohesive zone test.....	83
Figure 3-37.	Cantilever beam problem definition	84
Figure 3-38.	Cantilever beam problem mesh.....	84
Figure 3-39.	Comparison between acceleration averages over time	86

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. Newton Cradle Materials	15
Table 1-2. Abbreviations Used in Results	16
Table 1-3. Bullet Material Properties	20
Table 1-4. Block Material Properties	20
Table 1-5. Table of Variables	21
Table 1-6. Material Properties	28
Table 1-7. Stainless Steel Material Properties	42
Table 2-1. Cylinder Material	47
Table 2-2. Plate with Multiple Holes Materials	50
Table 3-1. Plate with hole BC's on positive-z face	53
Table 3-2. Plate With hole materials	53
Table 3-3. Bolt Materials	60
Table 3-4. Use Case Summary	60
Table 3-5. Ring Material	69
Table 3-6. Use Case Summary	70
Table 3-7. Material of Element	78
Table 3-8. Cohesive zone simulation wall times	83
Table 3-9. Aluminum materials	85

This page intentionally left blank.

INTRODUCTION

The Sierra/SM Example Problems Manual is divided into chapters that represent related capabilities. The tests detailed in each chapter verify some aspect of that suite of capabilities and are included in the Sierra/SM automated nightly testing process. The test files for these problems may be found in the Sierra regression test repository. See also the example problems at https://compsim.sandia.gov/compsim/Docs/Sierra/5.10/GeneralRelease/Examples/sm/Example_Problems_Files/index.html.

1. CONTACT

1.1. Newton Cradle

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics - Explicit Analysis

1.1.1. Problem Description

This example demonstrates the conservation of momentum and kinetic energy (through basic Newtonian mechanics) within an explicit dynamic analysis with contact. There are currently three geometric cases available for running: the dropping of one, two, and three balls. These cases can be tested through commenting/uncommenting the Genesis files of interest referenced inside the input deck. These configurations can be seen in Figure 1-1. The 5 ball-chain system contains a default initial configuration of one raised ball.

The balls are given an initial rotational displacement through their geometrical location specified in Cubit. The at rest balls touch at an initial position as fine as the mesh generated.

1.1.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions

The pendulum wires are defined as a truss section with each of the five balls containing an inner rigid body core. Connected in a v-shaped manner, the uppermost 'ceiling' node of the wires define the reference location to each of the five inner rigid body volumes. These rigid bodies are only allowed to rotate along the z-axis and translate along the x-axis and y-axis. Controlling the rigid body displacements in this manner allows one to bypass making the spherical nodeset rigid, hence restricting movement.

A constant and uniform gravitational load is applied to the system.

1.1.3. Material Model

Assuming small deformations and simple linear elastic behavior, the outermost material for each respective sphere is defined in an elastic model. Modulus of Elasticity values were picked out of convenience, i.e., stiff enough for minimal elastic deformation and compliant enough to minimize computational expense for the explicit analysis.

Iterations performed to optimize these parameters conceded the outer sphere's Young's Modulus at approximately 10^4 times less than that of steel. The inner spheres of the model were defined as rigid bodies.

The following material properties were used during analysis:

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: kgm/s^2

Temperature: Kelvin

Table 1-1. Newton Cradle Materials

Newton Cradle		
Young's Modulus: Outer Sphere	E	200×10^5
Young's Modulus: Core	E	Rigid
Young's Modulus: Wire/String	E	100
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.3
Density	ρ	7.48×10^3

1.1.4. Finite Element Model

To eliminate rigid body contact of the outer spheres, mesh creation of this model required independent nodeset specification for inner and outer spherical volumes (see figure 1-2). The overlap of volumes was eliminated by first creating inner and outer spheres of radii 1 and 0.25 units respectively, followed by elimination/recreation of the inner sphere volumes. Both inner and outer spheres were then meshed using a standard four noded tetrahedral mesh.

The wires were given a truss element type.

1.1.5. Feature Tested

Explicit contact and rigid bodies.

1.1.6. Results and Discussion

In an idealized Newton Cradle exhibiting perfectly elastic collisions, the Total Kinetic Energy before and after a collisions would be equal. In reality, the balls are elastic, so some energy is stored in them as elastic Strain Energy of the balls themselves. Moreover, artificial bulk viscosity is used by default to damp out the high frequency responses from the system. Artificial viscosity is dissipative and so removes Total Energy from the system, hence Kinetic Energy is not conserved.

The Internal Energy is calculated as the sum of the nodal internal forces times the nodal velocities, integrated over time. In contrast, the Strain Energy is calculated as the stress times the strain rate integrated over time. The Internal Energy includes dissipated energy due to artificial

viscosity and stored energy associated with hourglass modes which are not accounted for in the Strain Energy. The difference in Internal Energy and Strain Energy normalized by the Maximum Initial Potential Energy can be seen in Figure 1-3. At sphere-to-sphere contact initiation, steep rates of change in Internal Energy and Strain Energy are present, followed by an approximately constant period when the opposing ball rebounds. This stair-step cycle continues with subsequent oscillations of the Newton Cradle. System energy conservation, including Kinetic, Internal, and Potential Energy, is presented in Figure 1-4. The increasing Internal Energy over the simulation can be attributed to bulk viscosity.

At 20 seconds of test run time under the configured geometries and loading, the Newton Cradle will swing for approximately 2 periods. The run time for energy dissipation of the system can be carried out as desired through input deck specification.

Table 1-2. Abbreviations Used in Results

Energy Variables	
Kinetic Energy	KE
Internal Energy	IE
Potential Energy	PE
Strain Energy	SE
Total Initial Energy	TIE

For input deck see Appendix A.1.




Figure 1-1. Initial Configurations




Figure 1-2. Rigid Body Highlight




Figure 1-3. Normalized Dissipation Energy




Figure 1-4. Energy History

1.2. Bullet Collision

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics - Explicit Analysis

1.2.1. Problem Description




Figure 1-5. Initial Setup

The primary purpose of this example problem is to demonstrate both the analytic functions and the user defined output. In the problem, a bullet is given initial angular and translational velocities. The bullet then collides with a block, and the non-dimensional torque is output. The initial configuration can be seen in Figure 1-5.

1.2.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions

The bullet is constrained to translation along the X axis, and rotation about the X axis. The surfaces of the block in the XY and XZ planes are given a fixed displacement in the X, Y, and Z directions. The block is still allowed to deform when it is hit by the bullet. Contact is enforced by setting general contact ON and creating a constant friction model.

1.2.3. Material Model

The bullet is modeled as an elastic plastic body and is given material properties similar to steel. The block is given arbitrary material properties and is also modeled as an elastic plastic body. The

material models can be seen in Table 1-3 and Table 1-4.

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: kgm/s^2

Temperature: Kelvin

Material Properties		
Young's Modulus	E	350×10^9
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.3333
Density	ρ	10^4
Yield Stress	σ_{yield}	4.5×10^8

Table 1-3. Bullet Material Properties

Material Properties		
Young's Modulus	E	170×10^6
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.15
Density	ρ	2×10^3
Yield Stress	σ_{yield}	2.0×10^6

Table 1-4. Block Material Properties

1.2.4. Finite Element Model

This problem contains just under 7000 elements, 6200 of which are associated with the block. There are just over 800 elements in the bullet. Figure 1-5 shows the mesh generated for the problem.

1.2.5. Feature Tested

The user defined output and analytic functions are the main features tested in this problem. Secondary features include explicit contact and the use of aprepro variables.

1.2.6. Results and Discussion

After initial rotational and translational velocities are applied, the bullet collides with the block. This can be seen in Figure 1-6. Due to its rotation, a reaction torque is applied to the bullet by the block. The non-dimensional form of the torque is found using analytic functions and a user defined output. The equations used to find the non-dimensional torque are based on the Hertz

Variables	
Contact Reaction Force	P
Poisson's Ratio	ν
Contact Radius	R_c
Bullet Radius	R_b
Non-dimensional Torque	T
Young's Modulus of Elasticity	E
Friction Coefficient	μ
Reaction Force on far end of Bullet	Ty_top

Table 1-5. Table of Variables

solution. While the torque is calculated for the entirety of the problem, it is found using contact and is thus zero for parts of the problem. Figure 1-7 shows the graph of the non-dimensional torque. The initial equations for the calculation contained two issues. First, the sign of the contact reaction force normal to the contact surface, defined as a variable P , may or may not be negative depending on where the global origin is set. If P is negative, the equation for the contact radius, R_c , will contain a negative cubed root, as shown below. Table 1-5 contains a list of the variables used in the following calculations. Compute global P as the sum of nodal contact forces in the x direction,

$$P = \sum \text{Force_Contact}(x). \quad (1.1)$$

Compute global R_c from the expression,

$$R_c = \frac{3}{4}^{(1/3)} \times \left((-1 + \nu^2) \times P \times \frac{R_b}{E} \right)^{(1/3)}. \quad (1.2)$$

The user defined output cannot calculate the cubed root of a negative number. To account for this case, the absolute value is taken inside the cubed root. The updated equation for the contact radius is,

$$R_c = \frac{3}{4}^{(1/3)} \times \left(\frac{((-1 + \nu^2) \times P \times \frac{R_b}{E})}{\text{abs}((-1 + \nu^2) \times P \times \frac{R_b}{E})} \right) \times \left(\text{abs}((-1 + \nu^2) \times P \times \frac{R_b}{E}) \right)^{(1/3)}. \quad (1.3)$$

The second issue is that the torque and contact radius calculations are based on contact. When the bullet and the concrete slab are not in contact, P is zero. This causes both the contact radius calculation above and the non-dimensional torque calculation below to have division by zero.

Compute global T from the expression,

$$T = \text{abs} \left(\frac{Ty_top}{(\mu \times P \times R_c)} \right). \quad (1.4)$$

While the simulation will still run, the non-dimensional torque and contact radius cannot be graphed. To visualize the results, a small constant perturbation is introduced in both equations. The perturbation only affects the results during contact, and it is shown below.

Compute global R_c from the expression,

$$R_c = \frac{3^{(1/3)}}{4} \times \left(\frac{((-1 + v^2) \times P \times \frac{R_b}{E} + 0.0001)}{\text{abs}((-1 + v^2) \times P \times \frac{R_b}{E} + 0.0001)} \right) \times \left(\text{abs}((-1 + v^2) \times P \times \frac{R_b}{E}) \right)^{(1/3)}. \quad (1.5)$$

Compute global T from the expression,

$$T = \text{abs} \left(\frac{Ty_top}{(\mu \times P \times R_c + 0.0001)} \right). \quad (1.6)$$




Figure 1-6. Bullet in contact with block

For input deck see Appendix [A.2](#).




Figure 1-7. Non-dimensional Torque. See equation 1.6.




Figure 1-8. Reaction Force. See equation 1.1.

1.3. Analytic Planes

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics

1.3.1. Problem Description




Figure 1-9. Analytic Surfaces problem set-up.

The purpose of the following numerical example is to display how to setup a problem using analytic surfaces. Consider a cylinder hovering above an analytic surface and to the right of an angled analytic surface.

1.3.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions

A prescribed displacement is applied to the angled analytic surface in the upper left, and a fixed displacement is applied to the analytic surface below the cylinder. To see how the analytic surfaces are created, how the boundary conditions are applied, and how contact is setup, see Appendix [A.3](#).

1.3.3. Feature Tested

Analytic surfaces with DASH contact.

1.3.4. Results and Discussion

The angled analytic surface is the first to contact the cylinder (Figure 1-11). As the cylinder displaces, the cylinder contacts the lower analytic plane and ricochets to the right (Figure 1-11).




Figure 1-10. Contact with Angled Plane.

For input deck see Appendix A.3.




Figure 1-11. Contact with Lower Plane.

1.4. Curved Surface Friction Behavior

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics - Explicit Analysis

1.4.1. Problem Description

The purpose of this problem was to examine the frictional contact behavior of explicit analysis in Sierra, specifically that of curved contact surfaces. The scenario of this problem was this: a cylindrical mass is placed atop a slope of a given angle and allowed to roll down it. As it rolls, slip may occur at the contact surface if the frictional force is not large enough compared to the cylinder's acceleration along the slope. Naturally, when the slope is horizontal or almost horizontal, the cylinder should "stick" to the surface and roll without slipping if it rolls at all, but when the slope is oriented near vertical, the cylinder should hardly spin at all, and will experience large slip values as it moves along the surface. With this in mind, the slip at the contact surface was measured for several slope angles and several frictional coefficients, then compared to ideal behavior to determine the accuracy of the frictional model (Figure 1-15).

1.4.2. Mesh Model Setup

The cylinder was modeled with a radius of 0.2m, and a length of 0.2m. A convergence study was performed to determine when the model mesh was fine enough that the slip data obtained from the model had begun to converge on an accurate solution without requiring undue processing time. The results are shown in Figure 1-12, and a mesh refinement level of 5 was used as a result. Note: the mesh refinement level refers to the auto size setting in Cubit's meshing commands. The slope was modeled as a rectangular block 10m long, 0.5m wide, and 0.1m thick. Mesh elements were chosen as 0.1m cubes to balance the need for a fine mesh with the need for fast run times (Figure 1-13).




Figure 1-12. Mesh Convergence




Figure 1-13. Mesh Refinement

1.4.3. **Boundary Conditions and General Problem Setup**

The slope was constrained to zero displacement in each direction, while the cylinder had no constraints on its movement, and was introduced with zero initial velocity. Because the rotational velocity of the cylinder was needed to determine its slip magnitude, it was first modeled as a rigid body to access the rigid body output variables. This resulted in poor results at friction coefficients above 0.25 (Figure 1-14a), so the cylinder was instead modeled as a non-rigid cylinder with a rigid body core that had been merged with it. This resulted in more accurate results across the spectrum of examined friction coefficients, especially at higher settings (Figure 1-14b). Note: The slope and cylinder were not part of the same block entity. The slope was not modeled as a rigid body, but due to its zero displacement boundary condition, it did not deform or experience stresses anywhere.

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds


Force: kgm/s^2

Temperature: Kelvin


Table 1-6. Material Properties

Aluminum		
Young's Modulus:	E	68.9×10^6
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.33
Density	ρ	2720
Yield Stress		276×10^6
Hardening Modulus		0.0

Contact was enforced as general contact with "skin all blocks" set to on. Gravity was enforced as



(a) Rigid Body Contact



(b) No Rigid Body Contact

Figure 1-14. Slip ratios for rigid vs non-rigid cylinder

a constant of $9.81m/s^2$ in the negative y direction. Friction was modeled as a constant coefficient of friction which varied from test to test. Slope angle was determined by rotating both volumes in cubit by a prescribed angle.

1.4.4. Feature Tested

Curved surface frictional contact behavior.

1.4.5. Ideal Behavior Model

Ideal behavior was based on a basic physics model manually calculated as shown.

Nomenclature					
Friction Coefficient	(C_f)	Translational Velocity	(V)	Normal Force	(f_N)
Slope Angle	(θ)	Translational Acceleration	(a)	Frictional Force	(f_f)
Gravitational Constant	(g)	Rotational Velocity	(ω)	Gravitational Force	(f_g)
Cylinder Rotational Inertia	(I)	Rotational Acceleration	(α)		
Mass of Cylinder	(m)	Slip Ratio	(S_R)		
radius of Cylinder	(r)				




Figure 1-15. Basic Physics Model

$$S_R = \frac{V}{\omega r} - 1 \quad (1.7)$$

$$S_R \neq 0 \text{ if } \alpha r \neq a \quad (1.8)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{f_f r}{I} = \frac{2f_f}{mr} \quad (1.9)$$

$$I = \frac{1}{2}mr^2 \quad (1.10)$$

$$f_f = C_f f_N = C_f f_g \cos(\theta) \quad (1.11)$$

$$a = (f_g \sin(\theta) - f_f)/m = f_g(\sin(\theta) - C_f \cos(\theta))/m \quad (1.12)$$

$$\therefore \alpha r = a \quad \text{becomes:} \quad 2r\left(\frac{C_f f_g \cos(\theta)}{mr}\right) = f_g \frac{\sin(\theta) - C_f \cos(\theta)}{m} \quad (1.13)$$

$$\therefore, S_R = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \theta \leq \tan^{-1}(3C_f) \quad (1.14)$$

This establishes that there is a threshold beyond which the frictional force cannot overcome the gravitational force in the direction of motion. This threshold is defined as: $\theta = \tan^{-1}(3C_f)$.

After the threshold has been reached, slip occurs. To measure slip relative to velocity, the slip ratio (S_R) is defined as $S_R = V/(\omega * r) - 1$, and is positive when the cylinder's tangential velocity is less than its translational velocity ($\omega * r < V$). The ideal slip ratio behavior was calculated as:

$$V = \int a dt = tg(\sin(\theta) - C_f \cos(\theta)) \quad (1.15)$$

$$\omega = \int \alpha dt = 2 \frac{tg}{r} C_f \cos(\theta) \quad (1.16)$$

$$S_R = \frac{tgr(\sin(\theta) - C_f \cos(\theta))}{2tgrC_f \cos(\theta)} - 1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\tan(\theta)}{C_f} - 3 \right) \quad (1.17)$$


The slip ratio does not vary with time as the cylinder moves down the slope, establishing an ideal case to rate results from the analysis.

1.4.6. Results and Discussion


The following graphs show the slip ratios of various frictional coefficients near their respective threshold angles, shown side by side with their ideal behavior. Figures(1-17a - 1-17h) show the observed and ideal behavior of each friction coefficient, consolidated into Figure 1-16a and Figure 1-16b. Note: Each data point represents a separate simulation.

1.4.7. Conclusion

Frictional contact with curved surfaces appears to behave as expected in explicit analysis, with results that converge to the ideal solution as mesh refinement increases. Defining an interior volume as a rigid body successfully produces accurate results without restricting access to rigid body output variables such as angular velocity. For input deck see Appendix A.4




(a) Predicted Slip




(b) Observed Slip

Figure 1-16. Slip ratios




(a) Coefficient = 0.05




(b) Coefficient = 0.10

Figure 1-17. Various frictional coefficients' response and respective threshold angles




(c) Coefficient = 0.15




(d) Coefficient = 0.20

Figure 1-17. Various frictional coefficients' response and respective threshold angles (cont'd)




(e) Coefficient = 0.25




(f) Coefficient = 0.30

Figure 1-17. Various frictional coefficients' response and respective threshold angles (cont'd)



(g) Coefficient = 0.35



(h) Coefficient = 0.40

Figure 1-17. Various frictional coefficients' response and respective threshold angles (cont'd)

1.5. Plate Indentation

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics - Implicit Analysis

1.5.1. Problem Description




Figure 1-18. Thick plate indentation problem.

This test was originally created to replicate a problem from the ABAQUS Example Problem Manual. In the problem, a punch is given a displacement which creates a deep indentation into a thick, malleable plate. However, the size of the displacement could not be replicated so the problem was modified to incorporate only a fraction of the original displacement. The other adjustments to the ABAQUS problem are that instead of the punch displacing, it is now fixed, with the plate pushing up on the punch, and that the problem now tests the full geometry instead of a quarter of the total geometry. The initial configuration can be seen in Figure 1-18.

1.5.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions

This example problem contains very few and simple geometries. The bottom surface of the plate is given a prescribed displacement which pushes the plate up into the punch. In addition, the top surface of the punch is fixed in the y direction to ensure the contact between the plate and the punch causes deformation, not displacement. Lastly, a side A-side B relation is used to define contact between the punch and the plate, respectively.

1.5.3. Material Model

The plate uses an elastic material model and is given the properties of a crushable foam. The Young's Modulus for the punch is set very high to mimic a rigid body. Properties for the crushable foam were obtained from the ABAQUS manual.

1.5.4. Finite Element Model

The total model contains just under 170000 hex elements, with the plate containing 150000 and the punch containing almost 20000. Figure 3-38 shows the graded mesh used for the plate.




Figure 1-19. Graded Mesh

1.5.5. Feature Tested

The primary featured tested in this problem is implicit contact

1.5.6. Results and Discussion

Figure 1-20 shows the system at it's final step, displaying the maximum indentation the plate undergoes. Figure 1-21 displays 1/4 of the plate, which better shows the contact behavior. When behaving correctly, the plate will not show any wave patterns, and will be as smooth as the mesh allows it. A finer mesh will lend a smoother surface, eventually reaching a perfect curve as the as the mesh intervals go to zero. Figures 1-22 and 1-23 show the strain developed by the compression of the plate.

For input deck see Appendix A.5




Figure 1-20. Final displacement.




Figure 1-21. Final displacement.




Figure 1-22. Final strain.




Figure 1-23. Final strain.

1.6. Ball Bearing Pull

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics - Implicit Analysis

1.6.1. Problem Description

The purpose of the following example is to demonstrate three different ways of solving a complicated preload problem: via implicit statics and using the FETI linear solver as the preconditioner, via implicit statics and using the nodal preconditioner, and via explicit quasistatic mode. The results of all methods are compared and the input file options are discussed in the Results and Discussion Section.

The geometry consists of a simple housing with eight ball bearings inside and a shell “cage” is used to lock the balls into place. The inner race of the housing is pulled axially upward while the outer race is held fixed. The initial configuration of the problem can be seen in Figure 1-24.




Figure 1-24. Initial Problem Geometry

1.6.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions

The outer wall of the housing is fixed in the X direction, in the radial X direction (via the prescribed BC of zero), and in the cylindrical X direction (via the prescribed BC of zero). A

prescribed displacement is applied to the inner wall of the inner race in the X direction via a linear ramp function. This same surface is also fixed in the radial X direction and in the cylindrical X direction (via the prescribed BC of zero).

1.6.3. **Material Model**

An elastic material model is used for all blocks. The housing and the ball bearings are modeled using Stainless Steel 440C material properties. The shell cage is modeled using Stainless Steel 305 material properties.

Details of the material properties can be seen in the below table:

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: kgm/s^2

Temperature: Kelvin

Table 1-7. Stainless Steel Material Properties

Stainless Steel		
Young's Modulus: Stainless Steel 440C	E	3.04×10^7
Young's Modulus: Stainless Steel 305	E	2.80×10^7
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.283
Density: Stainless Steel 440C	ρ	7.30×10^3
Density: Stainless Steel 305	ρ	7.49×10^3

1.6.4. **Finite Element Model**

Standard uniform gradient hexahedral elements were used for the ball bearings and the housing. The default quadrilateral shell element was used for the cage (Belytschko-Tsay).

