

# Update on PFC-PMI Work Group

## for the Fusion Nuclear Science Pathways Assessment

----- co-chairs -----

**Dennis  
Whyte**



**George  
Tynan**



**Richard  
Nygren**



- *Organization of PFC-PMI Working Group*
- *Gaithersburg Meeting, June 12-13, 2011*
- *FNSPA Report*

*Visuals by Richard Nygren, presentation by George Tynan based on material and discussion from many contributors to FNSPA*

# PFC-PMI Subtasks

## PFC Configuration

**Whyte**, Chan, Peng, Stambaugh, Nielsen, *Majeski*

Issues related understanding of power exhaust from plasma, power sharing between the divertor and first wall, the potential and risks of configurations such as the super-X divertor, reactor-relevant hot wall operation, potential for liquid surface PFCs

## Evolution of PFC Materials

**Tynan**, Kurtz, *Doerner, Allain, Rognlien*

This includes modifications of materials from ion and neutron damage as well as the effects of operating temperature and our capability to develop predictive models.

## Engineering Trade-offs for PFCs

**Nygren**, Tillack, Morley, Meier, Wirth, Merrill

Thermal performance and high heat flux testing, design integration.

*[Trade-offs approach differed from other subtasks.]*



Sandia  
National  
Laboratories

# Comments on Process

**FNSPA: FNSF options, design studies, R&D needed, near term emphasis (roll forward) but relevance for DEMO (roll back)**

- Laudable goal, [charter](#) from Ed Synakowski in November, concern about product (RD tasks, level, decision points)
- Face-to-face meetings by Core Group and some Task Groups; PFC-PMI Task used phone conferences and e-mails
- Continuing frustration with lack of definition of scope, slow rollout of process, due primarily to poorly defined scope
- PFC-PMI progress slowed in spring due to obligations for other work by leaders

• PFC-PMI identified some high level issues early in the process

## **Overarching Issues [Decision Pts]**

1. Disruptions – go/no-go for tokamaks
2. Materials evolution; solid walls OK?
3. Demountable coils OK?; big implications for PFC build, edges/mismatches, etc.
4. Operating temperatures; can we accept lower temperature to ease requirements.



# **FNSPA Core Team Meeting in June**

## **News Flash: FNSPA will be handed over to FESAC**

- Legal issue about who can advise FES, e.g., FESAC. FES staff can no longer be involved, except Al Opdenacker who is POC.

## **Process**

- Groups instructed to finish reports – distribution of input internally vetted by groups and contributors set for end July (now end of August).
- Concern over default on implicit promise of “new initiative” and RFP.
- Some form of vetting had always been planned; now FESAC is agent.
- Continued frustration over form of report.

## **Subsequent Events**

**FESAC did receive charges; #3 of 3 is for the FNSPA.  
Groups are preparing report information.**



# Status of PFC-PMI Working Groups

## PFC Configuration

**Draft of section input put out for comment by group with some feedback. Draft of stand-alone R&D section in progress.**

**In conference call, we discussed the internal “vetting” process and decided that this would likely not be adequately finished and simply to note where more attention would be needed.**

## Evolution of PFC Materials

**Draft of section input put out for comment by group with some feedback.**

## Engineering Trade-offs for PFCs

**Some feedback on early rough draft; still needs work; writing in progress. Draft of stand-alone R&D section in progress.**

*Introduction is needed to explain flow of chapter on PFC-PMI and links to other sections, e.g., physics, materials and power extraction.*

