

SOME

Experimental quantum technologies at Sandia National Laboratories



PRESENTED BY

Hayden McGuinness


Photonic Microsystems Technologies




SAND2020-3294PE




Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.




History of Sandia, quantum technology capabilities




Intro to quantum information science (QIS)



Ions: QSCOUT + TICTOC




Superconductors: Transmons + transduction





Neutrals: Rydberg + SIGMA + OPM MEG

Sandia National Laboratories

Exceptional service in the national interest





to undertake this task. In my opinion you have here an opportunity to render an exceptional service in the national interest.



- **July 1945:** Los Alamos creates Z Division
- Nonnuclear component engineering
- **November 1, 1949:** Sandia Laboratory established
- Mission broadened to National Security




Quantum R&D at Sandia



Sandia Quantum Information Science Organization Chart

QIS work at Sandia draws on skills and resources from across the Labs

- QIS is an exciting opportunity for Sandia's engineers and scientists
- QIS benefits from decades of collective experience in all relevant domains at Sandia
- Examples: QSCOUT, SIGMA, TICTOC



Center for Integrated NanoTechnologies (CINT)

DOE funded nano-science

- Free access to staff expertise and equipment
- Two proposal calls per year - short-term accepted continuously

CINT Research Areas

In-situ characterization & nanofabrication

- Dynamic response of materials and devices to mechanical, electrical, or other stimuli

Nanophotonics & optical nanoscience



- Synthesis, excitation, and energy transfer in active nanomaterials and electromagnetic devices

Soft, biological & composite nanomaterials

- Synthesis, assembly, and characterization of soft and composite nanomaterials that display unique properties

Quantum material systems

- Understanding and controlling quantum effects of nanoscale materials and their integration into systems spanning multiple length scales.



Materials synthesis



- Ultra-high mobility MBE
- Complex oxide PLD
- CVD nanowire growth

Correlated systems

- Mean-field modeling for quantum materials
- Many-body approaches

Quantum mechanics governs the physics of the small

- Physics that governs the **small**: atoms, molecules, small devices
- Dramatically different behavior from large-scale effects



Erwin Schrodinger



Werner Heisenberg

- A single theory resolved a number of conundrums and led to **transistors, lasers, medical imaging, superconductors, ...**

Quantum science impacts US national security

- “**Unbreakable**” cryptography based on the presumed difficulty of certain math problems could be readily cracked using a sufficiently large quantum computer
- “**Unsolvable**” problems in pharmaceuticals and energy science could be solved using a sufficiently large quantum computer
- Networked quantum communications are plausible in the near-term, and could be **provably secure**
- Quantum sensing and detection devices could **improve sensitivity** by 10-1000
- We still don't know the full landscape of applications




“The United States’ large stake in all these potential applications warrants a cohesive national effort to achieve and maintain leadership in the rapidly emerging field of quantum information science.”

-Dr. Jack Marburger, former DOSTP,
1/2009

From A Federal Vision for Quantum Information Science.

Quantum shakes the foundations of cryptanalysis




NIST recommended RSA key length:

- Classical (black): Requires trillions of years on a classical computer
- Quantum (red-purple): Could be solved in seconds-days on a quantum computer.


A blueprint for building a quantum computer, R. van Meter & C. Horsman, *Comm. ACM*, (2013)
doi:10.1145/2494568

Quantum shakes the foundation of chemistry

- The **Haber process** converts nitrogen into ammonia, and consumes roughly 2% of the world's energy supply.



- The Haber process requires large factories with high temperatures and pressures, but plants perform nitrogen fixation every day.
- With technology that could be developed in the next 20 years, a quantum computer could unravel biological nitrogen fixation.




Fritz Haber



Quantum is growing worldwide

Publications




Quantum Computing: Progress and Prospects.
National Academy of Sciences, 2019

Patent Applications


Excited states

Patent applications to 2015, in:

Quantum computing



Quantum cryptography




Quantum sensors



Quantum-key distribution

Patent applications by country*



Sources: UK Intellectual Property Office; European Commission

*By location of corporate headquarters



Quantum Technology is Beginning to Come
Into Its Own. *Economist*, 2017

What is a qubit?

