

Technical Reference on Hydrogen Compatibility of Materials

High-Alloy Ferritic Steels: Ferritic Stainless Steels (code 1402)

Prepared by:
C. San Marchi, Sandia National Laboratories
and
J.A. Zelinski, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, Washington DC

Editors
C. San Marchi
B.P. Somerday
Sandia National Laboratories

This report may be updated and revised periodically in response to the needs of the technical community; up-to-date versions can be requested from the editors at the address given below or downloaded at <http://www.ca.sandia.gov/matlsTechRef/> . The success of this reference depends upon feedback from the technical community; please forward your comments, suggestions, criticisms and relevant public-domain data to:

Sandia National Laboratories
Matls Tech Ref
C. San Marchi (MS-9402)
7011 East Ave
Livermore CA 94550.

This document was prepared with financial support from the Safety, Codes and Standards program element of the Hydrogen, Fuel Cells and Infrastructure program, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy; Pat Davis is the manager of this program element. Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

WARNING: Before using the information in this report, you must evaluate it and determine if it is suitable for your intended application. You assume all risks and liability associated with such use. Sandia National Laboratories make NO WARRANTIES including, but not limited to, any Implied Warranty or Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose. Sandia National Laboratories will not be liable for any loss or damage arising from use of this information, whether direct, indirect, special, incidental or consequential.

1. General

Chromium is the main alloying element in the ferritic stainless steels, providing a stable ferritic structure at all temperatures. Due to low carbon content, the ferritic stainless steels have limited strength but can have good ductility and work harden very little. The toughness of these alloys tends to be quite low and their ductile to brittle transition is at or above room temperature. The special ferritic alloys were developed for improved toughness and may contain molybdenum, providing them with corrosion resistance superior to austenitic stainless steels in most environments [1].

Ferritic stainless steel has high diffusivity and low solubility for hydrogen compared to austenitic stainless steels. Although the properties of ferritic alloys measured in hydrogen gas (particularly at high-pressure) are scarce in the literature, the general trends emerging from the literature are that the ferritic stainless steels are at least as susceptible to hydrogen-assisted fracture as the unstable austenitic stainless steels (e.g., type 301 and 304 stainless steels). NASA reports classify type 430F stainless steel as severely embrittled by high-pressure hydrogen gas [2, 3].

1.1 Composition

The ferritic stainless steels have anywhere from 12-30% Cr, however, it typically falls in the range of 11-18% (by weight). Special ferritic alloys are generally those that contain higher amounts of Cr with some up to as much as 24-30%. Mo is sometimes added to the ferritic stainless steels in the range 1-4% and Ni, if present, is generally < 2%. Carbon and nitrogen contents are generally low, as in the 300-series austenitic stainless steels. The compositional ranges of a number of ferritic stainless steels are given in Table 1.1.1. Table 1.1.2 lists the compositions of alloys used to study hydrogen effects.

1.2 Other Designations

The most common grades of ferritic stainless steels are known by their AISI designation, such as type 430 and 434 ferritic stainless steels. Type 400 series alloys also include a number of martensitic stainless steels, which are generally distinguished by their high strength and extremely embrittled in hydrogen gas [2, 3].

Some ferritic alloy designations can be easily confused with designation for austenitic stainless steels. The so-called 18-2FM and 18Cr-2Mo, for example, are special ferritic stainless steels that are distinct from 18-2-Mn, which is an austenitic stainless steels containing 11-14% Mn and up to 2.5% Ni.

2. Permeability, Diffusivity and Solubility

The permeation and diffusion in 29Cr-4Mo-2Ni (29-4-2) has been extensively studied by gas phase permeation experiments [4, 5] and by electrochemical diffusion studies [6, 7]. Hydrogen permeation in annealed 29-4-2 ferritic stainless steel was found to be one to two orders of magnitude greater than austenitic stainless steels, with greater differences at low temperature (Figure 2.1) [4, 5]. Cold-working of the 29-4-2 reduced hydrogen permeation by about an order of magnitude [5]; a much larger change than observed for cold-worked austenitic stainless steels [4, 8, 9]. This drop in permeation is attributed to a reduction in hydrogen diffusivity due to deformation (Figure 2.2), presumably as a result of increased hydrogen trapping [5]. The

annealed 29-4-2 also displays a discontinuity in the diffusivity as a function of temperature (Figure 2.2) with very low activation energy for diffusion at temperatures greater than about 443 K, while the deformed materials have activation energy similar to that of the annealed material in the low temperature regime. The solubility (the quotient of the permeability and the diffusivity) is increased due to deformation at low temperature (less than about 573 K), but similar to the annealed material at higher temperature (Figure 2.3). The parameters for predicting permeability, diffusivity and solubility are given in Table 2.1.

