

is paper describes objective technical results and analysis. Any subjective views or opinions that might be expressed in the paper do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Department of Energy or the United States Government

Domain Decomposition of Stochastic PDEs
SAND2020-9022C
Three-dimensional and Time-dependent Systems

Ajit Desai ^{1,5}, P.V. Sudhi ¹, Mohammad Khalil ², Chris Pettit ³, Dominique Poirel ⁴
and Abhijit Sarkar ¹

¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

Carleton University, Canada

²Quantitative Modeling & Analysis

Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, California 94551, USA

³Aerospace Engineering Department

United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA

⁴Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Royal Military College of Canada

⁵Currently at Bank of Canada

Ottawa, Canada


Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525.

August 25, 2020

Introduction

- Motivation
 - Uncertainty quantification for high resolution numerical models.
 - *fine mesh resolution*
 - *many random parameters/variables*
- Objective
 - Develop scalable (numerical and parallel) algorithms to quantify uncertainty in large-scale computational models.
- Methodology
 - Exploit non-overlapping domain decomposition methods in conjunction with an intrusive polynomial chaos approach.

Uncertainty Quantification Framework




Bayesian Estimation using Nonlinear Filtering

- Model Equation


$$\mathbf{u}_{k+1} = \psi_k(\mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{f}_k, \mathbf{q}_k) \quad \text{-- Forecast Step}$$

- Measurement Equation

$$\mathbf{d}_k = \mathbf{h}_k(\mathbf{u}_k, \epsilon_k) \quad \text{-- Assimilation Step}$$



Domain Decomposition Method for Stochastic PDEs



- Spatial decomposition

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{ll}^s(\theta) & \mathbf{A}_{l\Gamma}^s(\theta) \\ \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma l}^s(\theta) & \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma\Gamma}^s(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_l^s(\theta) \\ \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma}^s(\theta) \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_l^s \\ \mathbf{f}_{\Gamma}^s \end{Bmatrix} .$$

- Polynomial Chaos expansion

$$\sum_{i=0}^L \psi_i \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{ll,i}^s & \mathbf{A}_{l\Gamma,i}^s \\ \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma l,i}^s & \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma\Gamma,i}^s \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_l^s(\theta) \\ \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma}^s(\theta) \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_l^s \\ \mathbf{f}_{\Gamma}^s \end{Bmatrix} .$$

Domain Decomposition Method for Stochastic PDEs

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^L \Psi_i(\theta) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{ll,i}^1 & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{A}_{l\Gamma,i}^1 \mathbf{R}_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_{ll,i}^{n_s} & \mathbf{A}_{l\Gamma,i}^{n_s} \mathbf{R}_{n_s} \\ \mathbf{R}_1^T \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma l,i}^1 & \cdots & \mathbf{R}_{n_s}^T \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma l,i}^{n_s} & \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathbf{R}_s^T \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma \Gamma,i}^s \mathbf{R}_s \end{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^N \Psi_j(\theta) \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{l,j}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{l,j}^{n_s} \\ \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma,j} \end{Bmatrix} \right\rangle \Psi_k(\theta) \\
 &= \left\langle \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_l^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}_l^{n_s} \\ \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathbf{R}_s^T \mathbf{f}_{\Gamma}^s \end{Bmatrix} \right\rangle \Psi_k(\theta), \quad k = 0, \dots, N.
 \end{aligned}$$

Domain Decomposition Method for Stochastic PDEs



$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{II}^1 & \dots & 0 & \mathcal{A}_{II}^1 \mathcal{R}_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \mathcal{A}_{II}^{n_s} & \mathcal{A}_{II}^{n_s} \mathcal{R}_{n_s} \\ \mathcal{R}_1^T \mathcal{A}_{II}^1 & \dots & \mathcal{R}_{n_s}^T \mathcal{A}_{II}^{n_s} & \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{R}_s^T \mathcal{A}_{II}^s \mathcal{R}_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \mathcal{U}_I^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{U}_I^{n_s} \\ \mathcal{U}_\Gamma \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_I^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{F}_I^{n_s} \\ \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{R}_s^T \mathcal{F}_\Gamma^s \end{Bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