01110001011
01010100010

- stable

Qubit = quantum bit



$$|0\rangle \rightarrow \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$$

$$(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1)$$

$$|0,0\rangle \rightarrow \alpha|0,1\rangle + \beta|1,0\rangle$$

Requirements for building a quantum computer

The DiVincenzo criteria


1. Scalable physical system with well characterized qubits
2. The ability to initialize qubits to a simple state
3. Long decoherence times, \gg than the gate times
4. A “universal” set of quantum gates
5. Qubit-specific measurement capability




Atomic
states




Electron
spin



Photon
polarization



Superconducting
current



The vast majority of what a quantum computer will do is correct its own errors.

Sensing


Timing




Non Destructive Evaluation




Surface Science



Medical Imaging



Navigation




Gravimetry



Trace Chemical Detection


Examples of qubits

Today




Trapped ions

Tomorrow




Semiconducting


Future




Topological



Superconducting




Trapped atoms




Photonic

ions



QSCOUT




Two common ion species: $^{171}\text{Yb}^+$ and $^{40}\text{Ca}^+$ 

* Lanthanide series

lanthanum 57 La 138.91	cerium 58 Ce 140.12	praseodymium 59 Pr 140.91	neodymium 60 Nd 144.24	promethium 61 Pm [145]	samarium 62 Sm 150.36	europium 63 Eu 151.96	gadolinium 64 Gd 157.25	terbium 65 Tb 158.93	dysprosium 66 Dy 162.50	holmium 67 Ho 164.93	erbium 68 Er 167.26	thulium 69 Tm 168.93	ytterbium 70 Yb 173.04
actinium 89 Ac [227]	thorium 90 Th 232.04	protactinium 91 Pa 231.04	uranium 92 U 238.03	neptunium 93 Np [237]	plutonium 94 Pu [244]	americium 95 Am [243]	curium 96 Cm [247]	berkelium 97 Bk [247]	californium 98 Cf [251]	einsteinium 99 Es [252]	fermium 100 Fm [257]	mendelevium 101 Md [258]	nobelium 102 No [259]




** Actinide series

Single qubit operations, internal/external DoF



$$|\Psi\rangle = \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) |0\rangle + e^{i\phi} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) |1\rangle$$

Mizrahi, J. (2013). [Previous reference]





Trapology: 3D vs Surface traps

Advantages

- More manufacturable (“scalable”)
- Consistent geometry = consistent behavior
- Greater field control (more electrodes)
- 2D geometry
- Integration of other technologies (waveguides, detectors, filters...)
- Laser access


Challenges

- Low depth (ion lifetime), anharmonicities in potential
- Proximity to surface (charging, heating)
- Delicate (dust, voltage)
- Capacitance




Surface trap architecture




MUSIQC architecture



Quantum CCD




High optical access 2 (HOA2)




Some of Sandia's Traps


Y-junction traps





Circulator trap



High Optical Access (HOA) trap




Ring trap:




Switchable RF trap


Stylus trap




Microwave trap



EPICS trap





Testbed systems designed for open access to support scientific applications

- High-fidelity operations
- Gate-level access $\#gates \propto (\#qubits)^2$
- Open system with fully specified operations and hardware
- Low-level access for optimal control down to gate pulses
- Open for comparison and characterization of gate pulses
- Open for vertical integration by users

<https://qscout.us>


<https://qscout.sandia.gov>




Ken Brown et al.




Peter Love et al.







Reducing background collisions
Vacuum technology



Individual addressing
Optical and mechanical engineering









Coherent Pulse control
Electrical engineering



Qubit Laser – Apparatus Test

- Adjacent beams are clearly separated, and about $5\ \mu\text{m}$ apart.
- The beam waists are nearly the designed values.
- Up to 32 channels/ions for individual addressing.