1.6.5. **Feature Tested**

The following features are used for all problems: Frictional contact, prescribed boundary conditions, shell/solid contact, shell lofting, user output, quasistatic mode,

The following features are used for the explicit version of the problem: Quasistatic mode

The following features are used for the implicit version of the problem that uses the nodal preconditioner: Nodal probe preconditioner, energy reference, Lagrange adaptive penalty = uniform, adaptive time stepping, corner algorithm = 14.

The following features are used for the implicit version of the problem that uses the FETI preconditioner: Full tangent preconditioner (using FETI as the linear solver), tangent diagonal scale, minimum updates for loadstep, minimum convergence rate, energy reference, Lagrange adaptive penalty = uniform, adaptive time stepping, corner algorithm = 14.

1.6.6. Results and Discussion

Figures Figure 1-26, 1-27, and 1-28, show the Force-Displacement curves for each of the approaches used. Figure 1-29 shows all of the force-displacement curves overlaid on the same plot.

As can be seen in the plots, the two implicit solutions lie on top of each other, while the explicit-quasistatic solution exhibits high frequency oscillations. This is due to the explicit solver having no convergence tolerance within the step, leading to drift in the solution that is correct later in the simulation. The smoother response of the implicit analyses results from the analysis converging to the residual tolerance (in this case, the energy balance is less than or equal to $1.0\text{e-}8$ or the relative change in the energy balance is less than $1.0\text{e-}5$). This means that the system is brought into equilibrium after each time step. This example illustrates using explicit quasistatic mode can always get a preload solution, but that solution may be very different than the actual converged statics solution. Therefore, it is almost always worth the effort to put in the extra time to get a preload problem to solve implicitly.

1.6.6.0.1. Solver settings discussion

1. Using the energy reference instead of the external reference. When solving implicit contact problems, using the option `REFERENCE = ENERGY` usually converges much better than using the external force reference.
2. Using adaptive time stepping with a large number of failure cutbacks and target iterations.
3. When using FETI and the Full Tangent Preconditioner, using corner algorithm = 14 in FETI. This puts the contact nodes in the coarse grid, keeping FETI from finding rigid body modes on the contact nodes.
4. Adding a small amount of tangent diagonal scale (to help eliminate rigid body modes).
5. Setting maximum updates for loadstep to 0 for performance.
6. Setting minimum convergence rate to 0.1.

For input deck see Appendix A.6, A.7, and A.8




Figure 1-25. Geometry after loading




Figure 1-26. Force-Displacement curve for Explicit Quasi-Static analysis




Figure 1-27. Force-Displacement Curve for Implicit Statics analysis




Figure 1-28. Force-Displacement Curve for Implicit Dynamic analysis




Figure 1-29. Comparison of Explicit and Implicit Solutions

2. XFEM



Warning: Support for XFEM in Sierra/SM is currently at an experimental level. As such, not all features may be fully implemented or tested and the analyst should use this capability with caution.

2.1. Angled Crack Cylinder

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics - Explicit Analysis

2.1.1. Problem Description




Figure 2-1. Angled crack cylinder problem set-up.

The purpose of the following numerical example is to display the X-FEM cutting by prescribed object and planar crack growth capabilities for 2-D shell elements. Consider a hollow cylinder under uniform tensile loading at the rim of the cylinder and an angled prescribed crack (Figure 2-1). As the force increases as the top and bottom of the cylinder are pulled apart, a stress concentration forms at the crack tip. Once the stress concentration reaches the crack growth stress, the crack grows across that particular element. This problem is run using explicit dynamics.

2.1.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions

A prescribed displacement is applied to the top and bottom of the rim of the cylinder at a linear rate. The prescribed object used for cutting is a disk whose midpoint is placed at the end of the cylinder, halfway between the center of the cylinder and the bottom rim. The crack growth parameter that was used was chosen for this problem is maximum principal stress.

2.1.3. Material Model

An elastic-plastic material model is used, and the material properties can be found in Table 2-1 below.

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: kgm/s^2

Temperature: Kelvin

Table 2-1. Cylinder Material

Cylinder		
Young's Modulus:	E	1.0×10^9
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.25
Density	ρ	2.61×10^{-4}
Yield Stress	σ_{yield}	36,000
Hardening Modulus	H	0.0
Beta	β	1.0

2.1.4. Finite Element Model

The elements that were used for this simulation were Belytschko-Tsay shell elements.

2.1.5. Feature Tested

X-FEM cut by prescribed object, and planar crack growth.

2.1.6. Results and Discussion

As can be seen in Figure 2-2 below, the prescribed crack grows when the user-specified maximum principal stress is reached. The crack propagates up the geometry in a planar fashion, and a sliver

of the cylinder is cut off. As the displacement is applied, the geometry separates into two separate pieces. In Figure 2-3, the triangles over the geometry are not elements but visualization surfaces; the element used is still the Belytschko-Tsay four node shell.




Figure 2-2. Planar crack growth.




Figure 2-3. Piece of cylinder is cut off and separates.

For input deck see Appendix A.9.

2.2. Plate with Multiple Holes

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics - Explicit Analysis

2.2.1. Problem Description




Figure 2-4. Plate with multiple holes problem set-up.

The purpose of the following numerical examples is to display the X-FEM nucleation and branching capabilities for 2-D shell elements. Consider a plate with a multiple holes under uniform tensile loading (Figure 2-4). As the force increases as the top and bottom are pulled apart, a stress concentration forms at the sides of both large holes. Once the stress concentration reaches the fracture stress, a crack nucleates at these locations. The cracks grow in multiple different modes, and the cracks branch when their user specified branching criteria is reached.

2.2.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions

A force is applied to the top and bottom of the plate at a linear rate. The nucleation method that was chosen for this problem is element based nucleation and the nucleation and branching failure parameter is maximum principal stress.

2.2.3. Material Model

An elastic-plastic material model is used, and the material properties can be found in Table 2-2 below.

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: kgm/s^2

Temperature: Kelvin

Table 2-2. Plate with Multiple Holes Materials

Plate with Hole		
Young's Modulus:	E	210×10^3
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.3
Density	ρ	0.0078
Yield Stress		360
Hardening Modulus		50×10^3
Beta	β	0.75

2.2.4. Finite Element Model

The elements that were used for this simulation were Belytschko-Tsay shell elements.

2.2.5. Feature Tested

X-FEM crack nucleation, piecewise-linear crack growth, and crack branching in shells under dynamic conditions.

2.2.6. Results and Discussion

As can be seen in Figure 2-5 below, the stress concentration just before crack nucleation is the highest around the 2 large holes. Once crack nucleation is initiated, stress waves propagate throughout the geometry as shown in Figure 2-6. The cracks propagate in multiple different fashions, with other cracks nucleating in the geometry as well as crack branching occurring (Figure 2-7).

For input deck see Appendix A.10.




Figure 2-5. Multi holes before nucleation.




Figure 2-6. Stress waves after nucleation.




Figure 2-7. Plate with Multiple Holes Snapshots

3. GENERAL/OTHER

3.1. Stress Strain Plate

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics - Implicit Analysis

3.1.1. Problem Description




Figure 3-1. Plate with hole problem definition.

The purpose of this problem is to exemplify how to apply boundary conditions that approximate plane strain and plane stress conditions in a three-dimensional model. A plate with a hole under uniform tensile loading is considered (Figure 3-1) with length $L = 10.0$, width $W = 4.0$, variable thickness $2t$, and hole radius $R = 1.0$. The plane strain condition means the out-of-plane strain components are negligible, and the plane stress condition means the out-of-plane stress components are negligible. The former is representative of stresses at mid-thickness of a ‘thick’ plate and the latter is representative of stresses through the thickness of a ‘thin’ plate. This problem is run using implicit quasi-statics.

3.1.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions

For computational simplicity, only one-eighth of the plate is modeled (Figure 3-2). A tensile traction of magnitude $T = 1.0 \times 10^4$ is applied on the positive-x face of the plate, and symmetry boundary conditions are applied on the negative-x, negative-y, and negative-z faces of the plate. For the positive-z face of the plate, the boundaries conditions shown in Table 3-1, below, are considered. The intended out-of-plane behavior, plane stress or plane strain, is noted for each positive-z boundary condition.




Figure 3-2. Plate with hole model.

Table 3-1. Plate with hole BC's on positive-z face

BC TYPE	DIRECTION	MAGNITUDE	OUT-OF-PLANE BEHAVIOR
Displacement	z	0.0	Plane Strain
Pressure	normal	0.0	Plane Stress
Traction	z	0.0	Plane Stress
Force	z	0.0	Plane Stress
Free DOF	all	—	Plane Stress

3.1.3. Material Model

The elastic material model given in Table 3-2, below, is used.

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: kgm/s^2

Temperature: Kelvin

Table 3-2. Plate With hole materials

Material Properties		
Young's Modulus:	E	200×10^9
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.3
Density	ρ	1.0

3.1.4. Finite Element Model

The elements used for all simulations are uniform gradient hexahedron elements. Two meshes, *mesh1* and *mesh2*, are considered (Figure 3-3). *mesh1* has a plate half-thickness $t = 0.05$; *mesh2* has $t = 0.025$ and approximately half the element size of *mesh1*. Both meshes have one element




Figure 3-3. Plate with hole meshes.

through the thickness direction, so the element size differs between the two meshes to maintain the same element aspect ratio. The plate thickness is decreased from *mesh1* to *mesh2* to evaluate the affect of thickness on the out-of-plane stresses.

3.1.5. Feature Tested

Plane stress/strain boundary conditions.

3.1.6. Results and Discussion

As can be seen in Figure 3-4, the plane strain condition is represented in both meshes by prescribing zero displacements in the out-of-plane direction (the z-direction). As can be seen in Figure 3-5, the accuracy of the plane stress increases as the plate thickness decreases, as expected. Each of the plane stress boundary conditions in Table 3-1 show a similar level of accuracy. For these plane stress approximations, the maximum absolute value of the out-of-plane stress is three orders of magnitude less than the applied traction, and negligible a sufficient distance from the hole.




Figure 3-4. Plate with hole results for zero z-displacement prescribed on positive-z face.




Figure 3-5. Plate with hole results for zero pressure prescribed on positive-z face.

For input deck see Appendix [A.11](#).

3.2. Bolt Preload

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics - Implicit Analysis

3.2.1. Problem Description

This example demonstrates the process of preloading a bolt in four manners: thermal strain, artificial strain, prescribed displacement, and a spring. In reality a bolt and nut could be used to clamp components of a joint by the application of a preload. This could be applied through the shaft by a bolt head and nut, thereby bounding respective surfaces together. For simplicity, this example implements a single loading block, a bolt head, and a theoretical nut of matching dimensions. The loading block for these test cases can be seen in Figure 3-6 and the assembly diagrams can be seen in Figure 3-7.




Figure 3-6. Loading Block for the Four Preloading Cases




Figure 3-7. Bolt Assembly Diagram for the Four Preloading Cases

In the first case, a preload is simulated through a thermal strain on a bolt. The entire bolt is cooled to -10 Kelvin at which point an orthotropic thermal engineering strain of 0.05 is applied to the bolted joint along the longitudinal axial direction of the bolt. Both ends of the bolt flanges lay flush with the joint. The isometric view of this preloading can be seen in Figure 3-8.

In the second case, a preload analysis is simulated by defining an artificial strain to a bolt. The bolt is prescribed an anisotropic strain aligned with the global X, Y and Z axes. Both ends of the bolt flanges lay flush with the joint. The isometric view of this preloading case is identical to that of the thermal case and can be seen in Figure 3-8.




Figure 3-8. Preload test case: Thermal and Artificial Strain

In the third case, a prescribed displacement is applied to a bolt to simulate preload. The model is set-up in a 'stress free' condition with both ends of the bolt overlapping the joint by approximately half an element's length. With contact turned off on the bottom and top surfaces, the bolt is pulled into place using a prescribed displacement. After the bolt flanges are displaced enough to lay flush with the joint, contact on the top and bottom surfaces is turned on and the artificial force is released. The isometric view of this preloading case can be seen in Figure 3-9.




Figure 3-9. Preload test case: Prescribed

In the fourth case, a preload analysis is simulated by defining a spring section on a bolt. In this analysis the middle section of a bolt is replaced with a preloaded two node spring of equivalent cross sectional area to the thermal and prescribed displacement cases. The spring section can be adjusted to provided the desired preload in the bolt material. Both ends of the bolt flanges lay flush with the joint. The isometric view of this preloading case can be seen in Figure 3-10.




Figure 3-10. Preload test case: Spring

3.2.2. *Loading and Boundary Conditions*

In the prescribed displacement, thermal strain, and artificial strain cases a fixed displacement is set on the cross sectional front face of the bolt in addition to the front face of the joint block. This allows for a symmetric model. The upper and lower outer portion of bolt flanges are fixed in all directions. The side A-side B contact syntax is defined between the upper and lower inner flanges with the block.

The FETI equation solver uses a damping coefficient of 0.0001 in the four load cases.

3.2.3. *Material Model*

In the thermal preload case, an orthotropic thermal strain field is applied in the material specification command block; the thermal strain is a general material property and not part of a constitutive model such as Elasticity. It is required that the orthotropic thermal strain in the X, Y, and Z be placed inside of material the command block. This example demonstrates thermal strain along the y-axis, setting the change in strain with decreasing temperature to zero along the x and z axis.

An anisotropic strain is applied in the artificial strain bolt preload condition. If desired, an isotropic thermal or artificial strain can be applied in the material specification command block. This would demonstrate equal physical properties along all axes.

The following material properties were used during analysis:

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: kgm/s^2

Temperature: Kelvin

Table 3-3. Bolt Materials

Bolt Preload		
Young's Modulus: Block and Bolt	E	200×10^9
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.29
Density	ρ	7.89×10^3

3.2.4. Finite Element Model

For all four cases, the bolt and outer block implement a hex mesh, while the spring was created using a bar. Various webcuts are defined to obtain precise nodeset and block specifications across the different load cases.

3.2.5. Results and Discussion

Results obtained from the thermal, spring, prescribed displacement, and artificial strain bolt preload cases were calculated using input to allow similar analytical solutions. The σ_{yy} , σ_{xx} , and σ_{xy} stresses for the four loading conditions can be seen in Figures 3-11, 3-12, and 3-13. The σ_{yy} stress is aligned with the bolt axis.

In addition, mesh generation in the four cases were created to mimic geometries, yet also satisfy the appropriate loading conditions. For example, the central cross section of the thermal bolt was replaced with a two-noded bar and a representative rigid body cross sectional area in the spring case.

Table 3-4. Use Case Summary

Preloads	
Thermal	Well used for problems that are insensitive to temperature. Straightforward set up.
Artificial	Well used for problems that are sensitive to temperature. Straightforward set up.
Prescribed	A higher degree of setup difficulty, but most realistic if mesh overlap is present.
Spring	If a problem force is well known, it can be put directly into spring. Moderate set up.

For input deck see Appendix A.12.




Figure 3-11. Bolt Preload: σ_{yy}




Figure 3-12. Bolt Preload: σ_{xx}

For input deck see Appendix [A.12](#).




Figure 3-13. Bolt Preload: σ_{xy}

3.3. Automated Adaptive Preloading

3.3.1. Problem Description

This example demonstrates how an automated preload may be applied in an analysis to meet some specific target conditions. There are two analyses presented here. In the first analysis, preload is applied via artificial strain to achieve target clamping forces in a set of bolts. Two nominally equivalent methods are demonstrated for this problem: the automatic method, and the subroutine method. In the second analysis, the target force required to deform a nonlinear part a specified amount is determined.

3.3.2. Bolt Preload Problem

The mesh for the bolt preload analysis is shown in Figure 3-14. Each of the three bolts (blue, violet, and red) are embedded in a fixture block (gray). An artificial strain will be applied to shrink a portion of each bolt (green). The purpose of the preload is to find the correct artificial strain such that each bolt has the correct target clamping force as would be produced by tightening the bolt with a calibrated torque wrench.




Figure 3-14. Bolt Preload Mesh

The material model for the bolt preload case is a simple elastic model. The bolts interact with the fixture block via contact. The bottom surface of the blue and red bolts are tied into the fixture block. All other contacts are frictional Coulomb contact.

The bolt model is loaded slowly in explicit transient dynamics in way to enable a mostly quasistatic response. A viscous damping block with a small velocity damping coefficient is used to damp out high frequency response and accelerate convergence to the quasistatic solution.

There are two nominally equivalent methods of finding the necessary artificial strain. The first method (automatic preloading method) is recommended for basic bolt preloading, while the

subroutine method may be used in specialized cases that may not be possible with the automatic method.

Automatic bolt preloading method The automatic preloading method is designed to offer users a simple and direct syntax for preloading bolts. Preloading is accomplished primarily with a single command line:

```
preload bolt block_201 to target internal force = 1e7 in direction global_z
```

Here, `block_201` is the shank to be preloaded to a target internal force of `1e7`, the the global-z direction. This one line command does everything necessary to achieve the target preload. In fact, the automatic preloading method uses many of the same capabilities as the subroutine preloading method, but with much cleaner and more direct syntax for the user. The automatic bolt preloading method uses the same solver as the subroutine method so a good initial guess for the required artificial strain is necessary. This value is calculated automatically from the material properties and geometry by default, but may also be specified explicitly as a line command `initial guess = -2.0e-4`. An iteration time may also be specified to change the duration over which a preload increment is applied.

The preloading line command may be repeated any number of times to achieve preloading on multiple bolts, in multiple directions. If the direction is not specified, it is automatically determined as the minimum principal eigenvalue of the inertia tensor. Generally this value will correspond to a bolt axis, but shorter bolts may require the preloading direction be specified manually.

Preload is applied over the entire simulation, unless the `ACTIVE PERIODS` or `INACTIVE PERIODS` command lines are present to limit the preload to [a] specific time period[s].

Finally, this example demonstrates the `compute internal reactions outputs = on` command line which automatically computes the net internal (axial) force in the bolt. A global variable named `sm_preload_axial_force_BLOCKNAME` is created (where `BLOCKNAME` is the block name being preloaded). This output variable can be used to monitor the preloading process.

The user is encouraged to read the user manual section on automatic bolt preloading for additional details on this capability.

Subroutine preloading method In the subroutine preloading method, the requisite artificial strain is solved for by combining a number of specialized capabilities with a library user subroutine. Ultimately the actual artificial strain is applied by two 'begin artificial strain' blocks. Blocks 201 (blue bolt) and 401 (red bolt) are artificially shrunk in the z direction while block 301 (violet bolt) is shrunk in the y direction. The actual shrinkage is controlled by the function 'bolt_preload' which is a simple function that just sets the artificial strain to the element value of the variable 'applied_strain'.

The per element applied strain is defined by a set of user output blocks each running a 'aupst_preload_solver' subroutine. The 'aupst_preload_solver' subroutine is described in more detail in the Sierra/SM User's Guide chapter on the user subroutine library. Effectively this

subroutine will adaptively update the element variable 'applied_strain' until the target criteria is matched.

In this case the target criteria is the global value of the internal force in each bolt (found by the magnitude of the internal reaction within the bolt.) The subroutine takes several parameters. The 'target_value' parameter is the target axial preload force in the bolt. The 'initial_guess' parameter is the initial guess of the strain required to reach the target force. The closer the initial guess is to the final correct value the faster the solution will be reached. Generally the initial guess should be on the low side to avoid accidentally overshooting the correct solution and causing yield. The 'iteration_time' parameter controls how long each 'load step' of the preload solver predictor corrector algorithm will be. The iteration time must be large enough that system is able to obtain at least approximate equilibrium within each iteration step. The 'target_variable' parameters defines the variable that drives solution. The preload is complete when the value of the 'target_variable' reaches the 'target_value'. The 'working_variable' parameter defines where the output of the subroutine will be stored. For this example the subroutine is setting the 'applied_strain' variable on each element to be read by the artificial strain block. Finally the user subroutine requires some persistent state data to function 'bolt_preload_state' which is defined as a 'begin user variable' command block.

3.3.2.1. Results and Discussion

The resultant forces in the bolts as a function of time are shown in Figure 3-15. It can be seen that the bolt forces asymptote up to the correct target values. Additionally the load, evaluate, update cycles of produced by the `aupst_preload_solver` subroutine are apparent.




Figure 3-15. Bolt Preload Results

3.3.3. Wishbone Problem

The mesh for the wishbone preload analysis is shown in Figure 3-16. The purpose of the preload is to find the correct pin forces such that the body is stretched to the correct pin-to-pin length so that it can be placed on another component.




Figure 3-16. Wishbone Preload Mesh

The material model for the bolt preload case is an elastic plastic. It is expected that the preload will yield the material making this problem very non-linear.

For this case the model is solved with implicit statics. A handful of additional symmetry constraints are applied to ensure the model remains statically determinate.

As in the bolt preload case the artificial strain is solved for by combining a number of specialized capabilities with a library user subroutine. Ultimately the actual pin force is applied by two 'begin distributed force' blocks which apply equal and opposite $-X$ forces to the left pin hole and $+X$ forces to the right pin hole. The distributed force blocks access the function 'solved_force' which just sets the net force on each pin equal to a global variable that will be defined by an 'aupst_preload_solver' subroutine.

In this case the target variable for the preload solver is the net displacement between the left and right pin holes and the 'initial_guess' is a guess of the required force to achieve the displacement. As in the bolt case this initial guess needs to be in the right ball park, but should generally be a low-ball estimate to avoid overshooting the actual solution and causing excessive yield. As in the bolt preload case the user subroutine requires an externally defined state variable field. The only difference in the wishbone case is the user subroutine is operating on global quantities (in the bolt case the user subroutine was operating on element quantities.)

3.3.3.1. Results and Discussion

The forces applied to the pin holes as a function of time are shown in Figure 3-17 and the resultant displacement in Figure 3-18. It can be seen that the pin-to-pin displacement asymptotes to the correct value. Finally the force displacement curve (applied_force vs. curDisp) for the wishbone is plotted in Figure 3-19. The force displacement curve shows the non-linear response of the wishbone and that the preload is effectively terminated at the correct displacement value.

For input deck see Appendix A.13




Figure 3-17. Wishbone Force Results




Figure 3-18. Wishbone Displacements Results




Figure 3-19. Wishbone Force Displacement Curve

3.4. Overlap Removal Methods

3.4.1. Problem Description

This example demonstrates the process of removing overlap from two rings using two different methods: overlap removal and artificial strain coupled with general contact.

