

SAND95-2736C
CONF-951203-28

RECEIVED

DEC 27 1995

OSTI

Verification of RADTRAN*

F.L. Kanipe and K.S. Neuhauser

Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, United States of America

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

Introduction

The RADTRAN computer code calculates risk estimates for radioactive materials transportation by highway, rail, air and waterborne modes. RADTRAN was first developed by Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) in 1977 in conjunction with NUREG-0170, "Final Environmental Statement on the Transportation of Radioactive Material by Air and Other Modes" (NRC, 1977; Taylor and Daniel, 1982). Subsequent releases of RADTRAN to date are RADTRAN II (Taylor and Daniel, 1982), RADTRAN III (Madsen et al., 1986) and RADTRAN 4 (Neuhauser and Kanipe, 1992). RADTRAN 5 is currently in development. With all releases, the NUREG-0170 data file is used for verification of the code output. The capabilities of RADTRAN have been enhanced over the years, and the NUREG-0170 input format has been altered to accommodate these changes. In order to test other new capabilities, additional test files have been added to the verification regimen. The test files for RADTRAN 5 are not the same files as those used with RADTRAN 4; however, they were originally created from the latter and have evolved as RADTRAN 5 has evolved.

Types of Code Modifications

Four types of changes that may be made to RADTRAN affect the output files. One is modification of input formats which will affect the input echo. The second is changes in algorithms within RADTRAN as new models are introduced. The third type of change involves adding intermediate results to the output. The fourth type of

*This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC04-94AL85000

change is error correction.

Should an error be found in RADTRAN, corrections are made immediately. These changes result in changes to RADTRAN output files to one degree or another. Actual risk values will change only for two types of changes: model changes and error correction. An example of each is described later in this paper.

Verification Process - Documentation

Each time a change, or series of changes, is made a verification process is performed. The verification process requires making changes and documenting them on change sheets. Test input files are run and the outputs from these tests are compared to archived output values produced with the previous release of RADTRAN. Differences, if any, in the test files are noted and are filed in the programmer's log along with the change sheets and any other pertinent information, such as plots or spreadsheets identifying reasons for differences in outputs. Often, derivations of equations are included in the log. The change sheets are incorporated into an askSam™ data base (askSam Systems) and are also kept in ASCII format.

The askSam™ data base is a useful tool for retrieving information on RADTRAN changes. Searches in askSam™ are not limited to certain search keys, so that any word can be used as a search key. For example, the programmer need only query for a subroutine name to have all changes that affected the subroutine pulled up for viewing. The programmer may refer to the log for more information if necessary.

For RADTRAN 4 intermediate releases, a description of the changes and expected outcomes are also described in the revision history available on-line to RADTRAN users via the TRANSNET computer system. TRANSNET currently hosts RADTRAN 4 as well as other transportation risk assessment tools.

Verification Process - Hand Calculations

Hand calculations are performed for all major releases of RADTRAN. Figure 1 shows a sequence for a hand calculation check of the storage dose in RADTRAN 4.

Figure 1 Hand Calculation of Storage Dose

Storage Dose equation from RADTRAN 4 Technical Manual:

$$Dose = Q_2 \cdot k_0 \cdot DR_p \cdot PPS \cdot SPY \cdot T_{stor} \cdot P_{stor} / I_{stor}^2$$

Figure 1 continued Hand Calculation of Storage Dose

```
A RADTRAN input file:  
TITLE TEST  
PARM 1 1 2 4 0  
NORMAL NMODE=1 1 0 0 8.6E+01 4.03E+01 2.41E+01  
0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
1 1 8.0 100.0 100.0 0  
0 0 0 0 0 0  
EOF  
ISOTOPES 1 2 3 4.0 0.5 0.5 TEST  
DISTKM NMODE=1 1000  
PKGSIZ TEST 5.0  
EOF  
EOI
```

Using the equation with constant $Q_2 = 1.0E-03$ rem/mrem and values:

$DR_p = 4.0$ mrem/hr/package

$PPS = 3.0$ packages/shipment

$SPY = 2.0$ shipments

$T_{stor} = 8.0$ hr

$P_{stor} = 100.0$ persons

$r_{stor} = 100.0$ m

and intermediate value produced by RADTRAN 4 Technical

Manual equations and input value $d_p = 5.0$ m:

$$d_e = 2(1+d_p/2)^{3/4} - .55 = 4.57 \text{ m}$$

$$k_0 = (1 + d_e/2)^2 = 10.79 \text{ m}^2$$

Hand calculation value for the storage dose in person-rem:

$$\text{Dose} = 1E-3 (10.79) (4.0) (3.0) (2.0) (8.0) (100.0) / 100.0^2 = 2.07E-02$$

Storage dose in RADTRAN 4 output file produced by the above file:

$$\text{Storage Dose} = 2.07E-02 \text{ person-rem}$$

Verification for Model Changes - Sample Case

In RADTRAN 5 there has been a model change to the handler dose calculations. For the handler dose in RADTRAN 4, the use of a point-source equation or a line-source equation is determined by the sizes of the packages; a threshold value distinguishes between medium and large package sizes. Previously, with a very large package, the handlers were modeled as being exposed to a line-source and for the medium-sized packages the handlers were modeled as being exposed to a point-source. Because of the source-to-receptor distances involved in handling these sizes of packages, the new model (RADTRAN 5) determines point-source and line-source equation usage by the distance of the handler from the package and by the size of the package. If the handler is at a distance of less than two times the package size then the line-source equation is used. If the handler is farther away, then the point-source equation is used. The equation for small packages (generally less than .5 meters) has remained the same. The results should differ for medium and large packages depending on the previous threshold values and the package sizes.