Oscillator + Counter = Clock



Image : amazon.com, GOGO






Image: NOAA





oamlout [CC BY-SA]


Atomic microwave clocks



1. Trap numerous atoms
2. Prepare atoms in $F=3$
3. Launch atoms vertically
4. ~50% Microwave transition to $F=4$
5. Atoms peak, fall, interrogated again
6. Probe laser detects population in $F=4$



TICTOC concept




100+ thru-vacuum electrical and optical I/O delivered via PIC

Light delivery with waveguides and grating couplers


Cross section of Ion trap

Detection with avalanche photodiodes

superconductors




Forest Stearns



IBM's 16 qubit chip

- Couple qubits
- Data storage
- Diagnostics


Question: What is a superconducting qubit?



$$\omega_{01} = 1/\sqrt{LC}$$


But $Q > 10^6$

1 Photon




Answer: Non-linear superconducting resonator


Superconducting qubits require nonlinearity




$$\omega_{01} \neq \omega_{12} \neq \omega_{23}$$




$$\gamma = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$$



Superconducting gates





Single qubit



Two qubit


Article

Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor






h
R
A
P


a¹, Ryan Babbush¹, Dave Bacon¹, Joseph C. Bardin^{1,2}, Rami Barends¹, Boixo¹, Fernando G. S. L. Brandao^{1,4}, David A. Buell¹, Brian Burkett¹, Ben Chiaro⁵, Roberto Collins¹, William Courtney¹, Andrew Dunsworth¹, Foxen^{1,5}, Austin Fowler¹, Craig Gidney¹, Marissa Giustina¹, Rob Graff¹, J. B. Harker¹, Matthew P. Harrigan¹, Michael J. Hartmann^{1,6}, Alan Ho¹, Jarrod Jones¹, Andrew A. Kulkarni¹, Daniel K. King¹, John M. Martinis¹, Thomas H. Low¹, Charles L. Mumford¹, Peter J. Roush¹, Nicholas C. Rubin¹, Daniel S. Steiger¹, Jeffrey M. Martin¹, Michael S. Thoenen¹, Hongkun Park¹, Andrew N. Yee¹, Nathan A. Wiebe¹, and John A. Smolin^{1,7}




cal operations. Sampling the quantum circuit's output produces a set of bitstrings, for example {0000101, 1011100, ...}. Owing to quantum interference, the probability distribution of the bitstrings resembles a speckled intensity pattern produced by light interference in laser scatter, such that some bitstrings are much more likely to occur than others. Classically computing this probability distribution becomes

**Transmon Microwave Qubit****Transduction to Phonons**

neutrals




Two neutral atoms: ^{87}Rb and ^{133}Cs 

* Lanthanide series

** Actinide series


lanthanum 57 La 138.91	cerium 58 Ce 140.12	praseodymium 59 Pr 140.91	neodymium 60 Nd 144.24	promethium 61 Pm [145]	samarium 62 Sm 150.36	europium 63 Eu 151.96	gadolinium 64 Gd 157.25	terbium 65 Tb 158.93	dysprosium 66 Dy 162.50	holmium 67 Ho 164.93	erbium 68 Er 167.26	thulium 69 Tm 168.93	ytterbium 70 Yb 173.04
actinium 89 Ac [227]	thorium 90 Th 232.04	protactinium 91 Pa 231.04	uranium 92 U 238.03	neptunium 93 Np [237]	plutonium 94 Pu [244]	americium 95 Am [243]	curium 96 Cm [247]	berkelium 97 Bk [247]	californium 98 Cf [251]	einsteinium 99 Es [252]	fermium 100 Fm [257]	mendelevium 101 Md [258]	nobelium 102 No [259]

Making neutrals interact



- Interaction between ground state atoms is small ~ 100 Hz



One solution: use Rydberg states




van der Waals interaction

- Even the presence of another atom can cause a massive response $\gg 10$ MHz
- Induced Electric Dipole-Dipole Interaction

Entangling neutrals



Pulse sequence



Atom interferometers and SIGMA

Atom interferometry applications

- Most accurate measurement of the fine structure constant, $\alpha=1/137.035999046(27)$
- Low frequency (0.1-10 Hz) gravitational-wave measurements

Inertial Navigation


- Matterwave interferometers respond to
 - Force (acceleration)
 - Rotation
 - Force gradients

SIGMA (Strategic Inertial Guidance with Matterwaves)

- high accuracy, real-time, non-aided navigation
- World's first truly portable, compact atom interferometer inertial sensor.