Elastic stress was found to change hydrogen diffusivity by less than 50% in the temperature range of 303 K to 353 K [6]. It appears that the magnitude of diffusivity is nominally unaffected by applied stress (a factor of 2 can be considered small for diffusion experiments), but the activation energy for diffusion is increased. The partial molar volume of hydrogen in 29-4-2 was determined to be $2.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (mole of H atoms) from these electrochemical measurements [6].

3. Mechanical Properties: Effects of Gaseous Hydrogen

3.1 Tensile properties

3.1.1 Smooth tensile properties

A significant reduction in tensile ductility was reported for type 430F ferritic stainless steel [2, 3]. Smooth bar tensile tests were performed in 69 MPa helium and hydrogen gases respectively as summarized in Table 3.1.1.1.

3.1.2. Notched tensile properties

Notched round tensile specimens in high-pressure gas show ~30% loss of notched tensile strength in hydrogen compared to helium gas, Table 3.1.2.1. This testing was performed as part of a large test program in which the ferritic stainless steel was classified as severely embrittled [2, 3].

Perng and Altstetter tested 29Cr-4Mo-2Ni (29-4-2, heat P87) ferritic stainless steel notched sheet specimens (where plane stress conditions prevailed) in air and 0.11 MPa hydrogen gas from 298 to 573 K [10]. They exhibited 17 percent reduction of notch tensile strength and a 50 percent loss of ductility. However the effects were most severe at room temperature and gradually disappeared as the temperature increased above 373 K. The fracture mode changed from microvoid coalescence in air to fracture surfaces dominated by quasicleavage in hydrogen gas. For temperature above ambient, the amount of ductile features increases with temperature and at 423 K the fracture surfaces are similar to tests performed in air [10].

3.2 Fracture mechanics

3.2.1 Fracture toughness

No known published data in hydrogen gas.

3.2.2 Threshold stress intensity

Perng and Altstetter determined crack growth rates and threshold stress intensity values in sustained load testing for 29-4-2 ferritic stainless steel (heat P87) notched sheet specimens where plane stress conditions prevailed [10]. The specimens were tested in air and 0.11 MPa hydrogen gas from 298 to 373 K [10]. The testing showed the threshold stress intensity increased with temperature, but the crack growth rate was generally higher at elevated temperature. This is rationalized in terms of hydrogen transport and accumulation ahead of the crack tip [10].

Huang and Altstetter also determined crack growth rates and threshold stress intensity values in the same 29-4-2 ferritic stainless steel (heat P87) notched sheet specimens as above, except they also precharged the specimens with internal hydrogen from molten salt electrolytes at 503 K [11]. Rapid outgassing of hydrogen, however, occurs at room temperature in ferritic stainless steels due to its high hydrogen diffusivity at room temperature. These precharged specimens had ~2 wppm residual hydrogen after testing in air that is believed to have been trapped in the steel; most of the hydrogen (~12 wppm) outgassed from the specimens during testing [11]. Subcritical crack growth tests conducted in air showed modest effects of the trapped internal hydrogen, however, tests of precharged material in 0.11 MPa hydrogen gas resulted in similar response to tests in hydrogen without precharging. Fracture surfaces of material tested in hydrogen gas at room temperature were dominated by quasicleavage in both the hydrogen precharged and uncharged conditions [11].

3.3 Fatigue

Internal hydrogen by cathodic techniques was found to increase fatigue crack growth rates and decrease the threshold stress intensity for crack propagation in a 12Cr-1Mo ferritic stainless steel [12]. Based on fracture mechanics testing in low-pressure hydrogen, the degradation of fatigue properties in hydrogen gas can be expected to be greater than observed in this study.

3.4 Creep

No known published data in hydrogen gas.

3.5 Impact

No known published data in hydrogen gas.