In the first case, the two rings have a small overlap due to the inner ring being slightly larger than the inner radius of the outer ring. This overlap removal block will be placed directly into the contact block. In Figure 3-20 the left side is showing the model before the overlap is removed while the right is showing the resulting model after the removal.



Figure 3-20. Small overlap and results after overlap removal

In the second case, a large overlap will be removed from the rings using an artificial strain in the radial direction for the first time period as shown in figure 3-21. Then contact is activated and the artificial strain is removed as you can see on the right side of the plot in figure 3-21. In figure 3-22 the left side is showing the model before anything is done and the right is showing how the model will look after the removal method.




Figure 3-21. Rings under strain with strain vs time plot



Figure 3-22. Large overlap and results after overlap removal method

3.4.2. **Boundary Conditions**

No boundary conditions were applied to these models.

3.4.3. **Material Model**

In both of these cases an elastic orthotropic material model with isotropic properties was used. The elastic orthotropic model allows specification of a cylindrical coordinate system, so that strain can be applied in the radial direction.

The following material properties were used during analysis:

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: kgm/s^2

Temperature: Kelvin

Table 3-5. Ring Material

Overlapping Rings		
Young's Modulus: Block and Bolt	E	64×10^9
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.20
Density	ρ	0.5

3.4.4. **Finite Element Model**

For the small overlap case the inside ring was made to have an outside radius of 0.205 while the outer ring has an inner radius of 0.20. For the larger overlap the case the inside ring has an outer

radius of 0.2125 while the outer ring has the same inner radius. Both of these cases were created using a simple hex mesh.

3.4.5. Results and Discussion

Results obtained from the overlap removal case showed that no stress or strain was applied to the system in order to remove the overlap; however, this method could handle a larger overlap than 50 percent of the smallest element size. In the case where strain was applied larger amounts of overlap could be removed, however a resulting stress and strain value is added to the system causing the rings to change from their original configurations. In Figure 3-23 the max principle stress of the resulting model is zero, while in Figure 3-24 both of the rings are experiencing large values of stress.

Table 3-6. Use Case Summary

Methods	
Overlap Removal	Simple to use for small amounts of overlap. Straightforward set up.
Artificial Strain and General contact	Works for large overlap but leaves a stress and deformation of original setup. Moderate set up.



Figure 3-23. Stresses experienced after overlap removal

For input deck see Appendix [A.14](#)




Figure 3-24. Stresses experienced after strain and contact is applied

3.5. Remeshing

3.5.1. Problem Description

This example demonstrates the process of remeshing a part as the elements are experiencing abundant stretching. A bar with a very slight initial taper is pulled in tension to induce localized stretching, i.e., necking.

3.5.2. Boundary Conditions

For simplicity, the model is simulated with only one eighth of the bar. A fixed displacement is applied to the bottom and the interior faces to represent symmetry, and a prescribed velocity is applied to the top surface of the bar.

3.5.3. Material Model

The BCJ_MEM material model is used along with the metric units shown below.

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: newtons

Temperature: Kelvin

3.5.4. Finite Element Model

There is currently no automated method for remeshing a part as the elements are experiencing abundant stretching. In order to fix this problem a multistep process is used in the input deck with a termination time based off the global max eqps times the run number. Restart data is stored on every load step and read back into the old mesh after remeshing in order to transfer variables from this old mesh to the new mesh. The new mesh is created by reading the current exodus file of the old mesh into Cubit with applied deformations at the final timestep. This old mesh is then deleted and remeshed to remove stretching elements and saved as the next mesh in the sequence to prevent overwriting data. The input deck is then be altered to run with the next mesh in the series and to have a start time equal to the ending time of the previous run so that the restart output is used from the previous run. Exodus files for each mesh in the sequence are saved with unique names so that the entire simulation is stored for post-processing. This process is repeated multiple times until the part is completely stretched.




Figure 3-25. Mesh before and after remeshing

3.5.5. Results and Discussion

If remeshing is not performed for this simulation, the elements will overstretch and invert as seen in figure 3-26 below. This is fixed in figure 3-27 below, in which remeshing captures the proper necking as the strain increases. The remeshing will also help improve the way that localized softening is simulated as seen in figure 3-28. It can also be seen in figure 3-29 that the eqps is increasing after each run showing that all of the variables are being transferred from run to run. An L_2 projection transfer is performed from the current configuration (current coordinates) of the old mesh to the original configuration (model coordinates) of the new mesh to effectively update the reference frame after every remesh. This is necessary for improved accuracy when modeling large deformations such as this simple example.




Figure 3-26. Mesh after stretching without remeshing

For input deck see Appendix A.15.




Figure 3-27. Different meshes throughout this process




Figure 3-28. Displacement vs Load plot 12 remeshing and no remeshing




Figure 3-29. Meshes after each run with eqps values showing

3.6. Frame Indifference

Product: Sierra/SolidMechanics - Implicit Analysis

3.6.1. Problem Description

The Frame Indifference Test requires the constitutive model to be self-consistent under superimposed rigid rotation and translations. This feature is tested through applying a uniaxial artificial strain to a single cube-shaped element, followed by an arbitrary rotation.




Figure 3-30. Initial Configuration

3.6.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions

Initially, the block is given an artificial strain in the positive X direction. During the application of artificial strain all nodes are fixed from moving in the X, Y, and Z directions, which creates an internal element stress. Once the artificial strain reaches its maximum value, the block rotates 90° about the Z axis. Rotation is achieved with a prescribed velocity function using a cylindrical axis; the fixed displacement boundary condition remains on all nodes during rotation. This prevents the block from deforming, but not from rotating. Figure 3-31 shows the block halfway through its rotation and Figure 3-32 shows the complete 90° rotation.




Figure 3-31. Midpoint of Block Rotation




Figure 3-32. Deformed Element after 90° rotation about the z-axis

3.6.3. *Material Model*

This test contains an elastic-plastic model, and is given material properties similar to steel.

The following material properties were used during analysis:

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: kgm/s^2

Temperature: Kelvin

Table 3-7. Material of Element

Material Properties		
Young's Modulus	E	200×10^9
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.33
Density	ρ	7.871×10^3
Yield Stress	σ_{yield}	2.76×10^8

3.6.4. *Finite Element Model*

This test contains a single hex element.

3.6.5. *Feature Tested*

The primary features tested in this problem are the artificial strain and cylindrical rotation features, while verifying frame indifference.

3.6.6. *Results and Discussion*

This problem, implementing superimposed rotations applied to the spatial domain, provides consistent results to the non-rotating uniaxial strain problem. Accordingly, in-plane principle stress components are accounted for during the rotation portion of the analysis. This verification test was adopted from, "Verification tests in solid mechanics: Engineering With Computers Volume:29 Number:4" (K. Kamojjala et al. 2013).

For input deck see Appendix [A.16](#).




Figure 3-33. Normalized Stress Plot

3.7. Cohesive Zones

3.7.1. Problem Description

This example demonstrates creating and using cohesive elements in Sierra/SM. Cohesive elements can either be created in the input mesh, as a contact friction model, or on-the-fly using XFEM.

3.7.2. Finite Element Model

3.7.2.1. Meshed Cohesive Zone

In order to create a mesh that has a cohesive zone with a starting volume of zero there are a few steps in creating the mesh. The first step is to create a mesh that has the two blocks that will be connected by the cohesive zone. It is necessary to leave a small gap in between the two blocks in order to insert a block in between them which will represent the cohesive zone. A volume will then need to be created between the two blocks, for example, using Cubit, via the command

```
create volume loft surface
```




Figure 3-34. Mesh with original cohesive zone

In Figure 3-34, the red and green blocks are merged with the yellow block, which represents the cohesive zone. In order to remove the volume of the cohesive zone block the coordinates of the two surfaces connected to the yellow will need to be known. In this example the green block will be stretched until it is touching the red block, which will add the volume of the yellow block to the green block. After the coordinates have been found, the SEACAS tool `exotxt` may be used to convert the created mesh file to plain text. All of the coordinates on the surface of the green block are should then be changed in the plain text file to the coordinates on the red block. Once all of the coordinates have been altered, `txtexo` may be used to create a new mesh, shown in Figure 3-35, in which the yellow block now has zero volume.




Figure 3-35. Mesh with new cohesive zone

3.7.2.2. Contact Cohesive Zone

In order to create the same mesh as the previous example the same outer half-cylinder needs to be created, along with another half-cylinder that takes the place of the two inner cylinders. The volumes will then be imprinted and merged so that the mesh matches the previous mesh and the results can then be compared. After the mesh is created it is necessary to unmerge the two volumes (so that they are not sharing nodes) and save them to different blocks on the output mesh.

3.7.2.3. XFEM Cohesive Zone

The XFEM mesh follows many of the same steps that the contact cohesive mesh used, for example, creating the same two half-cylinders and meshing them. After meshing the two volumes, a sideset must be placed on the connecting surface. This sideset will be used by XFEM to cut the two cylinders apart. The blocks will then be saved to a single block on the output mesh.

3.7.3. Boundary Conditions

For simplicity in all three tests the two half-cylinders are stretched apart using prescribed and fixed displacement boundary conditions. The bottom surface of the bottom plate has a prescribed downward displacement, while the top surface of the top plate is fixed. For the contact cohesive zone problem it is additionally required to add a contact definition with a cohesive zone friction model.

```
begin cohesive zone model cohesive_zone
  critical normal gap = 0.05
  critical tangential gap = 0.05
  traction displacement function = spring_restore
  traction displacement scale factor = 2.5E+04
end cohesive zone model cohesive_zone
```

This model will not be able to use the same criteria as the other two examples because it will use the values from this friction model instead of the Tvergaard–Hutchinson model. The XFEM

problem also requires an XFEM command block as follows:

```
initial cut with [SIDESET|STL] <string>file_or_surface_name
  REMOVE {INTERIOR|EXTERIOR|NOTHING (NOTHING) }
initial surface cohesive = true
cohesive section = <string>cohesive_section_name
cohesive material = <string>cohesive_material_name
cohesive model = <string>cohesive_model_name
```

These commands will cut the one block into the two different half-cylinders and add a cohesive zone between the two blocks.

3.7.4. **Material Model**

In this example two different material models were used: elastic and tvergaard_hutchinson.

```
begin parameters for model elastic
  youngs modulus  = 30.e5
  poissons ratio  = 0.3
end parameters for model elastic
begin parameters for model tvergaard_hutchinson
  lambda_1 = 0.5
  lambda_2 = 0.5
  normal length scale = 0.1
  tangential length scale = 0.1
  peak traction = 50.0e3
  penetration stiffness multiplier = 1.0
  use elastic unloading = no
end parameters for model tvergaard_hutchinson
```

The elastic material model was used for the two half-cylinders, while the Tvergaard–Hutchinson cohesive zone model was used in both the meshed and XFEM examples. **Metric units are used:**

Displacement: meters
Mass: kilograms
Time: seconds
Force: newtons
Temperature: kelvins

3.7.5. **Results and Discussion**

When comparing the three different results at the final timestep, there is a visual difference between all three methods. The contact method is different because of the different cohesive zone definition, but the meshed and XFEM methods are also different. When XFEM cuts the mesh, it is not cutting perfectly along the mesh edge, which modifies the mesh slightly. The bottom cylinder has an extra layer of small elements, while the element in the top cylinder is cut in half. The differences in simulation run times among the three cohesive zone modeling methods are also shown in Table 3-8.




Figure 3-36. Results of cohesive zone test

Table 3-8. Cohesive zone simulation wall times

Method	Time (s)
Meshed	0.7627
Contact	2.7654
XFEM	65.1444

The explicitly meshed modeling approach is the quickest while the XFEM is the slowest. The XFEM- and contact-based algorithms incur a significant overhead in computational cost relative to the meshed cohesive zone.

Each method has advantages and disadvantages when considering creating a mesh and running simulation with cohesive zones. The meshed method can be very difficult to mesh; however, it has the lowest computational cost. The contact method allows for relative ease in mesh creation and does not incur a very large increase in simulation run time, but cohesive zone material models are not supported in this approach. XFEM is by far the simplest method to mesh, but it is very expensive in terms of simulation run time.

For input deck see [Appendix A.17](#).

3.8. Nonlocal Averaging

3.8.1. Problem Description




Figure 3-37. Cantilever beam problem definition

This problem demonstrates usage of the nonlocal averaging capability to calculate an average of nodal accelerations. A cantilever beam with length $L = 1$, width $W = 0.1$, and height $H = 0.1$ is subjected to a tip load. The nonlocal nodal acceleration is computed at the free end within a spherical region with the center point at the centroid of the free end's face and a diameter set as the diagonal of the square face, ensuring that the sphere encloses the entire free end of the beam. This is compared to the global acceleration over the entire body and the local acceleration at a single node to demonstrate differences in techniques for evaluating acceleration over time.

3.8.2. Loading and Boundary Conditions

With this beam being a cantilever, the left end has a fixed displacement in all directions. A tip load with magnitude $P = 10$ in the $-y$ direction is applied at the free (right) end of the cantilever. A constant gravitational acceleration is imposed with zero initial velocity.

3.8.3. Finite Element Model




Figure 3-38. Cantilever beam problem mesh

The mesh is uniform with edge length of 0.01 and the element type is the mean quadrature, linear hexahedron.

3.8.4. *Material Model*

The elastic material model given in the table below is used.

Metric units are used:

Displacement: meters

Mass: kilograms

Time: seconds

Force: Newtons

Table 3-9. Aluminum materials

Material Properties		
Young's Modulus	E	73×10^9
Poisson's Ratio	ν	0.3
Density	ρ	2800

3.8.5. *Feature Tested*

Nonlocal averaging of nodal acceleration.

3.8.6. *Results and Discussion*

It can be seen that the global average acceleration starts off considerably lower than the nonlocal average acceleration and is steadily decreasing. The nonlocal average calculation shows the acceleration is significantly higher at $t = 0$ and then initially has a rapid descent. It then stays nearly constant before dipping to its minimum. Thereafter it increases somewhat quickly and then stays about constant. These results demonstrate that the nonlocal average accurately captures the higher acceleration response that is otherwise missed when looking at the global average. Added for comparison is the time history of the acceleration of a single node on the top edge of the free end. As expected, this acceleration forms an upper bound for the nonlocal average acceleration. It is evident that the nonlocal average captures the behavior of the tip better than the global acceleration while also smoothing out much of the noisy response of a local acceleration measured at a single node. For input deck see Appendix [A.17.4](#).




Figure 3-39. Comparison between acceleration averages over time

APPENDIX A. Input Decks For Example Problems

We provide current versions of input decks that can be used to run the example problems discussed above. In a few cases, parameters have diverged from those used to produce the plots in the main body of this document. However, those input decks do produce results that are illustratively similar. Adjusting parameters to increase similarity is left as an exercise for the user.

A.1. Newton Cradle

This input corresponds to the example in Section [1.1](#).

```
begin sierra newtoncradlefinal

# DEFINE DIRECTION

define direction Y with vector 0.0 1.0 0.0

# DEFINE MATERIALS:STEEL, E= 200E9 N/m2 = 200E9 Pa , Steel=7840 kg/m3

begin material outerBalls
  density = 7.48e3
  begin parameters for model elastic
    #youngs modulus = 200.0e9
    youngs modulus = 200e5
    poissons ratio = 0.3
  end parameters for model elastic
end material outerBalls

begin material wireAndInnerSphere
  density = 7.48e3
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 100.0e0
    poissons ratio = 0.3
  end parameters for model elastic
end material wireAndInnerSphere

begin rigid body RB1
  reference location = 0 15 0
  include nodes in nodeset_301
end
begin rigid body RB2
  reference location = 2 15 0
  include nodes in nodeset_302
end
begin rigid body RB3
  reference location = 4 15 0
  include nodes in nodeset_303
end
begin rigid body RB4
  reference location = 6 15 0
  include nodes in nodeset_304
end
begin rigid body RB5
```

```

        reference location = 8 15 0
        include nodes in nodeset_305
    end

    begin truss section wiredup1
        area = 0.1
    end truss section wiredup1

# DEFINE FEM MODEL
#Note: Comment out genesis files for desired test geometry configuration(1, 2, or 3 balls dropped)

    begin finite element model mesh
        Database Name = newtoncradle_final_1balldrop.g
        Database Type = exodusII

# DEFINE BLOCKS(block set 1 series are inner volumes, series 100 are outer volumes, and 300 are set
# of curves/truss)

        begin parameters for block block_101 block_102 block_103 block_104 block_105
            material = outerBalls
            model = elastic
        end parameters for block block_101 block_102 block_103 block_104 block_105

        begin parameters for block block_1 block_2 block_3 block_4 block_5
            material = wireAndInnerSphere
            model= elastic
        end parameters for block block_1 block_2 block_3 block_4 block_5

        begin parameters for block block_300
            material = wireAndInnerSphere
            model = elastic
            section = wiredup1
        end parameters for block block_300

    end finite element model mesh

# DEFINE PROBLEM TIME AND TIME STEP PARAMETERS

    begin presto procedure myProcedure
        begin time control
            begin time stepping block p0
                start time = 0.0
                begin parameters for presto region myRegion
                end parameters for presto region myRegion
            end time stepping block p0
            #termination time = 9
            termination time = 4.5
        end time control

# DEFINE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
# ONLY DEFINE RIGID BODY AS FIXED DISPLACEMENT DUE TO REFERENCE LOCATION ON RIGID BODY (do not want
# entire nodeset rigid)

        begin presto region myRegion
            begin node based time step parameters set1
                step interval = 100
            end node based time step parameters set1

            use finite element model mesh

            begin fixed displacement
                rigid body = RB1
                components = x y z
            end
            begin fixed displacement
                rigid body = RB2
                components = x y z
            end
        end
    end

```

```

begin fixed displacement
  rigid body = RB3
  components= x y z
end
begin fixed displacement
  rigid body = RB4
  components= x y z
end
begin fixed displacement
  rigid body = RB5
  components = x y z
end

begin fixed rotation
  rigid body = RB1
  components= x y
end
begin fixed rotation
  rigid body= RB2
  components= x y
end
begin fixed rotation
  rigid body = RB3
  components= x y
end
begin fixed rotation
  rigid body = RB4
  components= x y
end
begin fixed rotation
  rigid body = RB5
  components= x y
end

begin gravity
  direction = y
  gravitational constant = -9.81
end gravity

# DEFINE PROBLEM REGIONS

begin contact definition contacts
  skin all blocks = on exclude block_300
  search = dash
  begin interaction defaults
    friction model = sticky
    general contact = on
    self contact = off
    constraint formulation = node_face
  end
  BEGIN CONSTANT FRICTION MODEL sticky
  FRICTION COEFFICIENT = 0.3
  END
end contact definition contacts

Begin Heartbeat Output normalized
  Stream Name = NewtonCradle.csv
  Format = SpyHis
  Start Time = 0.0
  At Time 0 Increment = 0.005
  Termination Time = 9.0
  Global kinetic_energy as KineticEnergy
  Global External_energy as ExternalEnergy
  Global Internal_energy as InternalEnergy
  Global SE as StrainEnergy
  global normIESE as Normalized_Dissipation
  global PEabs_negEEplusMaxEE as PEabs_negEEplusMaxEE

```

```

global AbsTotalE as AbsTotalE
global PE as PE
global contact_energy as contact_energy
global TotalE as TotalE
global hourglass_energy as hourglass_energy

global Kinetic_energyKJ as Kinetic_energyKJ
global External_energyKJ as External_energyKJ
global Internal_energyKJ as Internal_energyKJ
global Potential_energyKJ as Potential_energyKJ
global TotalE_KJ as TotalE_KJ

global Sum_SE_EE_KE as Sum_SE_EE_KE
global Sum_IE_EE_KE as Sum_IE_EE_KE
global Et_Minus_E as Et_Minus_E
global normEnergy as normEnergy
global energyNorm as energyNorm
global DissEnergyOVERKEo as DissEnergyOVERKEo
global Dissipation_energy as Dissipation_Energy
End Heartbeat Output normalized

Begin User Output
compute global SE as sum of element strain_energy
compute global Sum_SE_EE_KE from expression "kinetic_energy+external_energy+SE"
compute global Sum_IE_EE_KE from expression "kinetic_energy+external_energy+internal_energy"
compute global IE_minus_SE from expression "internal_energy-SE"
compute global normIESE from expression "IE_minus_SE/606990" #606990 is max EE

# shift and flip EE to show PE
compute global PEabs_negEEplusMaxEE from expression "abs(-1*external_energy+606990)"

compute global AbsTotalE from expression "kinetic_energy+internal_energy+PEabs_negEEplusMaxEE"
compute global PE from expression "(-1*external_energy)+606990"
compute global TotalE from expression "kinetic_energy+internal_energy+PE"

compute global Kinetic_energyKJ from expression "kinetic_energy/1000"
compute global External_energyKJ from expression "external_energy/1000"
compute global Internal_energyKJ from expression "internal_energy/1000"
compute global Potential_energyKJ from expression "PE/1000"
compute global TotalE_KJ from expression "TotalE/1000"

compute global Et_Minus_E from expression "Sum_IE_EE_KE-Sum_SE_EE_KE"
compute global normEnergy from expression "Et_Minus_E/1.22634E6"

compute global energyNorm from expression "max(abs(Kinetic_Energy), abs(Internal_Energy))"
compute global max_KineticEnergy from expression "max(abs(Kinetic_Energy), abs(0))"
compute global max_InternalEnergy from expression "max(abs(Internal_Energy), abs(0))"
compute global max_ExternalEnergy from expression "max(abs(External_Energy), abs(0))"

compute global max_Sum_KE_IE from expression "max_KineticEnergy+max_InternalEnergy"
compute global max_Sum_EE_KE_PE from expression \#
    "max_KineticEnergy+max_InternalEnergy+max_ExternalEnergy"
compute global Dissipation_Energy from expression "max_Sum_KE_IE-max_ExternalEnergy"
compute global DissEnergyOVERKEo from expression "max_Sum_KE_IE/602660" #pulled 602660 as max KE
End User Output

begin Results Output output_presto
Database Name = newtoncradle_final_1balldrop.e
Database Type = exodusII
At time 0, Increment = .1

nodal variables = force_external      as f_ext
nodal variables = velocity            as vel
nodal variables = displacement        as displ
nodal variables = reaction            as reactions
nodal variables = force_internal      as f_int
nodal variables = force_contact       as f_cont
nodal variables = contact_status      as contact_stat