$$[\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}^s]_{jk} = \sum_{i=0}^L \langle \Psi_i \Psi_j \Psi_k \rangle \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta,i}^s \quad ; \quad \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,k}^s = \langle \Psi_k \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}^s \rangle, \quad (2)$$



$$\mathcal{U}_I^m = (\mathbf{u}_{I,0}^m, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{I,N}^m)^T \quad ; \quad \mathcal{U}_\Gamma = (\mathbf{u}_{\Gamma,0}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{\Gamma,N})^T.$$

Sarkar, A. Benabbou, N. and Ghanem, R., *IJNME*, 2009.

Block Sparsity Structure



$L = 3$ and $p_u = 4, 5$.



$p_u = 3$ and $L = 4, 5$.


Extended Interface Problem

- The Extended Schur Complement System

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{U}_\Gamma = \mathcal{G}_\Gamma.$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{R}_s^T [\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma\Gamma}^s - \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma I}^s (\mathcal{A}_{II}^s)^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{I\Gamma}^s] \mathcal{R}_s.$$

- Develop parallel iterative algorithms.
- Formulate scalable preconditioners.
- Application to 2D and 3D Stochastic PDEs with non-Gaussian coefficients.



Two-Level Domain Decomposition Methods for SPDEs

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{H}_f^s{}^T [\mathcal{S}_f^s]^{-1} \mathcal{H}_f^s + \mathcal{H}_0^T [\mathcal{S}_c]^{-1} \mathcal{H}_0,$$

- Condition Number Bound of Deterministic System
 - One-level preconditioner


$$\kappa(M^{-1}S) \leq C \frac{1}{H^2} (1 + \log \frac{H}{h})^2$$

- Two-level preconditioner

$$\kappa(M^{-1}S) \leq C (1 + \log \frac{H}{h})^2$$

Two-Level Domain Decomposition Methods for SPDEs

- Partitioning the interface nodes into remaining (■) and corner(●) nodes



$$\mathcal{U}_I^s = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{U}_r^s \\ \mathcal{U}_c^s \end{array} \right\}$$

Probabilistic Balancing Domain Decomposition with Constraints

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{ii}^s & \mathcal{A}_{ir}^s & \mathcal{A}_{ic}^s \mathcal{B}_c^s \\ \mathcal{A}_{ri}^s & \mathcal{A}_{rr}^s & \mathcal{A}_{rc}^s \mathcal{B}_c^s \\ \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_c^{sT} \mathcal{A}_{ci}^s & \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_c^{sT} \mathcal{A}_{cr}^s & \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_c^{sT} \mathcal{A}_{cc}^s \mathcal{B}_c^s \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \mathcal{X}^s \\ \mathcal{U}_r^s \\ \mathcal{U}_c \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathcal{F}_r^s \\ \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_c^{sT} \mathcal{F}_c^s \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{NNC}^{-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{R}_s^T \mathcal{D}_s \mathcal{R}_s^T [\mathcal{S}_{rr}^s]^{-1} \mathcal{R}_s^T \mathcal{D}_s \mathcal{R}_s + \mathcal{R}_0^T [\mathcal{F}_{cc}]^{-1} \mathcal{R}_0,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_c^{sT} (\mathcal{R}_s^c - \mathcal{S}_{cr}^s [\mathcal{S}_{rr}^s]^{-1} \mathcal{R}_s^r) \mathcal{D}_s \mathcal{R}_s.$$


$$\mathcal{F}_{cc} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_c^{sT} (\mathcal{S}_{cc}^s - \mathcal{S}_{cr}^s [\mathcal{S}_{rr}^s]^{-1} \mathcal{S}_{rc}^s) \mathcal{B}_c^s,$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^s = \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}^s - \mathcal{A}_{\alpha i}^s [\mathcal{A}_{ii}^s]^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i\beta}^s, \quad [\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}^s]_{jk} = \sum_{i=0}^L \langle \Psi_i \Psi_j \Psi_k \rangle \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta,i}^s$$

