

LA-UR-20-30186

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title: Time-resolved measurement of electron beam neutralization

Author(s): Ekdahl, Carl August Jr.  
Schulz, Martin

Intended for: Report

Issued: 2020-12-11

---

**Disclaimer:**

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by Triad National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of U.S. Department of Energy under contract 89233218CNA000001. By approving this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

# Time-Resolved Measurement of Electron Beam Neutralization

Carl Ekdahl and Martin Schulze

## I. INTRODUCTION

A target generates positive ions by stimulated and thermal desorption of adsorbed gas, and subsequent electron impact ionization [1, 2]. These ions are accelerated upstream by the beam space-charge potential, thereby partially neutralizing the beam. If there is enough neutralization, the repulsive space-charge force can be overcome by the magnetic-pinch force due to the beam current. This so-called ion focusing is responsible for time dependent defocusing of the radiographic source spot [3]. Since a single monolayer of adsorbed gas has a surface density of about  $10^{15}/\text{cm}^2$  and is formed in about one second in a one micro-Torr vacuum [4], there is always enough adsorbed gas to make this a concern in our accelerators. Therefore, time-resolved measurements of the degree of neutralization are valuable for better understanding of this process with an eye to mitigation for improvement of our radiography. Moreover, these measurements would aid quantification of uncertainties due to these effects when using invasive beam diagnostics, such as OTR imaging targets.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

DARHT has many beam position monitors (BPM) with inductive B-dot loop detectors [5], and inductive detection BPMs are planned for the Scorpius accelerator. Measurements of beam neutralization by back-streaming ions have been made with a BPM having eight (8) or more detector positions that has half of its inductive B-dot detectors replaced by capacitive E-dot detectors [6]. If the BPM is located upstream of the target, the magnetic field is due to the net current (electrons plus back-streaming ions), and the electric field is due to the net line charge (beam space-charge partially neutralized by ions). For a centered beam, the fields at the wall are the same as for a filamentary current and line charge. After suitable calibration and integration, the average of the integrated B-dot data yields the magnitude of the magnetic field at the beam tube wall

$$B_w = \frac{\mu_0 I_{net}}{2\pi R_w} = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi R_w} (I_e + I_i) \quad (1)$$

Likewise, after suitable calibration, the average of the integrated E-dot data yields the magnitude of the electric field at the wall

$$E_w = \frac{eN_{net}}{2\pi\epsilon_0 R_w} = \frac{e}{2\pi\epsilon_0 R_w} (N_e - Z_i N_i) \quad (2)$$

Here,  $I_{net}$  is the net current in Amperes,  $eN_{net}$  is the net line charge in Coulombs/meter,  $Z_i$  is the ionic charge, and  $R_w$  is the beam-pipe wall radius. Defining the electrical neutralization fraction as  $f_e = Z_i N_i / N_e$ , and noting that charged particle current is related to line density by  $I = ZeNv$  one can rewrite Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) as

$$B_w = \frac{\mu_0 I_e}{2\pi R_w} [1 + f_e (v_i / \beta c)] \quad (3)$$

and

$$E_w = \frac{eN_e}{2\pi\epsilon_0 R_w} (1 - f_e) = \frac{I_e / \beta c}{2\pi\epsilon_0 R_w} (1 - f_e) \quad (4)$$

Dividing these expressions yields

$$E_w / B_w = \frac{c}{\beta} \frac{(1 - f_e)}{(1 + f_e v_i / \beta c)} \quad (5)$$

which is a direct measurement of neutralization to within the uncertainty of knowledge of electron and ion velocities.

## III. DISCUSSION

Consider the terms in the denominator of Eq. (5). The space-charge neutralization factor is bounded by  $f_e \leq 1$ , because a fully neutralized beam has no space-charge potential well to attract ions. Also, for our IREBs  $\beta$  is nearly unity. Even for the 2.0 MeV beam injected into the Scorpius accelerator  $\beta = 0.97907$ . Furthermore, the back-streaming ion velocity is expected to be much less than  $c$ . For example, the space charge depression for a uniform beam with outer radius  $R_b$  is [7]

$$\Delta\varphi \approx 30 \frac{I_e}{\beta} \left[ 1 + 2 \ln \left( \frac{R_w}{R_b} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

In the 6-inch diameter beam pipes of our downstream transport (DST) regions the beam is greater than 0.5-cm radius, and less than 2.0 kA, so the space-charge potential is expected to be less than 400 kV at most. Protons, the lightest of ions produced in beam-target interactions, would only achieve velocities less than 3% the speed of light when accelerated into such a well.