Challenges

Classical accelerometer



Problem: Eventually falls out of calibration/drifts



Free-fall accelerometer



Atoms inherently "calibrated"

Integration
Portable device

Single atom trajectory



Atom optics beamsplitter

$$\Delta\varphi = \vec{k}_{eff} \cdot (\vec{a}T^2 - 2(\vec{v} \times \vec{\Omega})T^2)$$

Interferometer transition probability

$$|\langle 1|\Psi\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos \Delta\varphi)$$

Simultaneous measurements of acceleration and rotation





$$\Delta\phi = \vec{k}_{eff} \cdot (\vec{a}T^2 - 2(\vec{v} \times \vec{\Omega})T^2)$$

High data-rate atom interferometer for measuring acceleration


Hayden J. McGuinness,^{1,a)} Akash V. Rakholia,^{1,2} and Grant W. Biedermann^{1,2}

¹Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185, USA


²Center for Quantum Information and Control (CQuIC), Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87131, USA



Magnetoencephalography using optically pumped magnetometers



B. Maess, MPI for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences



Lauri Parkkonen (Aalto University)





- SQUID require dewar and a rigid helmet due to liquid He (~ 4 °K).
- SQUID's helmet manufactured to fit 95% adult male subject's head size.
- Large sensor-source distance diminishes the magnetic field and high frequency spatial components are affected more severely.
- Optically Pumped Magnetometers (OPMs) enable on-scalp Magnetoencephalography enhancing spatial resolution of magnetoencephalography.
- Applications:
 - Brain Computer Interface (BCI)
 - Clinical, e.g. epilepsy

How the field is measured





Two optical resonances in Rubidium (fine structure)

- Use D1 for optical pumping and D2 for probing

Based on: V. Shah and M. V. Romalis, PRA 80, 013416 (2009)



Head-to-head SQUID vs. OPM



- More than 80% correlation for both x and y component

Thanks!



MGMT + Development

- Mike Descour
- Rick Muller
- Jake Douglass

Technical Staff

- Dan Stick
- Rupert Lewis
- Ryan Jock
- Peter Schwindt
- Brandon Ruzic
- Matt Eichenfield
- Paul Parazzoli


NMSU

- Phillip DeLeon
- Many more

How to partner with Sandia

Numerous technical **partnerships** in place today:


- Academic institutions, industry, & Government
- **CINT**: semi-annual proposals cadence: cint.lanl.gov
- **QSCOUT**: coming later in 2020
- **NQI**: in progress
- Contact quantum@sandia.gov
- **National Security Photonics Center**: sandia.gov/mesa/nspc
- Contact photonics@sandia.gov



Recruiting (IDs):

- 668518 – Integrated Photonic Researcher/Optical Engineer
- 667985 – Post-doc/Atomic Physics
- 668468 – R&D Laboratory Support Technologist
- ...and many more related post-doc postings

8/28/2019





- contact quantumjobs@sandia.gov
- check out sandia.gov/careers





Backup Slides



Aggressive LDRD investment built QIS at Sandia


~\$100M LDRD investment anchored by ~\$55M for the 4 Grand Challenge Projects:



- Sandia has won ~\$50M in DOE/DARPA projects recently
 - **QOALAS** - algorithms for approximate optimization and learning
 - **QSCOUT** - a testbed to implement and explore NISQ hardware
 - **QPERFORMANCE** - benchmarking effort to assess the performance of NISQ processors
 - **OVER-QC** - capabilities for validating, assessing, and optimizing quantum circuits
 - **TICTOC** - manufacturable, miniature, high performance optical atomic clock.

development


- Develop deployable quantum devices
- Quantum sensing based location determination



FY18-FY20
Atom Interferometer







Quantum weirdness has real implications

- Quantum has some celebrated oddities that aren't intuitive:
 - **Schrodinger's Cat** is a thought experiment demonstrating **quantum superpositions**: that a particle could be in multiple states at once.
 - **Bell's Theorem** considers the implications of **quantum entanglement**.
- What is surprising is that superpositions and entanglement have important implications when you combine them with information theory.



BELL'S SECOND THEOREM:
MISUNDERSTANDINGS OF BELL'S THEOREM
HAPPEN SO FAST THAT THEY VIOLATE LOCALITY.