Table 1 shows results from the handler-dose model change. Three samples were taken from the NUREG-0170 test file. The sample of material AM241-A shows no change between the previous value and the new value. The package was considered small (less than .5 meters). The other two, however, produced changes in the values which are in accord with the changes predicted during model development. The previous values for materials AM241-B and CO60-LSA were produced with the code's point-source equation, and the new values were produced using the code's line-source equation. The original values were altered to produce results as if a line-source equation were used. These altered results are shown in Table 1. The result is that differences greater than ten percent can be accounted for by the model changes. With the model change taken into consideration, the difference is not greater than ten percent.

Table 1 Handler Dose Model Change (values in Person-rem)

NUREG-0170 Test File	AM241-A	AM241-B	CO60-LSA
Previous values	7.90E+01	8.18E-01	1.49E+02
New values	7.90E+01	6.27E-01	1.15E+02
Difference greater than 10%	none	-1.90E-01	-3.40E+01
New values which use line-source	N/A	6.27E-01	1.15E+02
Previous values modified to line-source	N/A	6.27E-01	1.14E+02
Difference greater than 10%	N/A	none	none

Error Correction - Sample Case

Discussed below is the treatment of a calculational error that was discovered in August 1990 by a member of the International Atomic Energy Agency Coordinated Research Program on the Development of Probabilistic Safety Assessment Techniques Relating to the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material (Florentin Lange, personal communication). The problem concerned the plotting of the characteristic package dimension (CPD) versus the package coefficient (K_0). K_0 , in square meters, is calculated within RADTRAN to allow the dose-rate value to be extrapolated back to the center of the package to achieve a true point-source configuration. The CPD is used for determining dose to persons potentially exposed during the incident-free transportation of nuclear materials. At a distance of four meters the slope of the K_0 vs CPD plot becomes too steep and the package dimension is converted to an effective package dimension that produces a more level curve. The plot of K_0 versus CPD, though level, produced a discontinuity at 4 meters.

The first step in this revision was to produce pairs of package dimension and K_0 values

and plot them. The plot showed the discontinuity at a package dimension of four meters. Second, the CPD equation was re-examined, and it was determined that the constant in the equation was incorrect. A series of plots was created, showing the effect of a range of constant values. The values for this constant were narrowed down until a value was found that produced no discernable discontinuity. Upon examination of the corrected value, the error is believed to have arisen when a measurement in feet was not properly converted to a measurement in meters.

With the problem now identified and understood, RADTRAN was updated to include the correct constant. As is done with all major releases, the RADTRAN 4 release number and date were also updated. A change sheet was prepared describing the problem and the correction that was made. Test files were run and comparisons were made. The NUREG-0170 test file contains only packages with CPDs less than 4 meters, therefore there were no differences except in the release number and date. The other seven test files, which include data for large packages, did produce differences in the incident-free risks consistent with predictions. Each difference was examined and determined to be appropriate by both the scientific programmer (FLK) and the

Table 2 Output Comparisons (Values in Person-rem)

	Previous Values	New Values	Actual Difference	Expected Difference	Differences greater than 10%
TEST FILE 1 AM241-A					
Passengers	1.87E+01	1.87E+01	0	0	none
Crew	1.49E+02	1.49E+02	0	0	none
Handlers	7.90E+01	7.90E+01	0	0	none
Off-link	1.36E+01	1.36E+01	0	0	none
On-link	4.35E+01	4.35E+01	0	0	none
Stops	1.77E+02	1.77E+02	0	0	none
Storage	7.97E+00	7.97E+00	0	0	none
TEST FILE 2					
Passengers	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0	0	none
Crew	9.21E+01	1.39E+02	4.69E+01	4.74E+01	none
Handlers	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0	0	none
Off-link	1.49E+01	2.14E+01	6.50E+00	6.54E+00	none
On-link	6.53E+01	9.39E+01	2.86E+01	2.87E+01	none
Stops	4.51E+02	6.49E+02	1.98E+02	1.98E+02	none
Storage	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0	0	none

RADTRAN principal engineer (KSN). The change sheet was filed along with the plots and test file differences.

In addition, development had been started on RADTRAN 5, so the correction was incorporated into RADTRAN 5 also. A new release number was not necessary however since the code was in development. A change sheet was also created for RADTRAN 5 and the same procedure of testing and archiving was followed.

Summary

The RADTRAN verification process has been illustrated using two examples showing that the methodology is reliable. This process has been applied to all calculational sequences in RADTRAN 4 and RADTRAN 5. In the later case, the process was repeated at each stage of code development and the final prototype yields acceptable results for all calculational sequences. The process will be performed if any changes are made to RADTRAN 5 in the future. The archival procedures allow all changes to be easily retrieved and reviewed.

References

Madsen, M.M., J.M. Taylor, R.O. Ostmeyer, and P.C. Reardon, 1986. "RADTRAN III," SAND84-0036, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM.

Neuhauser, K.S. and F.L. Kanipe, 1994. "RADTRAN 4: Volume 2, Technical Manual," SAND89-2370, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM.

Neuhauser, K.S. and F.L. Kanipe, 1992. "RADTRAN 4: Volume 3, User Guide," SAND89-2370, TTC-0943, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM.

NRC, 1977. "Final Environmental Statement on the Transportation of Radioactive Material by Air and Other Modes," NUREG-0170, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington D.C.

Taylor, J.M. and S.L. Daniel, 1982. "RADTRAN II: A Revised Computer Code to Analyze Transportation of Radioactive Material," SAND80-1943, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM.