

# Uranium Holdup Monitoring with Compton Imaging as Function of Depth and Mass

<sup>1</sup>Jeff Preston, <sup>1</sup>Brittany Bennett, <sup>1</sup>R. Maggie Connatser, <sup>2</sup>Ramkumar Venkataraman, <sup>2</sup>Klaus-Peter Ziock, <sup>2</sup>Jordan Lefebvre, <sup>2</sup>Keith Bledsoe, <sup>2</sup>Irakli Garishvili, and <sup>2</sup>Angela Lousteau

<sup>1</sup>Y-12 National Security Complex; <sup>2</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory

## INTRODUCTION

Material Control and Accountability (MC&A) programs track masses of materials flowing through production processes, where some material remains in process equipment as holdup. Quantification of these masses using gamma emissions typically involves usage of a well characterized radiation detector and assumptions of geometric configuration to constrain detection efficiencies, where the Generalized Geometry Holdup (GGH) method is often used. Uncertainties on the order of  $\pm 50\%$  are observed, where the difficulties arise based on the asymmetric and gradient distributions of material that do not fall within the bounds of GGH. Gamma imagers provide a possible means to improve this geometric knowledge.

This work describes the process used in creating a series of mass standards to aid the development of imaging algorithms for quantification.

## SOURCE DESIGN

Sources comprise depleted  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$  powder packed at the bottom of an aluminum project box with gasket to constrain material. Various thicknesses of closed cell foam are packed above the powder to maintain uniformity of thickness during handling of sources. Rare earth magnets are affixed to the underside of the lid to allow attachment to metal structures, e.g. ductwork, this the outside lid has tape to add friction to limit sliding of the material along a surface.



Source materials comprising project box, gasket, closed cell foams and rare earth magnets

## SOURCE CONSTRUCTION

Initial calculations with an estimated density defined the target masses for each of the samples, where the intended material thickness is a fraction of the infinite thickness for  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$  at that density. A stainless steel block packed the material as closely as possible without removing material, with the powder height measured. A liquid volume measurement creating a height-volume relation provided for the resulting density in the below Table. Foam was loaded on top of the source material, where the lid, gasket, and internally attached magnets were attached to complete the source.

Sample	Load Weight (g)	Depth (mm)	Approximate Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
L151	71.17	18.77	2.800
L301	136.83	20.39	4.028
L451	197.13	25.45	3.248
L601	252.22	26.93	3.682
L751	355.83	33.63	3.426
S18751	40.67	45.22	2.619
S37501	81.34	48.69	3.291
S56251	122.01	54.54	3.035


Sample Properties for Each Standard



Material loading process and filled source container




Completed assemblies of S- and L-sources



GeGI-5 Compton measurement of source with actual position highlighted in blue

## CODED APERTURE MEASUREMENTS

Coded aperture measurements provide a greater spatial resolution than Compton imaging. The below measurements over a 24 hour duration provided. A single source shown below clearly overlays the actual location, where nearest edges are spaced 19.685cm vertically and 9.366cm horizontally. The images clearly show the definition of the material distributions. The top left has the largest mass, which decreases counter clockwise around the panel.



Coded aperture measurement with calculated image on left and visual image overlay on right

## CONCLUSIONS

First attempts to create a set of DU standards have been mostly successful. Difficulty in estimating the achievable packing density led to some deviation from intended thicknesses; however, the gamma imaging results of the sources were still quite good. Compton imaging was able to determine approximate location quickly while coded aperture provide high spatial resolution images.

## DISCLAIMER

This work of authorship and those incorporated herein were prepared by Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC (CNS) as accounts of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government under Contract DE-NA-0001942. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor CNS, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility to any non-governmental recipient hereof for the accuracy, completeness, use made, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency or contractor thereof, or by CNS. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency or contractor (other than the authors) thereof.

## COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This document has been authored by Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC, under Contract DE-NA-0001942 with the U.S. Department of Energy/National Nuclear Security Administration, or a subcontractor thereof. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the document for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this document, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and perform publicly and display publicly, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.