```

```

element variables = stress           as stress
element variables = von_mises        as vonmises
element variables = effective_log_strain as EffLogStrain
element variables = truss_force       as TrussForce
element variables = strain_energy     as StrainEnergy

global variables = timestep          as timestep
global variables = external_energy   as ExternalEnergy
global variables = internal_energy    as InternalEnergy
global variables = kinetic_energy     as KineticEnergy
global variables = hourglass_energy   as HourglassEnergy #should be 0
global variables = contact_energy     as Contactenergy
global variables = momentum           as Momentum

global variables = energyNorm as energyNorm
global variables = max_KineticEnergy as max_KineticEnergy
global variables = max_InternalEnergy as max_InternalEnergy
global variables = max_ExternalEnergy as max_ExternalEnergy
global variables = max_Sum_EE_KE_PE as max_Sum_EE_KE_PE

global variables = max_Sum_KE_IE as max_Sum_KE_IE
global variables = Dissipation_energy as Dissipation_Energy
global variables = DissEnergyOVERKEo as DissEnergyOVERKEo

global variables = normEnergy as normEnergy
global variables = Et_Minus_E as Et_Minus_E
global variables = Sum_SE_EE_KE as Sum_SE_EE_KE
global variables = Sum_IE_EE_KE as Sum_IE_EE_KE
global variables = SE as strain_energy_summedElements
global variables = PEabs_negEEplusMaxEE as PEabs_negEEplusMaxEE
global variables = AbsTotalE as AbsTotalE
global variables = PE as PE
global variables = TotalE as TotalE
global variables = normIESE as normIESE
global variables = IE_minus_SE as IE_minus_SE

end results output output_presto

end presto region myRegion

end presto procedure myProcedure

end sierra newtoncradlefinal

```

A.2. Bullet Collision

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 1.2](#).

```
Begin Sierra Bullet

#### Title: Bullet collision with concrete block

#### Aprepro variables, which will be used in the user output section of the input file
# Ey: {Ey = 1.999479615E+11} # Youngs Modulus
# nu: {nu = 0.33333}        # Poissons Ratio
# G: {G = 78.0E+9}          # Shear Modulus
# mu: {mu = 0.3}            # friction coefficient

#####

#### Define the point for the origin and unit vectors for each axis in both the positive
#### and negative directions. An axis is also defined using the point 'origin' and the
#### positive x direction, which will be used to define the axis about which the bullet.
#### will rotate
Define point origin with coordinates 0.0 0.0 0.0
Define Direction posX With Vector 1.0 0.0 0.0
Define Axis Xaxis with Point origin Direction posX

#####

#### Define Functions

#### The function 'Translation' governs the translation of the bullet, and the function 'rotation'
#### governs its rotation
Begin Function Translation
  Type = Piecewise Linear
  Abscissa = Time
  Ordinate = Displacement
  Begin Values
    0.00 0.00
    0.10 0.00
    0.20 0.50
  End Values
End Function Translation

Begin Function Rotation
  Type = Piecewise Linear
  Abscissa = Time
  Ordinate = Velocity
  Begin Values
    0.00 0.10
    0.20 0.10
  End Values
End Function Rotation

#### The contact radius will be the radius of the cylinder and half sphere representing the bullet
#### This could be hard coded, but the following function allows the user to change his geometries
#### without having to re-change the hard coded radius each time they do so
Begin function radius_of_bullet
  type = analytic
  expression variable: r = nodal model_coordinates(y)
  evaluate expression = "r"
End function radius_of_bullet

Begin function node_torque
  type = analytic
  expression variable: y = nodal coordinates(y)
  expression variable: z = nodal coordinates(z)
  expression variable: Fy = nodal force_contact(y)
  expression variable: Fz = nodal force_contact(z)
  evaluate expression = "(Fz*y) - (Fy*z)"
```



```

        #evaluate expression = "(Fy*z) - (Fz*y)"
    End function node_torque

#####

#### Define Material Properties

#### Steel
Begin material Steel
    density = 10000
    Begin Parameters for Model Elastic_Plastic
        Youngs Modulus = 3.5E+11
        Poissons Ratio = 0.33333
        Yield Stress = 4.5E+8
        Hardening Modulus = 4.5E+8
    end Parameters For Model Elastic_Plastic
end material Steel

#### Mat2
Begin material Mat2
    density = 2000.00
    Begin Parameters for Model Elastic_Plastic
        Youngs Modulus = 1.7E+8
        Poissons Ratio = 0.15
        Yield Stress = 2.0E6
        Hardening Modulus = 5.0E5
    end Parameters For Model Elastic_Plastic
end material Mat2

#####

#### Define Finite Element Model

Begin Finite Element Model block_spin
    Database Name = Bullet.g
    Database Type = ExodusII

#### Define Blocks

Begin Parameters For Block block_1
    Material Steel
    model = Elastic_Plastic
End Parameters For Block block_1

Begin Parameters For Block block_2
    Material Mat2
    model = Elastic_Plastic
End Parameters For Block block_2

End Finite Element Model block_spin

#####

Begin Presto Procedure calculations

#### Define Time and Time Step

Begin Time Control
    Termination Time = 1.0E-3
    Begin Time Stepping Block Timestep1
        Start Time = 0.0
        Begin Parameters For Presto Region Problem
            Step Interval = 100
        End Parameters For Presto Region Problem
    End Time Stepping Block Timestep1
End Time Control

```

```

#####

Begin Presto Region Problem
  Use Finite Element Model block_spin

Begin Results Output block_spin_output
  Database Name = bullet.e
  database Type = ExodusII
  At Time 0.0 Increment = 5.0E-5
  Nodal Variables = Acceleration As Accel
  Nodal variables = Velocity As Vel
  Nodal Variables = Displacement As Displ
  Nodal Variables = Force_External As Force
  Nodal Variables = Force_Contact As Fc
  Nodal Variables = Reaction As React
  Nodal Variables = Torque as Torque_Node
  Element Variables = Stress As Stress
  Element Variables = Log_Strain As logstra
  Element Variables = Von_Mises As VonMises
  Element Variables = Effective_Log_Strain As ELS
  Global Variables = Ty_top
  Global Variables = T
  Global Variables = P
  Global Variables = Rc
  Global Variables = Rb
End Results Output block_spin_output

#####

#### Contact Definition

Begin Contact Definition collide
  Search = dash
  skin all blocks = ON

Begin Constant Friction Model friction
  Friction Coefficient = 0.3
End Constant Friction Model friction

Begin Interaction Defaults
  general contact = ON
  friction model = friction
End Interaction Defaults

End Contact Definition collide

#####

Begin Initial Velocity
  Block = block_1
  Component = X
  Magnitude = 400
End Initial Velocity

Begin Initial Velocity
  Block = block_1
  Cylindrical Axis = Xaxis
  Angular Velocity = 1000
End Initial Velocity

#### Fixes sides of concrete block so only deformation occurs
Begin Fixed Displacement
  Surface = sideset_3
  Components = X Y Z
End Fixed Displacement

#####

```

```

Begin User Output
  node set = nodeset_2
  compute nodal radius_of_bullet as function radius_of_bullet
  compute global Rb as max of nodal radius_of_bullet
End User Output

Begin User Output
  node set = nodeset_1
  compute global P as sum of nodal Force_Contact(x)
  compute global Reac from expression "P/1000"
  compute nodal torque as function node_torque
  compute global Ty_top as sum of nodal torque
  compute global Rc from expression "3^(1/3)\#
    *((-1+{nu}^2)*P*(Rb)/{Ey}+0.0001)/(abs((-1+{nu}^2)*P*(Rb)/{Ey}+0.0001))\#
    *(abs((-1+{nu}^2)*P*(Rb)/{Ey}))^(1/3)/(2^(2/3))"
  # T is a non-dimensional value of the torque
  compute global T from expression "abs(Ty_top/({mu}*P*Rc+0.0001))"
End User Output

Begin history output torque
  database name = bullet.h
  database type = ExodusII
  At Time 0.0 increment = 0.01
  Variable = global Rb
  Variable = global Ty_top
  Variable = global T
  Variable = global P
  Variable = global Rc
End history output torque

Begin Heartbeat Output torque
  Stream Name = bullet.csv
  Format = SpyHis
  Start Time = 0.0
  At Time 0 Increment = 0.001
  Termination Time = 0.05
  Global T as Torque
  Global Rc as Contact_Radius
  Global Reac as Reaction_Force
End Heartbeat Output torque

#####

  End Presto Region Problem
  End Presto Procedure calculations
End Sierra Bullet

#####

```

A.3. Analytic Planes

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 1.3](#).

```
begin sierra Contact_Planes1

begin function load
  type is piecewise linear
  begin values
    0.0 0.0
    8.0e-4 1.0
  end values
end function load

begin material linear_elastic_steel
  density = 7.34e-4
  begin parameters for model elastic_plastic
    youngs modulus = 30e6
    poissons ratio = 0.3
    yield stress = 34.7e4
    hardening modulus = 34.7e4
    beta = 1.0
  end parameters for model elastic_plastic
end material linear_elastic_steel

begin finite element model mesh1
  Database Name = analytic_multiple.g
  Database Type = exodusII

  begin parameters for block block_1
    material = linear_elastic_steel
    model = elastic_plastic
  end parameters for block block_1
end finite element model mesh1

begin presto procedure Apst_Procedure

begin time control
  begin time stepping block p1
    start time = 0.0
    begin parameters for presto region presto
      time step scale factor = 1.0
      step interval = 10
    end parameters for presto region presto
  end time stepping block p1
  termination time = 8.0e-4
end time control

begin presto region presto
  use finite element model mesh1

  ### output description ###
  begin Results Output output_presto
    Database Name = analytic_multiple.e
    Database Type = exodusII
    At Time 0.0, Increment = 1.0e-4
    nodal Variables = force_external as f_ext
    nodal Variables = force_internal as f_int
    nodal Variables = velocity as vel
    nodal Variables = acceleration as accl
    nodal Variables = displacement as displ
    nodal variables = contact_status
    nodal variables = force_contact as cforce
    nodal variables = mass
    element Variables = stress as stress
    global Variables = timestep as timestep
    global variables = external_energy as ExternalEnergy
```

```

    global variables = internal_energy as InternalEnergy
    global variables = kinetic_energy as KineticEnergy
    global variables = momentum as Momentum
end results output output_presto

### definition of initial conditions ###
begin analytic object obj1
    type = PLANE
    normal direction = 0 1 0
    origin = 0.0 0.0 0.0
    scale factor = 3.0
end

begin fixed displacement
    surface = obj1
    component = x y z
end

begin analytic object obj2
    type = PLANE
    normal direction = 1 -1 0
    origin = -4.0 0.0 0.0
    scale factor = 1.0
end

begin prescribed displacement
    surface = obj2
    component = y
    scale factor = -3.0
    function = load
end

begin fixed displacement
    surface = obj2
    component = xz
end

### contact definition ###
begin contact definition basic1
    contact surface surf_1 contains obj1
    contact surface surf_2 contains obj2
    contact surface block_1 contains block_1
    search = dash
    begin interaction defaults
        general contact = on
        self contact = on
    end

    begin interaction
        side A = surf_1
        side B = block_1
    end

    begin interaction
        side A = surf_2
        side B = block_1
    end
end contact definition basic1

end presto region presto
end presto procedure Apst_Procedure

end sierra Contact_Planes1

```

A.4. Curved Surface Friction Behavior

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 1.4](#).

```
begin sierra BarrelRoll

  define direction y with vector 0 1 0

  begin rigid body roller
    include nodes in nodeset_1
  end rigid body roller

#Define materials
#aluminum

BEGIN material MAT1
  DENSITY = 2720
  BEGIN PARAMETERS FOR MODEL ELASTIC_PLASTIC
    YOUNGS MODULUS = 68.9e6
    POISSONS RATIO =0.33
    HARDENING MODULUS = 0.0
    YIELD STRESS = 276e6
    BETA = 1.0
  END PARAMETERS FOR MODEL ELASTIC_PLASTIC
END material MAT1

#define FEM model

begin finite element model ramp
  database name = 40.g
  database type = exodusII
  begin parameters for block block_1 block_2 block_3
    material = MAT1
    model = ELASTIC_PLASTIC
  end parameters for block block_1 block_2 block_3
end finite element model ramp

${termination_time=0.5}
begin presto procedure precal
  #define problem time parameters
  begin time control
    termination time = {termination_time}
    begin time stepping block timestepping
      start time = 0.0
    end time stepping block timestepping
  end time control

#define problem
  begin presto region local
    use finite element model ramp

#define output
  begin results output putout
    database name = %B.e
    database type = exodusII
    at time 0.0, increment = .1
    nodal variables = displacement
    nodal variables = velocity
    global variables = rotvz_roller as rotational_velocity
    global variables = velx_roller as vx
    global variables = vely_roller as vy
    global variables = displx_roller
    global variables = displx_roller
    nodal variables = contact_status
    global variables = Slip_Ratio
  end results output putout
```

```

begin user output
  node set = nodeset_1
  Compute Global tv from expression "abs(rotVz_roller*0.2)"
  compute global displace from expression "sqrt(displx_roller^2+disply_roller^2)"
  Compute Global V from expression "sqrt(velx_roller^2+vely_roller^2)"
  Compute Global Slip_Ratio from expression "V/tv-1"
end user output

Begin Heartbeat Output
  Stream Name = %B.csv
  Format = SpyHis
  Start Time = 0.0
  At Time 0 Increment = 0.04
  Termination Time = {termination_time}
  global timestep as t
  global Slip_Ratio as Slip_Ratio
  global rotvz_roller as rotational_velocity
  global V as V
  global displace as displace
End Heartbeat Output

#define boundary conditions
  begin fixed displacement
    block = block_2
    components = x z y
  end fixed displacement
  begin gravity
    direction = y
    gravitational constant = -9.81
  end gravity

#define contact
  begin contact definition contact
    skin all blocks = on
    search = dash
    begin interaction defaults
      friction model = sticky
      general contact = on
      self contact = off
    end interaction defaults
    begin constant friction model sticky
      friction coefficient = 0.40
    end constant friction model sticky
  end contact definition contact
  end presto region local
  end presto procedure precal
end sierra BarrelRoll

```

A.5. Plate Indentation

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 1.5](#).

```
Begin Sierra Axis_Symmetric_Graded

#### Title Indentation of a thick plate

#####

#### Initialize Directions
Define Direction posY With Vector 0.0 1.0 0.0

#####

#### Define Functions

Begin Function Compression
  Type = Piecewise Linear
  Abscissa = Time
  Ordinate = Displacement
  Begin Values
    0.00  0.0
    0.01  1.0
  End Values
End Function Compression

#####

#### Define Materials

#### Crushable Foam
Begin material CF
  Density = 60.0
  Begin Parameters For Model Elastic
    Youngs Modulus = 10E+5
    Poissons Ratio = 0.1
  End Parameters For Model Elastic
End material CF

Begin material ALUMINIUM
  Density = 7.4E+4
  Begin Parameters For Model Elastic
    Youngs Modulus = 30.0E6
    Poissons Ratio = 0.333
  End Parameters For Model Elastic
End material ALUMINIUM

#####

#### Define FEM Model

Begin Finite Element Model fullgraded
  Database Name = PlateIndentation.g
  Database Type = ExodusII

#### Define Blocks
Begin Parameters For Block block_1
  Material CF
  Model = Elastic
End Parameters For Block block_1

Begin Parameters For Block block_2
  Material ALUMINIUM
  Model = Elastic
End Parameters For Block block_2
```



```

End Finite Element Model fullgraded

#####

Begin presto Procedure calculations

#### Define Time and Time Step

Begin Time Control
  Termination Time = 1.0E-2
  Begin Time Stepping Block timestep1
    Start Time = 0.0
    Begin Parameters For presto Region Problem
      Step Interval = 100
    End Parameters For presto Region Problem
  End Time Stepping Block timestep1
End Time Control

#####

Begin presto Region Problem
  Use Finite Element Model fullgraded

  Begin Results Output axisymmetric_output
    Database Name = PlateIndentation.e
    Database Type = ExodusII
    At Time 0.0 Increment = 5.0E-4
    Nodal Variables = Acceleration As Accel
    Nodal variables = Velocity As Vel
    Nodal Variables = Displacement As Displ
    Nodal Variables = Reaction As Force
    Element Variables = Stress As Stress
    Element Variables = Log_Strain As logstra
    Element Variables = Von_Mises As VonMises
    Element Variables = Effective_Log_Strain As ELS
  End Results Output axisymmetric_output

#####

  Begin Fixed Displacement
    Surface = sideset_1
    Components = X Y Z
  End Fixed Displacement

  Begin Fixed Displacement
    Surface = sideset_6
    Component = X
  End Fixed Displacement

  Begin Fixed Displacement
    Surface = sideset_3
    Component = X
  End Fixed Displacement

  Begin Fixed Displacement
    Surface = sideset_7
    Component = Z
  End Fixed Displacement

  Begin Fixed Displacement
    Surface = sideset_4
    Component = Z
  End Fixed Displacement

  Begin Prescribed Displacement
    #Surface = sideset_8
    Block = block_2
    Direction = posY

```

```

        Function = Compression
        scale factor = -0.2
    End Prescribed Displacement

#####

#### Contact

    Begin Contact Definition fullgraded
        Skin all blocks = ON
        Search = dash
        Enforcement = al
        begin interaction defaults
            friction model = CTF
            general contact = on
            self contact = off
            constraint formulation = node_face
            al penalty = 1.25
        end
        begin dash options
            subdivision level = 5
            interaction definition scheme = explicit
        end
        Begin Constant Friction Model CTF
            Friction Coefficient = 0.1
        End Constant Friction Model CTF
    End Contact Definition fullgraded

    Begin Solver
        begin loadstep predictor
            type = scale_factor
            scale factor = 0.0
        end
        begin control contact
            target relative residual = 1.0E-3
            Maximum Iterations = 200
            minimum iterations = 5
            iteration plot = 1
        end
        Begin cg
            reference = belytschko
            target relative residual = 1.0E-4
            maximum iterations = 25
            begin full tangent preconditioner
            end
        End
    End Solver

    End Solver

    End presto Region Problem
    End presto Procedure calculations
    End Sierra Axis_Symmetric_Graded

```

A.6. Ball Bearing Pull Explicit

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 1.6](#).

```
Begin Sierra
##### Vectors #####
define direction x with vector 1.0 0.0 0.0
define point origin with coordinates 0.0 0.0 0.0
define axis x_axis with point origin direction x

##### Vectors #####
begin function const
  type = constant
  begin values
    1.0
  end
end function const

begin function fixed
  type = piecewise linear
  begin values
    0.0 0.0
    1.0 0.0
  end
end function fixed

begin function pull
  type = piecewise linear
  begin values
    0.0 0.0
    1.0 1.0
  end
end function pull

##### Material Properties #####
begin material Stainless_Steel_440C
  density = 7.304e-3
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 3.04e7 # source matweb
    poissons ratio = 0.283
  end parameters for model elastic
end material Stainless_Steel_440C

begin material Stainless_Steel_305
  density = 7.485e-3
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 2.80e7 # source matweb
    poissons ratio = 0.283 # assumed
  end parameters for model elastic
end material Stainless_Steel_305

##### FEA Model #####
begin shell section ribbon
  thickness = 5.375e-3
  lofting_factor = 0.0
end shell section ribbon

begin shell section stamped_ribbon
  thickness = 1.075e-2
  lofting_factor = 0.5
end shell section stamped_ribbon

begin shell section crown
  thickness = 5.375e-3
  lofting_factor = 0.5
end shell section crown
```

```

begin finite element model bearing_load
  database name = SSRI-3332_RA7P25LD.exo
  database type = exodusII

  begin parameters for block block_100
    material Stainless_Steel_440C
    model = elastic
  end parameters for block block_100

  begin parameters for block block_200
    material Stainless_Steel_440C
    model = elastic
  end parameters for block block_200

  begin parameters for block block_300
    material Stainless_Steel_305
    model = elastic
    section = ribbon
  end parameters for block block_300

  begin parameters for block block_301
    material Stainless_Steel_305
    model = elastic
    section = stamped_ribbon
  end parameters for block block_301
end finite element model bearing_load

begin presto procedure pull_it

##### Time Control #####
begin time control
  begin time stepping block pull
    start time = 0.0
    begin parameters for presto region assemble
      number of quasistatic time steps = 10000
    end parameters for presto region assemble
    end time stepping block pull
    termination time = 1.0
  end time control

##### preload the model #####
#####
  begin presto region assemble
    use finite element model bearing_load

##### Boundary Conditions #####
  begin fixed displacement
    surface = sideset_2001
    component = x
  end fixed displacement

  begin prescribed displacement
    surface = sideset_2001
    radial axis = x_axis
    function = fixed
  end prescribed displacement

  begin prescribed displacement
    surface = sideset_2001
    cylindrical axis = x_axis
    function = fixed
  end prescribed displacement

  begin prescribed displacement
    surface = sideset_2000
    component = x
    function = pull
    scale factor = 0.012

```

```

end prescribed displacement

begin prescribed displacement
  surface = sideset_2000
  radial axis = x_axis
  function = fixed
end

begin prescribed displacement
  surface = sideset_2000
  cylindrical axis = x_axis
  function = fixed
end

##### Contact Enforcement #####
begin contact definition contact_pre
  contact surface balls contains block_100
  contact surface races contains block_200
  contact surface cage contains block_300

  begin interaction defaults
    friction model = sticky
  end interaction defaults

  begin interaction race
    surfaces = balls races
    friction model = sticky
  end interaction race

  begin interaction cage
    surfaces = balls cage
    friction model = sticky
  end interaction cage

  begin constant friction model sticky
    friction coefficient = 0.65 # source engineering toolbox: dry+clean steel on steel -> 0.5 to 0.8
  end constant friction model sticky
end contact definition contact_pre

begin user output
  surface = sideset_2000
  compute global pull_f as sum of nodal reaction
  compute global pull_d as max of nodal displacement
end user output

begin history output
  database name = bearing_pre_and_pull.egs.h
  database type = exodusII
  at time 0.0 increment = 1.0e-4
  global pull_f
  global pull_d
end history output
end presto region assemble
end presto procedure pull_it
End Sierra

```

A.7. Ball Bearing Pull Implicit (FETI linear solver)