Probabilistic Dual Primal Domain Decomposition

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{ii}^s & \mathcal{A}_{ir}^s & \mathcal{A}_{ic}^s \mathcal{B}_c^s & 0 \\ \mathcal{A}_{ri}^s & \mathcal{A}_{rr}^s & \mathcal{A}_{rc}^s \mathcal{B}_c^s & \mathcal{B}_r^{sT} \\ \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_c^{sT} \mathcal{A}_{ci}^s & \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_c^{sT} \mathcal{A}_{cr}^s & \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_c^{sT} \mathcal{A}_{cc}^s \mathcal{B}_c^s & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_r^s & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \mathcal{U}_i^s \\ \mathcal{U}_r^s \\ \mathcal{U}_c \\ \Lambda \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_i^s \\ \mathcal{F}_r^s \\ \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_c^{sT} \mathcal{F}_c^s \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix},$$

$$(\bar{F}_{rr} + \bar{F}_{rc}[\bar{F}_{cc}]^{-1}\bar{F}_{cr})\Lambda = \bar{d}_r - \bar{F}_{rc}[\bar{F}_{cc}]^{-1}\bar{d}_c,$$



Two-Level Domain Decomposition Methods for SPDEs

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{H}_f^s{}^T [\mathcal{S}_f^s]^{-1} \mathcal{H}_f^s + \mathcal{H}_0^T [\mathcal{S}_c]^{-1} \mathcal{H}_0,$$

	$\mathcal{H}_f^s$	$[\mathcal{S}_f^s]^{-1}$	$\mathcal{H}_0$	$[\mathcal{S}_c]^{-1}$
a	$\mathcal{R}_s^T \mathcal{D}_s \mathcal{R}_s$	$[\mathcal{S}_n^s]^{-1}$	$\sum_{\sigma=1}^s \mathcal{B}_\sigma^T (\mathcal{R}_s^T - \mathcal{S}_\sigma^T [\mathcal{S}_n^s]^{-1} \mathcal{R}_s^T) \mathcal{D}_s \mathcal{R}_s$	$\sum_{\sigma=1}^s \mathcal{B}_\sigma^T (\mathcal{S}_\sigma^T - \mathcal{S}_\sigma^T [\mathcal{S}_n^s]^{-1} \mathcal{S}_\sigma^T) \mathcal{B}_\sigma^T$
b	$\mathcal{D}_s^T \mathcal{B}_s^T$	$[\mathcal{S}_n^s]^{-1}$	$\sum_{\sigma=1}^s \mathcal{B}_\sigma^T \mathcal{S}_\sigma^T [\mathcal{S}_n^s]^{-1} \mathcal{D}_s^T \mathcal{B}_s^T$	$\sum_{\sigma=1}^s \mathcal{B}_\sigma^T (\mathcal{S}_\sigma^T - \mathcal{S}_\sigma^T [\mathcal{S}_n^s]^{-1} \mathcal{S}_\sigma^T) \mathcal{B}_\sigma^T$
c	$\mathcal{B}_s^T$	$[\mathcal{S}_n^s]^{-1}$	$\sum_{\sigma=1}^s \mathcal{B}_\sigma^T \mathcal{S}_\sigma^T [\mathcal{S}_n^s]^{-1} \mathcal{B}_s^T$	$\sum_{\sigma=1}^s \mathcal{B}_\sigma^T (\mathcal{S}_\sigma^T - \mathcal{S}_\sigma^T [\mathcal{S}_n^s]^{-1} \mathcal{S}_\sigma^T) \mathcal{B}_\sigma^T$

a) Neumann-Neumann with Coarse grid, b) Primal-Primal, c) Dual-Primal Operator.