Taking the above points into consideration, it seems that ignoring the small term in the denominator of Eq. (5) introduces an error of no more than about 3%, if one analyzes the data with the approximation

$$f_e \approx 1 - E_w / cB_w \quad (7)$$

where the fields are measured with well-calibrated inductive and capacitive sensors.

Interesting values of the fraction  $f_e$  can be quite small, so attention to detail is a requisite. For example, the envelope equation shows that the space-charge force on the beam switches from defocusing to focusing when  $f = 1/\gamma^2$  [8], which would be  $\sim 4 \times 10^{-2}$  for the Scorpius injector, or  $\sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$  for the Scorpius DST<sup>1</sup>. Much larger values occur where there is copious ion production (e.g., near targets on which the electron beam is focused to a small spot).

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Although fraught with the usual difficulties of differencing large experimental numbers to get small answers, this technique has yielded useful results in exploratory experiments on DARHT-II [6]. Implementation on the Scorpius injector and integrated test stand (ITS) could answer some of the questions that will arise when fielding interceptive diagnostics on these space-charge limited beams. Moreover, implementation on Scorpius and DARHT could help to resolve some of the remaining questions concerning multiple-pulse radiographic target design.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are especially thankful for the expert engineering of the DARHT-II BPMs and associated data recording by Jeffrey Johnson and his coworkers, including C. Y. Tom and William B. Broste. Their professional attention to the details of the BPMs has contributed significantly to the success of the DARHT-II radiographic accelerator.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Vermaire, H. A. Davis, D. C. Moir and T. P. Hughes, "Ion emission from solid surfaces induced by intense electron beam impact," *Phys. Plasmas*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 277 - 284, Jan. 2003.
- [2] T. P. Hughes and H. A. Davis, "Effect of stimulated and thermal desorption in DARHT-2," in *Part. Accel. Conf.*, Portland, OR, USA, 2003.
- [3] B. T. McCuistian, D. Moir, E. Rose and et al., "Temporal spot size evolution of the DARHT first axis radiographic source," in *European Part. Acc. Conf.*, Genoa, Italy, 2008.
- [4] A. Roth, *Vacuum Technology*, New York, NY, USA: North Holland, 1976.
- [5] C. Ekdahl, E. O. Abeyta, H. Bender, W. Broste, C. Carlson, L. Caudill, K. C. D. Chan, Y.-J. Chen, D. Dalmas, G. Durtschi, S. Eversole, S. Eylon, W. Fawley, D. Frayer, R. Gallegos, J. Harrison, E. Henestroza, M. Holzscheiter, T. Houck, T. Hughes, S. Humphries, D. Johnson, J. Johnson, K. Jones, E. Jaquez, B. T. McCuistian, A. Meidinger, N. Montoya, C. Mostrom, K. Moy, K. Nielsen, D. Oro, L. Rodriguez, P. Rodriguez, M. Sanchez, M. Schauer, D. Simmons, H. V. Smith, J. Studebaker, R. Sturgess, G. Sullivan, C. Swinney, R. Temple, C. Y. Tom and S. S. Yu, "Initial electron-beam results from the DARHT-II linear induction accelerator," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 892 - 900, 2005.
- [6] M. Schulze, C. Ekdahl and J. Johnson, "Direct measurement of backstreaming ions from the axis-2 septum dump and RF generation," Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LA-UR-19-32007, 2019.
- [7] S. Humphries, *Charged Particle Beams*, New York: Wiley, 1990.
- [8] C. Ekdahl, "The beam envelope equation and practical applications," Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LA-UR-19-28456, 2019.

<sup>1</sup> Here  $f = f_e + f_m$  where  $f_m = I_i / I_e$ . The factor  $f$  can be thought of as a total neutralization factor including the back-streaming ion current.