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 1.6](#).

```
Begin Sierra
##### Vectors #####

define direction x with vector 1.0 0.0 0.0
define point origin with coordinates 0.0 0.0 0.0
define axis x_axis with point origin direction x

##### Vectors #####

begin function const
  type = constant
  begin values
    1.0
  end
end function const

begin function fixed
  type = piecewise linear
  begin values
    0.0 0.0
    1.0 0.0
  end
end function fixed

begin function pull
  type = piecewise linear
  begin values
    0.0 0.0
    1.0 1.0
  end
end function pull

##### Material Properties #####

begin material Stainless_Steel_440C
  density = 7.304e-3
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 3.04e7 # source matweb
    poissons ratio = 0.283
  end parameters for model elastic
end material Stainless_Steel_440C

begin material Stainless_Steel_305
  density = 7.485e-3
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 2.80e7 # source matweb
    poissons ratio = 0.283 # assumed
  end parameters for model elastic
end material Stainless_Steel_305

##### FEA Model #####

begin shell section ribbon
  thickness = 5.375e-3
  lofting_factor = 0.0
end shell section ribbon

begin shell section stamped_ribbon
  thickness = 1.075e-2
  lofting_factor = 0.5
end shell section stamped_ribbon

begin shell section crown
```

```

    thickness = 5.375e-3
    lofting_factor = 0.5
end shell section crown

begin finite element model bearing_load
    database name = SSRI-3332_RA7P25LD.exo
    database type = exodusII

    begin parameters for block block_100
        material Stainless_Steel_440C
        model = elastic
    end parameters for block block_100

    begin parameters for block block_200
        material Stainless_Steel_440C
        model = elastic
    end parameters for block block_200

    begin parameters for block block_300
        material Stainless_Steel_305
        model = elastic
        section = ribbon
    end parameters for block block_300

    begin parameters for block block_301
        material Stainless_Steel_305
        model = elastic
        section = stamped_ribbon
    end parameters for block block_301

end finite element model bearing_load

begin adagio procedure pull_it

    ##### Time Control #####

    begin time control
        begin time stepping block pull
            start time = 0.0
            begin parameters for adagio region assemble
                number of time steps = 200
            end parameters for adagio region assemble
        end time stepping block pull
        termination time = 1.0
    end time control

    ##### preload the model #####
    #####
    begin adagio region assemble
        use finite element model bearing_load

    ##### Boundary Conditions #####

    begin fixed displacement
        surface = sideset_2001
        component = x
    end fixed displacement

    begin prescribed displacement
        surface = sideset_2001
        radial axis = x_axis
        function = fixed
    end prescribed displacement

    begin prescribed displacement
        surface = sideset_2001
        cylindrical axis = x_axis
        function = fixed

```

```

end prescribed displacement

begin prescribed displacement
  surface = sideset_2000
  component = x
  function = pull
  scale factor = 0.012
end prescribed displacement

begin prescribed displacement
  surface = sideset_2000
  radial axis = x_axis
  function = fixed
end

begin prescribed displacement
  surface = sideset_2000
  cylindrical axis = x_axis
  function = fixed
end

```

Contact Enforcement

```

begin contact definition contact_pre
  contact surface balls contains block_100
  contact surface races contains block_200
  contact surface cage contains block_300

  begin interaction defaults
    friction model = sticky
  end interaction defaults

  begin interaction race
    surfaces = balls races
    friction model = sticky
  end interaction race

  begin interaction cage
    surfaces = balls cage
    friction model = sticky
  end interaction cage

  begin constant friction model sticky
    friction coefficient = 0.65 # source engineering toolbox: dry+clean steel on steel -> 0.5 to 0.8
  end constant friction model sticky
end contact definition contact_pre

begin user output
  surface = sideset_2000
  compute global pull_f as sum of nodal reaction
  compute global pull_d as max of nodal displacement
end user output

begin history output
  database name = bearing_pre_and_pull.feti.h
  database type = exodusII
  at time 0.0 increment = 1.0e-4
  global pull_f
  global pull_d
end history output

begin solver
  begin cg
    reference = energy
    target residual = 1.0e-8
  end cg
end solver

```



```

    target relative residual = 1.0e-5
    acceptable relative residual = 1.0
    maximum iterations = 50
    minimum iterations = 1
    iteration print = 1
    begin full tangent preconditioner
        linear solver = feti
        tangent diagonal scale = 1.0e-8
        maximum updates for loadstep = 0
        minimum convergence rate = 0.1
    end
end
begin control contact
    acceptable residual = 1.0e-5
    acceptable relative residual = 1.0e-2
    target residual = 1.0e-6
    target relative residual = 1.0e-3
    maximum iterations = 50
    minimum iterations = 5
    lagrange adaptive penalty = uniform
end
end

begin adaptive time stepping
    target iterations = 1000000
    cutback factor = 0.9
    growth factor = 1.05
    maximum failure cutbacks = 1000
    minimum multiplier = 1.0e-3
    maximum multiplier = 1.0
end

end adagio region assemble

end adagio procedure pull_it

begin gds equation solver gds
end
begin feti equation solver feti
end

End Sierra

```

A.8. Ball Bearing Pull Implicit (Nodal preconditioner)

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 1.6](#).

```
Begin Sierra
##### Vectors #####

define direction x with vector 1.0 0.0 0.0
define point origin with coordinates 0.0 0.0 0.0
define axis x_axis with point origin direction x

##### Vectors #####

begin function const
  type = constant
  begin values
    1.0
  end
end function const

begin function fixed
  type = piecewise linear
  begin values
    0.0 0.0
    1.0 0.0
  end
end function fixed

begin function pull
  type = piecewise linear
  begin values
    0.0 0.0
    1.0 1.0
  end
end function pull

##### Material Properties #####

begin material Stainless_Steel_440C
  density = 7.304e-3
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 3.04e7 # source matweb
    poissons ratio = 0.283
  end parameters for model elastic
end material Stainless_Steel_440C

begin material Stainless_Steel_305
  density = 7.485e-3
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 2.80e7 # source matweb
    poissons ratio = 0.283 # assumed
  end parameters for model elastic
end material Stainless_Steel_305

##### FEA Model #####

begin shell section ribbon
  thickness = 5.375e-3
  lofting_factor = 0.0
end shell section ribbon

begin shell section stamped_ribbon
  thickness = 1.075e-2
  lofting_factor = 0.5
end shell section stamped_ribbon

begin shell section crown
```

```

    thickness = 5.375e-3
    lofting_factor = 0.5
end shell section crown

begin finite element model bearing_load
    database name = SSRI-3332_RA7P25LD.exo
    database type = exodusII

    begin parameters for block block_100
        material Stainless_Steel_440C
        model = elastic
    end parameters for block block_100

    begin parameters for block block_200
        material Stainless_Steel_440C
        model = elastic
    end parameters for block block_200

    begin parameters for block block_300
        material Stainless_Steel_305
        model = elastic
        section = ribbon
    end parameters for block block_300

    begin parameters for block block_301
        material Stainless_Steel_305
        model = elastic
        section = stamped_ribbon
    end parameters for block block_301

end finite element model bearing_load

begin adagio procedure pull_it

##### Time Control #####

begin time control
    begin time stepping block pull
        start time = 0.0
        begin parameters for adagio region assemble
            number of time steps = 200
        end parameters for adagio region assemble
    end time stepping block pull
    termination time = 1.0
end time control

##### preload the model #####
#####
begin adagio region assemble
    use finite element model bearing_load

##### Boundary Conditions #####

begin fixed displacement
    surface = sideset_2001
    component = x
end fixed displacement

begin prescribed displacement
    surface = sideset_2001
    radial axis = x_axis
    function = fixed
end prescribed displacement

begin prescribed displacement
    surface = sideset_2001
    cylindrical axis = x_axis
    function = fixed

```

```

end prescribed displacement

begin prescribed displacement
  surface = sideset_2000
  component = x
  function = pull
  scale factor = 0.012
end prescribed displacement

begin prescribed displacement
  surface = sideset_2000
  radial axis = x_axis
  function = fixed
end

begin prescribed displacement
  surface = sideset_2000
  cylindrical axis = x_axis
  function = fixed
end

```

Contact Enforcement

```

begin contact definition contact_pre
  contact surface balls contains block_100
  contact surface races contains block_200
  contact surface cage contains block_300

  begin interaction defaults
    friction model = sticky
  end interaction defaults

  begin interaction race
    surfaces = balls races
    friction model = sticky
  end interaction race

  begin interaction cage
    surfaces = balls cage
    friction model = sticky
  end interaction cage

  begin constant friction model sticky
    friction coefficient = 0.65 # source engineering toolbox: dry+clean steel on steel -> 0.5 to 0.8
  end constant friction model sticky
end contact definition contact_pre

begin user output
  surface = sideset_2000
  compute global pull_f as sum of nodal reaction
  compute global pull_d as max of nodal displacement
end user output

begin history output
  database name = bearing_pre_and_pull.nodal.h
  database type = exodusII
  at time 0.0 increment = 1.0e-4
  global pull_f
  global pull_d
end history output

begin solver
  begin cg
    reference = energy
    target residual = 1.0e-8
  end cg
end solver

```

```

        target relative residual = 1.0e-5
        acceptable relative residual = 1.0
        maximum iterations = 50
        minimum iterations = 1
        iteration print = 10
        preconditioner = probe
    end
    begin control contact
        acceptable residual = 1.0e-5
        acceptable relative residual = 1.0e-2
        target residual = 1.0e-6
        target relative residual = 1.0e-3
        maximum iterations = 50
        minimum iterations = 5
        lagrange adaptive penalty = uniform
    end
end

begin adaptive time stepping
    target iterations = 1000000
    cutback factor = 0.9
    growth factor = 1.05
    maximum failure cutbacks = 1000
    minimum multiplier = 1.0e-3
    maximum multiplier = 1.0
end

end adagio region assemble

end adagio procedure pull_it

begin gds equation solver gds
end
begin feti equation solver feti
end

End Sierra

```

A.9. Angled Crack Cylinder

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 2.1](#).

```
begin sierra btshell_cylinder

  begin function function_radius_over_time
    type is piecewise linear
    begin values
      0.000 2.5
      0.005 2.5
      0.010 2.5
    end values
  end function function_radius_over_time

  begin function function_2
    type is piecewise linear
    begin values
      0.000 0.0
      0.0025 0.3
      0.005 0.3
    end values
  end function function_2

  begin material linear_elastic
    density = 2.61e-4
    begin parameters for model elastic_plastic
      youngs modulus = 1.e9
      poissons ratio = 0.25
      HARDENING MODULUS = 0.0
      YIELD STRESS = 36000.0
      BETA = 1.0
    end parameters for model elastic_plastic
  end material linear_elastic

  begin shell section bt_section
    thickness = 2
    formulation = bt_shell
  end

  begin finite element model mesh1
    Database Name = cylinder_shell.g
    Database Type = exodusII

    begin parameters for block block_1
      section = bt_section
      material linear_elastic
      model = elastic_plastic
      hourglass stiffness = 0.05
    end parameters for block block_1

  end finite element model mesh1

  begin presto procedure Presto_Procedure

    begin time control
      begin time stepping block p1
        start time = 0.0

        begin parameters for presto region presto
          time step scale factor = 1.0
        end parameters for presto region presto

      end time stepping block p1

      termination time = 0.0003
    end time control

  end presto procedure Presto_Procedure

end sierra btshell_cylinder
```

```

begin presto region presto
  use finite element model mesh1

  begin XFEM xfem
    include all blocks
    add disc = 0.0 -1.0 6.0 0.0 1.0 1.2 function_radius_over_time
    mechanics growth start time = 0.0
    mechanics growth method = mechanics failure
    failure surface evolution = planar
    criterion is element value of max_principal_stress(1) > 2.5e4
    angle change = stress eigenvector
  end

  begin prescribed displacement
    node set = nodelist_2001
    component = y
    function = function_2
    scale factor = 40.0
  end prescribed displacement

  begin prescribed displacement
    node set = nodelist_2002
    component = y
    function = function_2
    scale factor = -40.0
  end prescribed displacement

  ### output description ###
  begin Results Output output_presto
    Database Name = cylinder_shell.e
    Database Type = exodusII
    At time 0.0 increment = 0.000001
    nodal Variables = displacement
    element Variables = memb_stress as mstress
    element Variables = top_stress as topstress
    element Variables = xfem_partial_element_flag
    element Variables = xfem_element_fail_flag
    element Variables = xfem_physical_node_flag
    element Variables = xfem_edge_cut_flag
    element variables = max_principal_stress as my_max_prin
    element Variables = VON_MISES as VonMises
    global Variables = timestep as timestep
    global variables = external_energy
    global variables = internal_energy
    global variables = kinetic_energy
    global variables = momentum
    global variables = facesum
  end results output output_presto

  begin user output
    block = block_1_contact_surface
    compute global faceSum as sum of element skinFaceArea
  end

  end presto region presto
end presto procedure Presto_Procedure

end sierra btshell_cylinder

```

A.10. Plate with Multiple Holes

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 2.2](#).

```
begin sierra btshell_multiHoles

  begin function function_2
    type is piecewise linear
    begin values
      0.000  0.0
      0.05   0.1
      0.1    0.2
      0.15   0.3
      0.2    0.4
      0.25   0.5
      0.3    0.6
      0.35   0.7
      0.4    0.8
      0.45   0.9
      0.5    1.0
    end values
  end function function_2

  begin material plate_mat
    density      = 0.0078
    begin parameters for model elastic_plastic
      youngs modulus = 210E3
      poissons ratio = 0.3
      yield stress = 360
      hardening modulus = 50E3
      beta = 0.75
    end parameters for model elastic_plastic
  end material plate_mat

  begin shell section bt_section
    thickness = 0.8
    formulation = bt_shell
    integration rule = gauss
    number of integration points = 4
  end

  begin cohesive section cohesive_section
    thickness = 1.0
    number of integration points = 4
  end

  begin finite element model mesh1
    Database Name = multiHoles.g
    Database Type = exodusII

    begin parameters for block block_1
      section = bt_section
      material plate_mat
      model = elastic_plastic
      hourglass stiffness = 0.8
      hourglass viscosity = 0.2
    end parameters for block block_1

  end finite element model mesh1

  begin presto procedure Presto_Procedure

    begin time control
      begin time stepping block p1
        start time = 0.0

        begin parameters for presto region presto
```



```

        time step scale factor = 0.1
    end parameters for presto region presto

end time stepping block p1

    termination time = 0.036
end time control

begin presto region presto
    use finite element model mesh1

    begin XFEM xfem1
        include all blocks
        generation by nucleation = element-based
        nucleation criterion is element value of max_principal_stress > 1.0E3
        angle change = stress eigenvector
        mechanics growth start time = 0.0
        mechanics growth method = mechanics failure
        failure surface evolution = piecewise linear
        criterion is element value of max_principal_stress > 8.0E2
        crack branching = allowed
        branching criterion is element value of max_principal_stress > 9.5E2
    end

    begin prescribed force
        node set = nodelist_1
        component = y
        function = function_2
        scale factor = -4000
    end prescribed force

    begin prescribed force
        node set = nodelist_2
        component = y
        function = function_2
        scale factor = 4000
    end prescribed force

    ### output description ###
    begin Results Output output_presto
        Database Name = multiHoles.e
        Database Type = exodusII
        At time 0.0 increment = 0.0001
        nodal Variables = displacement
        nodal Variables = rotational_displacement as rot_disp
        element Variables = memb_stress as ms
        element Variables = VON_MISES as VonMises
        element Variables = xfem_partial_element_flag
        element Variables = xfem_element_fail_flag
        element Variables = xfem_physical_node_flag
        element Variables = xfem_edge_cut_flag
        element variables = max_principal_stress as my_max_prin
        global Variables = timestep as timestep
        global variables = external_energy
        global variables = internal_energy
        global variables = kinetic_energy
        global variables = momentum
    end results output output_presto

    end presto region presto
end presto procedure Presto_Procedure

end sierra btshell_multiHoles

```

A.11. Stress Strain Plate

This input corresponds to the example in Section 3.1.

```
##
## Mesh | Loading | Command Line
##-----
## mesh1 | zero z-displacement | sierra adagio -i plateWithHole.i ...
## ... -D "elem=hex section=ug h_refinement=h1 thickness=t05
plane_constraint=zero_displacement"
## mesh1 | zero pressure | sierra adagio -i plateWithHole.i ...
## ... -D "elem=hex section=ug h_refinement=h1 thickness=t05 plane_constraint=zero_pressure"
## mesh1 | zero z-traction | sierra adagio -i plateWithHole.i ...
## ... -D "elem=hex section=ug h_refinement=h1 thickness=t05 plane_constraint=zero_traction"
## mesh1 | zero z-force | sierra adagio -i plateWithHole.i ...
## ... -D "elem=hex section=ug h_refinement=h1 thickness=t05 plane_constraint=zero_force"
## mesh1 | free z-DOF | sierra adagio -i plateWithHole.i ...
## ... -D "elem=hex section=ug h_refinement=h1 thickness=t05 plane_constraint=free"
## mesh2 | zero z-displacement | sierra adagio -i plateWithHole.i ...
## ... -D "elem=hex section=ug h_refinement=h2 thickness=t025 plane_constraint=zero_displacement"
## mesh2 | zero pressure | sierra adagio -i plateWithHole.i ...
## ... -D "elem=hex section=ug h_refinement=h2 thickness=t025 plane_constraint=zero_pressure"
## mesh2 | zero z-traction | sierra adagio -i plateWithHole.i ...
## ... -D "elem=hex section=ug h_refinement=h2 thickness=t025 plane_constraint=zero_traction"
## mesh2 | zero z-force | sierra adagio -i plateWithHole.i ...
## ... -D "elem=hex section=ug h_refinement=h2 thickness=t025 plane_constraint=zero_force"
## mesh2 | free z-DOF | sierra adagio -i plateWithHole.i ...
## ... -D "elem=hex section=ug h_refinement=h2 thickness=t025 plane_constraint=free"
##

begin sierra plateWithHole

    title plate with a hole test

    define direction x with vector 1.0 0.0 0.0
    define direction z with vector 0.0 0.0 1.0

    begin function line
        type is analytic
        evaluate expression = "x"
    end function line

    begin function zero
        type is analytic
        evaluate expression = "0.0"
    end function zero

    begin material steel
        density = 1.0
        begin parameters for model elastic
            youngs modulus = 200.0e9
            poissons ratio = 0.3
        end parameters for model elastic
    end material steel

    {if(section == "ug")}
    begin solid section {section}
    end solid section {section}
    {endif}

    {if(section == "sd")}
    begin solid section {section}
        formulation = selective_deviatoric
        deviatoric parameter = 1.0
    end solid section {section}
    {endif}
```

```

begin finite element model fem
  database name = {elem}.{h_refinement}.{thickness}.g
  begin parameters for block block_1
    material = steel
    model = elastic
    section = {section}
  end parameters for block block_1
end finite element model fem

begin adagio procedure agio_procedure

  begin time control
    begin time stepping block p0
      start time = 0.0
      begin parameters for adagio region agio_region
        time increment = 1.0
      end parameters for adagio region agio_region
    end time stepping block p0
    termination time = 1.0
  end time control

  begin adagio region agio_region

    use finite element model fem

    begin fixed displacement left_symmetry_BC
      node set = nodelist_1
      components = x
    end fixed displacement left_symmetry_BC

    begin fixed displacement bottom_symmetry_BC
      node set = nodelist_2
      components = y
    end fixed displacement bottom_symmetry_BC

    begin fixed displacement back_symmetry_BC
      side set = sideset_2
      components = z
    end fixed displacement back_symmetry_BC

    begin traction right_tensile_load
      side set = sideset_3
      direction = x
      function = line
      scale factor = 10000.0
    end traction right_tensile_load

    {if(plane_constraint == "zero_force")}
    begin prescribed force plane_constraint_{plane_constraint}
      side set = sideset_1
      component = z
      function = zero
    end prescribed force plane_constraint_{plane_constraint}
    {endif}

    {if(plane_constraint == "zero_traction")}
    begin traction plane_constraint_{plane_constraint}
      side set = sideset_1
      direction = z
      function = zero
    end traction plane_constraint_{plane_constraint}
    {endif}

    {if(plane_constraint == "zero_pressure")}
    begin pressure plane_constraint_{plane_constraint}
      side set = sideset_1
      function = zero
    end pressure plane_constraint_{plane_constraint}
  end adagio region agio_region
end adagio procedure agio_procedure

```

```

endif}

{if(plane_constraint == "zero_displacement")}
begin prescribed displacement plane_constraint_{plane_constraint}
    side set = sideset_1
    component = z
    function = zero
end prescribed displacement plane_constraint_{plane_constraint}
endif}

begin results output agio_region_output
    database name = {elem}.{section}.{h_refinement}.{thickness}.{plane_constraint}.e
    at time 1.0 increment = 1.0
    global variables = kinetic_energy
    global variables = internal_energy
    global variables = external_energy
    global variables = stress_zz_max
    global variables = log_strain_zz_max
    nodal variables = displacement
    nodal variables = force_external
    nodal variables = force_internal
    element variables = log_strain
    element variables = stress
    element variables = unrotated_stress
end results output agio_region_output

begin user output
    include all blocks
    compute global stress_zz_max      as max absolute value of element stress(zz)
    compute global log_strain_zz_max as max absolute value of element log_strain(zz)
end user output

begin solution verification
    {if(plane_constraint == "zero_displacement")}
    verify global log_strain_zz_max <= 1.0e-12
    {else}
    verify global stress_zz_max <= 100.0
    {endif}
    completion file = {elem}.{section}.{h_refinement}.{thickness}.{plane_constraint}.verif
end solution verification

begin solver
    begin cg
        reference = external
        target relative residual = 1e-10
        begin full tangent preconditioner
            iteration update = 10
        end full tangent preconditioner
    end cg
end solver

end adagio region agio_region
end adagio procedure agio_procedure
end sierra plateWithHole

```

A.12. Bolt Preload

The following inputs correspond to examples in [Section 3.2](#).