3.6 Disk rupture testing

Fidelle et al categorized type 430 stainless steel as having little or no sensitivity to hydrogen embrittlement during disk rupture testing [13]. This is at odds with other data from the literature, perhaps due to the relative short-time scales associated with the disk rupture tests precluding substantial hydrogen transport in the lattice over the time scale of the test.

5. References

1. RA Lula. Stainless Steel (revised from "An Introduction to Stainless Steel" by JG Parr and A Hanson). Metals Park OH: American Society for Metals (1986).

2. RJ Walter and WT Chandler. Effects of High-Pressure Hydrogen on Metals at Ambient Temperature: Final Report (NASA CR-102425). Rocketdyne (report no. R-7780-1) for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Canoga Park CA (February 1969).
3. RP Jewitt, RJ Walter, WT Chandler and RP Frohmberg. Hydrogen Environment Embrittlement of Metals (NASA CR-2163). Rocketdyne for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Canoga Park CA (March 1973).
4. T-P Perng and CJ Altstetter. Effects of Deformation on Hydrogen Permeation in Austenitic Stainless Steels. *Acta metall* 34 (1986) 1771-1781.
5. T-P Perng, M Johnson and CJ Altstetter. Influence of plastic deformation on hydrogen diffusion and permeation in stainless steels. *Acta metall* 37 (1989) 3393-3397.
6. L-C Hwang and T-P Perng. Hydrogen transport in ferritic stainless steel under elastic stress. *Mater Chem Phys* 36 (1994) 231-235.
7. C-L Yu and T-P Perng. Surface effects on electrochemical hydrogen diffusion in stainless steel. *Acta metall mater* 39 (1991) 1091-1099.
8. MR Louthan and RG Derrick. Hydrogen Transport in Austenitic Stainless Steel. *Corros Sci* 15 (1975) 565-577.
9. XK Sun, J Xu and YY Li. Hydrogen Permeation Behaviour in Austenitic Stainless Steels. *Material Science and Engineering A114* (1989) 179-187.
10. T-P Perng and CJ Altstetter. Comparison of Hydrogen Gas Embrittlement of Austenitic and Ferritic Stainless Steels. *Metall Trans* 18A (1987) 123-134.
11. J-H Huang and CJ Altstetter. Internal Hydrogen Embrittlement of a Ferritic Stainless Steel. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions* 26A (1995) 845-849.
12. GF Rodkey and RH Jones. Effect of internal hydrogen on the fatigue crack growth of a 12Cr-1Mo Steel. *J Nucl Mater* 155-157 (1988) 760-765.
13. J-P Fidelle, R Bernardi, R Broudeur, C Roux and M Rapin. Disk Pressure Testing of Hydrogen Environment Embrittlement. in: *Hydrogen Embrittlement Testing*, ASTM STP 543, American Society for Testing and Materials. (1974) p. 221-253.
14. ASTM DS-56H, Metals and Alloys in the UNIFIED NUMBERING SYSTEM (SAE HS-1086 OCT01). American Society for Testing and Materials (Society of Automotive Engineers) (2001).

Table 1.1.1. Compositional ranges of several ferritic stainless steels. [14]

UNS No.	AISI No / Common Name	Fe	Cr	Mo	Ni	Mn	Si	C	other
S40500	405	Bal	11.50 14.50	—	—	1.00 max	1.00 max	0.08 max	0.10-0.30 Al; 0.030 max S; 0.040 max P
S40900	409	Bal	10.50 11.75	—	0.50 max	1.00 max	1.00 max	0.08 max	6xC-0.75 Ti; 0.045 max S; 0.045 max P
S42900	429	Bal	14.00 16.00	—	—	1.00 max	1.00 max	0.12 max	0.030 max S; 0.040 max P
S43000	430	Bal	16.00 18.00	—	—	1.00 max	1.00 max	0.12 max	0.030 max S; 0.040 max P
S43400	434	Bal	16.00 18.00	0.75 1.25	—	1.00 max	1.00 max	0.12 max	0.030 max S; 0.040 max P
S44800	29-4-2	Bal	28.0 30.0	3.5 4.2	2.0 2.5	0.30 max	0.20 max	0.10 max	0.020 max N; 0.020 max S; 0.025 max P

Table 1.1.2. Compositions of several ferritic stainless steels used to study hydrogen effects.