Qubit comparison

					
Superconducting loops A resistance-free current oscillates back and forth around a circuit loop. An injected microwave signal excites the current into superposition states.	Trapped ions Electrically charged atoms, or ions, have quantum energies that depend on the location of electrons. Tuned lasers cool and trap the ions, and put them in superposition states.	Silicon quantum dots These "artificial atoms" are made by adding an electron to a small piece of pure silicon. Microwaves control the electron's quantum state.	Topological qubits Quasiparticles can be seen in the behavior of electrons channeled through semiconductor structures. Their braided paths can encode quantum information.	Diamond vacancies A nitrogen atom and a vacancy add an electron to a diamond lattice. Its quantum spin state, along with those of nearby carbon nuclei, can be controlled with light.	Photons Single photons are most commonly entangled through polarization or path variables. Principally controller with waveguides, mirrors, and beamsplitters.
Longevity (seconds) 0.00005	>1000	0.03	N/A	10	<0.001
Logic success rate 99.4% 99.5%	99.9% >99.9%	~99%	N/A	99.2%	~98%
Number entangled High*	High	Low	N/A	Low	Medium
Company support Google, IBM, Quantum Circuits	ionQ	Intel	Microsoft, Bell Labs	Quantum Diamond Technologies	PsiQ
Pros Solid state Fast working. Build on existing semiconductor industry. Cons Collapse easily and must be kept cold.	can room temp/connects Very stable. Highest achieved gate fidelities. Slow operation. Many lasers are needed.	Very fast, solid state Stable. Build on existing semiconductor industry. Only a few entangled. Must be kept cold.	Greatly reduce errors. Existence not yet confirmed.	Can operate at room temperature. Difficult to entangle.	Room temperature, manufacturable Generation/extraction, lifetimes, fidelity

Note: Longevity is the record coherence time for a single qubit superposition state, logic success rate is the highest reported gate fidelity for logic operations on two qubits, and number entangled is the maximum number of qubits entangled and capable of performing two-qubit operations.


* Usually nearest-neighbor connectivity

Science magazine, *A bit of the action*, Dec. 1 2016

Types of ionic qubits

Zeeman

[No Nuclear spin]




Pros

- RF Transition
- “Infinite” lifetimes

Cons


- Detection
- Level energy dependent
- No momentum photon transfer
- Off resonance scatter

Hyperfine



Optical

[between orbitals]





- Optical
- Not B-field dependent
- Excitation


Cons

- Lifetimes ~ 1s
- Coherence dependent on laser linewidth


The Mølmer–Sørensen two-qubit gate



$$|00\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$$




$$|0000\rangle \rightarrow |0000\rangle + |1111\rangle$$





Individual addressing imaging system



Custom design to

- Accommodate needed degrees of freedom in very cramped space
- Resilient to temperature changes
- Provide the needed stability






$$f_{\text{qubit}} = n f_{\text{rep}} + f_{\text{AOM}}$$




Full connectivity


(a) Common Mode



(b) Breathing Mode





(c) Higher-Order Atrial Mode



1,13 (c)

M. Holzscheiter, Los Alamos Science, 27 (2002)



TICTOC (Trapped Ion Clock with photonic Technologies On Chip)

- Microwave clocks are now a relatively mature technology
 - The next step is to use optical frequencies
 - ~ 10 GHz (microwave) vs. ~ 500,000 GHz (optical) means better resolution



The Microsystems Technology Office at DARPA seeks innovative proposals for: 1) the development of portable Photonic Integrated Circuits (PICs) to reduce the complexity of trapped-atom-based high-performance Position, Navigation, and Timing (PNT) devices;



traps, waveguides, detectors, integration

able design, protocols, reference standards, demonstration


gh spectral purity LO development and integration

quency comb


pling resonator

TICTOC components


- Optical clock using micro-fabricated $^{171}\text{Yb}^+$ **ion traps** with monolithically integrated **waveguides**, and **detectors**




- High spectral purity semiconductor laser
- Self-injection locked via Rayleigh scattering from high-Q monolithic MgF_2 WGM microcavity




- Multi-ensemble interrogation.** Staggered interrogations to eliminate dead time; multiple probe times to improve stability




- High efficiency doubler converts 871 nm LO to 435.5 nm to interrogate $^{171}\text{Yb}^+$ clock transition. 500%/W



- Micro frequency comb transfer optical frequency to microwave domain
- Large FSR Dual Kerr combs with single pump laser