A.12.1. Thermal Strain

```
begin Sierra

# Metric units are used.
# - displacement: meters
# - mass: kilograms
# - time: seconds
# - force: kg*m/s^2
# - temperature: Kelvin

#The bolt is cooled to -10 Kelvin in one step.
begin function TEMPERATURE
  type is piecewise linear
  ordinate is temperature
  abscissa is time
  begin values
    0.0      0.0
    1.0     -10.0
    10.0    -10.0
  end values
end function TEMPERATURE

begin function THERMAL_STRAIN_X
  type is piecewise linear
  ordinate is strain
  abscissa is temperature
  begin values
    0.0      0.0
    1.0      0.0
    1000     0.0
  end values
end function THERMAL_STRAIN_X

#Thermal strain is applied to the bolt only in the longitudinal direction of
#the bolt.
begin function THERMAL_STRAIN_Y
  type is piecewise linear
  ordinate is strain
  abscissa is temperature
  begin values
    0.0      0.0
    -10     -5.0E-02
    -1000.0 -5.0E-02
  end values
end function THERMAL_STRAIN_Y

begin function THERMAL_STRAIN_Z
  type is piecewise linear
  ordinate is strain
  abscissa is temperature
  begin values
    0.0      0.0
    1.0      0.0
    1000     0.0
  end values
end function THERMAL_STRAIN_Z

### ----- ###
###   Materials Specification   ###
```

```

### ----- ###

begin material steel_bolt
  density      = 7.89e+03
  thermal engineering strain X function = THERMAL_STRAIN_X
  thermal engineering strain Y function = THERMAL_STRAIN_Y
  thermal engineering strain Z function = THERMAL_STRAIN_Z
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 200.e+09
    poissons ratio = 0.29
  end parameters for model elastic
end material steel_bolt

begin material steel_block
  density      = 7.89e+03
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 200.e+09
    poissons ratio = 0.29
  end parameters for model elastic
end material steel_block

### ----- ###
###   Input Mesh and Material Description   ###
### ----- ###

begin finite element model thermalPreLoad
  database name = thermal_final.g
  database type = exodusII

  begin parameters for block block_1
    material steel_block
    model = elastic
  end parameters for block block_1

  begin parameters for block block_2
    material steel_bolt
    model = elastic
  end parameters for block block_2

end finite element model thermalPreLoad

### ----- ###
###   Linear Solver   ###
### ----- ###

begin gds equation solver gds
  residual norm tolerance = 1e-2
end
begin feti equation solver feti
  residual norm tolerance = 1e-2
end

### ----- ###
###   Begin Adagio   ###
### ----- ###

begin adagio procedure aProcedure

  ### ----- ###
  ###   Time Control   ###
  ### ----- ###

  begin time control

    begin time stepping block p1
      start time = 0.0
      begin parameters for adagio region adagio
        number of time steps = 1

```

```

    end parameters for adagio region adagio
end time stepping block pl

termination time = 1.0

end time control

begin adagio region adagio

    use finite element model thermalPreLoad

    # ----- #
    #      Bolt Preload      #
    # ----- #

    #The temperature changed is applied to the entire bolt.
    begin prescribed temperature
        block = block_2
        function = TEMPERATURE
    end prescribed temperature

    # ----- #
    #      Loading      #
    # ----- #

    begin fixed displacement
        node set = nodelist_3
        component = Z
    end

    begin fixed displacement
        node set = nodelist_4
        component = X Y
    end

    begin fixed displacement
        node set = nodelist_5
        component = X Y
    end

    # ----- #
    #      Contact Surfaces      #
    # ----- #

    begin contact definition

        contact surface surf_101 contains surface_101
        contact surface surf_102 contains surface_102
        contact surface surf_201 contains surface_201
        contact surface surf_202 contains surface_202

        begin constant friction model fric
            friction coefficient = 0.5
        end

        begin interaction
            side A                = surf_102
            side B                = surf_101
            friction model        = fric
        end interaction

        begin interaction
            side A                = surf_202
            side B                = surf_201
            friction model        = fric
        end interaction
    end

```

```

end contact definition

### ----- ###
###   Output Variables   ###
### ----- ###

begin results output aOut
  database name = thermal_final.e
  database type = exodusII
  at step 0 increment = 1
  nodal variables = displacement as displ
  nodal variables = contact_status as celement
  nodal variables = contact_normal_traction_magnitude as cfnor
  nodal variables = contact_tangential_traction_magnitude as cftan
  nodal variables = contact_area as carea
  nodal variables = contact_normal_direction as cdirnor
  nodal variables = contact_tangential_direction as cdirtan
  element variables = stress as stress
  element Variables = temperature as temp
  global variables = total_iter as itotal
  global variables = timestep as timestep
end

begin solver

  begin loadstep predictor
    type = scale_factor
    scale factor = 1.0 0.0
  end

  level 1 predictor = none

  begin control contact
    target relative residual      = 1.0e-3
    maximum iterations            = 100
  end

  begin cg
    target      relative residual = 1.0e-4
    maximum iterations            = 100

    begin full tangent preconditioner
      linear solver                = feti
      conditioning                 = no_check
      small number of iterations   = 15
    end
  end
end

end adagio region adagio
end adagio procedure aProcedure

end Sierra

```

A.12.2. Artificial Strain

```

begin Sierra

  # Metric units are used.
  # - displacement: meters
  # - mass: kilograms
  # - time: seconds
  # - force: kg*m/s^2
  # - temperature: Kelvin

  begin function ARTIFICIAL_STRAIN_X

```



```

type is piecewise linear
ordinate is strain
abscissa is time
begin values
    0.0      0.0
    1.0      0.0005
end values
end function ARTIFICIAL_STRAIN_X

#ARTIFICIAL strain is applied to the bolt only in the longitudinal direction of
#the bolt.
begin function ARTIFICIAL_STRAIN_Y
    type is piecewise linear
    ordinate is strain
    abscissa is time
    begin values
        0.0      0.0
        1        -0.05
    end values
end function ARTIFICIAL_STRAIN_Y

begin function ARTIFICIAL_STRAIN_Z
    type is piecewise linear
    ordinate is strain
    abscissa is time
    begin values
        0.0      0.0
        1.0      0.0
    end values
end function ARTIFICIAL_STRAIN_Z

### ----- ###
###   Materials Specification   ###
### ----- ###

begin material steel_bolt
    density      = 7.89e+03
    ARTIFICIAL engineering strain X function = ARTIFICIAL_STRAIN_X
    ARTIFICIAL engineering strain Y function = ARTIFICIAL_STRAIN_Y
    ARTIFICIAL engineering strain Z function = ARTIFICIAL_STRAIN_Z
    begin parameters for model elastic
        youngs modulus = 200.e+09
        poissons ratio = 0.29
    end parameters for model elastic
end material steel_bolt

begin material steel_block
    density      = 7.89e+03
    begin parameters for model elastic
        youngs modulus = 200.e+09
        poissons ratio = 0.29
    end parameters for model elastic
end material steel_block

### ----- ###
###   Input Mesh and Material Description   ###
### ----- ###

begin finite element model ARTIFICIALPreLoad
    database name = artificialStrain_final.g
    database type = exodusII

    begin parameters for block block_1
        material steel_block
        model = elastic
    end parameters for block block_1

    begin parameters for block block_2

```

```

        material steel_bolt
        model = elastic
    end parameters for block block_2

end finite element model ARTIFICIALPreLoad

### ----- ###
###   Linear Solver       ###
### ----- ###

begin gds equation solver gds
    residual norm tolerance = 1e-2
end
begin feti equation solver feti
    residual norm tolerance = 1e-2
end

### ----- ###
###   Begin Adagio       ###
### ----- ###

begin adagio procedure aProcedure

    ### ----- ###
    ###   Time Control    ###
    ### ----- ###

    begin time control

        begin time stepping block time1
            start time = 0.0
            begin parameters for adagio region aRegion
                number of time steps = 1
            end parameters for adagio region aRegion
            end time stepping block time1

            termination time = 1.0

        end time control

        ### ----- ###
        ###   Adagio Region  ###
        ### ----- ###

        begin adagio region aRegion
            use finite element model ARTIFICIALPreLoad

            ### ----- ###
            ###   Boundary Conditions   ###
            ### ----- ###

            # ----- #
            #           Loading           #
            # ----- #

            begin fixed displacement
                node set = nodelist_3
                component = Z
            end

            begin fixed displacement
                node set = nodelist_4
                component = X Y
            end

            begin fixed displacement
                node set = nodelist_5

```

```

    component = X Y
end

# ----- #
#   Contact Surfaces   #
# ----- #

begin contact definition

    contact surface surf_101 contains surface_101
    contact surface surf_102 contains surface_102
    contact surface surf_201 contains surface_201
    contact surface surf_202 contains surface_202

    begin constant friction model fric
        friction coefficient = 0.5
    end

    begin interaction
        side A              = surf_102
        side B              = surf_101
        friction model      = fric
    end interaction

    begin interaction
        side A              = surf_202
        side B              = surf_201
        friction model      = fric
    end interaction

end contact definition

### ----- ###
###   Output Variables   ###
### ----- ###

begin results output aOut
    database name = artificialStrain_final.e
    database type = exodusII
    at step 0 increment = 1
    nodal variables = displacement as displ
    nodal variables = contact_status as celement
    nodal variables = contact_normal_traction_magnitude as cfnor
    nodal variables = contact_tangential_traction_magnitude as cftan
    nodal variables = contact_area as carea
    nodal variables = contact_normal_direction as cdirnor
    nodal variables = contact_tangential_direction as cdirtan
    element variables = stress as stress
    global variables = total_iter as itotal
    global variables = timestep as timestep
end

begin solver

    begin loadstep predictor
        type = scale_factor
        scale factor = 0.0
    end

    level 1 predictor = none

    begin control contact
        target relative residual      = 1.0e-3
        maximum iterations            = 100
    end

    begin cg

```

```

        target      relative residual    = 1.0e-4
        maximum iterations                = 100

        begin full tangent preconditioner
            linear solver                  = feti
            conditioning                   = no_check
            small number of iterations     = 15
        end
    end
end

end adagio region aRegion
end adagio procedure aProcedure

end Sierra

```

A.12.3. Prescribed Displacement

```

begin Sierra

    # Metric units are used.
    # - displacement: meters
    # - mass: kilograms
    # - time: seconds
    # - force: kg*m/s^2
    # - temperature: Kelvin

    begin function Disp
        type is piecewise linear
        ordinate is disp
        abscissa is time
        begin values
            0.0      0.0
            0.1      -0.00005
            0.2      -0.0001
            0.3      -0.00015
            0.4      -0.0002
            0.5      -0.00025
            0.6      -0.0003
            0.7      -0.00035
            0.8      -0.0004
            0.9      -0.00045
            1.0      -0.0005      # Absolute length of initial overlap is 0.0005
            1.1      -0.0005
            1.2      -0.0005
            1.3      -0.0005
        end values
        # Start of second time step is 1.3 (where contact is turned on)
    end function Disp

    begin function Disp2
        type is piecewise linear
        ordinate is disp
        abscissa is time
        begin values
            0.0      0.0
            0.1      0.00005
            0.2      0.0001
            0.3      0.00015
            0.4      0.0002
            0.5      0.00025
            0.6      0.0003
            0.7      0.00035
            0.8      0.0004
            0.9      0.00045
        end values
    end function Disp2
end Sierra

```

```

1.0      0.0005      # Absolute length of initial overlap is 0.0005
1.1      0.0005
1.2      0.0005
1.3      0.0005      # Start of second time step is 1.3 (where contact is turned on)
end values
end function Disp2

### ----- ###
###   Materials Specification   ###
### ----- ###

begin material steel_bolt
  density      = 7.89e+03
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 200.e+09
    poissons ratio = 0.29
  end parameters for model elastic
end material steel_bolt

begin material steel_block
  density      = 7.89e+03
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 200.e+09
    poissons ratio = 0.29
  end parameters for model elastic
end material steel_block

### ----- ###
###   Input Mesh and Material Description   ###
### ----- ###

begin finite element model preDisp
  database name = preDisp_final.g
  database type = exodusII

  begin parameters for block block_1
    material steel_block
    model = elastic
  end parameters for block block_1

  begin parameters for block block_2
    material steel_bolt
    model = elastic
  end parameters for block block_2

end finite element model preDisp

### ----- ###
###   Linear Solver   ###
### ----- ###

begin gds equation solver gds
  residual norm tolerance = 5e-2
end
begin feti equation solver feti
  residual norm tolerance = 5e-2
end

### ----- ###
###   Begin Adagio   ###
### ----- ###

begin adagio procedure aProcedure

  ### ----- ###
  ###   Time Control   ###
  ### ----- ###

```

```

begin time control

  begin time stepping block time1
    start time = 0.0
    begin parameters for adagio region aRegion
      number of time steps = 1
    end parameters for adagio region aRegion
  end time stepping block time1

  begin time stepping block time2
    start time = 1.3
    begin parameters for adagio region aRegion
      number of time steps = 1
    end parameters for adagio region aRegion
  end time stepping block time2

  termination time = 2.0

end time control

### ----- ###
###   Adagio Region   ###
### ----- ###

begin adagio region aRegion
  use finite element model preDisp

  ### ----- ###
  ###   Boundary Conditions   ###
  ### ----- ###

  # ----- #
  #   Bolt Preload   #
  # ----- #

begin prescribed displacement
  node set = nodelist_2
  function = Disp
  active periods = time1
  component = Y
end

begin prescribed displacement
  node set = nodelist_1
  function = Disp2
  active periods = time1
  component = Y
end

# ----- #
#   Loading   #
# ----- #

begin fixed displacement
  node set = nodelist_3
  component = Z
end

begin fixed displacement
  node set = nodelist_4
  component = X Y
end

begin fixed displacement
  node set = nodelist_5
  component = X Y

```

```

end

# ----- #
#   Contact Surfaces   #
# ----- #

begin contact definition
  search = dash

  contact surface surf_101 contains surface_101
  contact surface surf_102 contains surface_102
  contact surface surf_201 contains surface_201
  contact surface surf_202 contains surface_202

  begin constant friction model fric
    friction coefficient = 0.5
  end

  begin inactive friction model inactive
  end

  begin time variant model tv
    model = inactive during periods time1
    model = fric during periods time2
  end

  begin interaction
    side A          = surf_102
    side B          = surf_101
    normal tolerance = 1.0e-5
    capture tolerance = 1.0e-6
    friction model   = fric
  end interaction

  begin interaction
    side A          = surf_202
    side B          = surf_201
    normal tolerance = 1.0e-5
    capture tolerance = 1.0e-6
    friction model   = tv
  end interaction

end contact definition

### ----- ###
###   Output Variables   ###
### ----- ###

begin results output aOut
  database name = preDisp_final.e
  database type = exodusII
  at step 0 increment = 1
  nodal variables = displacement as displ
  nodal variables = contact_status as celement
  nodal variables = contact_normal_traction_magnitude as cfnor
  nodal variables = contact_tangential_traction_magnitude as cftan
  nodal variables = contact_area as carea
  nodal variables = contact_normal_direction as cdirnor
  nodal variables = contact_tangential_direction as cdirtan
  element variables = stress as stress
  global variables = total_iter as itotal
  global variables = timestep as timestep
end

begin solver

  begin loadstep predictor

```

```

        type = scale_factor
        scale factor = 0.0 0.0
    end

    level 1 predictor = none

    begin control contact
        target relative residual      = 1.0e-3
        maximum iterations             = 80
    end

    begin cg
        target      relative residual = 1.0e-4
        maximum iterations             = 100
        acceptable residual            = 1.0e+10

        begin full tangent preconditioner
            tangent diagonal scale      = 1.0e-6
            linear solver                = feti
            conditioning                 = no_check
            small number of iterations   = 10
        end
    end
end

end adagio region aRegion
end adagio procedure aProcedure

end Sierra

```

A.12.4. Spring

```

begin Sierra

    # Metric units are used.
    # - displacement: meters
    # - mass: kilograms
    # - time: seconds
    # - force: kg*m/s^2
    # - temperature: Kelvin

    begin function force_strain ## iterate on this version ## pretty much there with preload 5.0667e5
        type is piecewise linear
        ordinate is force
        abscissa is engineering_strain
        begin values
            -0.05      -7.25e5
            -0.025     -4.25e5
            0.0         0.0
            0.025       4.25e5
            0.05        7.25e5
        end values
    end

    begin rigid body TOP_FLANGE
        include nodes in nodelist_101
    end rigid body TOP_FLANGE

    begin rigid body BOTTOM_FLANGE
        include nodes in nodelist_102
    end rigid body BOTTOM_FLANGE

    begin spring section spring_1
        force strain function = force_strain
        default stiffness = 5.0265e8
        preload = 5.0667e5 #DEFAULT
        mass per unit length = 0.0
    end
end

```



```

end

### ----- ###
###   Materials Specification   ###
### ----- ###

begin material steel_bolt
  density      = 7.89e+03
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 200.e+09
    poissons ratio = 0.29
  end parameters for model elastic
end material steel_bolt

begin material steel_block
  density      = 7.89e+03
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 200.e+09
    poissons ratio = 0.29
  end parameters for model elastic
end material steel_block

### ----- ###
###   Input Mesh and Material Description   ###
### ----- ###

begin finite element model mesh1
  Database Name = spring_final.g
  Database Type = exodusII

  begin parameters for block block_1
    material steel_block
    model = elastic
  end parameters for block block_1

  begin parameters for block block_2
    material steel_bolt
    model = elastic
  end parameters for block block_2

  begin parameters for block block_3
    section = spring_1
  end parameters for block block_3

end finite element model mesh1

### ----- ###
###   Linear Solver   ###
### ----- ###

begin gds equation solver gds
  residual norm tolerance = 1e-2
end
begin feti equation solver feti
  residual norm tolerance = 1e-2
end

begin adagio procedure Apst_Procedure

  begin time control
    begin time stepping block p1
      start time = 0.0
      begin parameters for adagio region adagio
        number of time steps = 1
      end parameters for adagio region adagio
    end time stepping block p1
  end

```

```

    termination time = 1.0

end time control

begin adagio region adagio

    use finite element model mesh1

begin Results Output output_adagio
    Database Name = spring_final.e
    At step 0, Increment = 1
    nodal variables = force_contact as force_contact
    nodal variables = force_external as force_external
    nodal variables = force_internal as force_internal
    nodal variables = displacement as displ
    nodal variables = contact_status as celement
    nodal variables = contact_normal_traction_magnitude as cfnor
    nodal variables = contact_tangential_traction_magnitude as cftan
    nodal variables = contact_slip_increment_current as cdtan
    nodal variables = contact_area as carea
    nodal variables = contact_normal_direction as cdirnor
    nodal variables = contact_tangential_direction as cdirtan
    element variables = stress as stress
    element variables = spring_force as spring_force
    element variables = spring_engineering_strain as spring_engineering_strain
    global variables = timestep as timestep
end

### definition of BCs ###

begin fixed displacement
    node set = nodelist_3
    component = Z
end

begin fixed displacement
    node set = nodelist_1
    component = X Y Z
end

begin fixed rotation
    #node set = nodelist_101
    rigid body= BOTTOM_FLANGE
    component = X Y Z
end

begin fixed rotation
    #node set = nodelist_102
    rigid body = TOP_FLANGE
    component = X Y Z
end

begin fixed displacement
    node set = nodelist_2
    component = X Y Z
end

# ----- #
#   Contact Surfaces   #
# ----- #

begin contact definition

    contact surface surf_101 contains surface_101
    contact surface surf_102 contains surface_102
    contact surface surf_201 contains surface_201
    contact surface surf_202 contains surface_202

```

```

begin constant friction model fric
  friction coefficient = 0.5
end

begin interaction
  side A          = surf_102
  side B          = surf_101
  friction model   = fric
end interaction

begin interaction
  side A          = surf_202
  side B          = surf_201
  friction model   = fric
end interaction

end contact definition

begin solver

  begin loadstep predictor
    type = scale_factor
    scale factor = 0.0 0.0
  end

  level 1 predictor = none

  begin control contact
    target relative residual      = 1.0e-3
    target residual                = 1.0e-8
    maximum iterations             = 60
    minimum iterations             = 10
  end

  begin cg
    reference                    = internal
    target      relative residual = 1.0e-4
    target residual              = 1.0e-9
    maximum iterations           = 1000
    minimum step length          = 0.001
    maximum step length          = 100.0
    begin full tangent preconditioner
      tangent diagonal scale      = 1.0e-6
      linear solver                = feti
      conditioning                = no_check
      small number of iterations  = 100
    end
  end
end

end adagio region adagio
end adagio procedure Apst_Procedure

end Sierra

```

A.13. Automated Adaptive Preloading

The following input correspond to examples in Section 3.3.