Investigated numerical and parallel scalabilities:

Subber, W. and Sarkar, A., JCP, 2014

Subber, W. and Sarkar, A., CMAME, 2013

Desai, A., Khalil, M., Pettit, C., Poirel, D. and Sarkar, A., CMAME 2017

Implementational Framework



Problem Setup for Numerical Experiments



- Model Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (c_d(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, \theta)) &= F(\mathbf{x}), & \Omega \times \mathcal{W}, \\ u(\mathbf{x}, \theta) &= 0, & \delta\Omega \times \mathcal{W}, \end{aligned}$$

- Diffusion coefficient c_d modelled as a lognormal process with the underlying a Gaussian process having mean μ , variance σ^2 and exponential covariance function C (on a 2D domain).


$$C(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) = \sigma^2 e^{-|x_2-x_1|/b_1 - |y_2-y_1|/b_2},$$

Block-Sparsity Structures




Fixed mesh resolution $N \approx 150$, $P_u = 3$ with $L = 3$ and $L = 5$.

Errors Analysis of PCE Coefficients of Solution Process:
Intrusive Vs Non-Intrusive




Relative error norm = $\frac{\|u_{iH} - u_{ih}\|}{\|u_{iH}\|}$, 5 random variables, error in ($\hat{u}_2$),
coarse mesh ($N \approx 150$)

Scalability against Stochastic Dimensions: Intrusive vs Non-Intrusive (Sparse Grid)




Fixed mesh resolution (52704 nodes and 105410 elements) and third order PCE for intrusive. Smolyak sparse grid with $l = 3$ and $l = 4$ for non-intrusive.

Scalability against Number of Random Variables: NNC/BDDC




Fixed mesh resolution (52704 nodes and 105410 elements), fixed problem size per subdomain ($\approx 60,000$) and third order PCE (linear system of order max. ≈ 93 million)

Scalability against Number of Random Variables: NNC/BDDC




Fixed mesh (52704 nodes and 105410 elements), fixed problem size per subdomain ($\approx 60,000$) and third order PCE

Parallel Scalability (Strong): NNC/BDDC




Fixed global problem, mesh with (52704 nodes and 105410 elements) and number of PCE terms $P_u = 1771$.

Scalability using Large-Scale HPC Cluster




For the fixed mesh resolution (0.332 million nodes and 0.664 million elements.) and fixed number of PCE terms ($P_u = 56$).

Scalability using Large-Scale HPC Cluster



For the fixed mesh resolution (0.332 million nodes and 0.664 million elements.) and fixed number of PCE terms ($P_u = 56$).

Probabilistic Coarse Grid in Three Dimensions:
Extended Wirebasket Grid



(-) - the global interface edge, (●) - vertices (★) - interface-edges
and (●) - interface-faces.

Deterministic Setting: Condition Number Bound Vertex vs Wirebasket-based Methods

Ref. Book by Smith, Bjorstad and Gropp, 2004

For the vertex-based method in two dimensions

$$\kappa \leq C(1 + \log(H/h))^2,$$

For the vertex-based method in three dimensions

$$\kappa \leq C(H/h)(1 + \log(H/h)).$$

For the wirebasket-based methods in three dimensions

$$\kappa \leq C(1 + \log(H/h))^2.$$

Probabilistic BDDC/NNC using Extended Wirebasket-based Coarse Grid

$$\mathcal{F}_{WW} \mathcal{U}_W = d_W,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{WW} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_W^s \mathcal{T} \left(\mathcal{S}_{WW}^s - \mathcal{S}_{WF}^s [\mathcal{S}_{FF}^s]^{-1} \mathcal{S}_{FW}^s \right) \mathcal{B}_W^s,$$

$$d_W = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_W^s \mathcal{T} \left(f_W^s - \mathcal{S}_{WF}^s [\mathcal{S}_{FF}^s]^{-1} f_F^s \right).$$