Josephson Junctions Provide Nonlinearity for Qubits




Josephson equations:

$$I = I_c \sin \gamma$$

$$V = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi} \frac{d\gamma}{dt}$$


$$\gamma = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$$




$$C_j = \frac{\epsilon A}{d}$$

$$L_j = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi I_c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{I_b}{I_c}\right)^2}}$$

Overview of transmon qubit



$$\hat{H} = 4E_C(\hat{n} - n_g)^2 - E_J \cos \hat{\phi}.$$



Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \hbar\omega_r \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2} \sigma_z - \hbar g (a^\dagger \sigma^- + a \sigma^+)$$

Google's random circuit in more detail







Fig. 3 | Control operations for the quantum supremacy circuits. a, Example quantum circuit instance used in our experiment. Every cycle includes a layer each of single- and two-qubit gates. The single-qubit gates are chosen randomly from $\{\sqrt{X}, \sqrt{Y}, \sqrt{W}\}$, where $W = (X + Y)/\sqrt{2}$ and gates do not repeat sequentially. The sequence of two-qubit gates is chosen according to a tiling pattern, coupling each qubit sequentially to its four nearest-neighbour qubits. The

couplers are divided into four subsets (ABCD), each of which is executed simultaneously across the entire array corresponding to shaded colours. Here we show an intractable sequence (repeat ABCDCDAB); we also use different coupler subsets along with a simplifiable sequence (repeat EFGHEFGH, not shown) that can be simulated on a classical computer. **b**, Waveform of control signals for single- and two-qubit gates.

Dipole trap and setup




Laser produces conservative force




Classical and quantum inertial sensors

Classical accelerometer




Problem: Eventually falls out of calibration/drifts


Free-fall accelerometer




Atoms inherently
"calibrated"





Magneto-Optical Trap (MOT)



Laser configuration



Relevant energy diagram (Cs)




Effective 2-level system

$$H'_{\text{int}} = -\vec{\mu}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \vec{E}_{\text{eff}} e^{i(\vec{k}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega_{\text{hf}} t)}$$

$$\vec{k}'_{\text{eff}} = \vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 \approx 2\vec{k}_1$$


Valid for $\Omega_{\text{eff}} \ll \Delta$

Atom optics beamsplitter



Area enclosed by wavepackets

Interferometer Recoil diagram



Transition rules


$$\left. \begin{aligned} |3, \vec{p}\rangle &\rightarrow e^{i\phi} |4, \vec{p} + \hbar \vec{k}_{eff}\rangle \\ |4, \vec{p} + \hbar \vec{k}_{eff}\rangle &\rightarrow e^{-i\phi} |3, \vec{p}\rangle \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} \Delta\phi &= \phi_1 - 2\phi_2 + \phi_3 \\ &= \vec{k}_{eff} \cdot (\vec{a}T^2 - 2(\vec{v} \times \vec{\Omega})T^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi = \vec{k}_{eff} \cdot \vec{x}$$

Interferometer transition probability

$$|\langle 4 | \Psi \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \Delta\phi)$$

What's the big deal about entanglement? Deutsch's algorithm



(b)

$$|\psi_1\rangle = |0\rangle|1\rangle,$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle = |+\rangle|-\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle|0\rangle - |0\rangle|1\rangle + |1\rangle|0\rangle - |1\rangle|1\rangle).$$

first Hadamards







$$|\psi_3\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle|f(0)\rangle - |0\rangle|1 \oplus f(0)\rangle + |1\rangle|f(1)\rangle - |1\rangle|1 \oplus f(1)\rangle)$$

U_f applied

last Hadamard


$$|\psi_4\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle \otimes (|f(0)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(0)\rangle) \quad \text{or} \quad |\psi_4\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle \otimes (|f(0)\rangle - |f(1)\rangle).$$

Cooling, Prepping, Detection





Cmglee: [CC BY-SA 3.0]



Quick overview of other types of qubits





Phase qubit



Flux qubit




Fluxonium
Yale group




All have inductance and capacitance... & hence rf losses.

One view of a transmon




A. Hauck *et al.*, Quant. Inf. Proc. 8, 105 (2009)



J. Koch *et al.*, PRA, 76, 042319 (2007)

Problem: two-level fluctuators in oxides & on surfaces




First reported by:
R.W. Simmonds *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 077003 (2004).


