

# Rapid Development of an Ice Sheet Climate Application using the Components-Based Approach

## Sandia National Laboratories

A.G. Salinger, I. Kalashnikova, M. Perego, R.S. Tuminaro, M.S. Eldred and J.D. Jakeman  
Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico, 87185

## Objectives

**Develop:** robust & scalable unstructured-grid finite element ice sheet code:

- Stand-alone steady-state model for initialization and calibration
- Dynamic model when linked to LANL's MPAS framework for advection
- Future land ice component of DOE earth system model

**Support:** DOE climate missions, such as providing Sea Level Rise predictions

**Leverage:** software and expertise from SciDAC Institutes (FASTMath, QUEST, SUPER) and hardware from DOE Leadership Class Facilities.


**Funding:** "PISCEES" SciDAC Application Partnership (DOE's BER + ASCR divisions)  
PI: Lipscomb [LANL]; collaboration with ORNL, LANL, LBNL, UT, FSU, SC, MIT, NCAR.

## Component-Based Strategy

Component-based approach enables **rapid** development of new **production** codes embedded with **transformational** capabilities.

"Components" =  Libraries  Software Quality Tools  
 Interfaces  Demonstration Applications

### Sandia's components effort includes ~100 interoperable libraries



## Ice Sheet Model


We are using the component-based approach to rapidly develop an Ice Sheet code meeting the above objectives.

### Nonlinear Stokes' Model for Ice Sheet Stresses


$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (2\mu \dot{\epsilon}_1) &= -\rho g \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} \\ -\nabla \cdot (2\mu \dot{\epsilon}_2) &= -\rho g \frac{\partial s}{\partial y} \end{aligned}$$

Model is discretized with the Finite Element Method, using Automatic Differentiation and dozens of other components for the Nonlinear Solution and Analysis.

### Solution Verification using manufactured solutions



## Results: Greenland



Velocity Magnitude [m/yr] in x-z planes.  
(Height "z" is scaled 100x.)


From components to Greenland simulation with real data\*, verification, robustness, scalability, and UQ in 8 months (~1FTE)!

5 km resolution  
640K hex elements  
1.44M Unknowns

\*Data courtesy of J. Bamber and J. Griggs (Univ. of Bristol) as part of the Ice2Sea project.


## Scalability & Robustness

### Increased Robustness via Homotopy Continuation



- Most robust using Homotopy continuation, decreasing  $\gamma$  to  $10^{-10}$

### Preliminary Scalability Study




- Weak Scaling: 1, 8, 64, 512 and 4096 cores of Hopper (NERSC)

## UQ: Calibration & Propagation

### Step 1: Model Initialization via Bayesian Calibration

What are the model parameters that render a given set of observations?


Basal sliding coefficient:  $\beta(x, y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y + \beta_3 r$



### Step 2: Uncertainty Propagation

What is the impact of uncertain parameters in model on quantities of interest?

Basal sliding coefficient:  $\beta(x, y) \sim \text{Normal}(1, 0.2)$



## Ongoing Work

- Coupling to MPAS for dynamic evolution
- Deterministic and stochastic initialization runs
- Coupling to full earth system model

We acknowledge the contributions of our PISCEES collaborators, including B. Lipscomb, S. Price, K. Evans, P. Worley, M. Gunzberger, and C. Jackson, and our many Trilinos/Dakota collaborators, including E. Phipps and L. Swiler.