A.13.1. Bolt Preload

```
begin sierra bolt_preload

#
# To be used with artificial strain BC
#
begin function bolt_preload
  type is analytic
  expression variable: v = element applied_strain
  evaluate expression = "v"
end

begin material linear_elastic
  density = 5.0
  begin parameters for model elastic
    poissons ratio = 0.34
    youngs modulus = 7.427E11
  end parameters for model elastic
end material linear_elastic

begin finite element model mesh1
  Database Name = bolt_load_test.g
  Database Type = exodusII

  begin parameters for block
    include all blocks
    material = linear_elastic
    model = elastic
  end

end finite element model mesh1

begin presto procedure Apst_Procedure

  begin time control
    begin time stepping block p1
      start time = 0.0
      begin parameters for presto region presto
        time step scale factor = 1.0
      end
    end time stepping block p1
    termination time = 1.0e-3
  end time control

  begin presto region presto
    use finite element model mesh1
    ### output description ###
    begin Results Output output_presto
      Database Name = bolt_preload_automatic.e
      Database Type = exodusII
      At time 0.0, interval = 1.0e-4
      nodal Variables = displacement
      nodal Variables = force_contact
      element variables = applied_strain
      global variables = sm_preload_axial_force_block_201
      global variables = sm_preload_axial_force_block_301
      global variables = sm_preload_axial_force_block_401
    end results output output_presto
  end
end
```

```

#
# Output the force and strain results from the preload solver
# for checking.
#
begin history output
  Database Name = bolt_preload_automatic.h
  Database Type = exodusII
  at time 0.0, interval = 1.0e-6
  variable = global sm_preload_axial_force_block_201
  variable = global sm_preload_axial_force_block_301
  variable = global sm_preload_axial_force_block_401
end

begin node based time step parameters
end

begin mass scaling
  include all blocks
  target time step = 4.0e-7
end

#
# Hold bottom of fixture
#
begin fixed displacement
  surface = surface_100
  components = XYZ
end

#
# Bolt to fixture contacts
#
begin contact definition
  contact surface bolt2_tied contains surface_210
  contact surface bolt4_tied contains surface_410
  skin all blocks = on
  begin constant friction model med_fric
    friction coefficient = 0.3
  end
  begin interaction defaults
    general contact = on
    friction model = med_fric
  end
  begin interaction
    side B = bolt2_tied bolt4_tied
    side A = block_100
    friction model = tied
  end
  initial overlap removal = on
end

#
# Damping coefficient to aid the dynamic relaxation quasistatic solver
#
begin viscous damping
  include all blocks
  velocity damping coefficient = 1.0e-3
end

#
# Solver blocks for preload.
Internal reaction measures the force in the bolts, iterate
# the preload to acheive that force.
#
begin preload
  preload bolt block_201 to target internal force = 1e7 in direction global_z
  preload bolt block_301 to target internal force = 4e6 in direction global_y

```

```

        preload bolt block_401 to target internal force = 1.3e7 in direction global_z
        compute internal reaction outputs = on
        iteration time = 5.0e-5
        initial guess = -2.0e-4
    end

    #
    # Optional:
    Verify that the target bolt forces were actually obtained at the end of the run.
    #
    This is present mostly to make this a simple verification test rather than a regression test.
    #
    begin solution verification
        skip times = 0.0 to 0.99e-3
        verify global sm_preload_axial_force_block_201 = 1.0e+7
        verify global sm_preload_axial_force_block_301 = 4.0e+6
        verify global sm_preload_axial_force_block_401 = 1.3e+7
        relative tolerance = 0.025
        completion file = v1
    end

    end presto region presto
end presto procedure Apst_Procedure

end sierra bolt_preload

begin sierra bolt_preload

    #
    # To be used with artificial strain BC
    #
    begin function bolt_preload
        type is analytic
        expression variable: v = element applied_strain
        evaluate expression = "v"
    end

    begin material linear_elastic
        density = 5.0
        begin parameters for model elastic
            poissons ratio = 0.34
            youngs modulus = 7.427E11
        end parameters for model elastic
    end material linear_elastic

    begin finite element model mesh1
        Database Name = bolt_load_test.g
        Database Type = exodusII

        begin parameters for block
            include all blocks
            material = linear_elastic
            model = elastic
        end

    end finite element model mesh1

begin presto procedure Apst_Procedure

    begin time control
        begin time stepping block p1
            start time = 0.0
            begin parameters for presto region presto
                time step scale factor = 1.0
            end
            end time stepping block p1
            termination time = 1.0e-3
        end time control

```

```

begin presto region presto
  use finite element model mesh1
  ### output description ###
  begin Results Output output_presto
    Database Name = bolt_preload_sub.e
    Database Type = exodusII
    #At time 0.0, interval = 1.0e-4
    At time 0.0, interval = 1.0e-5
    nodal Variables = displacement
    nodal Variables = force_contact
    element variables = applied_strain
    global variables = bolt_force_200, bolt_force_300, bolt_force_400
  end results output output_presto

  #
  # Output the force and strain results from the preload solver
  # for checking.
  #
  begin history output
    Database Name = bolt_preload_sub.h
    Database Type = exodusII
    at time 0.0, interval = 1.0e-6
    variable = global bolt_force_200
    variable = global applied_strain_200
    variable = global bolt_force_300
    variable = global applied_strain_300
    variable = global bolt_force_400
    variable = global applied_strain_400
  end

  begin node based time step parameters
  end

  begin mass scaling
    include all blocks
    target time step = 4.0e-7
  end

  #
  # Hold bottom of fixture
  #
  begin fixed displacement
    surface = surface_100
    components = XYZ
  end

  #
  # Bolt to fixture contacts
  #
  begin contact definition
    contact surface bolt2_tied contains surface_210
    contact surface bolt4_tied contains surface_410
    skin all blocks = on
    begin constant friction model med_fric
      friction coefficient = 0.3
    end
    begin interaction defaults
      general contact = on
      friction model = med_fric
    end
    begin interaction
      side B = bolt2_tied bolt4_tied
      side A = block_100
      friction model = tied
    end
    initial overlap removal = on

```

```

end
#
# Damping coefficient to aid the dynamic relaxation quasistatic solver
#
begin viscous damping
  include all blocks
  velocity damping coefficient = 1.0e-3
end
#
# State variables for bolt preload solver subroutine, defined once for all subroutines
#
begin user variable applied_strain
  type = element real length = 1
  use with restart
end
begin user variable bolt_preload_state
  type = element real length = 12
  use with restart
end
#
#
Artificial strain driver, need two of these as bolts have two different orientations.
Alternatively
#
could put this in one block with a variable artificial strain direction, but likely more trouble than it is
# worth
#
begin artificial strain
  block = block_201 block_401
  r function = sierra_constant_function_zero
  s function = sierra_constant_function_zero
  t function = bolt_preload
end
begin artificial strain
  block = block_301
  r function = sierra_constant_function_zero
  s function = bolt_preload
  t function = sierra_constant_function_zero
end
#
# Solver blocks for preload.
Internal reaction measures the force in the bolts, iterate
# the preload to achieve that force.
#
begin user output
  block = block_201
  compute global internal_force_200 as internal reaction in direction 0 0 1
  compute global bolt_force_200 as magnitude of global internal_force_200
  subroutine real parameter: target_value = 1.0e+7
  subroutine real parameter: initial_guess = -3.06e-4
  subroutine real parameter: iteration_time = 5.0e-5
  subroutine string parameter: target_variable = global bolt_force_200
  subroutine string parameter: working_variable = element applied_strain
  subroutine string parameter: state_variable = element bolt_preload_state
  element block subroutine = aupst_preload_solver
  compute at every step
  compute global applied_strain_200 as average of element applied_strain
end

begin user output
  block = block_301
  compute global internal_force_300 as internal reaction in direction 0 1 0
  compute global bolt_force_300 as magnitude of global internal_force_300
  subroutine real parameter: target_value = 4.0e+6
  subroutine real parameter: initial_guess = -8.0e-5
  subroutine real parameter: iteration_time = 5.0e-5
  subroutine string parameter: target_variable = global bolt_force_300
  subroutine string parameter: working_variable = element applied_strain

```



```

    subroutine string parameter: state_variable = element bolt_preload_state
    element block subroutine = aupst_preload_solver
    compute at every step
    compute global applied_strain_300 as average of element applied_strain
end

begin user output
    block = block_401
    compute global internal_force_400 as internal reaction in direction 0 0 1
    compute global bolt_force_400 as magnitude of global internal_force_400
    subroutine real parameter: target_value = 1.3e+7
    subroutine real parameter: initial_guess = -2.95e-4
    subroutine real parameter: iteration_time = 5.0e-5
    subroutine string parameter: target_variable = global bolt_force_400
    subroutine string parameter: working_variable = element applied_strain
    subroutine string parameter: state_variable = element bolt_preload_state
    element block subroutine = aupst_preload_solver
    compute at every step
    compute global applied_strain_400 as average of element applied_strain
end

#
# Optional:
Verify that the target bolt forces were actually obtained at the end of the run.
#
This is present mostly to make this a simple verification test rather than a regression test.
#
begin solution verification
    skip times = 0.0 to 0.99e-3
    #verify global bolt_force_200 = 1.0e+7
    #verify global bolt_force_300 = 4.0e+6
    #verify global bolt_force_400 = 1.3e+7
    relative tolerance = 0.025
    completion file = v1
end

end presto region presto
end presto procedure Apst_Procedure

end sierra bolt_preload

```

A.13.2. Wishbone

```

begin sierra wishbone

#
# To be used with distributed force BC
#
begin function solved_force
    type is analytic
    expression variable: v = global applied_force
    evaluate expression = "v"
end

begin material steelish
    density = 0.1
    begin parameters for model elastic_plastic
        poissons ratio = 0.34
        youngs modulus = 7.427E11
        yield stress = 3.0e+7
        hardening modulus = 1.0e+10
    end parameters for model elastic_plastic
end

begin finite element model mesh1
    Database Name = wishbone.g
    Database Type = exodusII

```

```

begin parameters for block
  include all blocks
  material = steelish
  model = elastic_plastic
end

end finite element model mesh1

begin adagio procedure Apst_Procedure

  begin time control
    begin time stepping block p1
      start time = 0.0
      begin parameters for adagio region adagio
        time increment = 1.0e-5
      end
    end time stepping block p1
    termination time = 2.0e-3
  end time control

  begin adagio region adagio
    use finite element model mesh1

    begin Results Output output_adagio
      Database Name = wishbone.e
      Database Type = exodusII
      At time 0.0, interval = 1.0e-4
      nodal Variables = displacement
      element variables = eqps
    end results output output_adagio

    begin history output
      Database Name = wishbone.h
      Database Type = exodusII
      at time 0.0, interval = 1.0e-6
      variable = global applied_force
      variable = global curDisp
      variable = global force_left
      variable = global force_right
    end
    #
    # make plane stress
    #
    begin fixed displacement
      include all blocks
      components = z
    end
    #
    # Add symmetry planes to make statically determinate
    #
    begin fixed displacement
      node set = nodelist_100
      component = x
    end
    begin fixed displacement
      node set = nodelist_200
      component = y
    end
    #
    # State variables for preload solver subroutine
    #
    begin user variable applied_force
      type = global real length = 1
      initial value = 0.0
      global operator= max
    end
    begin user variable preload_solver_state

```

```

        type = global real length = 12
        global operator= max
    end
    #
    #
    Apply a distributed force to the two end holes to mimic load pins, apply force to reach a target deformation
    #
    begin distributed force
        node set = nodelist_1
        function = solved_force
        scale factor = -1.0
        component = x
    end
    begin distributed force
        node set = nodelist_2
        function = solved_force
        scale factor = 1.0
        component = x
    end
    #
    # Solver blocks for preload. Tune applied force to reach a target displacement
    #
    begin user output
        node set = nodelist_1
        compute global disp_left as average of nodal displacement(x)
        compute global force_left as sum of nodal force_external(x)
        compute at every step
    end
    begin user output
        node set = nodelist_2
        compute global disp_right as average of nodal displacement(x)
        compute global force_right as sum of nodal force_external(x)
        compute at every step
    end
    end

    begin user output
        compute global curDisp from expression "disp_right - disp_left"
        subroutine real parameter: target_value = 0.2
        subroutine real parameter: initial_guess = 3.0e+6
        subroutine real parameter: iteration_time = 2.0e-4
        subroutine string parameter: target_variable = global curDisp
        subroutine string parameter: working_variable = global applied_force
        subroutine string parameter: state_variable = global preload_solver_state
        element block subroutine = aupst_preload_solver
        compute at every step
    end
    #
    # Optional:
    Verify that the target bolt forces were actually obtained at the end of the run.
    #
    This is present mostly to make this a simple verification test rather than a regression test.
    #
    begin solution verification
        skip times = 0.0 to 1.9e-3
        verify global curDisp = 0.2
        relative tolerance = 0.025
        completion file = v2
    end
    end

    begin solver
        begin cg
            begin full tangent preconditioner
            end
        end
    end
    end

    end adagio region adagio
end adagio procedure Apst_Procedure

```

end sierra wishbone

A.14. Overlap Removal

The following inputs correspond to examples in Section 3.4.

```
{Ym=64e9}
{Pr=0.2}
{E11=64e9}
{E22=64e9}
{E33=64e9}
{NU12=.2}
{NU13=.2}
{NU23=.2}

begin Sierra

begin function ramp1
  type is piecewise linear
  begin values
    0.0 1.0
    1.0e-6 0.75
    2.0e-6 1.0
  end
end

define point pt with coordinates 0.0 0.0 0.0
define point xx with coordinates 1.0 0.0 0.0
define point yy with coordinates 0.0 1.0 0.0
define point zz with coordinates 0.0 0.0 1.0
define point pt1 with coordinates 0.75 0.0 0.0
define point xx1 with coordinates 1.75 0.0 0.0
define point yy1 with coordinates 0.75 1.0 0.0
define point zz1 with coordinates 0.75 0.0 1.0
define point pt2 with coordinates 1.5 0.0 0.0
define point xx2 with coordinates 2.5 0.0 0.0
define point yy2 with coordinates 1.5 1.0 0.0
define point zz2 with coordinates 1.5 0.0 1.0

begin cylindrical coordinate system cylind
  origin      = 0.0 0.0 0.0
  z vector    = 0.0 0.0 1.0
  xz vector   = 1.0 0.0 0.0
end

begin material mat
  density      = .5

  begin parameters for model elastic_orthotropic
    youngs modulus = {Ym}
    poissons ratio = {Pr}
    E11 = {E11}
    E22 = {E22}
    E33 = {E33}
    NU12 = {NU12}
    NU13 = {NU13}
    NU23 = {NU23}
    G12 = {E11/(2*(1+NU12))}
    G13 = {E11/(2*(1+NU13))}
    G23 = {E11/(2*(1+NU23))}
    coordinate system = cylind
  end
end

# section data -----
begin solid section hexes
end

# FE model -----
```

```

begin finite element model slender_beam
  database name = overlap_removal.g
  database type = exodusII

  begin parameters for block block_1
    material mat
    model = elastic_orthotropic
    section = hexes
  end parameters for block block_1

  begin parameters for block block_2
    material mat
    model = elastic_orthotropic
    section = hexes
  end parameters for block block_2

end finite element model slender_beam

# procedure data -----

begin presto procedure beam_procedure

  begin time control

    begin time stepping block
      start time = 0.0
      begin parameters for presto region beam_region

        end parameters for presto region beam_region
      end time stepping block

      termination time = 2e-6

    end time control

    begin presto region beam_region

      use finite element model slender_beam

      # BC data -----

      begin contact definition
        skin all blocks = on
        begin interaction defaults
          general contact = on
        end interaction defaults
        BEGIN REMOVE INITIAL OVERLAP
          OVERLAP NORMAL TOLERANCE = 0.1
          OVERLAP TANGENTIAL TOLERANCE = 0.1
          OVERLAP ITERATIONS = 500
          DEBUG ITERATION PLOT = off
        END REMOVE INITIAL OVERLAP
      end contact definition

      # BC data -----

    begin results output
      database name = overlap_removal.e
      database type = exodusII
      at time 0.0 increment = 1e-10
      nodal variables = displacement as displ
      nodal variables = velocity as velo
    end results output
  end presto procedure beam_procedure

```

```

        nodal variables = damage
        nodal variables = removed_overlap
        element variables = stress_degradation
        element variables = max_principal_strain
        element variables = effective_strain
        element variables = effective_log_strain
        element variables = stress as elem_stress
        element variables = max_principal_stress
        element variables = max_principal_stress_direction as mpsdir
        element variables = log_strain
        element variables = temperature as tempE
        element variables = material_direction_1
        element variables = material_direction_2
        element variables = material_direction_3
        global variables = timestep
        global variables = internal_energy
        global variables = kinetic_energy
        global variables = strain_energy
    end

    # RXNDIFF data -----

    end presto region beam_region

    end presto procedure beam_procedure

end sierra

```

A.14.1. Overlap Removal using Artificial Strain and General Contact

```

#{Ym=64e9}
#{Pr=0.2}
#{E11=64e9}
#{E22=64e9}
#{E33=64e9}
#{NU12=.2}
#{NU13=.2}
#{NU23=.2}

begin Sierra

    begin function ramp1
        type is piecewise linear
        begin values
            0.0 1.0
            1.0e-6 0.75
            2.0e-6 1.0
        end
    end

    define point pt with coordinates 0.0 0.0 0.0
    define point xx with coordinates 1.0 0.0 0.0
    define point yy with coordinates 0.0 1.0 0.0
    define point zz with coordinates 0.0 0.0 1.0

    begin cylindrical coordinate system cylind
        origin = 0.0 0.0 0.0
        z point = 0.0 0.0 1.0
        xz point = 1.0 0.0 0.0
    end

    begin material mat
        density = .2

        begin parameters for model elastic_orthotropic

```

```

        youngs modulus = {Ym}
        poissons ratio = {Pr}
        E11 = {E11}
        E22 = {E22}
        E33 = {E33}
        NU12 = {NU12}
        NU13 = {NU13}
        NU23 = {NU23}
        G12 = {E11/(2*(1+NU12))}
        G13 = {E11/(2*(1+NU13))}
        G23 = {E11/(2*(1+NU23))}
        coordinate system = cylind
    end
end

# section data -----
begin solid section hexes

end

# FE model -----

begin finite element model slender_beam
    database name = overlap_removal_strain.g
    database type = exodusII

    begin parameters for block block_1
        material mat
        model = elastic_orthotropic
        section = hexes
    end parameters for block block_1

    begin parameters for block block_2
        material mat
        model = elastic_orthotropic
        section = hexes
    end parameters for block block_2

end finite element model slender_beam

# procedure data -----

begin presto procedure beam_procedure

    begin time control

        begin time stepping block p1
            start time = 0.0
            begin parameters for presto region beam_region

                end parameters for presto region beam_region
            end time stepping block p1
        begin time stepping block p2
            start time = 1e-6
            begin parameters for presto region beam_region
                end parameters for presto region beam_region
            end time stepping block p2

        termination time = 2e-6

    end time control

    begin presto region beam_region

        use finite element model slender_beam

    end presto region beam_region

# BC data -----

```



```

begin artificial strain b1
  include all blocks
  direction field material_direction_1 Function = ramp1
end

begin contact definition
  active periods = p2
  skin all blocks = on
  begin interaction defaults
    general contact = on
  end interaction defaults
end contact definition

# BC data -----

begin results output
  database name = overlap_removal_strain.e
  database type = exodusII
  at time 0.0 increment = 2e-5
  nodal variables = displacement as displ
  nodal variables = velocity as velo
  nodal variables = damage
  nodal variables = removed_overlap
  element variables = stress_degradation
  element variables = max_principal_strain
  element variables = effective_strain
  element variables = effective_log_strain
  element variables = stress as elem_stress
  element variables = max_principal_stress
  element variables = max_principal_stress_direction as mpsdir
  element variables = log_strain
  element variables = temperature as tempE
  element variables = material_direction_1
  element variables = material_direction_2
  element variables = material_direction_3
  global variables = timestep
  global variables = internal_energy
  global variables = kinetic_energy
  global variables = strain_energy
end

  end presto region beam_region

end presto procedure beam_procedure

end sierra

```

A.15. Remeshing

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 3.5](#).

```
## mesh step      = {step = 4}
## start time     = {start_time = 0.0}
## end time       = {end_time = 10.0} # large value (solution termination ends each analysis)
## load step size = {load_step_size = 0.00125}

begin sierra weld_specimen

  title Weld Tensile Specimen Gage Section

  {if (step > 1)}
    restart time = {start_time}
  {endif}

  begin function applied_velocity
    type = analytic
    evaluate expression = "0.5;"
  end function applied_velocity

  begin function YOUNGS_MODULUS
    type is piecewise linear
    ordinate is value
    abscissa is temperature
    begin values
      273.0  1.0
      5000.0 1.0
    end values
  end function YOUNGS_MODULUS

  begin function POISSONS_RATIO
    type is piecewise linear
    ordinate is value
    abscissa is temperature
    begin values
      273.0  1.0
      5000.0 1.0
    end values
  end function POISSONS_RATIO

  #
  # ONLY HYPO-ELASTIC MATERIALS
  # WORK IN REMESHING/MAPPING RIGHT NOW
  #
  begin material mat_1
    density = 1.0
    begin parameters for model BCJ_MEM
      YOUNGS MODULUS = 28.0e6
      POISSONS RATIO = 0.27
      RATE INDEPENDENT YIELD CONSTANT = 232060.
      ISOTROPIC DYNAMIC RECOVERY CONSTANT = 1.0e-4
      ISOTROPIC HARDENING CONSTANT = 71358.5
      DAMAGE EXPONENT = 1.0
      IMPLICIT DAMAGE SOLVER NUMBER OF ITERATIONS = 200.
      IMPLICIT DAMAGE SOLVER RESIDUAL TOLERANCE = 1.e-10
      SEMI IMPLICIT PLASTIC STRAIN SOLVER NUMBER OF ITERATIONS = 1000.
      SEMI IMPLICIT PLASTIC STRAIN SOLVER RESIDUAL TOLERANCE = 1.e-8
      INITIAL DAMAGE = 0.
      YOUNGS MODULUS FUNCTION = YOUNGS_MODULUS
      POISSONS RATIO FUNCTION = POISSONS_RATIO
      TEMPERATURE OPTION = 1.0
      PLASTIC DISSIPATION FACTOR = 0.0
      DENSITY FOR PLASTIC DISSIPATION CALCULATIONS = 1.0
      SPECIFIC HEAT FOR PLASTIC DISSIPATION CALCULATIONS = 1.0
      INITIAL TEMPERATURE FOR UNCOUPLED ADIABATIC HEATING = 273.0
```

```

        end parameters for model BCJ_MEM
    end material mat_1

#
# ONLY UPDATED LAGRANGE WITH MIDPOINT STRAIN INCREMENTATION
# WORKS IN REMESHING/MAPPING RIGHT NOW
#
begin solid section solid_1
    formulation = selective_deviatoric
    deviatoric parameter = 1
    strain incrementation = midpoint_increment
end solid section solid_1

{if (step == 1)}
    begin finite element model send_fem
        Database name = neckingBar.{step-1}.g
        Database type = exodusII
        begin parameters for block block_1
            material = mat_1
            model = bcj_mem
            section = solid_1
        end parameters for block block_1
    end finite element model send_fem
{else}
    begin finite element model send_fem
        Database name = neckingBar.{step-2}.g
        Database type = exodusII
        begin parameters for block block_1
            material = mat_1
            model = bcj_mem
            section = solid_1
        end parameters for block block_1
    end finite element model send_fem

    begin finite element model recv_fem
        Database name = neckingBar.{step-1}.g
        Database type = exodusII
        begin parameters for block block_1
            material = mat_1
            model = bcj_mem
            section = solid_1
        end parameters for block block_1
    end finite element model recv_fem
{endif}

    begin adagio procedure procedure_1

        begin time control
            begin time stepping block p0
                start time = 0.0
                begin parameters for adagio region region_1
                    time increment = {load_step_size}
                end parameters for adagio region region_1
            end time stepping block p0
        {if (step > 1)}
            termination time = {start_time}
        {else}
            termination time = {end_time}
        {endif}
        end time control

        begin adagio region region_1
            use finite element model send_fem

            begin restart data
        {if (step > 1)}
            input database name = analysis.{step-1}.rsout
        {else}