In general: ~ 0.7 TLS/GHz μm^2

What are the paths to decoherence

- Defects in SC
- Interface dirt
- Environment
- Trapped flux
- also, stray light



Interdigital capacitor




Dirt at interfaces




Hence qubit fab is:

Single layer of metal + stitching
 On low loss substrates
 No interlayer dielectrics!

Superconducting Resonators have many of the same features as qubits





cross-section view



But simpler to measure

Superconducting gates



Signals to detect


Spin Polarization Bloch Equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = \gamma \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{B} + R(S_0 \hat{z} - \mathbf{S}) - \frac{\mathbf{S}}{T_2}$$


Steady State Solution

$$S_x = S_0 \frac{-\beta_y + \beta_x \beta_z}{1 + (\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2)} \quad S_z = S_0 \frac{1 + \beta_z^2}{1 + (\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2)}$$


$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \gamma \mathbf{B} / (R + T_2^{-1})$$




Detect the Pump
or a Collinear Probe



Atomic Polarization, S_z
Pump Transmission,
or Angle of Light Polarization



Atomic Polarization, S_x
or Angle of Light Polarization





1960s Microelectronics and Microsystems Present


Laminar Flow Clean Room




Design/Build Galileo ICs




High Efficiency VCSEL




5-Level Surface Micromachining




Microsystems-Enabled Photovoltaics




Radiation-Hardened CMOS




Strained-layer Superlattices



Photonic Lattice



MicroChemLab



Quantum Computing

1980s Quantum Engineering Present


DOE/SC Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR) **QSCOUT** (PI: P. Maunz)

- Quantum processor with **5-15 trapped-ion qubits**
- Goal: **Available to the DOE/SC computing community** in 2020
 - Access to quantum processor with high-fidelity operations
 - Low-level access to gate & quantum circuit implementations
 - Full information on implementation of quantum operations
 - Ability to run any testing circuits


Design approach

- Build on established qubits ($^{171}\text{Yb}^+$)
- Use Sandia microfabricated traps
- Use established qubit manipulation tools (e.g. pulsed laser as demonstrated at UMD, Duke, Sandia)

Example QSCOUT workflow:



Lithium hydride example




Textbook digital quantum simulation circuit


```
In [8]: circuits = ["teleport"]
print(Q_program_get_qmas(circuits) [0])

OPENQASM 2.0;
include "qelib1.inc";
qreg q[3];
creg c[1];
creg c[1];
creg c[1];
h q[1];
cx q[1],q[2];
ry(0.785398163397448) q[0];
cx q[0],q[1];
h q[0];
barrier q[0],q[1],q[2];
measure q[0] -> c[0];
measure q[1] -> c[1];
if(c[0]==1) x q[2];
if(c[1]==1) x q[2];
measure q[2] -> c[2];
```

QSCOUT code/
microcode



Implement on hard-
ware/trapped ions



Results

QSCOUT's main interdisciplinary tasks

Qubit hardware

Gate modeling

QCVV


Hardware controllers

Software stack

Exemplar apps

QSCOUT collaborations


- Duke University (K. Brown)
- Tufts University (P. Love)
- LBNL
- Open to others...



The National Security Photonics Center


Integrated photonics for quantum communications

Sandia's silicon, III-V, alumina, lithium niobate heterogeneously integrated photonic platforms: compact microsystems for telecom and visible wavelengths



Cryogenic optical interconnects


High-speed low-power resonant modulator operating at cryogenic temperatures (≤ 4 K)



Optica 4,
374-382 (2017)


Silicon photonics integrated circuits

- Leverage CMOS (200 mm SOI)
- 22 passive devices, 20 active devices, design guide and library
- **MPW runs available**, up to passive+active+Ge devices



III-V photonic integrated circuits (PICs)

- InP, GaAs, GaN
- Elements: Waveguides, lasers, amplifiers, modulators, detectors, phase shifters
- **MPW runs available**



More information on photonics MPW opportunities:

- National Security Photonics Center: sandia.gov/mesa/nspc
- Contact photonics@sandia.gov

FIND YOUR CAREER IN PHOTONICS AT SANDIA!