Modified BDDC/NNC Preconditioner:

$$\mathcal{M}_{NNW}^{-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{R}_s \mathcal{D}_s (\mathcal{R}_s^F \mathcal{T} [\mathcal{S}_{FF}^s]^{-1} \mathcal{R}_s^F) \mathcal{D}_s \mathcal{R}_s + \mathcal{R}_0 \mathcal{T} [\mathcal{F}_{WW}]^{-1} \mathcal{R}_0.$$

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{B}_W^s \mathcal{T} (\mathcal{R}_s^W - \mathcal{S}_{WF}^s [\mathcal{S}_{FF}^s]^{-1} \mathcal{R}_s^F) \mathcal{D}_s \mathcal{R}_s,$$


Numerical Experiments: Wirebasket based BDDC/NNC solver

- Diffusion equation


$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (c_d(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, \theta)) &= F(\mathbf{x}), & \Omega \times \mathcal{W}, \\ u(\mathbf{x}, \theta) &= 0, & \delta\Omega \times \mathcal{W}, \end{aligned}$$

- Diffusion coefficient c_d - lognormal process having underlying a Gaussian process with exponential covariance C

$$C(x_1, y_1, z_1; x_2, y_2, z_2) = \sigma^2 e^{-|x_2-x_1|/b_x - |y_2-y_1|/b_y - |z_2-z_1|/b_z}.$$




Characteristics of the Solution Process:
Diffusion Equation



Mean and standard deviation.

Characteristics of the Solution Process



Selected PCE coefficients.

Numerical Experiments: Wirebasket based BDDC/NNC solver for PDE System

- Linear Elasticity

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot \sigma(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)) &= F(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}, \\ \sigma(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} &= b_T \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_1 = \delta\mathcal{D} \setminus \Gamma_0, \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \theta) &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0. \end{aligned}$$



Stress tensor σ :

$$\sigma(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)) = \lambda(\nabla \cdot \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \theta))I + 2\mu\epsilon(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)),$$

where $\lambda = \frac{E\nu}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}$ and $\mu = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$ are Lamé constants.







- Young's modulus E - lognormal stochastic process (as before).

Characteristics of the Solution Process:



Characteristics of the Solution Process:


Linear Elasticity




x, y and z components of the mean and standard deviation.

Characteristics of the Solution Process:


Linear Elasticity



x, y and z components of the selected PCE coefficients.




Diffusion




Elasticity

Iteration count versus number of subdomains for the fixed mesh resolution with fixed number of PCE terms.




Diffusion




Elasticity

Iteration count versus number of subdomains for fixed problem size per subdomain with increasing number of PCEs (fixed mesh resolution).




Diffusion




Elasticity

Execution time versus number of subdomains for fixed problem size per subdomain with increasing number of PCEs (fixed mesh resolution).




Diffusion




Elasticity

Iteration count versus number of PCE terms for the fixed mesh resolution with fixed number of subdomains.




Diffusion




Elasticity

Iteration count versus number of subdomains for the fixed mesh resolution with fixed number of PCE terms.




Diffusion




Elasticity

Iteration count versus number of subdomains for the fixed problem size per core with increasing mesh resolution (fixed number of PCE terms).




Diffusion




Elasticity

Execution time versus number of subdomains with the fixed mesh resolution and the number of PCE terms.




Diffusion




Elasticity

Execution time versus number of subdomains for the fixed problem size per core with increasing mesh resolution (fixed number of PCE terms).




Diffusion




Elasticity

Iteration count versus number of PCE terms for the fixed mesh resolution with fixed number of subdomains.




Diffusion




Elasticity

Iteration count versus number of subdomains for the fixed problem size per core with increasing number of PCE terms (fixed mesh resolution).



Diffusion



Elasticity

Iteration count versus order of expansion for the fixed mesh resolution with fixed number of subdomains (fixed number of RVs).