Heat	Fe	Cr	Mo	Ni	Mn	Si	C	Other	Ref.
W69 430F	Bal	16.33	0.40	0.24	1.07	0.63	0.096	0.07 Cu; 0.293 S; 0.015 P	[2, 3]
P87 29-4-2	Bal	29.5	9.93	2.23	0.10	0.10	0.0029	0.012 N; 0.01 P; 0.009 S	[10, 11]

Table 2.1. Permeability, diffusivity and solubility relationships for ferritic stainless steels. These relationships are plotted in Figures 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 for permeability, diffusivity and solubility respectively.

Material	Temperature Range (K)	Pressure Range (MPa)	$\Phi = \Phi_o \exp(-E_\Phi / RT)$		$D = D_o \exp(-E_D / RT)$		$S = S_o \exp(-E_S / RT)$		Ref.
			Φ_o $\left(\frac{\text{mol H}_2}{\text{m} \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{MPa}^{1/2}} \right)$	E_Φ $\left(\frac{\text{kJ}}{\text{mol}} \right)$	D_o $\left(\frac{\text{m}^2}{\text{s}} \right)$	E_D $\left(\frac{\text{kJ}}{\text{mol}} \right)$	S_o $\left(\frac{\text{mol H}_2}{\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{MPa}^{1/2}} \right)$	E_S $\left(\frac{\text{kJ}}{\text{mol}} \right)$	
29-4-2 (P87) Annealed	353–443	0.001– 0.026	2.20 x 10 ⁻⁶	38.4	8.45 x 10 ⁻⁶	33.7	1.16	4.7	[4, 5]
	443–593				6.4 x 10 ⁻⁹	7.0	1534	31.4	
29-4-2 (P87) deformed 25%	383–533		2.94 x 10 ⁻⁶	40.3	1.71 x 10 ⁻⁶	36.7	1.72	3.6	[5]
29-4-2 (P87) deformed 50%	383–533		12.3 x 10 ⁻⁶	45.4	2.34 x 10 ⁻⁶	37.2	5.24	8.2	
29-4-2 (P87) deformed 75%	383–533		13.2 x 10 ⁻⁶	47.3	9.03 x 10 ⁻⁶	45.5	1.46	1.8	
Austenitic stainless steels	373-623	1x10 ⁻⁴ –0.03	53.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	56.1	20.1 x 10 ⁻⁶	49.3	266	6.86	[4]

Table 3.1.1.1. Smooth tensile properties of ferritic stainless steel tested at room in high-pressure gaseous hydrogen.

Material	Thermal precharging	Test environment	Strain rate (s ⁻¹)	S _y (MPa)	S _u (MPa)	El _u (%)	El _t (%)	RA (%)	Ref.
Annealed 430F, heat W69	None	69 MPa He	0.67 x 10 ⁻³	496	552	—	22	64	[2, 3]
	None	69 MPa H ₂		—	538	—	14	37	

Table 3.1.2.1. Notched tensile properties of ferritic stainless steel tested at room in high-pressure gaseous hydrogen.

Material	Specimen	Thermal precharging	Test environment	Displacement rate (mm/s)	S _y (MPa)	σ _s (MPa)	RA (%)	Ref.
Annealed 430F, heat W69	(1)	None	69 MPa He	0.4 x 10 ⁻³	496†	1048	1.9	[2, 3]
		None	69 MPa H ₂		—	717	0.6	

† yield strength of smooth tensile bar


(1) V-notched specimen: 60° included angle; minimum diameter = 3.81 mm (0.15 inch); maximum diameter = 7.77 mm (0.306 inch); notch root radius = 0.024 mm (0.00095 inch). Stress concentration factor (K_t) = 8.4.

Figure 2.1. Permeability of 29-4-2 ferritic stainless steel, showing the effect of deformation on reducing permeation [5]. The dotted line represents an average permeability for several austenitic stainless alloys from Ref. [4].




Figure 2.2. Diffusivity of 29-4-2 ferritic stainless steel, showing the effect of deformation on reducing the rate of diffusion [5]. The dotted line represents an average diffusivity for several austenitic stainless alloys from Ref. [4].




Figure 2.3. Solubility of 29-4-2 ferritic stainless steel [5]. The dotted line represents an average solubility for several austenitic stainless alloys from Ref. [4].