Looking for Postdoctoral Scholars, Experienced Technical Staff, & Student Interns!

sandia.gov/careers
Keyword search "photonics"

Sandia National Laboratories

Learn about Sandia's National Security Photonics Center: SANDIA.GOV/MESA/NSPC


Optica

Advanced Materials

NSA

DOE

wavepacket trajectory




$$\Delta\phi_{\text{tot}} = \Delta\phi_{\text{light}} + \Delta\phi_{\text{path}} + \Delta\phi_{\text{separation}}$$

Light interaction
imprints phase

Wavepacket
overlap

Feynman path
integral

Laser/atom system as ruler



$$\vec{k}_{eff} \approx 1.6 \times 10^7 \text{ rad/m}$$


Finite difference formula for curvature

$$\Delta\phi = \phi_1 - 2\phi_2 + \phi_3$$

$$\Delta\phi = \vec{k}_{eff} \cdot \vec{g} T^2$$



$$P_{|1\rangle} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos(\Delta\phi + \phi_0))$$

Why is it an interferometer?




Single atom trajectory

Semiconductors




Donor architectures

Exchange btwn QDs




Kane (1998), Vrijen (2000)

Transport along QDs



Skinner & Kane (2003), Hollenberg (2007),
Morton (2009), Witzel (2015), Pica (2015)

Dipole coupling



Tosi (2015), Hill (2015)

- Many donor qubit proposals driven by:
 - Nuclear & electron spin decoherence times and fidelities
 - On/off control of a naturally provided bulk potential
 - Temperature robustness
- Grand challenge: engineering deterministic coupling
 - Specialized fab using hydrogen lithography & STM
 - Counted implant
 - Success also achieved with timed implant

Ionized nuclear spin

CQC2T, Nat. Nano. 2014:

$$T_2^* = 600\text{ ms}$$

$$T_{2, \text{CPMG}} = 36.5\text{ s}$$

$$F_{\text{prep/readout}} = 99.995\%$$


J. Phys.: Cond. Matter (2015):

Random benchmarking

$$F_{\text{gate}} = 99.95\text{-}99.99\%$$

Donor qubits


- Donor nuclear spin qubits have exceptional fidelities
- Fabricating and controlling single atoms is hard
- Many proposals access donors through surface QDs
- This talk: first coherent coupling of MOS QD with donor qubit




Quantum dot architecture

D. Loss and D. P. DiVincenzo Phys. Rev. A, 1998.

Donor qubit architecture



Kane, Nature, 1998.



Ionized nuclear spin
CQC2T, Nat. Nano. 2014:

$$T_2^* = 600 \text{ ms}$$

$$T_{2, \text{CPMG}} = 36.5 \text{ s}$$



$$F_{\text{prep/readout}} = 99.995\%$$

J. Phys.: Cond. Matter (2015):

Random benchmarking

$$F_{\text{gate}} = 99.95\text{-}99.99\%$$

Notional approach




- Treat problem as hybridization of QD and donor qubits
- Voltage tune to resonance – nudge QD to one of many donors
- Encode as two electron singlet-triplet electron qubit
 - Nuclear spin qubit: spectator or 2nd qubit with hyperfine coupling
- Gradient field is supplied by nuclear spin of donor
 - 58 MHz (P) to greater than GHz (Sb or Te) might be possible
- Electrical control through voltage tuned exchange energy
- NMR to drive nuclear spin & several electrical readouts possible


This is also a phase gate on the nuclear spin → $AI \cdot S$

Harvey-Collard Nature Comm. accepted (2015)
 Rudolph IEDM & arXiv 1705.05857 (2016)
 Harvey-Collard arXiv 1703.02651 (2017)

Realizing the donor qubit device architecture




Donor coupling to QD




Harvey-Collard Nat. Comm. 2017

MOS double QD qubit




Jock et al, Nat. Comm. 2018

Lithographic double QD




ESR/NMR




Tracy et al. APL 2016

- Key pieces for realizing this device architecture
- Three Si qubit systems demonstrated


Summary






Rudolph et al., IEDM (2016)
Rochette et al., arXiv 1707.03895



Shirkhorshidian arXiv 1705.01183



Jock Nat. Comm. 2018



Harvey-Collard PRX 2018