```

```

        output database name = analysis.{step}.rsout
        at time 0.0 interval = {load_step_size}
    {endif}
    end restart data

    # symmetry in y
    begin fixed displacement
        node set = nodelist_3
        components = y
    end fixed displacement

    # symmetry in z
    begin fixed displacement
        node set = nodelist_1
        component = z
    end fixed displacement

    # symmetry in x
    begin fixed displacement
        node set = nodelist_2
        component = x
    end fixed displacement

    # applied velocity in y
    begin prescribed velocity
        node set = nodelist_4
        component = y
        function = applied_velocity
    end prescribed velocity

    {if (step == 1)}
        begin user output
            surface = surface_4
            compute global end_disp1 as average of nodal displacement(2)
        end user output

        begin user output
            surface = surface_4
            compute global load as sum of nodal force_internal(2)
        end user output

        begin user output
            compute global eqps_max as max of element eqps
            compute at every step
        end

        #
        # this controls the remeshing interval
        #
        begin solution termination
            terminate global eqps_max >= {step * 0.3} # remesh every time eqps increases by 0.3
            tolerance = 1.0e-6
            terminate type = entire_run
        end solution termination

        begin heartbeat output load_disp_out
            stream name = neckingBar.{step}.dat
            at time 0.0 increment = {load_step_size}
            format = original
            global time
            global end_disp1
            global load
            labels = off
            timestamp format ""
        end heartbeat output load_disp_out

        begin results output adagio_output
            database name = analysis.{step}.e

```

```

        database type = exodusii
        at time 0.0 increment = {load_step_size}
        nodal variables = displacement
        nodal variables = reaction
        nodal variables = force_internal
        nodal variables = force_external
        element variables = stress
        element variables = hydrostatic_stress
        element variables = left_stretch
        element variables = rotation
        element variables = unrotated_stress
        element variables = eqps
        element variables = element_shape
        element variables = nodal_jacobian_ratio
    end results output adagio_output
endif)

begin solver
    Begin cg
        reference = external
        target    relative residual = 1.0E-10
        target    residual          = 1.0E-9
        Maximum Iterations          = 2000
        Minimum Iterations          = 3
        begin full tangent preconditioner
            linear solver = feti
            iteration update = 10
        end
    end
end solver

end adagio region region_1

end adagio procedure procedure_1

{if (step > 1)}
    begin adagio procedure procedure_2

        begin procedural transfer migration1
            begin l2_projection transfer fred
                send blocks = block_1
                receive blocks = block_1
                transformation type = element2element
                send coordinates = current
                receive coordinates = original
                linear solver = feti_parallel_direct
            #
                linear solver = gds
            end l2_projection transfer fred
        end procedural transfer migration1

        begin time control
            begin time stepping block p0
                start time = {start_time}
                begin parameters for adagio region region_2
                    time increment = {load_step_size}
                end parameters for adagio region region_2
            end time stepping block p0
            termination time = {end_time}
        end time control

        begin adagio region region_2
            use finite element model recv_fem

            begin restart data
                output database name = analysis.{step}.rsout
                at time 0.0 interval = {load_step_size}
            end restart data
        end
    end
endif

```

```

# symmetry in y
begin fixed displacement
  node set = nodelist_3
  components = y
end fixed displacement

# symmetry in z
begin fixed displacement
  node set = nodelist_1
  component = z
end fixed displacement

# symmetry in x
begin fixed displacement
  node set = nodelist_2
  component = x
end fixed displacement

# applied velocity in y
begin prescribed velocity
  node set = nodelist_4
  component = y
  function = applied_velocity
end prescribed velocity

begin user output
  surface = surface_4
  compute global end_displ as average of nodal displacement(2)
end user output

begin user output
  surface = surface_4
  compute global load as sum of nodal force_internal(2)
end user output

begin user output
  compute global eqps_max as max of element eqps
  compute at every step
end

#
# this controls the remeshing interval
#
begin solution termination
  terminate global eqps_max >= {step * 0.3} # remesh every time eqps increases by 0.3
  tolerance = 1.0e-6
  terminate type = entire_run
end solution termination

begin heartbeat output load_disp_out
  stream name = neckingBar.{step}.dat
  at time 0.0 increment = {load_step_size}
  format = original
  global time
  global end_displ
  global load
  labels = off
  timestamp format ""
end heartbeat output load_disp_out

begin results output adagio_output
  database name = analysis.{step}.e
  database type = exodusii
  at time 0.0 increment = {load_step_size}
  nodal variables = displacement
  nodal variables = reaction
  nodal variables = force_internal
  nodal variables = force_external

```

```

        element variables = stress
        element variables = hydrostatic_stress
        element variables = left_stretch
        element variables = rotation
        element variables = unrotated_stress
        element variables = eqps
        element variables = element_shape
        element variables = nodal_jacobian_ratio
    end results output adagio_output

    begin solver
        Begin cg
            reference = external
            target    relative residual = 1.0E-10
            target    residual          = 1.0E-9
            Maximum Iterations          = 2000
            Minimum Iterations          = 3
            begin full tangent preconditioner
                linear solver = feti
                iteration update = 10
            end
        end
    end solver

    end adagio region region_2

    end adagio procedure procedure_2
{endif}

begin gds equation solver gds
end
begin feti equation solver feti
end

begin feti equation solver feti_parallel_direct # for projection
    param-string "debugMask" value "solver"
    corner algorithm = 9
end

end sierra weld_specimen

```

A.16. Frame Indifference

This input corresponds to the example in [Section 3.6](#).

```
Begin Sierra Frame
#### Title Frame-Indifference Verification Test
#####

define direction z with vector 0.0 0.0 1

DEFINE POINT origin WITH COORDINATES 0.0 0.0 0.0
DEFINE POINT along_z WITH COORDINATES 0.0 0.0 1.0
DEFINE POINT along_x WITH COORDINATES 1.0 0.0 0.0
DEFINE AXIS z_axis WITH POINT origin DIRECTION z

#### Define Functions
Begin Function Rotate
  Type = Analytic
  Evaluate Expression = "1.57079632679"
End Function Rotate

Begin Function art_strain_X
  Type = Piecewise Linear
  Abscissa = Time
  Ordinate = Strain
  Begin Values
    0.00 0.00
    1.00 1E-3
  End Values
End Function art_strain_X

Begin Function art_strain_Y
  Type = Piecewise Linear
  Abscissa = Time
  Ordinate = Strain
  Begin Values
    0.00 0.00
    1.00 0.00
  End Values
End Function art_strain_Y

Begin Function art_strain_Z
  Type = Piecewise Linear
  Abscissa = Time
  Ordinate = Strain
  Begin Values
    0.00 0.00
    1.00 0.00
  End Values
End Function art_strain_Z

#####
#### Define Material Properties

#### Steel
Begin material Steel
  density = 7871.966988
  Begin Parameters for Model Elastic_Plastic
    Youngs Modulus = 1.999479615E+11
    Poissons Ratio = 0.33333
    Yield Stress = 275790291.7
    Hardening Modulus = 275790291.7
  end Parameters For Model Elastic_Plastic
  Artificial Engineering Strain X Function = art_strain_X
  Artificial Engineering Strain Y Function = art_strain_Y
  Artificial Engineering Strain Z Function = art_strain_Z
end material Steel
```



```

#####

#### Define Finite Element Model
Begin Finite Element Model block_rotate
  Database Name = Frame_Ind.g
  Database Type = ExodusII

#### Define Blocks
Begin Parameters For Block block_1
  Material Steel
  Model = Elastic_Plastic
End Parameters For Block block_1
End Finite Element Model block_rotate

#####
Begin Adagio Procedure calculations

#### Define Time and Time Step
Begin Time Control
  Begin Time Stepping Block Timestep1
    Start Time = 0.0
    Begin Parameters For Adagio Region Problem
      #Step Interval = 100
      Number of time steps = 50
    End Parameters For Adagio Region Problem
  End Time Stepping Block Timestep1
  Begin Time Stepping Block Timestep2
    Start Time = 1.0
    Begin Parameters For Adagio Region Problem
      #Step Interval = 100
      Number of time steps = 50
    End Parameters For Adagio Region Problem
  End Time Stepping Block Timestep2
  Termination Time = 2.0
End Time Control

#####
Begin Adagio Region Problem
  Use Finite Element Model block_rotate

#####

#### BCs
Begin Fixed Displacement
  node set = nodeset_6 nodeset_4
  Components = Y X Z
  Active Periods = Timestep1 Timestep2
End Fixed Displacement

Begin Prescribed Velocity
  Surface = sideset_1
  Cylindrical Axis = z_axis
  Function = Rotate
  Scale Factor = 1
  Active Periods = Timestep2
End Prescribed Velocity

#####
Begin User Output
  compute global max_abs_stress_xx as max absolute value of element stress(xx)
  compute global max_abs_stress_yy as max absolute value of element stress(yy)
  compute global max_abs_stress_zz as max absolute value of element stress(zz)
  compute global max_abs_stress_xy as max absolute value of element stress(xy)

  compute global stressxxnorm from expression "max_abs_stress_xx/3E8"
  compute global stressyyynorm from expression "max_abs_stress_yy/3E8"
  compute global stresszznorm from expression "max_abs_stress_zz/3E8"
  compute global stressxynorm from expression "max_abs_stress_xy/3E8"

```

```

End User Output

Begin Heartbeat Output normalized
  Stream Name = FrameInd.csv
  Format = SpyHis
  Start Time = 0.0
  At Time 0 Increment = 0.005
  Termination Time = 2.0
  Global stressxxnorm as stressxxnorm
  Global stressyynorm as stressyynorm
  Global stresszznorm as stresszznorm
  Global stressxynorm as stressxynorm
End Heartbeat Output normalized

Begin Results Output block_spin_output
  Database Name = Frame_Ind.e
  Database Type = ExodusII
  At Step 0 Increment = 2
  Nodal Variables = Acceleration As Accel
  Nodal variables = Velocity As Vel
  Nodal Variables = Displacement As Displ
  Nodal Variables = Force_External As Force
  Element Variables = Stress As Stress
  Element Variables = Log_Strain As logstra
  Element Variables = Von_Mises As VonMises
  Element Variables = Effective_Log_Strain As ELS
  Global Variables = stressxxnorm as stressxxnorm
  Global Variables = stressyynorm as stressyynorm
  Global Variables = stresszznorm as stresszznorm
  Global Variables = stressxynorm as stressxynorm

  #Global Variables = max_abs_stress_xx as max_stress_xx
  #Global Variables = max_abs_stress_yy as max_stress_yy
  #Global Variables = max_abs_stress_zz as max_stress_zz
  #Global Variables = max_abs_stress_xy as max_stress_xy

End Results Output block_spin_output

#####
begin solver
  begin cg
    target relative residual = 1.0E-5
    maximum iterations = 1000
    acceptable residual = 1.0e+10
    begin full tangent preconditioner
    end full tangent preconditioner
  end
end

#####

End Adagio Region Problem
End Adagio Procedure calculations
End Sierra Frame

```

A.17. Cohesive Zone Models

The following inputs correspond to examples in [Section 3.7](#).

A.17.1. Meshed Cohesive Zones

```
begin sierra cohesive_dcb

begin function ramp
  type is piecewise linear
  begin values
    0.0  0.0
    1.0  1.0
  end values
end function ramp

begin function spring_restore
  type is piecewise linear
  ordinate is load
  abscissa is time
  begin values
    0.0  0.0
    0.05 1.0
  end values
end function spring_restore

define direction y with vector 0.0 1.0 0.0

begin material test
  density      = 7.3240e-4
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 30.e5
    poissons ratio = 0.3
  end parameters for model elastic
  begin parameters for model tvergaard_hutchinson
    lambda_1 = 0.5
    lambda_2 = 0.5
    normal length scale = 0.1
    tangential length scale = 0.1
    peak traction = 50.0e3
    penetration stiffness multiplier = 1.0
    use elastic unloading = no
  end parameters for model tvergaard_hutchinson
end material test

begin cohesive section cohesive_sect
end cohesive section cohesive_sect

begin finite element model mesh1
  Database Name = curved_plates.g
  Database Type = exodusII
  begin parameters for block block_1 block_3
    material = test
    model = elastic
  end
  begin parameters for block block_2
    material = test
    model = tvergaard_hutchinson
    section = cohesive_sect
  end
end finite element model mesh1

begin presto procedure Apst_Procedure
```

```

begin time control
  begin time stepping block p1
    start time = 0.0
    begin parameters for presto region presto
      time step scale factor = 1.0
    end parameters for presto region presto
  end time stepping block p1
  termination time = 2e-4
end time control

begin presto region presto

  use finite element model mesh1

  ### output description ###
  begin Results Output output_presto
    Database Name = curved_plates.e
    Database Type = exodusII
    At Time 0.0, Increment = 1.0E-5
    nodal Variables = force_external as f_ext
    nodal Variables = force_internal as f_int
    nodal Variables = velocity as vel
    nodal Variables = acceleration as acc
    nodal Variables = displacement as displ
    nodal variables = force_contact
    global Variables = tot_fracture_area
    element Variables = stress as str
    element Variables = von_mises as vm
    element Variables = cse_traction
    element Variables = cse_separation
    element variables = death_status
    global variables = external_energy as ExternalEnergy
    global variables = internal_energy as InternalEnergy
    global variables = kinetic_energy as KineticEnergy
    global variables = momentum as Momentum
    global variables = timestep as TIMESTEP
  end results output output_presto

  ### definition of BCs ###

  begin fixed displacement
    surface = surface_1
    components = x y z
  end fixed displacement

  begin prescribed displacement
    surface = surface_2
    direction = y
    function = ramp
    scale factor = -1000
  end prescribed displacement

  end presto region presto
end presto procedure Apst_Procedure

end sierra cohesive_dcb

```

A.17.2. Contact Cohesive Zones

```

begin sierra cohesive_dcb

  begin function ramp
    type is piecewise linear
    begin values
      0.0  0.0
      1.0  1.0
    end values
  end function ramp
end sierra cohesive_dcb

```

```

end function ramp

begin function spring_restore
  type is piecewise linear
  ordinate is load
  abscissa is time
  begin values
    0.0  0.0
    0.05 1.0
  end values
end function spring_restore

define direction y with vector 0.0 1.0 0.0

begin material test
  density      = 7.3240e-4
  begin parameters for model elastic
    youngs modulus = 30.e5
    poissons ratio = 0.3
  end parameters for model elastic
  begin parameters for model tvergaard_hutchinson
    lambda_1 = 0.5
    lambda_2 = 0.5
    normal length scale = 0.1
    tangential length scale = 0.1
    peak traction = 50.0e3
    penetration stiffness multiplier = 1.0
    use elastic unloading = no
  end parameters for model tvergaard_hutchinson
end material test

begin cohesive section cohesive_sect
end cohesive section cohesive_sect

begin finite element model mesh1
  Database Name = curved_plates.g
  Database Type = exodusII
  begin parameters for block block_1 block_2
    material = test
    model = elastic
  end
end finite element model mesh1

begin presto procedure Apst_Procedure
  begin time control
    begin time stepping block p1
      start time = 0.0
      begin parameters for presto region presto
        time step scale factor = 1.0
      end parameters for presto region presto
    end time stepping block p1
    termination time = 2e-4
  end time control

  begin presto region presto

    use finite element model mesh1

    ### output description ###
    begin Results Output output_presto
      Database Name = curved_plates.e
      Database Type = exodusII
      At Time 0.0, Increment = 1.0E-5
      nodal Variables = force_external as f_ext
      nodal Variables = force_internal as f_int
      nodal Variables = velocity as vel
    end Results Output output_presto
  end presto region presto
end presto procedure Apst_Procedure

```

```

    nodal Variables = acceleration as acc
    nodal Variables = displacement as displ
    nodal variables = force_contact
    global Variables = tot_fracture_area
    element Variables = stress as str
    element Variables = von_mises as vm
    element Variables = cse_traction
    element Variables = cse_separation
    element variables = death_status
    global variables = external_energy as ExternalEnergy
    global variables = internal_energy as InternalEnergy
    global variables = kinetic_energy as KineticEnergy
    global variables = momentum as Momentum
    global variables = timestep as TIMESTEP
end results output output_presto

### definition of BCs ###

begin fixed displacement
    surface = surface_1
    components = x y z
end fixed displacement

begin prescribed displacement
    surface = surface_2
    direction = y
    function = ramp
    scale factor = -1000
end prescribed displacement

begin contact definition
    skin all blocks = on
    begin cohesive zone model cohesive_zone
        critical normal gap = 0.05
        critical tangential gap = 0.05
        traction displacement function = spring_restore
        traction displacement scale factor = 2.5E+04
    end cohesive zone model cohesive_zone
    begin interaction
        surfaces = block_1 block_2
        friction model = cohesive_zone
    end interaction
end contact definition

end presto region presto
end presto procedure Apst_Procedure

end sierra cohesive_dcb

```

A.17.3. XFEM Cohesive Zones

```

begin sierra cohesive_dcb

    begin function ramp
        type is piecewise linear
        begin values
            0.0  0.0
            1.0  1.0
        end values
    end function ramp

    begin function spring_restore
        type is piecewise linear
        ordinate is load
        abscissa is time
        begin values
            0.0  0.0

```

```

    0.05 1.0
end values
end function spring_restore

define direction y with vector 0.0 1.0 0.0

begin material test
    density = 7.3240e-4
    begin parameters for model elastic
        youngs modulus = 30.e5
        poissons ratio = 0.3
    end parameters for model elastic
    begin parameters for model tvergaard_hutchinson
        lambda_1 = 0.5
        lambda_2 = 0.5
        normal length scale = 0.1
        tangential length scale = 0.1
        peak traction = 50.0e3
        penetration stiffness multiplier = 1.0
        use elastic unloading = no
    end parameters for model tvergaard_hutchinson
end material test

begin cohesive section cohesive_sect
end cohesive section cohesive_sect

begin finite element model mesh1
    Database Name = curved_plates.g
    Database Type = exodusII
    begin parameters for block block_1
        material = test
        model = elastic
    end
end finite element model mesh1

begin presto procedure Apst_Procedure
    begin time control
        begin time stepping block p1
            start time = 0.0
            begin parameters for presto region presto
                time step scale factor = 1.0
            end parameters for presto region presto
        end time stepping block p1
        termination time = 2e-4
    end time control

    begin presto region presto

        use finite element model mesh1

        ### output description ###
        begin Results Output output_presto
            Database Name = curved_plates.e
            Database Type = exodusII
            At Time 0.0, Increment = 1.0E-5
            nodal Variables = force_external as f_ext
            nodal Variables = force_internal as f_int
            nodal Variables = velocity as vel
            nodal Variables = acceleration as acc
            nodal Variables = displacement as displ
            nodal variables = force_contact
            global Variables = tot_fracture_area
            element Variables = stress as str
            element Variables = von_mises as vm
            element Variables = cse_traction
            element Variables = cse_separation

```

```

    element variables = death_status
    global variables = external_energy as ExternalEnergy
    global variables = internal_energy as InternalEnergy
    global variables = kinetic_energy as KineticEnergy
    global variables = momentum as Momentum
    global variables = timestep as TIMESTEP
end results output output_presto

### definition of BCs ###

begin XFEM xfem1
    include all blocks
    initial cut with sideset surface_3
    initial surface cohesive = true
    cohesive section = cohesive_sect
    cohesive material = test
    cohesive model = tvergaard_hutchinson
    volume fraction lower bound = 1.0e-6
end

begin fixed displacement
    surface = surface_1
    components = x y z
end fixed displacement

begin prescribed displacement
    surface = surface_2
    direction = y
    function = ramp
    scale factor = -1000
end prescribed displacement

end presto region presto
end presto procedure Apst_Procedure

end sierra cohesive_dcb

```

A.17.4. Nonlocal Averaging

```

begin sierra CantileverBeam

    title This problem imposes a constant gravitational acceleration on a bar along with an initial velocity.

    begin function gravity_accel
        type is constant
        begin values
            9.81
        end values
    end function gravity_accel

    define direction z_axis with vector 0.0 0.0 1.0

    begin presto procedure Apst_Procedure

        begin time control
            begin time stepping block p1
                start time = 0.0
                begin parameters for presto region presto
                    time step scale factor = 1.0
                    time step increase factor = 2.0
                    step interval = 1
                    initial time step = 0.00001
                end parameters for presto region presto
            end time stepping block p1

            termination time = 1.e-3

```



```

end time control

begin presto region presto

    use finite element model FEM_Name

    ### output description ###
    begin results output output_presto
        database name = fext_gravity_bar.e
        database type = exodusII
        at time 0.0 Increment = 0.5e-4
        nodal variables = force_external as f_ext
        nodal variables = force_internal as f_int
        nodal variables = velocity as vel
        nodal variables = acceleration as acc
        nodal variables = displacement as displ
        element variables = stress as stress
        global variables = timestep as timestep
        global variables = external_energy as ExternalEnergy
        global variables = internal_energy as InternalEnergy
        global variables = kinetic_energy as KineticEnergy
        global variables = momentum as Momentum
    end results output output_presto

    ### definition of BCs ###

    ### definition of loads ###

    begin gravity
        function = gravity_accel
        scale factor = -1.0
        direction = z_axis
        gravitational constant = 1.0
    end gravity

    begin fixed displacement fixed
        component = x y z
        surface = surface_1
    end fixed displacement fixed
    begin prescribed force pf
        component = y
        surface = surface_2
        function = sierra_constant_function_one
        scale factor = -10
    end prescribed force pf
    begin user output accelerationComparison
        block = block_1
        compute global global_average as average of nodal acceleration
        compute global avg1 as nonlocal average of nodal acceleration \#
            over domain defined by radius 0.070710678118 and point 0.5 0 0
    end user output accelerationComparison
    begin results output aOut
        database name = averageOverSubdomain.e
        database type = exodusII
        at time 0.0 interval = 1.0e-5
        nodal variables = nonlocal_domains
        nodal variables = var1
        nodal variables = coordinates
        global variables = avg1
        global variables = global_average
        global variables = tipAcceleration
    end results output aOut
    begin user output nodalAcc
    end user output nodalAcc
    begin user output subsetname
        nodeset = Tip_Acceleration
    end user output subsetname

```

```

        compute global tipAcceleration as max of nodal acceleration
    end user output subsetname
end presto region presto
end presto procedure Apst_Procedure

begin finite element model FEM_Name
    database name = CantileverBeam.g
    begin parameters for block block_1
        material aluminum
        model = elastic
    end parameters for block block_1
end finite element model FEM_Name

begin material aluminum
    density = 2800
    begin parameters for model elastic
        youngs modulus = 73.e9
        poissons ratio = 0.3
    end parameters for model elastic
end material aluminum
end sierra CantileverBeam

```

DISTRIBUTION

Email—Internal (encrypt for OUO)

Name	Org.	Sandia Email Address
Technical Library	1911	sanddocs@sandia.gov



Sandia
National
Laboratories

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.