

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 1: Albania, *Leveraging international assistance to build self-sustaining nuclear detection capabilities*

Reviewers:

Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options?

Yes / No

If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:

--

Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? **Yes / No**

If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:

--

Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? **Yes / No**

If yes, please fill in the boxes below.

Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 17: Ukraine, *The role of the State Border Guard Service of Ukraine in the domestic detection architecture*

Reviewers:

Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options? Yes / No If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:	
Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? Yes / No If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:	
Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? Yes / No If yes, please fill in the boxes below.	
Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 21: United States, *Building an RN community to promote the sharing of national best practices and lessons learned*

Reviewers:

Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options? Yes / No If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:	
Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? Yes / No If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:	
Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? Yes / No If yes, please fill in the boxes below.	
Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 8: Russian Federation, *Guardian* 2012

Reviewers:

<p>Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options? Yes / No If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:</p> <div style="height: 150px; margin-top: 10px;"></div>									
<p>Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? Yes / No If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:</p> <div style="height: 150px; margin-top: 10px;"></div>									
<p>Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? Yes / No If yes, please fill in the boxes below.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 30%;">Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)</th> <th style="width: 70%;">Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="height: 40px;"></td> <td style="height: 40px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 40px;"></td> <td style="height: 40px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 40px;"></td> <td style="height: 40px;"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):						
Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):								

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 9: Russian Federation, *Improvements in the use of technology for detection and analysis of an object's radiation field structure*

Reviewers:

Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options? Yes / No If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:	
Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? Yes / No If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:	
Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? Yes / No If yes, please fill in the boxes below.	
Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 10: Russian Federation, *Use of specialized law enforcement guidelines / manuals on detecting the smuggling of nuclear and other radioactive materials or explosive devices containing such material*

Reviewers:

Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options? Yes / No If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:	
Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? Yes / No If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:	
Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? Yes / No If yes, please fill in the boxes below.	
Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 11: Russian Federation, *Use of x-ray, terahertz and other equipment for detection of explosives and other dangerous substances*

Reviewers:

Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options? Yes / No If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:	
Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? Yes / No If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:	
Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? Yes / No If yes, please fill in the boxes below.	
Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 12: Russian Federation, *Estimating the costs and forces for nuclear detection during the Universada (University Games) in Kazan and Olympics in Sochi*

Reviewers:

Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options? Yes / No If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:	
Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? Yes / No If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:	
Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? Yes / No If yes, please fill in the boxes below.	
Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 13: Russian Federation, *Methodology for determining and distributing the resources for the detection of nuclear and other radioactive materials*

Reviewers:

Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options? Yes / No If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:	
Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? Yes / No If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:	
Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? Yes / No If yes, please fill in the boxes below.	
Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 14: Russian Federation, *Use of cellular networks to transmit information on detection from control points up the chain of command*

Reviewers:

Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options? Yes / No If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:	
Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? Yes / No If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:	
Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? Yes / No If yes, please fill in the boxes below.	
Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.

Session 9: Case Study Review

Case Study 15: Russian Federation, *Methodology for detecting stray emitted radiation from equipment used in nuclear detection and for ensuring electromagnetic compatibility of systems at the fixed control points and for preventing the stray electromagnetic radiation from affecting other infrastructure means*

Reviewers:

Is the Case Study reference appropriately placed within the tables of challenges and mitigating options? Yes / No If no, please explain and identify a better location using the box number (e.g., 3.4-8A). If there are additional places in the tables that should be linked to the Case Study, please list those as well:	
Is the Case Study structured in the standard format (i.e., divided into sections describing Challenge, Context, Actions Taken, Results/Lessons Learned)? Yes / No If no, please provide suggestions on how the content may be formatted to fit this structure:	
Does the case study suggest additional challenges and/or mitigating options that should be added to the tables? Yes / No If yes, please fill in the boxes below.	
Suggested Location (e.g., 2.3-7G)	Content (Challenge/Mitigating Option):

Please feel free to use the back of this sheet or the printed copy of the case study for any additional comments.