Stochastic Wave Equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) + \eta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) - \nabla \cdot (c_0(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta)) &= f(\mathbf{x}, t) \\ &\text{in } D \times (0, T) \times \Omega \\ u(\mathbf{x}, 0, \theta) &= u_0(\mathbf{x}) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, 0, \theta) &= v_0(\mathbf{x}) \\ u(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) &= u_D = 0\end{aligned}$$

where c_0 and u are the random wave velocity and acoustic wave pressure.

$$\mathbf{M} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}(\theta) + \mathbf{C}(\theta)\dot{\mathbf{u}}(\theta) + \mathbf{K}(\theta)\mathbf{u}(\theta) = \mathbf{f}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{II}^s & \mathbf{M}_{I\Gamma}^s \\ \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma I}^s & \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma\Gamma}^s \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_I^s(\theta) \\ \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma^s(\theta) \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{II}^s(\theta) & \mathbf{C}_{I\Gamma}^s(\theta) \\ \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma I}^s(\theta) & \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma\Gamma}^s(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_I^s(\theta) \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\Gamma^s(\theta) \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{II}^s(\theta) & \mathbf{K}_{I\Gamma}^s(\theta) \\ \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma I}^s(\theta) & \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma\Gamma}^s(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_I^s(\theta) \\ \mathbf{u}_\Gamma^s(\theta) \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_I^s \\ \mathbf{f}_\Gamma^s \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{\alpha\beta}^s(\theta) \approx \sum_{i=0}^M \hat{\mathbf{K}}_i^s \psi_i(\xi)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(x, t, \theta) \approx \sum_{j=0}^N \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j \psi_j(\xi)$$

$$\mathcal{M}^s \ddot{u}^s + \mathcal{C}^s \dot{u}^s + \mathcal{K}^s u^s = \mathcal{F}^s$$

$$[\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta}]_{jk}^s = \sum_{i=1}^M C_{ijk} \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\alpha\beta,i}^s$$

$$[\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}]_{jk}^s = \sum_{i=1}^M D_{ijk} \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{\alpha\beta,i}^s$$

$$[\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}]_{jk}^s = \mathbf{M}_{\alpha\beta}^s \langle \Psi_j(\xi) \Psi_k(\xi) \rangle$$

$$[\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}]_k^s = \langle \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}^s \Psi_k \rangle$$

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\alpha\beta}]^s = [\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}]^s \frac{1}{\beta \Delta t^2} + [\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}]^s \frac{\gamma}{\beta \Delta t} + [\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta}]^s$$

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{K}}]\{u\} = [\mathcal{F}]$$

$$SU_I = \mathcal{G}_I,$$


$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{R}_s^T [\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{rr}^s - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{rl}^s [\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{ll}^s]^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{lr}^s] \mathcal{R}_s = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{R}_s^T \mathcal{S}_s \mathcal{R}_s,$$

$$\mathcal{G}_I = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{R}_s^T [\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_r^s - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{rl}^s [\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{ll}^s]^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_l^s] = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{R}_s^T \mathcal{G}_{Is}.$$


Numerical Experiments

A Gaussian pulse as the initial state with $(x_0, y_0) = (0.7, 0.7)$,
 $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = 0.01$:


$$u_0(x_0, y_0) = \beta \exp \left[-\frac{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}{\alpha} \right]$$




Stochastic Wave Propagation



Mean




a




b

Standard Deviation



a



b





Figure: (a) Mean and (b) Standard deviation at 0.325s





Figure: (a) Mean and (b) Standard deviation at 0.65s





Figure: PC coefficient 2 at (a) 0.325s (b) 0.65s





Figure: PC coefficient 8 at (a) 0.325s (b) 0.65s








Figure: PC coefficient 10 at (a) 0.325s (b) 0.65s






a




b

Figure: (a) Strong Scalability (b) Weak Scalability for a constant problem size/subdomain

Stochastic Scalability




a




b

Stochastic Scalability



a



b

Conclusion

- Adaptation of two-level iterative substructuring techniques for SPDEs in order to handle large number of random variables.
- Development of the wirebasket preconditioner for SPDEs in three dimensions.

Acknowledgment

- **Financial contributions**
 - **Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada**
 - **Canada Research Chair Program**
 - **Canada Foundation of Innovation**
 - **Ontario Innovation Trust**